Yahoo Group Archive: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)

Yahoo Group summary: Baofeng UV-5R

These Yahoo Groups messages were archived in WARC format by ArchiveTeam in 2018. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the WARC files in 2026.

Date span: 2013-12-01 through 2013-12-31

Source WARC: baofeng_uv5r.3lDAIMR.warc.gz

Source item: https://archive.org/details/yahoo-groups-2018-09-28T05-14-59Z-601bb6

Messages included in this PDF: 1097

Message records with no body available in the source archive: 1

Messages omitted as spam from this PDF: 161

Yahoo replaced the domain portions of email addresses with @... in the archived messages. Characters lost in Yahoo's archived text appear as ?.

Messages are presented in ascending Yahoo message-ID order. Gaps indicate records absent from the source WARC or messages omitted from this PDF as spam.

37286. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 00:28:22 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 37167 Message: 37286

At 01:42 AM 1/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
><http://www.armadillo.org/>Armadillo and
><http://www.cactus-intertie.org/>Cactus networks are examples of a
>closed amateur network with closed repeaters.

If the repeaters are closed they can't be used by anybody.

37287. RE: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 00:30:08 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 37167 Message: 37287

At 02:30 AM 1/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>The frequencies are indeed shared by all licensed amateurs but the
>machines (repeaters) are privately owned or club owned and can be
>limited access or closed. In the 80's I belonged to a club that
>owned the repeater which was free to club members (part of the dues
>went for repeater maintenance), but closed to non-paying hams.
>
>
>The frequencies could be used by anyone but it ;just wouldn't key
>the repeater without the code tone which was "secret".

Not hard to find the code so anyone can use it.

37288. Re: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 00:34:41 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 37167 Message: 37288

At 02:41 AM 1/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>All anybody has to do is to read Part 97 and see that repeater
>owners can pick and choose who is allowed to operate their repeaters.

An amateur repeater on amateur frequencies is open to any licensed amateur.
That's how amature radio works.

> It is the same as you being able to say who can and cannot use
> your base station or mobile equipment.

You can't legally prevent any operator transmitting on any frequency they are
licensed for using any legal combination of tones.

37289. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 01:02:18 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 37167 Message: 37289

Closed means closed to nonmembers. Member have the pl to open the repeated

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Nov 30, 2013, at 19:28, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...> wrote:
>
> At 01:42 AM 1/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> ><http://www.armadillo.org/>Armadillo and
> ><http://www.cactus-intertie.org/>Cactus networks are examples of a
> >closed amateur network with closed repeaters.
>
> If the repeaters are closed they can't be used by anybody.
>
>

37290. Re: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 01:27:41 UTC
From: Matthew Pitts
Topic: 37167 Message: 37290

Barry,

Non-members that figure it out (the PL tone) will end up having the repeater turned off by the control operator; unfortunately, this can even happen on so-called open repeaters if the control operator or someone that know the control operator doesn't like some that uses the repeater.

Matthew Pitts
N8OHU

>_____
> From: Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...>
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent:
>Subject: RE: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters
>
>
>
>
>At 02:30 AM 1/12/2013, you wrote:
>>
>>
>>The frequencies are indeed shared by all licensed amateurs but the
>>machines (repeaters) are privately owned or club owned and can be
>>limited access or closed. In the 80's I belonged to a club that
>>owned the repeater which was free to club members (part of the dues
>>went for repeater maintenance), but closed to non-paying hams.
>>
>>
>>The frequencies could be used by anyone but it ;just wouldn't key
>>the repeater without the code tone which was "secret".
>
>Not hard to find the code so anyone can use it.
>
>
>
>
>

37291. Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 01:54:47 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 37291 Message: 37291

somebody needs to tell Universal Radio that the UV5R family has not been discontinued.

The web site at Universal radio claims they are discontinued

37292. RE: Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 01:59:55 UTC
From: <tenorman1952@...>
Topic: 37291 Message: 37292

Perhaps they mean that THEY (Universal Radio) have discontinued the UV-5R series.

Paul - AE5JU

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <emmettgulley71@gmail.com> wrote:

somebody needs to tell Universal Radio that the UV5R family has not been discontinued.

The web site at Universal radio claims they are discontinued

37293. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 02:50:46 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37291 Message: 37293

On 11/30/2013 05:54 PM, Emmett Gulley wrote:

somebody needs to tell Universal Radio that the UV5R family has not been discontinued.

The web site at Universal radio claims they are discontinued

If it's important, you might want to re-read the page.

37294. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 02:55:53 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37291 Message: 37294

On 11/30/2013 05:59 PM, tenorman1952@... wrote:

Perhaps they mean that THEY (Universal Radio) have discontinued the UV-5R series.

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/ht.html states "UV-5RC VHF/UHF DISCONTINUED"

The other Baofeng links say no such thing ... commas do have meaning.

37295. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 03:00:56 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37291 Message: 37295

On 11/30/2013 06:50 PM, Gary Dunn wrote:

On 11/30/2013 05:54 PM, Emmett Gulley wrote:

somebody needs to tell Universal Radio that the UV5R family has not been discontinued.

The web site at Universal radio claims they are discontinued

If it's important, you might want to re-read the page.

Sorry, I should've done that myself, but it's not important to me. ;-) The OP is correct by following the links.

37296. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 03:39:05 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37291 Message: 37296

How com Emmitt never gives the link to the page he's trolling about?

From: Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2013 9:50 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio

On 11/30/2013 05:54 PM, Emmett Gulley wrote:

>somebody needs to tell Universal Radio that the UV5R family has not been discontinued.
>
>
The web site at Universal radio claims they are discontinued
>
>
If it's important, you might want to re-read the page.

37297. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] USER GUIDE

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 04:55:11 UTC
From: rjtoegel
Topic: 36956 Message: 37297

Isn't amusing that the U.S.A. chooses to delete the "u" in many words and yet when the people here use "you" they only think of themselves. ;)

Of course we drive on the wrong side of the street and our light switches are inverted.

Bob

-------- Original message --------
From "John R. Strohm" <strohm@airmail.net>
Date: 11/30/2013 11:12 (GMT-05:00)
To baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject Re: [baofeng_uv5r] USER GUIDE

Ah, yes, the British and the Americans, two peoples divided by a common
tongue.

-----Original Message-----
From: Barry OGrady
Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2013 12:54 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] USER GUIDE

At 08:37 AM 30/11/2013, you wrote:

>Don't get me started on spell checkers, they don't always work
>correctly. One of my favorite goofs is, "Oh, Goddoughnuts!

Classic example there. Your spell chequer did not pick up your
misspelling of favourite.

>Bob WA2EGP

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

37298. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 05:00:52 UTC
From: rjtoegel
Topic: 36895 Message: 37298

Another one I forgot from a prevous post, the Metric system (which I teach).

Bob

-------- Original message --------
From Matthew Pitts <daywalker_blade_2004@yahoo.com>
Date: 11/30/2013 14:47 (GMT-05:00)
To baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Dale,

What you call "military date" is how other many other countries actually write the date. :-) Shows just how odd Americans are, including clinging to outdated stuff (not necessarily radio related) when the rest of the world has moved on to something more efficient.
RECENT ACTIVITY: New Members 65
Visit Your Group
.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.
Switch to: Text-Only, Daily Digest • Unsubscribe • Terms of Use • Send us Feedback
.

37299. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 05:24:53 UTC
From: James PapawTommie Hinman
Topic: 37170 Message: 37299

upgrading only gives you the "Choice" to keep ur old call sign or taking another. not loose ur licence

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
From: blackwolfe@...
Date: Sat, 30 Nov 2013 13:31:44 -0500
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

>>The same name and address return as current under W5TXD as a Vanity call.

>>One really should follow up the research before arriving at conclusions.

>>Bruce W. - KK4HAH - ;-)

>

> You are not going to tell us why your licence was cancelled?

> You must have done something pretty bad!

> ----------

Only if upgrading is bad!

37300. Re: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 05:36:46 UTC
From: rjtoegel
Topic: 37167 Message: 37300

-------- Original message --------
From Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@exemail.com.au>
Date: 11/30/2013 19:34 (GMT-05:00)
To baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject Re: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters

At 02:41 AM 1/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>All anybody has to do is to read Part 97 and see that repeater
>owners can pick and choose who is allowed to operate their repeaters.

An amateur repeater on amateur frequencies is open to any licensed amateur.
That's how amature radio works.

> It is the same as you being able to say who can and cannot use
> your base station or mobile equipment.

You can't legally prevent any operator transmitting on any frequency they are
licensed for using any legal combination of tones.

Yes, you can't, but if a ham keeps operating on the input of a repeater that they are not "allowed" to use, it used to be if they didn't want their signal repeated (pl or no pl), the repeater would have to shut down. Today that might be grounds for a complaint to the FCC for interference since FCC has given repeater owners a little more authority since they are responsible for what goes over their machine and that individual may be purposely doing that to make that repeater unusable. Then the FCC desides.

Decades ago I was "invited" to use a local repeater by a member since we were out of range for simplex. The second invited time I talked to him, other members of that club started a "pay or get off" campaign. Not an invite to be a member of the club, just pay or get off. That was actually illegal. I never joined or use that repeater ever again.

This legal stuff gets tricky and I'd rather error on the side of caution.

Bob

37301. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 05:55:59 UTC
From: Michael D Earls
Topic: 37170 Message: 37301

I upgraded from Tech to Extra and requested a new, sequential call sign.

If I look up my original call, it shows cancelled.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of James PapawTommie Hinman
Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2013 11:25 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

upgrading only gives you the "Choice" to keep ur old call sign or taking another. not loose ur licence

---

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
From: blackwolfe@...
Date: Sat, 30 Nov 2013 13:31:44 -0500
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

>>The same name and address return as current under W5TXD as a Vanity call.
>>One really should follow up the research before arriving at conclusions.
>>Bruce W. - KK4HAH - ;-)
>
> You are not going to tell us why your licence was cancelled?
> You must have done something pretty bad!
> ----------
Only if upgrading is bad!

37305. RE: Interference on 2m and marine channels dont get it on Yaesu

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 07:20:58 UTC
From: <nospam@...>
Topic: 37237 Message: 37305

Many thanks for the replies

There is a mast approx 1/2 mile from here which is owned by a taxi firm. they have location devices in their cars.

As this is a continuous pulse every three seconds 24/7 i have assumed it is something like that possibly.

This is nothing local as in my house or street as i went mobile this happens on rubber duck na771 or my collinea on the roof.

Just plain annoying but I have ordered a 2m rig now

Regards
Simon
M6TWX

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <xaunloc@...> wrote:

ANY receiver can be overwhelmed by a signal that is close to the receive frequency.

Generally speaking the BaoFeng UV-5R family of radios are well known for being among the worst in terms of having the radio front end totally overwhelmed by just about any strong signal anywhere in the same band. This is a simple fact of the design of these radios with essentially no tuned circuit in the front end and only a filter that is designed to pass the entire operating band.

In Mike's case, the Yaesu was seeing a much stronger interfering signal from the indoor antenna compared to what the BaoFeng was seeing from the rubber duck. Swapping the antennas would undoubtedly have swapped the problem between the two radios.

Meanwhile in Simon's case obviously the two BaoFeng radios have identical circuitry and showed identical results under identical conditions -- the Yaesu mobile was completely different and didn't show the same interference. If the interference is continuous, it is probably some device in the immediate area such as a computer, router, modem, or even some appliance. Intermittent interference is more likely to be some radio transmitter nearby. Typically the most common source of intermittent interference in an urban area would be a nearby paging service transmitter, but actually any strong signal within a couple miles of the receiver will usually break the squelch of these HTs. I wouldn't be surprised if Simon would find a paging service operating somewhere in or near Great Yarmouth -- perhaps one of the local hospitals has its own paging transmitter, or some other sort of commercial transmitter such as a taxi dispatch.

From: Michael D Earls

Oddly, I experienced just the opposite. I have a Yaesu FT-8800R using an indoor antenna. For months, I was unable to use the 2m due to RFI from my cable modem and router.

However, reception on the UV-5R was unaffected by the RFI unless I connected it to the indoor antenna. With the NA-771 whip, 2m was fine.

I greatly reduced the problem on the Yaesu by relocating the indoor antenna.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

From: nospam@... mailto:nospam@...

I have been getting interference on my Baofeng 5RC from 130mhz to 180mhz it is localised but I cant find it a friend lent me his 5B it is exactly the same. I borrowed a Yaesu FT2800M no interference anywhere on the same freq. Is the Baofeng overly sensitive or can anyone shed any light on the anomaly

37306. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 07:33:53 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 37291 Message: 37306

R Pryor is quite right. Most radio dealers aren't the least bit interested in making $5.00 (or less) on a radio, and frankly, who could really blame them?

Considering the cost of inventory, their retail showroom costs, employee costs, web-site costs, 1.5% to 3% for VISA/MC/AMEX/Discover merchant fees, plus incoming freight costs, the unfair internet tax advantage vs. local businesses, etc., etc., it's really a wonder that most hams have any local shop to view the latest gear at all.

I personally try really hard to do business with local folks first, when that's simply not available, I try regionally before I go on a national 800 number or web search. When it's a product or service that our business sells, we try to price it "fairly", but the company still needs to cover the costs mentioned above or we'd go out of business. "Most" of our customers understand that concept, but I'm sure we lose a few that troll the internet or expect us to match a loss leader from someone else that opens at 5AM the day after Thanksgiving. "Most" hams tend to remind me of yard sale folks that show up at your door at 6AM on a Saturday, and want to talk you down from the $20 price you have listed on the $200 bike, but offering you $5 instead. Real business doesn't work that way, but most folks work for somebody else so they don't understand why companies need to make a profit in order to stay in business.

Companies that fall into the "always low price" model rarely win long term, unless it's someone like Amazon or eBay that take their sizable cut (anywhere from 6% to 20% of the selling price) from the merchant that lists the product (regardless of how little that merchant actually made on that sale). In most cases, Amazon and eBay probably make as much (or more) as the merchant who actually stocked and shipped the product.

In any case, I seriously doubt that Baofeng has discontinued the UV-5R, although it's certainly possible that could have discontinued the UV-5RAC model if dealers are getting tired of explaining why some models work with extended batteries and others don't. My guess is that Universal Radio simply did an analysis of their sales vs. profits and said the Baofeng radios aren't worth the hassle to them, since I'm sure the ham customers there are just as penny pinching but still expect world class service and support on a $30 radio.

On Sat, Nov 30, 2013 at 11:29 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...>wrote:

>
>
> Only this company discontinued selling them possibly to go to UV82 or
> something else in the future.

>
> Also many bigger companies do not want to sell these radios because
> problems with the internal mic and other issues. It is also hard to
> compete with free shipping $28 dollar radios coming from China regardless
> if the buyer is getting an outdated Firmware version or QA reject. The $28
> dollar free shipping price sticks in their heads. When an American dealer
> must include NO FREE shipping, taxes and costs coming from China without
> Free shipping (UPS and DHL are not free) customs duties. You are not going
> to see anyone on the USA side dumping them for $28 unless they want to take
> a loss. Some trade show customers ask for what deals they can get for $30
> including a 771 antenna and extended battery all for $30 as they claim they
> saw on Amazon.
>
> Therefore, many do not want to deal with this model as a seller and have
> concentrated on higher end radios that can generate a worthwhile profit.
> Not backroom $28 versions
>
> I have seen enough Cheapies that bought their $30 radio looking for
> someone to program for free too. Many times they are unlicensed too
> looking for someone to help them getting on repeaters as they do not know
> what offsets or tones are and don’t care to make an effort to even look at
> getting licensed.
>
> I have a strong suspicion this might be why they dropped them along with
> HRO. They are not worth the hassle to sell for a $5 profit margin to stay
> competitive with QA pallet dumpers from China.
>
> Recently, I have seen the radios come with missing accessories like wrist
> straps and even the wrong wall wart sockets. This makes some of the
> dealers getting out of the under $75 radios. TYT and some other companies
> that are slightly higher in price and quality seem to be the newer versions
> the dealers are looking at. Also the newer Baofeng models too as they are
> still new to generate interest and worthy time profit..
>
> Bottom line: It is hard for many dealers to match a Baofeng for $30, with
> an antenna and battery upgrade package. They just don’t want to deal with
> these issues. Thus, you will see more discontinued listings in the future
> with a switch to something new.
>
>
> *From:* Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@yahoo.com>
> *Sent:* Saturday, November 30, 2013 7:39 PM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio
>
>
>
> How com Emmitt never gives the link to the page he's trolling about?
>
>

> ---
> *From:* Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...>
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Saturday, November 30, 2013 9:50 PM
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio
>
>
>
> On 11/30/2013 05:54 PM, Emmett Gulley wrote:
>
>
> somebody needs to tell Universal Radio that the UV5R family has not been
> discontinued.
>
> The web site at Universal radio claims they are discontinued
>
>
> If it's important, you might want to re-read the page.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37308. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 09:20:42 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 37170 Message: 37308

On 11/30/2013 11:24 PM, James PapawTommie Hinman wrote:
>
> upgrading only gives you the "Choice" to keep ur old call sign or
> taking another. not loose ur licence
>
> ---
>

He didn't lose his license, he got a vanity call sign
http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3492300

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

37309. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 11:05:15 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 37291 Message: 37309

Quite right again. When our company participates in a formal trade show, the table (normally 6' but sometimes 8' long) normally costs around $150 per table, a booth space (usually a 10' x 10' size) is anywhere from $250 to $500. Yes, I'm sure some will quote their local hamfest or swapmeet rates, but normal trade show spaces aren't that cheap usually, plus events outside our local area also require at least one night's room rental, and even a "cheap" room rate is around $50 a night with tax.

So if a dealer's delivered net cost is around $30 a radio (and it may actually exceed that when you add up all of the previously listed fees), many small trade shows would require selling 20 or more radios (at $40 each plus tax) just to break even at a small show with one overnight room, which hardly seems worthwhile to me unless the event normally draws far more than what our "normal" hamfests bring.

That may very well be why so many ham dealers rarely attend small events any more, as their additional sales at those events just don't justify the cost. I've seen folks take 30 minutes of a dealer's time asking all kinds of questions and then (while standing in front of them) actually use their cell phones to scan the box to see the lowest price available via the web, or call a friend at home to check web pricing for them. It's no wonder why some dealers ask Baofeng to make "special" model numbers on the same radio in an attempt to get a little margin for their inventory costs.

Reminds me of a story from a coax cable dealer that I know. It seems he had a "prospect" at his booth at a CA ARRL convention that spent quite a lot of time beating him up on pricing for 10 to 12 coax jumper cables and then asked him for a printout on "each" jumper cable he felt should also have been tested (at the flashing K-Mart Blue Light pricing the "prospect" wanted to pay). The dealer practically tossed him out of the booth.

On Sun, Dec 1, 2013 at 1:24 AM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...>wrote:

>
>
> Exactly,
>
> I know a few people that do trade shows, do free table programming, give
> free instructional dvds, free license study materials and a better antenna
> upgrade package, taxes included for $60.
>
> They get constant complaints and demands for Free Battery upgrades too.
> This at a state mandated 8% tax rate.
>
> The radios cost including shipping and import taxes, show table fees the
> better antenna upgrade costs and just the internet data costs to load

> repeaters in the customers home area bring almost a $5-10 profit off each
> radio at best (just on materials with services for free) Lately some
> customers are demanding the extended batteries because they saw them
> offered on the internet in a package for free.
>
> After resetting their software program a few times (reset by accident) and
> constant calls on how to figure out dual monitor functions and questions
> trying to do something not legal with their radios is it a wonder why some
> are dropping these radios in there product line-up?
>
> Generally, those without knowledge or a proper license seem to stay away
> from $400 Yaesu’s, Kenwoods and Motorola’s and gravitate more towards the
> low-budget versions.
>
> Often the dealers will have someone argue with them about how they only
> paid $69 for their dual pack bubble radios at Wal-Mart and cannot
> understand why they have to pay $50-60 for only one Baofeng. (no concept
> of FRS/GMRS vs Ham radio)
>
> The worst group are the ones that bought something online and bricked
> their radios or cannot figure out how to program it (or bought one
> defective online) and expect the local trade show dealer to program it for
> free or fix it for them. These types will sit and argue about something
> when they never even bought it from the dealer they are arguing with.
>
> I have seen this often including earlier today. Could this be a possible
> reason some do not want the hassle anymore?
>
>
>
> *From:* Craig Carnahan <craig.n7lb@...>
> *Sent:* Saturday, November 30, 2013 11:33 PM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio
>
>
>
> R Pryor is quite right. Most radio dealers aren't the least bit
> interested in making $5.00 (or less) on a radio, and frankly, who could
> really blame them?
>
> Considering the cost of inventory, their retail showroom costs, employee
> costs, web-site costs, 1.5% to 3% for VISA/MC/AMEX/Discover merchant fees,
> plus incoming freight costs, the unfair internet tax advantage vs. local
> businesses, etc., etc., it's really a wonder that most hams have any local
> shop to view the latest gear at all.
>
> I personally try really hard to do business with local folks first, when
> that's simply not available, I try regionally before I go on a national 800
> number or web search. When it's a product or service that our business

> sells, we try to price it "fairly", but the company still needs to cover
> the costs mentioned above or we'd go out of business. "Most" of our
> customers understand that concept, but I'm sure we lose a few that troll
> the internet or expect us to match a loss leader from someone else that
> opens at 5AM the day after Thanksgiving. "Most" hams tend to remind me of
> yard sale folks that show up at your door at 6AM on a Saturday, and want to
> talk you down from the $20 price you have listed on the $200 bike, but
> offering you $5 instead. Real business doesn't work that way, but most
> folks work for somebody else so they don't understand why companies need to
> make a profit in order to stay in business.
>
> Companies that fall into the "always low price" model rarely win long
> term, unless it's someone like Amazon or eBay that take their sizable cut
> (anywhere from 6% to 20% of the selling price) from the merchant that lists
> the product (regardless of how little that merchant actually made on that
> sale). In most cases, Amazon and eBay probably make as much (or more) as
> the merchant who actually stocked and shipped the product.
>
> In any case, I seriously doubt that Baofeng has discontinued the UV-5R,
> although it's certainly possible that could have discontinued the UV-5RAC
> model if dealers are getting tired of explaining why some models work with
> extended batteries and others don't. My guess is that Universal Radio
> simply did an analysis of their sales vs. profits and said the Baofeng
> radios aren't worth the hassle to them, since I'm sure the ham customers
> there are just as penny pinching but still expect world class service and
> support on a $30 radio.
>
>
>
>
> On Sat, Nov 30, 2013 at 11:29 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...>wrote:
>
>>
>> Only this company discontinued selling them possibly to go to UV82 or
>> something else in the future.
>>
>> Also many bigger companies do not want to sell these radios because
>> problems with the internal mic and other issues. It is also hard to
>> compete with free shipping $28 dollar radios coming from China regardless
>> if the buyer is getting an outdated Firmware version or QA reject. The $28
>> dollar free shipping price sticks in their heads. When an American dealer
>> must include NO FREE shipping, taxes and costs coming from China without
>> Free shipping (UPS and DHL are not free) customs duties. You are not going
>> to see anyone on the USA side dumping them for $28 unless they want to take
>> a loss. Some trade show customers ask for what deals they can get for $30
>> including a 771 antenna and extended battery all for $30 as they claim they
>> saw on Amazon.
>>
>> Therefore, many do not want to deal with this model as a seller and have
>> concentrated on higher end radios that can generate a worthwhile profit.

>> Not backroom $28 versions
>>
>> I have seen enough Cheapies that bought their $30 radio looking for
>> someone to program for free too. Many times they are unlicensed too
>> looking for someone to help them getting on repeaters as they do not know
>> what offsets or tones are and don’t care to make an effort to even look at
>> getting licensed.
>>
>> I have a strong suspicion this might be why they dropped them along with
>> HRO. They are not worth the hassle to sell for a $5 profit margin to stay
>> competitive with QA pallet dumpers from China.
>>
>> Recently, I have seen the radios come with missing accessories like wrist
>> straps and even the wrong wall wart sockets. This makes some of the
>> dealers getting out of the under $75 radios. TYT and some other companies
>> that are slightly higher in price and quality seem to be the newer versions
>> the dealers are looking at. Also the newer Baofeng models too as they are
>> still new to generate interest and worthy time profit..
>>
>> Bottom line: It is hard for many dealers to match a Baofeng for $30, with
>> an antenna and battery upgrade package. They just don’t want to deal with
>> these issues. Thus, you will see more discontinued listings in the future
>> with a switch to something new.
>>
>>
>> *From:* Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>
>> *Sent:* Saturday, November 30, 2013 7:39 PM
>> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio
>>
>>
>> How com Emmitt never gives the link to the page he's trolling about?
>>
>>
>> ---
>> *From:* Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...>
>> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> *Sent:* Saturday, November 30, 2013 9:50 PM
>> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio
>>
>>
>>
>> On 11/30/2013 05:54 PM, Emmett Gulley wrote:
>>
>>
>> somebody needs to tell Universal Radio that the UV5R family has not
>> been discontinued.
>>
>> The web site at Universal radio claims they are discontinued
>>

```
>>
>> If it's important, you might want to re-read the page.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
```

37310. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 11:13:51 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 37170 Message: 37310

When someone in the U.S. upgrades their license and chooses to change their call, or they simply change their call using the vanity license procedure, the FCC ULS database shows the former call as cancelled in the "Main" portion of the FCC ULS software and then shows you the newly issued or vanity call in the "Admin" tab.

The cancelled call then sits in limbo for 2 years and 1 day before becoming available again to another ham with the necessary license level.

On Sun, Dec 1, 2013 at 2:20 AM, Dale Miller <stpatrick2@twlakes.net> wrote:

>
>
> On 11/30/2013 11:24 PM, James PapawTommie Hinman wrote:
>
>
>
> upgrading only gives you the "Choice" to keep ur old call sign or taking
> another. not loose ur licence
>
> ----------
>
>
> He didn't lose his license, he got a vanity call sign
> http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3492300
>
>
> --
> All the Best & 73's
> Dale Miller, KC2CBD
> Tennessee
> Ham Operator since 1997
> Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005
>
> Registered Linux User: #317401
> Linux since June 2003
> Registered Ubuntu User #26423
> stpatrick3spam@...2spam@...3spam@gmail.combdchimneysweepspam@...
>
> (cut the spam to reply)
>
>
> VOTE TO REBUILD!www.twintowersalliance.com
> __
>
>

>

37311. RE: RE: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] whats the diffrece

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 11:21:26 UTC
From: <opie_2_be@...>
Topic: 36911 Message: 37311

thx to all even billy bob

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <mrhat2005@...> wrote:

At 02:12 AM 25/11/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>its called vfo I am looking for in the radio yaesu has a ht that can
>talk to the birds

You can talk to the birds without a radio but they may not understand you.

37312. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 13:28:24 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 36956 Message: 37312

On Sun, Dec 1, 2013 at 1:47 AM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...>wrote:

>
>
> Jim,
>
> You can also get the side charging unit that runs from the 12vdc car
> socket to the side input to the batteries. Of course these have LM7810
> regulation packages built in the cables.
>
> These are new to help with solar charging to the battery plug as they can
> take up to 40 vdc from the panels and give some regulation control (or 13.8
> vdc from a running car)
>
> A new accessory that just came out. I have seen them but not tested any
> yet.
>
>
I've not see a charger for the batteries with side ports yet (not counting
the one from TYT that doesn't seem to be available separate from a radio).
That would definitely be an nice (and long needed) accessory.

Jim KC9HI

37313. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 13:39:34 UTC
From: Black Wolfe
Topic: 37170 Message: 37313

And what you-all are blindly ignoring is that when a new call is issued the old one is CANCLLED and a new license is issued.

Bruce W. - KV4OE, formerly KK4HAH

==========

----- Original Message -----

From: "James PapawTommie Hinman"

upgrading only gives you the "Choice" to keep ur old call sign or taking another. not loose ur licence

>>The same name and address return as current under W5TXD as a Vanity call.
>>One really should follow up the research before arriving at conclusions.
>>Bruce W. - KK4HAH - ;-)
>
> You are not going to tell us why your licence was cancelled?
> You must have done something pretty bad!
> ----------
Only if upgrading is bad!

37314. Anyone else getting abusive emails from

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 06:01:35 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 37167 Message: 37314

Someone is sending me emails saying message not accepted claiming to be from From: "John" <jl_wilkerson@...> but when I attempt to reply it bounces with the message that his email is blocked due to abuse.

He had the audacity to email me to deny he was blocked for abuse but here is part of the bounce mail header.

```
jl_wilkerson@...
SMTP error from remote mail server after MAIL
FROM:<mrhat2005@...> SIZE=2733:
host frf-mailrelay.att.net [204.127.217.21]: 521-220.233.0.71
blocked by sbc:blacklist.mailrelay.att.net.
521 DNSRBL: Blocked for abuse. See http://att.net/blocks
```

37315. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 06:06:08 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 37170 Message: 37315

At 04:24 PM 1/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>upgrading only gives you the "Choice" to keep ur old call sign or
>taking another. not loose ur licence

I doubt he ever had a ur licence and his call is not loose, its canceled.
What would be the effect of a loose licence?

37316. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 07:15:01 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 37167 Message: 37316

At 05:38 PM 1/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>In the LA area if you do not pay you get a nice disconnect from the
>repeater for the Private Pay only setups as the fees they pay go
>towards maintenance and location space renting.
>
>Since these repeaters have only a handful of users, everyone knows
>each other and someone breaking in with no call sign using rambling
>Spanish would last a few seconds until disconnected.
>
>Lately, there has been a large group of users flooding the repeaters
>with no call signs, They seem to chat endlessly using Spanish and
>some seem to be doing some type of business operations through the repeaters.
>
>The private ones seem to have someone sitting with a joy stick and a
>fire button to get rid of them pretty fast. Recently, I have heard
>swearing arguments and constant music on some of the repeaters which
>seem to be under some type of jamming attacks.

We get that on CB repeaters but amateur repeaters are pretty good.

>
>I am sure the FCC is looking into this matter. Anyone in the SCALI
>area knows exactly what I am talking about.

Fair enough that you disconnect unlicensed users.

37317. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 14:58:15 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 37291 Message: 37317

It MAY mean that Universal Radio as discontinued carrying them
----- Original Message -----
From: Emmett Gulley
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2013 8:54 PM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio

somebody needs to tell Universal Radio that the UV5R family has not been discontinued.

The web site at Universal radio claims they are discontinued

37318. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Anyone else getting abusive emails from

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 15:12:46 UTC
From: John R. Strohm
Topic: 37167 Message: 37318

It sounds as though this is saying that ATT.net has blocked either exemail.com.au, or one of the servers under it, because of problems with SPAM.

He isn't blocked for abuse. You are. More precisely, your ISP is.

Your ISP is going to have to work with ATT.net to get the block lifted. Until they do, you're screwed.

-----Original Message-----
From: Barry OGrady
Sent: Sunday, December 01, 2013 12:01 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Anyone else getting abusive emails from

Someone is sending me emails saying message not accepted claiming to be from From: "John" <jl_wilkerson@...> but when I attempt to reply it bounces with the message that his email is blocked due to abuse.

He had the audacity to email me to deny he was blocked for abuse but here is part of the bounce mail header.

jl_wilkerson@...
SMTP error from remote mail server after MAIL
FROM:<mrhat2005@...> SIZE=2733:
host frf-mailrelay.att.net [204.127.217.21]: 521-220.233.0.71
blocked by sbc:blacklist.mailrelay.att.net.
521 DNSRBL: Blocked for abuse. See http://att.net/blocks

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

37319. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 06:02:31 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 37167 Message: 37319

At 12:02 PM 1/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>Closed means closed to nonmembers. Member have the pl to open the repeated

As do non-members.

>Ernie

37320. new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 15:20:11 UTC
From: Christopher Tenney
Topic: 37320 Message: 37320

Has anyone heard of this. It is a 5W HT with some other new / better features.

How does this compare to the UV-5R+? For those of us who understand the tech speak?

I saw this one on ebay, where I bought my UV-5r from the same seller
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem
<http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=190986730927>
&item=190986730927

My UV-5R works ok (could be better), but I would like to know if the extra 1W and the "NEW / IMPROVED" features would be better.

Thanks,

Christopher Tenney, RN, CEN KB3ZLH

Cumberland County Search and Rescue Team 400

Treasurer and Equine Mounted Unit Co-Founder and Co-Leader

http://blog.ccsar400.org

This email is free from viruses and malware because avast! Antivirus protection is active.
http://www.avast.com

37321. Re: Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 05:01:50 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 37291 Message: 37321

Don't see why because nothing is wrong with it

On Saturday, November 30, 2013, <tenorman1952@...> wrote:
>
>
> Perhaps they mean that THEY (Universal Radio) have discontinued the UV-5R
series.
>
> Paul - AE5JU
>
>
>
> ---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <emmettgulley71@...> wrote:
>
> somebody needs to tell Universal Radio that the UV5R family has not been
discontinued.
>
> The web site at Universal radio claims they are discontinued
>
>

37322. Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 15:28:15 UTC
From: Andrew M
Topic: 37320 Message: 37322

Interesting looking radio. Looks to be mostly cosmetic upgrade of the 5R.
The add suggests a few improvements on the squelch circuit. The housing
appears a bit more rugged. Could be worth a try with an aftermarket antenna.

Andy
KF4GOM

--
"To err is human, To really screw up requires a computer"

Unknown

37323. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 15:29:40 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 37167 Message: 37323

I am just saying a closed repeater means open to members with the pl. if a non member has the pl then the non member is still not a member.
People pay a fee to use it thus they are club MEMBERS !!!!'
Here some owners turn the repeater OFF when they are not on to control who uses it

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 1, 2013, at 1:02, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@....au> wrote:
>
> At 12:02 PM 1/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >Closed means closed to nonmembers. Member have the pl to open the repeated
>
> As do non-members.
>
> >Ernie
>
>

37324. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 15:46:29 UTC
From: Matthew Pitts
Topic: 37167 Message: 37324

Barry,

A closed repeater means that the PL tone used is not published, and could easily be changed at any time by the repeater trustee, and only given out to people that are members of the club that sponsors the repeater.

Matthew Pitts
N8OHU

>_____
> From: Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...>
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent:
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters
>
>
>
>
>At 12:02 PM 1/12/2013, you wrote:
>>
>>
>>Closed means closed to nonmembers. Member have the pl to open the repeated
>
>As do non-members.
>
>>Ernie
>
>
>
>
>

37325. To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 15:49:42 UTC
From: <plaisaeb@...>
Topic: 37325 Message: 37325

Having read quite a few messages on this user group about the need to drill the plastic mic cover on the Baofeng speaker/mic, I took the cover off expecting to find that it was a badly-molded, solid piece of plastic.

However, in holding it up to the light, I saw that all of the slits were completely open. So I closed it up without drilling any holes. In using the mic, I hold it close to my mouth somewhat sideways and have had no complaints from listeners.

Then I started to wonder exactly what others had experienced when they opened their mics. Were the slits open or sealed? Any other observations?

Ed
kb3tmi

37327. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 16:02:58 UTC
From: rjtoegel
Topic: 37325 Message: 37327

IIFC, it was the mic hole on the radio.

Bob

-------- Original message --------
From plaisaeb@yahoo.com
Date: 12/01/2013 10:49 (GMT-05:00)
To baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Having read quite a few messages on this user group about the need to drill the plastic mic cover on the Baofeng speaker/mic, I took the cover off expecting to find that it was a badly-molded, solid piece of plastic.

However, in holding it up to the light, I saw that all of the slits were completely open. So I closed it up without drilling any holes. In using the mic, I hold it close to my mouth somewhat sideways and have had no complaints from listeners.

Then I started to wonder exactly what others had experienced when they opened their mics. Were the slits open or sealed? Any other observations?

Ed
kb3tmi

37328. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 16:03:48 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 37325 Message: 37328

On the face of the radio, I there is a tiny hole just beside the word
'mic' and that hole is the opening for the microphone. The slits are
for the speaker.
The hole is what is to be enlarged. A bit tricky, as you can slip up
and drill the mic itself. That would be a disaster. I have opened
up two radios now, with no problems.

Bob

At 10:49 AM 12/1/2013, you wrote:

>Having read quite a few messages on this user group about the need
>to drill the plastic mic cover on the Baofeng speaker/mic, I took
>the cover off expecting to find that it was a badly-molded, solid
>piece of plastic.
>
>However, in holding it up to the light, I saw that all of the slits
>were completely open. So I closed it up without drilling any holes.
>In using the mic, I hold it close to my mouth somewhat sideways and
>have had no complaints from listeners.
>
>Then I started to wonder exactly what others had experienced when
>they opened their mics. Were the slits open or sealed? Any other observations?
>
>Ed
>kb3tmi
>
>

For those who understand, no explanation is needed.
For those who do not understand, no explanation is possible.

37329. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 16:30:04 UTC
From: Ed Plaisance
Topic: 37325 Message: 37329

The "aha" moment...I have not used the mic on the radio itself...I thought all this drilling was for the separate hand-held speaker/mic that I bought for around 8 bucks.

In my car that is what I use...either that or the earpiece/mic.

Case closed...thanks for the clarification.

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/1/2013 11:03 AM, Bob wrote:
>
> On the face of the radio, I there is a tiny hole just beside the word
> 'mic' and that hole is the opening for the microphone. The slits are
> for the speaker.
> The hole is what is to be enlarged. A bit tricky, as you can slip up
> and drill the mic itself. That would be a disaster. I have opened up
> two radios now, with no problems.
>
> Bob
>
> At 10:49 AM 12/1/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>> Having read quite a few messages on this user group about the need to
>> drill the plastic mic cover on the Baofeng speaker/mic, I took the
>> cover off expecting to find that it was a badly-molded, solid piece
>> of plastic.
>>
>> However, in holding it up to the light, I saw that all of the slits
>> were completely open. So I closed it up without drilling any holes.
>> In using the mic, I hold it close to my mouth somewhat sideways and
>> have had no complaints from listeners.
>>
>> Then I started to wonder exactly what others had experienced when
>> they opened their mics. Were the slits open or sealed? Any other
>> observations?
>>
>> Ed
>> kb3tmi
>>
> For those who understand, no explanation is needed.
> For those who do not understand, no explanation is possible.
>
>

37330. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 16:53:31 UTC
From: Mike Black
Topic: 37330 Message: 37330
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

37333. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 17:07:54 UTC
From: Colorado Rob
Topic: 37330 Message: 37333

Recertified for what? I did not see an indication that this is a Part 90 radio. The ad is for a Ham radio. That said, it is advertised as a radio for those "seeking in illusions". So, yeah...

On Sun, Dec 1, 2013 at 10:53 AM, Mike Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

>
>
> Any such change would require recertification. It's a marketing gimmick.
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
> Sent from my Virgin Mobile Android-Powered Device
>
>
> ----- Reply message -----
> From: "Andrew M" <kf4gom@...>
> To: <baofeng_uv5r@...m>
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???
> Date: Sun, Dec 1, 2013 9:28 am
>
>
>
>
> Interesting looking radio. Looks to be mostly cosmetic upgrade of the 5R.
> The add suggests a few improvements on the squelch circuit. The housing
> appears a bit more rugged. Could be worth a try with an aftermarket antenna.
>
> Andy
> KF4GOM
>
> --
> "To err is human, To really screw up requires a computer"
>
> Unknown
>
>
>

37334. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 17:28:43 UTC
From: Kurt
Topic: 37170 Message: 37334
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Gary,

My apologies,

I was traveling last week and using an old laptop that I had not updated my signatures on. The signature contained my call sign before updating to my vanity call sign of W5TXD, and I didn't notice it. I didn't mean to create a big stir on the news group.. Not, my license hasn't been revoked or cancelled!

Kurt - W5TXD

Three Old Crows General Store

BaoFeng Radios and Accessories
<http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com/>
http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com

Honesty, Integrity and Customer Service Second to none!

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gary Dunn
Sent: Thursday, November 28, 2013 8:14 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Kurt, forgive me please for being inquisitive, but why was your FCC license "Canceled" on 07/06/2013 ?
http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3430007

On 11/28/2013 03:24 PM, Kurt wrote:

Are you referring to a Bf-F8+ or the UV-82?

I have read every BF-F8, BF-F8+ and BF-F9 I have received using Chirp without fail and programmed more than a dozen of them with the same software. The at=re the same circuit board as the UV-5R and the ones I have read and programmed are also Firmware 297 or 311 versions...

Kurt - KF5TBU

Three Old Crows General Store

BaoFeng Radios and Accessories
<http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com/>
http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com

Honesty, Integrity and Customer Service Second to none!

From: <mailto:w1ik@...> "w1ik@..." <mailto:w1ik@...>
<w1ik@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 28, 2013 1:18 PM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Has anyone had problems getting either the stock (factory) software or CHIRP to communicate with the BF-8+? I have just taken delivery of two of these radios, and can't seem to get either software to read either radio.

I've verified that the dongle is installed with the correct driver, but still no joy.

Any ideas?

37336. RE: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Anyone else getting abusive emails from

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 18:44:55 UTC
From: <opie_2_be@...>
Topic: 37167 Message: 37336

IT YAHOO !!!!!

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <kc7mwp@...> wrote:

Barry, I found your email in my spam folder. Why is this message in Spam? It contains content that's typically used in spam messages. If you got a msg from John and you didn't know him why did you try to open it and subject yourself to a possible problem?

edc

On Sun, Dec 1, 2013 at 8:55 AM, Gary D. Schwartz <gary-yg@... mailto:gary-yg@...> wrote:

Once your host or server gets on the Spam list of a major ISP like ATT, it is difficult to get removed.

An email list I help manage had a few members at gmail (all of whom had subscribed themselves) flag our twice-monthly trip listings as "Spam" rather than deleting them or clicking on unsubscribe at the bottom of each post. We may have to change servers for sending the newsletter.

Gary

At 10:12 AM 12/1/2013, you wrote:

It sounds as though this is saying that ATT.net has blocked either
exemail.com.au http://exemail.com.au, or one of the servers under it, because of problems with
SPAM.

He isn't blocked for abuse. You are. More precisely, your ISP is.

Your ISP is going to have to work with ATT.net to get the block lifted.
Until they do, you're screwed.

-----Original Message-----
From: Barry OGrady
Sent: Sunday, December 01, 2013 12:01 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Anyone else getting abusive emails from

Someone is sending me emails saying message not accepted claiming
to be from From: "John" <jl_wilkerson@... mailto:jl_wilkerson@...> but when I attempt to reply it bounces with the message that his email is blocked due to abuse.

He had the audacity to email me to deny he was blocked for abuse but
here is part of the bounce mail header.

jl_wilkerson@... mailto:jl_wilkerson@...
SMTP error from remote mail server after MAIL

FROM:<mrhat2005@... mailto:mrhat2005@...> SIZE=2733:
host frf-mailrelay.att.net http://frf-mailrelay.att.net [204.127.217.21]: 521-220.233.0.71
blocked by sbc:blacklist.mailrelay.att.net http://blacklist.mailrelay.att.net.
521 DNSRBL: Blocked for abuse. See http://att.net/blocks http://att.net/blocks

---

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

Gary D. Schwartz Please reply to: gary.schwartz@... mailto:gary.schwartz@...

37337. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 19:05:34 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 37167 Message: 37337

A repeater owner can also ban an individual from using his repeater, either for abuse, or just because he said no. Doesn't necessarily have to do with paying to use.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Nov 30, 2013, at 22:02, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...> wrote:
>
> At 12:02 PM 1/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >Closed means closed to nonmembers. Member have the pl to open the repeated
>
> As do non-members.
>
> >Ernie
>
>

37338. new

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 19:16:30 UTC
From: <opie_2_be@...>
Topic: 37338 Message: 37338

http://amsat-uk.org/2013/11/13/three-amateur-radio-satellite-deployments-in-november/

37339. Question about extended battery.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 19:29:45 UTC
From: <sq3hj@...>
Topic: 37339 Message: 37339

Hi guys, I purchased the extended battery for my uv-5r charged it up and put it in my radio. I've noticed that when i transmit on an UHF frequency that the LCD readout dims, it still tx's.

I charged the battery in the desktop charger. When I use the stock battery, no issue. Do you suppose the desktop charger is not charging the larger battery all the way?

37340. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 19:37:21 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37330 Message: 37340

Good point….it’s got the UV-5R keyboard though.

I asked Baofeng and they said it was “a special run”.

The waterproofing is interesting.

The RDA1846S doesn’t show on RDA’s website. Maybe they have a working squelch on VHF now.

And, interestingly enough…if you google “sainsonic gt-3” you find a dead link to sainsonic advertising the FM range for some odd reason:

http://www.google.com/url?sa=t <http://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CDkQFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.sainsonic.com%2Fbaofeng-gt-3-transceiver-65-108-mhz-dual-band-two-way-ham-radio.html&ei=7IWbUqbENNXdoASU4IIo&usg=AFQjCNEwq6ey4VVm-JDOE6Kpe3NngbC8Mg&sig2=2fglyJDiwPHTKIrIeclipg&bvm=bv.57155469,d.cGU&cad=rja> &rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CDkQFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.sainsonic.com%2Fbaofeng-gt-3-transceiver-65-108-mhz-dual-band-two-way-ham-radio.html&ei=7IWbUqbENNXdoASU4IIo&usg=AFQjCNEwq6ey4VVm-JDOE6Kpe3NngbC8Mg&sig2=2fglyJDiwPHTKIrIeclipg&bvm=bv.57155469,d.cGU&cad=rja

So sounds like either Baofeng sold a pallet or two of these real cheap to a 3rd party on EBay or SainSonic got rid of them awfully fast.

Since they don’t claim to be Part 90 and an FCC search doesn’t show this radio then it should be good only for hams.

Also found this link which leads to the dead link…and this link is dated 2013-11-22 so it’s odd that it’s already on EBay.

http://browse.feedreader.com/c/New_Products_from_SainSonic_Store

And the accessories quite likely don’t fit either….so would be real hard to recommend this radio unless somebody finds out it’s better in some significant aspect.

It is still showing the lousy Baofeng antenna too.

I may have to get one just to play with it and see what the difference is.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Colorado Rob
Sent: Sunday, December 01, 2013 11:08 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Recertified for what? I did not see an indication that this is a Part 90 radio. The ad is for a Ham radio. That said, it is advertised as a radio for those "seeking in illusions". So, yeah...

On Sun, Dec 1, 2013 at 10:53 AM, Mike Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

Any such change would require recertification. It's a marketing gimmick.

Mike W9MDB

Sent from my Virgin Mobile Android-Powered Device

----- Reply message -----
From: "Andrew M" <kf4gom@...>
To: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???
Date: Sun, Dec 1, 2013 9:28 am

Interesting looking radio. Looks to be mostly cosmetic upgrade of the 5R. The add suggests a few improvements on the squelch circuit. The housing appears a bit more rugged. Could be worth a try with an aftermarket antenna.

Andy

KF4GOM

--
"To err is human, To really screw up requires a computer"

Unknown

37341. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 17:31:30 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37291 Message: 37341

Actually, Gary, the Universal-Radio website lists ALL the BaoFeng HTs as being “Discontinued” -- As tenorman1952 suggests, this probably indicates simply that they have decided to stop carrying BaoFeng HTs.

If I had to guess, I would suspect that it is related to their comment on each of the UV-5R models “May not be suitable as a "first radio". ”

There is very little profit in selling these inexpensive radios and that the narrow profit margin simply does not cover the cost of providing customer service to people who complain to Universal Radio that the radio doesn’t work simply because they can’t figure out how to program it. I wonder how many of these radios Universal Radio had to eat as “defective returns” simply because people didn’t load the right drivers for the programming cable or couldn’t understand the (nearly worthless) manual that BaoFeng provides.

From: Gary Dunn
Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2013 21:55 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Universal Radio

On 11/30/2013 05:59 PM, tenorman1952@... wrote:

Perhaps they mean that THEY (Universal Radio) have discontinued the UV-5R series.

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/ht.html states "UV-5RC VHF/UHF DISCONTINUED"

The other Baofeng links say no such thing ... commas do have meaning.

37342. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 18:52:27 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37320 Message: 37342

From: Christopher Tenney

> Has anyone heard of this. It is a 5W HT

I'll believe the 5W output when someone measures it. (I'm not saying it isn't 5W, just saying that the output listed in ads is always suspect without independent confirmation)

> with some other new / better features.

What features? Looking at the ad, I didn't see anything new or better compared to the UV-5R family of radios other than the "strap buckle" above the belt clip, unless you count the orange/black case as "better" (personally I don't).

They claim some receiver improvements, but don't give any facts or figures. Claims like "Upgrade SQ to enhance the anti-interference ability" don't actually mean anything without actual data or an independent side-by-side comparison.

The same people claiming that "Details define quality" also highlight one of the features as "LED Flashlight High lumens degrees" whatever that is supposed to mean.

> How does this compare to the UV-5R+?
> For those of us who understand the tech speak?

Maybe I missed something, but I didn't see anything particularly different

> My UV-5R works ok (could be better), but I would like
> to know if the extra 1W and the “NEW / IMPROVED”
> features would be better.

Even assuming that the 5W is correct, you wouldn't notice any difference in real world performance UNLESS you happen to spend much of your time in exactly the spot where you just barely get into one particular repeater, in which case the very small difference MIGHT help slightly.

Off hand, I don't see anything that warrants a $10-20 price difference over the UV-5R

Also, from the photos provided, there is one difference that would be important to at least some users in the US. This "new" radio does not have the Part 90 approval label that is required for legal use outside the ham bands. The lack of the Part 90 label is not surprising because this seller is in Hong Kong, and BaoFeng normally does not put the Part 90 label on radios sold in China. The surprising thing is that it doesn't show the Chinese approval on the label either.

37343. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 19:06:41 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37325 Message: 37343

From: plaisaeb@...

> Having read quite a few messages on this user group about
> the need to drill the plastic mic cover on the Baofeng
> speaker/mic, I took the cover off expecting to find that
> it was a badly-molded, solid piece of plastic.

Are you talking about a Speaker/Mic or about the speaker and the microphone in the radio itself.

I have never seen any comments about needing to drill the plastic cover on any of the Speaker/Mic accessories for the radio. SOME of the radios do have a poorly molded hole for the microphone which MAY be improved by opening up the hole.

> However, in holding it up to the light, I saw that all of the
> slits were completely open.

If we are talking about the radio....
There are no "slits" for the microphone! The slits are in front of the speaker.

The microphone has one small round hole located to the left of the speaker (as you look at the face of the radio) above the A/B switch, even with the next to the bottom row of slots for the speaker. (On an actual UV-5R, the mic hole is inside the left-most of the fake slots in the next to the bottom row)

> So I closed it up without drilling any holes. In using the mic,
> I hold it close to my mouth somewhat sideways and have
> had no complaints from listeners.

If you are not experiencing problems with low audio, your radio does not have the condition that drilling the hole is supposed to fix.

37344. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 18:22:45 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37291 Message: 37344

From: Craig Carnahan

> R Pryor is quite right. Most radio dealers aren't the least bit
> interested in making $5.00 (or less) on a radio, and frankly,
> who could really blame them?

Amen.

If I were selling ham radio equipment, I might keep a few BaoFeng HTs on hand, and would probably sell them in my store -- but I would never consider including them on my website or selling them by mail, because I would expect to get at least half of them back as "defective" simply because they are too hard for most people to get started using without quite a bit of help.

The ones I would have in the store would be mostly to show people "THIS is what you get for $50, and THIS is what you get for $150 -- you choose."

(BTW, I have two UV-5R HTs with a full compliment of accessories -- I love them)

37346. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 20:11:25 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37325 Message: 37346

> I have never seen any comments about needing to drill the plastic cover on
> any of the Speaker/Mic accessories for the radio.
>

I have. The poster indicated that the microphone volume of the hand mic was very, very low. When he opened the plastic shell it was discovered that the mic hole was on one side of the speaker and the mic element was on the other! After drilling a hole directly in front of the mic element, the mic audio volume was now at an acceptable level.

Jim KC9HI

37347. Mounted the UV5r in my Jeep Wrangler

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 20:36:24 UTC
From: <stagemanbob@...>
Topic: 37347 Message: 37347
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

The belt clip fits nicely in my cell phone mount. An old metal bracket and some velcro allows for a stealthy dual band antenna to sit above the tub. The fiberglass hardtop shouldn't interfere too much.

37348. RE: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 21:12:49 UTC
From: <rattis@...>
Topic: 37325 Message: 37348

I drilled the mic hole on the radio, and it didn't help much. I took the radio a part before I did it, so I wouldn't drill in the the mic itself.

From the posts I read before drilling, I remember people saying there was a bit of plastic flashing covered the mic. What I found, was a rubber gasket behind the hole that was the same size as the bit people were drilling with. I also noted that gasket wasn't quite lined up right.

Making the hole in the case the same size as the gasket. I was able to line rubber bit up with the hole.

Like I said that didn't change people saying the couldn't hear me. What changed that was putting up a Twinline antenna.

KD8VPJ

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <rock.unroe@...> wrote:

I have never seen any comments about needing to drill the plastic cover on
any of the Speaker/Mic accessories for the radio.

I have. The poster indicated that the microphone volume of the hand mic was very, very low. When he opened the plastic shell it was discovered that the mic hole was on one side of the speaker and the mic element was on the other! After drilling a hole directly in front of the mic element, the mic audio volume was now at an acceptable level.

Jim KC9HI

37349. RE: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 21:20:15 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37325 Message: 37349

Remember that mic is for noisy environments so you need to be within about 1” to get a decent volume level.

There is a mic mod to boost the volume but it’s not for the faint hearted (not real difficult either but I’ve never receive any complaints on mine so have never bothered).

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rattis@...
Sent: Sunday, December 01, 2013 3:13 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

I drilled the mic hole on the radio, and it didn't help much. I took the radio a part before I did it, so I wouldn't drill in the the mic itself.

From the posts I read before drilling, I remember people saying there was a bit of plastic flashing covered the mic. What I found, was a rubber gasket behind the hole that was the same size as the bit people were drilling with. I also noted that gasket wasn't quite lined up right.

Making the hole in the case the same size as the gasket. I was able to line rubber bit up with the hole.

Like I said that didn't change people saying the couldn't hear me. What changed that was putting up a Twinline antenna.

KD8VPJ

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <rock.unroe@...> wrote:

I have never seen any comments about needing to drill the plastic cover on
any of the Speaker/Mic accessories for the radio.

I have. The poster indicated that the microphone volume of the hand mic was very, very low. When he opened the plastic shell it was discovered that the mic hole was on one side of the speaker and the mic element was on the other! After drilling a hole directly in front of the mic element, the mic audio volume was now at an acceptable level.

Jim KC9HI

37351. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 21:31:32 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 37291 Message: 37351

Their business, their call as to what products they carry.
----- Original Message -----
From: Emmett Gulley
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 01, 2013 12:01 AM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Universal Radio

Don't see why because nothing is wrong with it

On Saturday, November 30, 2013, <tenorman1952@...> wrote:
>
>
> Perhaps they mean that THEY (Universal Radio) have discontinued the UV-5R series.
>
> Paul - AE5JU
>
>
>
> ---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <emmettgulley71@...> wrote:
>
> somebody needs to tell Universal Radio that the UV5R family has not been discontinued.
>
> The web site at Universal radio claims they are discontinued
>
>

37353. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-01 21:50:47 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37330 Message: 37353

On Sun, Dec 1, 2013 at 4:36 PM, Christopher Tenney
<chris.tenney@...>wrote:

>
>
> Ok, are there any baofeng radios better than the UV-5R+?
>
>
>
> Chris
>
>

Based on what? The UV-5R is better if you want to use an extended battery. The F-11 is better if you want full part 90 compliance. The UV-B5/B6 is better if you want a better receiver (but the F8+ may get you this too). The UV-82 is better if you want a double PTT button. The BF-888S is better if you want the rock bottom price.

Jim KC9HI

37355. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] WIRES Repeaters

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 00:21:39 UTC
From: Greg Ames
Topic: 37167 Message: 37355

You all do realize that you're arguing about U.S. FCC regulations with an Australian, right?
Nevermind the fact that there is no evidence he has ever demonstrated knowledge of FCC rules and regs, since there is no one with a name close to his in the ULS. But, he HAS demonstrated many, many, times that he is a well-established troll who enjoys this silliness...

Yes, transmitter fingerprinting exists. Yes, US repeater owners can legally restrict access to their repeaters, like it or not.

Can we just end this thread? Please? Mods?

On Dec 1, 2013 2:05 PM, "Jardy Dawson" <JARDY72@...> wrote:

>
>
> A repeater owner can also ban an individual from using his repeater,
> either for abuse, or just because he said no. Doesn't necessarily have to
> do with paying to use.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
> On Nov 30, 2013, at 22:02, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> At 12:02 PM 1/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >Closed means closed to nonmembers. Member have the pl to open the repeated
>
> As do non-members.
>
> >Ernie
>
>
>

37356. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 00:53:02 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 37320 Message: 37356

I would not touch this radio period. It's not Baofeng at all. I always cross check any "new" radio with the baofeng web site to be sure it's legit and this one is not

On Sun, Dec 1, 2013 at 10:20 AM, Christopher Tenney <chris.tenney@...>wrote:

>
>
> Has anyone heard of this. It is a 5W HT with some other new / better
> features.
>
>
>
> How does this compare to the UV-5R+? For those of us who understand the
> tech speak?
>
>
>
> I saw this one on ebay, where I bought my UV-5r from the same seller
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=190986730927
>
>
>
> My UV-5R works ok (could be better), but I would like to know if the extra
> 1W and the “NEW / IMPROVED” features would be better.
>
>
>
> Thanks,
>
>
>
> Christopher Tenney, RN, CEN KB3ZLH
>
> Cumberland County Search and Rescue Team 400
>
> Treasurer and Equine Mounted Unit Co-Founder and Co-Leader
>
> http://blog.ccsar400.org
>
>
>
>
>

>
> ----------
> <http://www.avast.com/>
>
> This email is free from viruses and malware because avast!
Antivirus<http://www.avast.com/>protection is active.
>
>
>

37357. Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 02:52:16 UTC
From: Kevin Badger
Topic: 37320 Message: 37357

the picture says gt-3 by sainsonic for baofeng. takes the same uv-5r battery pack. someone will have to get one and try it out and see.

--
Doc
n0ukm

37358. RE: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 03:56:39 UTC
From: <rattis@...>
Topic: 37325 Message: 37358

Mike W9MDB

Do you have a link for that ? I was told again to night I come in really soft. I'm speaking loud enough to echo in my shack / office. So starting to wonder if there is something more that needs to be done. Although I'll wait to check tomorrow after moving my twinline back outside to see if it improves first.

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <mdblack98@...> wrote:

Remember that mic is for noisy environments so you need to be within about 1” to get a decent volume level.
There is a mic mod to boost the volume but it’s not for the faint hearted (not real difficult either but I’ve never receive any complaints on mine so have never bothered).

Mike W9MDB

37361. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Question about extended battery.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 06:52:59 UTC
From: Ed Cifelli
Topic: 37339 Message: 37361

The question is 'How long did you charge the battery?' Because it is about twice as big as the std battery, you should have left it in twice as long. I leave the std battery in overnight after using it all day. I will be finding the answer as I am picking up the big batteries in the morning at the PO. The will get charged 24 hrs before AI use them.

EdC
KC7MWP

On Sun, Dec 1, 2013 at 12:29 PM, <sq3hj@...> wrote:

>
>
> Hi guys, I purchased the extended battery for my uv-5r charged it up and
> put it in my radio. I've noticed that when i transmit on an UHF frequency
> that the LCD readout dims, it still tx's.
>
>
> I charged the battery in the desktop charger. When I use the stock
> battery, no issue. Do you suppose the desktop charger is not charging the
> larger battery all the way?
>
>
>
>

37362. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 08:20:58 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 37291 Message: 37362

Actually, the one I hear almost as much as "How far can I talk with those?" is "How many channels does that radio have?"

Trying to explain the answers to those two questions can take a while because most folks have no clue of how VHF/UHF signal strength varies with height about local terrain, or how narrow band vs. wide band channels have little to do with the majority of the frequencies these radios will transmit on, since the vast majority can't legally be used (in the U.S. at least) on those frequencies without appropriate licenses, which most indicate they have no intention of getting.

On Sun, Dec 1, 2013 at 11:23 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...>wrote:

>
>
> Exactly, add the missing wrist straps, charging bases that do not work,
> charging adapters with the wrong plug ends, low audio issues (mostly due to
> mic jack contact issues)
>
> Now add the people who cannot understand that you must look at the the
> very left arrow position to insure you are transmitting on the channel you
> actually want, or why you must enter three digits to get a memory location
> and the best question of the day,
>
> “How come I can get only 5-7 miles on GMRS when the Wal-mart radios say
> they can get 36 miles”
>
> Gotta love those questions.
>
>
>
> *From:* Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>
> *Sent:* Sunday, December 01, 2013 9:31 AM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Universal Radio
>
>
>
> Actually, Gary, the Universal-Radio website lists ALL the BaoFeng HTs as
> being “Discontinued” -- As tenorman1952 suggests, this probably indicates
> simply that they have decided to stop carrying BaoFeng HTs.
>
> If I had to guess, I would suspect that it is related to their comment on
> each of the UV-5R models “May not be suitable as a "first radio". ”
>
> There is very little profit in selling these inexpensive radios and that

> the narrow profit margin simply does not cover the cost of providing
> customer service to people who complain to Universal Radio that the radio
> doesn’t work simply because they can’t figure out how to program it. I
> wonder how many of these radios Universal Radio had to eat as “defective
> returns” simply because people didn’t load the right drivers for the
> programming cable or couldn’t understand the (nearly worthless) manual that
> BaoFeng provides.
>
> *From:* Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...>
> *Sent:* Saturday, November 30, 2013 21:55 PM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Universal Radio
>
>
>
> On 11/30/2013 05:59 PM, tenorman1952@... wrote:
>
>
> Perhaps they mean that THEY (Universal Radio) have discontinued the UV-5R
> series.
>
>
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/ht.html states "UV-5RC VHF/UHF
> DISCONTINUED"
>
> The other Baofeng links say no such thing ... commas do have meaning.
>
>
>

37363. Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 09:39:02 UTC
From: Henry Quigg
Topic: 37320 Message: 37363

But have you noticed its says NOT SHIPPED TO THE UK ?

Sent from my iPad

37364. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Question about extended battery.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 05:07:51 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37339 Message: 37364

If you charge the extended battery until the regular BaoFeng charger shows it as fully charged, it should be fully charged -- and it certainly wouldn't be uncharged enough to fail to provide adequate power for the radio.

The more likely problem areas are related to potential SWR issues with the radio.

1) the extended battery could be doing a better job of providing power than the regular battery. If the radio has a SWR problem on UHF, the regular battery might be giving slightly less power output and thus less overheating (which is what dims the display).

or

2) the extended battery might be picking up some RFI internally and passing it along to the radio.

Of the two, #1 would seem more likely than #2.

You could try a different UHF or dual-band antenna to see if that makes a difference.
You could try connecting the radio to a suitable external antenna to see if having the antenna away from the radio makes a difference.

From: sq3hj@...
Hi guys, I purchased the extended battery for my uv-5r charged it up and put it in my radio. I've noticed that when i transmit on an UHF frequency that the LCD readout dims, it still tx's.
I charged the battery in the desktop charger. When I use the stock battery, no issue. Do you suppose the desktop charger is not charging the larger battery all the way?

37365. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 05:20:46 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37330 Message: 37365

From: Michael Black

> Good point….it’s got the UV-5R keyboard though.
> I asked Baofeng and they said it was “a special run”.

BaoFeng will do a "special run" of the UV-5R with any model number a buyer requests and any case style the buyer requests. There is a higher minimum order quantity for special case styles than for just changing the model number on the label and packaging.

If it is JUST a stock UV-5R with a different case, then the factory should be labeling them with the Part 90 label on radios being shipped to the US from the factory. Any component changes in the RF output circuitry would void the current Part 90 approval and require a new application (if anyone wanted the radio Part 90 approved, of course, Part 90 approval is not required for import into the US if the radio is being sold as a ham radio).

The seller on eBay seems to want to say that several of the critical components have been replaced with supposedly better versions -- maybe my eyes aren't good enough, but I can't make out their photos well enough to positively identify the specific chips.

> The waterproofing is interesting.

That could be an interesting improvement -- but I would sure like to see some specific information (depth and time, for example).

> The RDA1846S doesn’t show on RDA’s website.
> Maybe they have a working squelch on VHF now.

The UV-5R has had a working squelch on VHF for several months. The original problem was a firmware glitch that was corrected quickly. Still, a greater overall range of squelch operation would be nice for some users. Any change in the squelch circuit is NOT going to "enhance the anti-interference ability" because the problem is elsewhere in the design of the radio.

37366. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 05:25:17 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37325 Message: 37366

>> I have never seen any comments about needing to drill the plastic
>> cover on any of the Speaker/Mic accessories for the radio.
>
> I have. The poster indicated that the microphone volume of
> the hand mic was very, very low. When he opened the plastic
> shell it was discovered that the mic hole was on one side of
> the speaker and the mic element was on the other! After
> drilling a hole directly in front of the mic element, the mic
> audio volume was now at an acceptable level.

OK, Jim, I must have missed reading that one -- or perhaps I started reading it and never finished if it looked like just another rehash of the occasional problem with the mic hole in the radio body.

Bottom line, for the original poster, is still "If it ain't broke, don't try to fix it."

37367. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 11:22:09 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 37291 Message: 37367

This exactly why I keep saying these cheap Chinese radios will soon replace the bubble pack GMRS/FRS radios. With most of them you get 22 channels of GMRS & FRS frequencies. Output power is low, antennas are fixed and listed ranges are not obtainable.

Now comes along the UV-5R and radios like it. Users looking for a better radio will quickly find sites like this one. Price is along the line of a better bubble pack radio. And this one has five times as many channels. It even comes pre programmed with some that are different than the bubble pack units (both VHF & UHF). But if they want even more unique channels Chirp will help them for free. For the few who know about repeaters, Chirp will help them find local ones and than add them in. Oh but you say they need a license. Remember to use the bubble pack radios, they also needed a license for the GMRS frequencies. Most didn't bother getting one so what makes you think they'll bother getting a license to use these new radios? And don't believe they'll stay off the ham bands. Remember all the repeaters CHIRP lists!

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Craig Carnahan [craig.n7lb@gmail.com]
Date: Mon, Dec 2, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Universal Radio

Actually, the one I hear almost as much as "How far can I talk with those?" is "How many channels does that radio have?"

37368. RE: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 13:16:17 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37325 Message: 37368

If you’re still getting low audio reports after ensuring the mic hole is clear I’d send your unit back.

Sounds like a lemon.

But if you’d prefer to see if the audio mod works and void any warranty:

http://www.gorhudson.com/gor/baofeng-uv-5r-low-tx-modulation-mods/

What does the external mic do for you? That would at least tell you if it’s just the case mic causing problems.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rattis@...
Sent: Sunday, December 01, 2013 9:57 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Mike W9MDB

Do you have a link for that ? I was told again to night I come in really soft. I'm speaking loud enough to echo in my shack / office. So starting to wonder if there is something more that needs to be done. Although I'll wait to check tomorrow after moving my twinline back outside to see if it improves first.

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <mdblack98@...> wrote:

Remember that mic is for noisy environments so you need to be within about 1” to get a decent volume level.

There is a mic mod to boost the volume but it’s not for the faint hearted (not real difficult either but I’ve never receive any complaints on mine so have never bothered).

Mike W9MDB

37369. Re: To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 14:56:14 UTC
From: John Guillory
Topic: 37325 Message: 37369

Just a crazy thought, but you are making sure to hold the radio straight up and down when you talk, right? Some hams fail to distinguish a noisy signal into the repeater, thinking a quiet staticky signal is just not loud because it is pushing an s9 signal on their end, but if they are in range of the repeater, everyone will be s9 regardless of how well they are going into the repeater.

--
KF5QEO
John Guillory
westlakegeek@...
Cell: 601-754-9233
Pinger: 337-240-7890

37370. RE: RE: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 15:04:00 UTC
From: <rattis@...>
Topic: 37325 Message: 37370

I'm getting low reports regardless if I use the HT or the remotes (the one that came with it, or the cb like mic/speaker combo remote).

I'm not overly worried about warranty, since I bought it off amazon.

Chris
KD8VPJ

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <mdblack98@...> wrote:

If you're still getting low audio reports after ensuring the mic hole is clear I'd send your unit back.
Sounds like a lemon.

But if you'd prefer to see if the audio mod works and void any warranty:
http://www.gorhudson.com/gor/baofeng-uv-5r-low-tx-modulation-mods/
http://www.gorhudson.com/gor/baofeng-uv-5r-low-tx-modulation-mods/

What does the external mic do for you? That would at least tell you if it's just the case mic causing problems.

Mike W9MDB

37371. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 15:11:17 UTC
From: Stan Labinsky Jr.
Topic: 37320 Message: 37371
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Well, your post got me to check the 5R sitting here on my bench just for chuckles.

Instruments used were an old military surplus Bird 50 Watt dummy load, the kind you move the diode plug from the 1X to the 10X jack to change ranges and shop the IFR1500S.

The test cable used was a three foot length of LMR195, the low loss RG58 equivalent with crimped on connectors, BNC (with a BNC to N adapter at the instrument end) and a Mini-UHF male plug (I work on mostly MaxTrac & Radius stuff) through an adapter to the HT. And YES, the difference between cable types at UHF, even in such a short run is noticeable.

On both V and UHF the IFR read 5.25+/- and the Bird agreed within an eight of a Watt.

This one’s not a new puppy either, its s/n is 120U577nnn, had it for oh, about four months now.

Test were taken using the big battery, which has been sitting for about two weeks and has seen some slight usage since its last charge, but the stock guy should yield similar results.

So, there ARE real 5Watt radios out there, don’t need to run after the “latest ‘n greatest”.

(quote symbols, ampersand and parentheses used to test Yahoo’s the character handling.)

Stan

From: Christopher Tenney
Sent: Sunday, December 01, 2013 10:20 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] new GT-3???

Has anyone heard of this. It is a 5W HT with some other new / better features.

How does this compare to the UV-5R+? For those of us who understand the tech speak?

I saw this one on ebay, where I bought my UV-5r from the same seller
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=190986730927

My UV-5R works ok (could be better), but I would like to know if the extra 1W and the “NEW / IMPROVED” features would be better.

Thanks,

Christopher Tenney, RN, CEN KB3ZLH

Cumberland County Search and Rescue Team 400

Treasurer and Equine Mounted Unit Co-Founder and Co-Leader

http://blog.ccsar400.org

37372. UV5R+ and packet radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 16:13:17 UTC
From: <n0qbh@...>
Topic: 37372 Message: 37372

I've been unable to copy any packet signals with this radio.
The service monitor says the rx is plenty hot - better than my Yaesu FT2600.
I have it connected to my base antenna 2m/440 @ 70' so the antenna probably isn't an issue.
Watching the audio on a scope doesn't show anything that looks like packet.
Anyone else have any luck receiving packets with it?
73
Mike N0QBH

37373. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R+ and packet radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 16:39:11 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37372 Message: 37373

While the UV-5R receiver is hot, it has no selectivity and you could be overloaded by having your
radio connected to a rooftop antenna.

From: "n0qbh@..." <n0qbh@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 2, 2013 11:13 AM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R+ and packet radio

I've been unable to copy any packet signals with this radio.
The service monitor says the rx is plenty hot - better than my Yaesu FT2600.
I have it connected to my base antenna 2m/440 @ 70' so the antenna probably isn't an issue.
Watching the audio on a scope doesn't show anything that looks like packet.
Anyone else have any luck receiving packets with it?
73
Mike N0QBH

37374. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 17:25:52 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37170 Message: 37374

No apologies needed, Kurt. I was merely asking what would constitute such a result from the FCC web site, trying to make sense of it. I'm grateful for the help that some provided.

On 12/01/2013 09:28 AM, Kurt wrote:

Gary,

My apologies,

I was traveling last week and using an old laptop that I had not updated my signatures on. The signature contained my call sign before updating to my vanity call sign of W5TXD, and I didn’t notice it. I didn’t mean to create a big stir on the news group…. Not, my license hasn’t been revoked or cancelled!

Kurt - W5TXD

Three Old Crows General Store

BaoFeng Radios and Accessories
http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com (http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com/)

Honesty, Integrity and Customer Service Second to none!

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gary Dunn
Sent: Thursday, November 28, 2013 8:14 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Kurt, forgive me please for being inquisitive, but why was your FCC license "Canceled" on 07/06/2013 ?
http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3430007

On 11/28/2013 03:24 PM, Kurt wrote:

Are you referring to a Bf-F8+ or the UV-82?

I have read every BF-F8, BF-F8+ and BF-F9 I have received using Chirp without fail and programmed more than a dozen of them with the same software. The at=re the same circuit board as the UV-5R and the ones I have read and programmed are also Firmware 297 or 311 versions...

Kurt - KF5TBU

Three Old Crows General Store

BaoFeng Radios and Accessories
http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com (http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com/)

Honesty, Integrity and Customer Service Second to none!

From: "w1ik@..."
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 28, 2013 1:18 PM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Has anyone had problems getting either the stock (factory) software or CHIRP to communicate with the BF-8+? I have just taken delivery of two of these radios, and can't seem to get either software to read either radio.

I've verified that the dongle is installed with the correct driver, but still no joy.

Any ideas?

37375. Re: Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 17:52:19 UTC
From: Laurence Taylor
Topic: 37291 Message: 37375

craig.n7lb@... wrote:
>
> Actually, the one I hear almost as much as "How far can I talk with those?"
> is "How many channels does that radio have?"

I've been asked that in the past. I tell them "over 3,000"
(138-174MHz at 12.5kHz spacing). They're usually too stunned to
enquire further and while they're picking their chin off the
floor I can go and do something else.

The other thing people occasionally ask is "Are you a policeman?"
I look round, lean close to them conspiratorially, and whisper
"I'm not allowed to say". (Which is true - impersonating an
officer is illegal).

--
rgds
LAurence
<><
...Being telepathic means never having to say you are sorry.
---Taglines by Tagzilla (tagzilla.mozdev.org)

37376. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 18:01:54 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 37291 Message: 37376

So instead of answering the question correctly you just shrug them off?
You miss an chance to talk up ham radio!
I well we don't need them!!

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 2, 2013, at 12:52, Laurence Taylor <g7mzh@btopenworld.com> wrote:
>
> craig.n7lb@... wrote:
>>
>> Actually, the one I hear almost as much as "How far can I talk with those?"
>> is "How many channels does that radio have?"
>
> I've been asked that in the past. I tell them "over 3,000"
> (138-174MHz at 12.5kHz spacing). They're usually too stunned to
> enquire further and while they're picking their chin off the
> floor I can go and do something else.
>
> The other thing people occasionally ask is "Are you a policeman?"
> I look round, lean close to them conspiratorially, and whisper
> "I'm not allowed to say". (Which is true - impersonating an
> officer is illegal).
>
> --
> rgds
> LAurence
> <><
> ...Being telepathic means never having to say you are sorry.
> ---Taglines by Tagzilla (tagzilla.mozdev.org)
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> .
> USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
> .
> UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
> .Yahoo Groups Links
>
>
>

37379. RE: RE: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 20:01:38 UTC
From: <w1pa@...>
Topic: 37325 Message: 37379

Yes, some of the early ones had a firmware setting that had the mic gain too low... the incorrect belief was that their primary use would be in domestic Chinese service (loud settings and that the user would be yelling anyway).

I posted an updated version of the "single cap" mod in the photo section of this forum. It corrects a schematic error (yellow area), and also puts the cap on the pin that will increase audio from the internal mic as well as an external speaker mic. It's cramped, but do-able if you can do the detail work.

http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/baofeng_uv5r/photos/albums/1083113189

Later versions of the radio had the gain setting in firmware increased/corrected. If no one is complaining about your audio, don't do the mod.

Bill W1PA

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <mdblack98@...> wrote:

If you're still getting low audio reports after ensuring the mic hole is clear I'd send your unit back.
Sounds like a lemon.

But if you'd prefer to see if the audio mod works and void any warranty:
http://www.gorhudson.com/gor/baofeng-uv-5r-low-tx-modulation-mods/
http://www.gorhudson.com/gor/baofeng-uv-5r-low-tx-modulation-mods/

What does the external mic do for you? That would at least tell you if it's just the case mic causing problems.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rattis@...
Sent: Sunday, December 01, 2013 9:57 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Mike W9MDB

Do you have a link for that ? I was told again to night I come in really soft. I'm speaking loud enough to echo in my shack / office. So starting to wonder if there is something more that needs to be done. Although I'll wait to check tomorrow after moving my twinline back outside to see if it improves first.

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <mdblack98@... mailto:mdblack98@...> wrote:
Remember that mic is for noisy environments so you need to be within about 1" to get a decent volume level.

There is a mic mod to boost the volume but it’s not for the faint hearted (not real difficult either but I’ve never receive any complaints on mine so have never bothered).

Mike W9MDB

37380. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 21:22:49 UTC
From: James PapawTommie Hinman
Topic: 37170 Message: 37380

np, I was just wondering. just kind of struck me as odd is all.
KB9OAU
James (Tommie)

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
From: gary.dunn91@...
Date: Mon, 2 Dec 2013 09:25:52 -0800
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

No apologies needed, Kurt. I was merely
asking what would constitute such a result from the FCC web site,
trying to make sense of it. I'm grateful for the help that some
provided.

On 12/01/2013 09:28 AM, Kurt wrote:

Gary,

My
apologies,

I was
traveling last week and using an old laptop that I had
not updated my signatures on. The signature contained
my call sign before updating to my vanity call sign of
W5TXD, and I didn’t notice it. I didn’t mean to create
a big stir on the news group…. Not, my license hasn’t
been revoked or cancelled!

Kurt - W5TXD

Three Old Crows
General Store
BaoFeng Radios
and Accessories

http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com

Honesty,
Integrity and Customer Service Second to none!

From:
baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of Gary Dunn

Sent: Thursday, November 28, 2013 8:14 PM

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-8+?

Kurt, forgive me please for
being inquisitive, but why was your FCC license
"Canceled" on 07/06/2013 ?

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3430007

On 11/28/2013 03:24 PM, Kurt wrote:

Are you referring
to a Bf-F8+ or the UV-82?

I have read every
BF-F8, BF-F8+ and BF-F9 I have received
using Chirp without fail and programmed
more than a dozen of them with the same
software. The at=re the same circuit
board as the UV-5R and the ones I have
read and programmed are also Firmware
297 or 311 versions...

Kurt - KF5TBU

Three
Old Crows General Store

BaoFeng
Radios and Accessories

http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com

Honesty,
Integrity and Customer Service
Second to none!

From: "w1ik@..."
<w1ik@...>

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Sent: Thursday, November 28,
2013 1:18 PM

Subject: [baofeng_uv5r]
BF-8+?

Has
anyone had problems
getting either the stock
(factory) software or
CHIRP to communicate
with the BF-8+? I have

just taken delivery of two of these radios, and can't seem to get either software to read either radio.

I've verified that the dongle is installed with the correct driver, but still no joy.

Any ideas?

37382. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R+ and packet radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 01:03:04 UTC
From: Colorado Rob
Topic: 37372 Message: 37382

What are you using to decode packets? How much APRS/packet traffic is
there in your area? Scott is right. You may be overloading the radio's
front end with the base antenna. Happens to me. The UV5R can be used for
packet, but it is a fairly poor choice in certain areas.
On Dec 2, 2013 10:13 AM, <n0qbh@...> wrote:

>
>
> I've been unable to copy any packet signals with this radio.
> The service monitor says the rx is plenty hot - better than my Yaesu
> FT2600.
> I have it connected to my base antenna 2m/440 @ 70' so the antenna
> probably isn't an issue.
> Watching the audio on a scope doesn't show anything that looks like packet.
> Anyone else have any luck receiving packets with it?
> 73
> Mike N0QBH
>
>

37383. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 00:52:59 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 37320 Message: 37383

The radio is not made FOR baofeng by sainsonic. Sain sonic is a distributor, not a manufacturer. The GT-8 is a fake radio not made by baofeng

On Sun, Dec 1, 2013 at 9:52 PM, Kevin Badger <18dmedic@...> wrote:

>
>
> the picture says gt-3 by sainsonic for baofeng. takes the same uv-5r
> battery pack. someone will have to get one and try it out and see.
>
> --
> Doc
> n0ukm
>
>
>

37384. Re: UV5R+ and packet radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 01:45:22 UTC
From: LonnieW
Topic: 37372 Message: 37384

Make sure you don't have a battery saver turned on. It will not start receiving quickly enough and will not decode the packet.
I don't have a UV-5R yet, I use a UV-3R; I'm to receive my UV-5R tomorrow so if it doesn't have a battery saver, disregard this email.

Lonnie, N9IUI

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <n0qbh@...> wrote:
>
> I've been unable to copy any packet signals with this radio.
> The service monitor says the rx is plenty hot - better than my Yaesu FT2600.
> I have it connected to my base antenna 2m/440 @ 70' so the antenna probably isn't an issue.
> Watching the audio on a scope doesn't show anything that looks like packet.
> Anyone else have any luck receiving packets with it?
> 73
> Mike N0QBH
>

37385. 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 04:09:08 UTC
From: <tdperkins2000@...>
Topic: 37385 Message: 37385

Apologies if this has been addressed - I searched (I am an old school newsgroups vet) and found nothing directly on point. Of course, I did find the multitude of other posts regarding portable charging options, but I think I have something a bit different.

Relying on sources here and elsewhere, I had a hunch that the base charger could be powered from a 9v battery. I have a 9v attached to a type M barrel connector for an Arduino (another neat bit of kit), so I plugged it into the base unit. The LED went red, as if it had been plugged in to the wallwart. I dropped the UV-5R into the base, and the LED went out, as if under load.

Nervous, I didn't leave it in. Instead, I'm asking if anyone else has had experience with this type of portable charging rig. Even if no direct experience, does anyone here see any issues with doing it that way? My main aim is convenience, but prepping is a parallel concern. I believe there is an abundance of 9v batteries out there, for the foreseeable future, and I don't mind stocking up.

For another thread, I'd like to rig up a customized, more portable base unit to charge it, also. I have extra LEDs available and want to tap into the built-in circuit to let me know when the battery is charged. Just want to take baby steps, for now.

Thanks,
T

37386. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 04:16:53 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37385 Message: 37386

You should be able to charge with 9 volts but you'll need something
with more "oomph" then a 9 volt battery. They are good for a few mA,
not the half an amp or so a battery would need.

From: "tdperkins2000@..." <tdperkins2000@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 2, 2013 11:09 PM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Apologies if this has been addressed - I searched (I am an old school newsgroups vet) and found nothing directly on point. Of course, I did find the multitude of other posts regarding portable charging options, but I think I have something a bit different.

Relying on sources here and elsewhere, I had a hunch that the base charger could be powered from a 9v battery. I have a 9v attached to a type M barrel connector for an Arduino (another neat bit of kit), so I plugged it into the base unit. The LED went red, as if it had been plugged in to the wallwart. I dropped the UV-5R into the base, and the LED went out, as if under load.

Nervous, I didn't leave it in. Instead, I'm asking if anyone else has had experience with this type of portable charging rig. Even if no direct experience, does anyone here see any issues with doing it that way? My main aim is convenience, but prepping is a parallel concern. I believe there is an abundance of 9v batteries out there, for the foreseeable future, and I don't mind stocking up.

For another thread, I'd like to rig up a customized, more portable base unit to charge it, also. I have extra LEDs available and want to tap into the built-in circuit to let me know when the battery is charged. Just want to take baby steps, for now.

Thanks,
T

37387. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 05:26:44 UTC
From: mhoover406@...
Topic: 36956 Message: 37387

I'm so glad to have joined the group to learn about amateur raido to Find a group of adults that act like children, I can get that at home. So sad I couldn't learn anything.

Mike
KD2EXQ

Sent from my iPhone

37388. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 05:44:46 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36956 Message: 37388

You have to remember, most of this group are not amatuer radio operators, they are people that want to take a decent radio and use it for things it wasn't meant to be used for. They do not want to help themselves by doing basic research when most questions are answered at the bottom of each and every email that goes out through the group. They get mad when they are told that what they want to do is illegal, as the radio does not qualify for the service they want to use it on, then they start crying about the answers they did not want to hear. That's when they start acting like children, calling others names, etc. They will continue to act that way until they get spanked for doing something illegal.

Just my opinion.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent by hurling dead pigeons over the wall by trebuche.

>_____
> From: "mhoover406@gmail.com" <mhoover406@...>
>To: "baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com" <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Monday, December 2, 2013 9:26 PM
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all
>
>
>
>
>I'm so glad to have joined the group to learn about amateur raido to Find a group of adults that act like children, I can get that at home. So sad I couldn't learn anything.
>
>Mike
>KD2EXQ
>
>Sent from my iPhone
>
>
>

37389. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 06:29:36 UTC
From: James PapawTommie Hinman
Topic: 36956 Message: 37389

This group needs to have a call sign to sing up. Wouldn't that be great? Just to be able to talk to fellow Hams? Just a dream I guess

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
From: JARDY72@...
Date: Mon, 2 Dec 2013 21:44:46 -0800
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

You have to remember, most of this group are not amatuer radio operators, they are people that want to take a decent radio and use it for things it wasn't meant to be used for. They do not want to help themselves by doing basic research when most questions are answered at the bottom of each and every email that goes out through the group. They get mad when they are told that what they want to do is illegal, as the radio does not qualify for the service they want to use it on, then they start crying about the answers they did not want to hear. That's when they start acting like children, calling others names, etc. They will continue to act that way until they get spanked for doing something illegal. Just my opinion. Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent by hurling dead pigeons over the wall by trebuche.
From: "mhoover406@..." <mhoover406@...>
To: "baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com" <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 2, 2013 9:26 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

I'm so glad to have joined the group to learn about amateur raido to Find a group of adults that act like children, I can get that at home. So sad I couldn't learn anything.

Mike
KD2EXQ

Sent from my iPhone

37390. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 06:33:12 UTC
From: zl2hgr
Topic: 36956 Message: 37390

Well said sir!!

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jardy Dawson
Sent: Tuesday, 3 December 2013 6:45 p.m.
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

You have to remember, most of this group are not amatuer radio operators, they are people that want to take a decent radio and use it for things it wasn't meant to be used for. They do not want to help themselves by doing basic research when most questions are answered at the bottom of each and every email that goes out through the group. They get mad when they are told that what they want to do is illegal, as the radio does not qualify for the service they want to use it on, then they start crying about the answers they did not want to hear. That's when they start acting like children, calling others names, etc. They will continue to act that way until they get spanked for doing something illegal.

Just my opinion.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent by hurling dead pigeons over the wall by trebuche.

From: "mhoover406@..." <mhoover406@...>
To: "baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com" <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 2, 2013 9:26 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

I'm so glad to have joined the group to learn about amateur raido to Find a group of adults that act like children, I can get that at home. So sad I couldn't learn anything.

Mike
KD2EXQ

Sent from my iPhone

37391. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 07:16:47 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37385 Message: 37391

On 12/02/2013 08:09 PM, tdperkins2000@... wrote:

...

Relying on sources here and elsewhere, I had a hunch that the base charger could be powered from a 9v battery. I have a 9v attached to a type M barrel connector for an Arduino (another neat bit of kit), so I plugged it into the base unit. The LED went red, as if it had been plugged in to the wallwart. I dropped the UV-5R into the base, and the LED went out, as if under load.

Nervous, I didn't leave it in. Instead, I'm asking if anyone else has had experience with this type of portable charging rig. Even if no direct experience, does anyone here see any issues with doing it that way? My main aim is convenience, but prepping is a parallel concern. I believe there is an abundance of 9v batteries out there, for the foreseeable future, and I don't mind stocking up. ,,,

A standard alkaline 9V battery provides somewhere between 400 and 600 mAh depending on battery quality, so even a theoretically complete discharge into the stock 1800 mAh UV-5R battery isn't going to do much for you.

37392. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 07:30:51 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 36956 Message: 37392

Although I certainly can understand the frustration of some of the folks on this forum (and indeed the patience of some comes close to sainthood IMO), the fact is Baofeng's radios are used by three types or groups of people:

1) those that have a Type 90 license,
2) those that have a ham radio license, and
3) the vast hordes of people who buy them on Amazon or eBay and don't care about a license (for a variety of reasons).

Just like in the heydays of tube final HF radios from Japan, many more Yaesu HF 101 series radios were purchased by CB users than ham radio operators. Even today, HF rigs (with MARS capability already performed or HF amps with the 10 Meter mod performed) sell for significantly more on eBay than the same radio or amp without the mods.

Fortunately, many of those CB users ended up getting more interested in radio with the purchase of a high priced HF rig and ended up getting their call sign and joining the amateur ranks. Modified amps are still a problem, but less so because CB radio has faded in popularity and more and more folks watch TV via cable or satellite, making CB interference less of a problem for most areas.

Perhaps the same pattern will also repeat itself with the recent flood of Chinese handheld radios. The lack (by some) of even the most basic radio knowledge (programming pl tones, programming offsets, or running 75-100 feet of RG-8X and wondering why their UHF 4W power level can't bring up a repeater 20 miles away) ensures that any interference (by most of them) is more accidental than on purpose, plus the low wattage level ensures that any interference is localized and not worldwide like on HF frequencies.

I'm hoping the majority of the non-hams will eventually want to use EchoLink or IRLP and discover just how easy it is today to obtain your Tech License. If you can't get 27 questions right (out of 35) on a multiple choice test where you are given the questions (and the answers) before the test, you simply aren't really trying.

Later this month, I'll be helping a local Search and Rescue group with a 3 day class for those that wish to have a Tech license when they are out searching for lost hikers in the mountains and desert. These folks aren't engineers, but I expect we'll have near 100% that pass on their first attempt.

On Mon, Dec 2, 2013 at 11:33 PM, zl2hgr <zl2hgr@...> wrote:

>
>
> Well said sir!!

>
>
>
> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> *On Behalf Of *Jardy Dawson
> *Sent:* Tuesday, 3 December 2013 6:45 p.m.
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all
>
>
>
>
>
> You have to remember, most of this group are not amatuer radio operators,
> they are people that want to take a decent radio and use it for things it
> wasn't meant to be used for. They do not want to help themselves by doing
> basic research when most questions are answered at the bottom of each and
> every email that goes out through the group. They get mad when they are
> told that what they want to do is illegal, as the radio does not qualify
> for the service they want to use it on, then they start crying about the
> answers they did not want to hear. That's when they start acting like
> children, calling others names, etc. They will continue to act that way
> until they get spanked for doing something illegal.
>
>
>
> Just my opinion.
>
>
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent by hurling dead pigeons over the wall by trebuche.
>
> *From:* "mhoover406@..." <mhoover406@...>
> *To:* "baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com" <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
> *Sent:* Monday, December 2, 2013 9:26 PM
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all
>
>
>
>
>
> I'm so glad to have joined the group to learn about amateur raido to Find
> a group of adults that act like children, I can get that at home. So sad I
> couldn't learn anything.
>
> Mike

> KD2EXQ
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
>
>
>
>

37394. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 10:58:19 UTC
From: James PapawTommie Hinman
Topic: 37385 Message: 37394

Now this is an artical I can use! Thanks!I have been wanting a portable solar powered unit and ur discretion fits the bill

73's
KB9OAU
James (Tommie)

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
From: doopsnoop@...
Date: Tue, 3 Dec 2013 00:58:14 -0800
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

I wouldn’t use a 9v battery most have some max current ratings that are not very exact under load. The Ri of the battery gets so high it actually shuts down any output for any use.

The only decent 9v that seems to handle loads well is the copper-top Duracell. The rest are pretty poor under charging loads.

What you can do though is this

Get a military 50 cal ammo can
Buy 3 of the Home Depot or Lowes Emergency lighting batteries (3 should fit in one can)
Place the batteries in the can and make up a wiring harness, get a 4 position switch. All batteries run to a switch setting with the last position placing all batteries in parallel which is your high current out mode or recharge mode. Fuse it too.

In the can also place a Harbor Freight solar style regulator with correct plugs (you can either place inside or outside accessible jacks)
Your solar panel now can charge the batteries as needed. You can do the same with a 12vdc charging system. I also place a car socket accessory 3 outlet plug in mine too.

Now you have a portable battery pack, sealed in a water-tight container with a carry handle. You can run this directly into your charging base (better to use a LM7810 regulated 12vdc socket to UV5R base plug) Velcro the bottom of a base and the top of the can so your base stays attached.

The unit can be used to power other units, it can be battery charged or solar charged. The perfect prepper V supply carry pack. If you have the $$ use LI-Fe_PO batteries which weigh less than 1 lb for a 35 Ah battery. You can even mount it on an Alice Pack frame with tray to haul it around on foot to run any device.

From: Gary Dunn
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:16 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

On 12/02/2013 08:09 PM, tdperkins2000@...
wrote:

...

Relying on sources here and elsewhere, I had a hunch that the base charger could be powered from a 9v battery. I have a 9v attached to a type M barrel connector for an Arduino (another neat bit of kit), so I plugged it into the base unit. The LED went red, as if it had been plugged in to the wallwart. I dropped the UV-5R into the base, and the LED went out, as if under load.

Nervous, I didn't leave it in. Instead, I'm asking if anyone else has had experience with this type of portable charging rig. Even if no direct experience, does anyone here see any issues with doing it that way? My main aim is convenience, but prepping is a parallel concern. I believe there is an abundance of 9v batteries out there, for the foreseeable future, and I don't mind stocking up.
'''

A standard alkaline 9V battery provides
somewhere between 400 and 600 mAh depending on battery quality, so even a theoretically complete discharge into the stock 1800 mAh UV-5R battery isn't going to do much for you.

37395. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 11:18:04 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37385 Message: 37395

On Mon, Dec 2, 2013 at 11:09 PM, <tdperkins2000@...> wrote:

>
>
> Apologies if this has been addressed - I searched (I am an old school
> newsgroups vet) and found nothing directly on point. Of course, I did find
> the multitude of other posts regarding portable charging options, but I
> think I have something a bit different.
>
> Relying on sources here and elsewhere, I had a hunch that the base charger
> could be powered from a 9v battery. I have a 9v attached to a type M
> barrel connector for an Arduino (another neat bit of kit), so I plugged it
> into the base unit. The LED went red, as if it had been plugged in to the
> wallwart. I dropped the UV-5R into the base, and the LED went out, as if
> under load.
>
> Nervous, I didn't leave it in. Instead, I'm asking if anyone else has had
> experience with this type of portable charging rig. Even if no direct
> experience, does anyone here see any issues with doing it that way? My
> main aim is convenience, but prepping is a parallel concern. I believe
> there is an abundance of 9v batteries out there, for the foreseeable
> future, and I don't mind stocking up.
>
> For another thread, I'd like to rig up a customized, more portable base
> unit to charge it, also. I have extra LEDs available and want to tap into
> the built-in circuit to let me know when the battery is charged. Just want
> to take baby steps, for now.
>
> Thanks,
> T
>
>
>
Although the spec sheet on the SC6038 IC claims it works from 9-12V, I'm pretty sure someone about a year ago did measurements with a variable voltage and determined that the input voltage had to be fairly near to 10v for the charging cradle to have proper regulation of the 8.4v output voltage. I would have looked this up for you but Yahoo had made it nearly impossible to search older messages. I don't know what the results would be for the TYB BCG-F8 charging cradle that I use. It doesn't use a SC6038 IC.

There is a very nice schematic of the Baofeng charging cradle in the groups files area.

Jim KC9HI

37396. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 11:29:59 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 36956 Message: 37396

On Tue, Dec 3, 2013 at 12:26 AM, <mhoover406@...> wrote:

>
>
> I'm so glad to have joined the group to learn about amateur raido to Find
> a group of adults that act like children, I can get that at home. So sad I
> couldn't learn anything.
>
> Mike
> KD2EXQ
>

You are judging a group of more than 10,000 on the activity of less than 20 members (0.2%). I have been monitoring this group for more than 18 months and I still learn from the group. All you have to do is separate the signal from noise.

Jim KC9HI

37397. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 11:34:26 UTC
From: <opie_2_be@...>
Topic: 37385 Message: 37397

a determined that the input voltage had to be fairly near to 10v for the charging cradle to have proper regulation of the 8.4v output voltage. I would have looked this up 110 volts to use the cradle so whats the question

37398. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 11:43:41 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37385 Message: 37398

On Tue, Dec 3, 2013 at 6:34 AM, <opie_2_be@...> wrote:

>
>
> a determined that the input voltage had to be fairly near to 10v for the
> charging cradle to have proper regulation of the 8.4v output voltage. I
> would have looked this up 110 volts to use the cradle so whats the
> question
>
>
The input to the charging cradle is a barrel connection that requires 10v
to 13v DC in. It is typically supplied by (but not limited to) a wallwart
type power supply that uses 110v AC in. So what is your question?

Jim KC9HI

37399. Re: Universal Radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-03 18:24:43 UTC
From: Laurence Taylor
Topic: 37291 Message: 37399

ranger2995@... wrote:
>
> So instead of answering the question correctly you just shrug them off?
> You miss an chance to talk up ham radio!

Not at all; if they seem at all serious or interested I will
answer them sensibly. I save the facetious responses for the CB
pirates or boy-racer types who go for style over substance.

--
rgds
LAurence
<><
...It is my firm belief that it is a mistake to hold firm beliefs.
---Taglines by Tagzilla (tagzilla.mozdev.org)

37404. RE: UV5R+ and packet radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 00:23:59 UTC
From: <n0qbh@...>
Topic: 37372 Message: 37404

FWIW I used the UV5r+ with it's stock antenna and was able to copy packets on another TNC that were sent from my base station. Might lend some credence to the front end like a barn door theory :)
Looking at the schematic, this radio turns everything into digital and recreates the audio just ahead of the speaker. Software could be everything when it comes to performance.

Mike N0QBH

37405. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: UV5R+ and packet radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 01:54:55 UTC
From: Colorado Rob
Topic: 37372 Message: 37405

All of the HTs based on the RDA1846 chip that I have suffer the same problem when it comes to packet -- they tend to be slow to open squelch. I think this and the very slow scan rate of these radios are very closely related. Every Chinese radio with one of these chips (Puxing, Wouxun, Baofeng, etc) has the same slow scanning problem. And all of them seem to be slow to open squelch as well.

There are at least a few APRS guides that recommend a 180ms TX delay for digipeaters (the time the radio is keyed before the data is sent). That is way to short for any RDA1846-based radio to open its squelch. And then the TNC needs some time to detect the packet preamble as well. My testing shows that 300ms is the minimum to start hearing the packets and 400ms is required to hear nearly all of them. Thankfully most of the digis around here tend to have a fairly long TXD.

The only way to hear all of the packets with a UV-5R is to open the squelch completely and turn off BCL (busy channel lockout) so that you can still TX. But that drains the battery faster.

Rob
wx9o

On Tue, Dec 3, 2013 at 6:23 PM, <n0qbh@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>
> FWIW I used the UV5r+ with it's stock antenna and was able to copy packets
> on another TNC that were sent from my base station. Might lend some
> credence to the front end like a barn door theory :)
> Looking at the schematic, this radio turns everything into digital and
> recreates the audio just ahead of the speaker. Software could be
> everything when it comes to performance.
>
> Mike N0QBH
>
>

37406. RE: UV5R+ and packet radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 02:39:48 UTC
From: <n0qbh@...>
Topic: 37372 Message: 37406

Turned off the battery save and did notice the squelch was faster, but still no joy doing an A/B test alongside the FT-2600. I don't have any nearby stations on 2m, but hear plenty on the tower - tho usually traffic is not over whelming by any means. Fortunately, not a lot invested :)

37408. Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 05:04:49 UTC
From: John
Topic: 37320 Message: 37408

It takes Baofeng (and all the others, for that matter)forever to update their web sites. It looks more rugged, and the Ebay seller indicates some circuit improvements.

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...> wrote:
>
> I would not touch this radio period. It's not Baofeng at all. I always
> cross check any "new" radio with the baofeng web site to be sure it's legit
> and this one is not
>
>

37409. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 05:49:33 UTC
From: James PapawTommie Hinman
Topic: 36956 Message: 37409

Well said Jim KC9HI.
KB9OAU
James (Tommie)

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
From: rock.unroe@...
Date: Tue, 3 Dec 2013 06:29:59 -0500
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

On Tue, Dec 3, 2013 at 12:26 AM, <mhoover406@...> wrote:

I'm so glad to have joined the group to learn about amateur raido to Find a group of adults that act like children, I can get that at home. So sad I couldn't learn anything.

Mike

KD2EXQ

You are judging a group of more than 10,000 on the activity of less than 20 members (0.2%). I have been monitoring this group for more than 18 months and I still learn from the group. All you have to do is separate the signal from noise.

Jim KC9HI

37410. *.chirp files won't open; "schema error"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 07:16:53 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37410 Message: 37410
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

```
When I "Export" a Chirp channel plan as a *.chirp file, I am unable to afterward "Open"
that file:

What is the purpose of the *.chirp file format, please?

$ file test.chirp
test.chirp: XML document text

Currently using Linux chirp-daily-20131203, with same results using older versions.
```

37411. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 12:26:11 UTC
From: mhoover406@...
Topic: 36956 Message: 37411

Thanks I will check the other groups out.

As the new guy to the hobby I have a history question. Where does the term 73's come from?

Sent from my iPhone

37412. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 13:23:18 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 36956 Message: 37412

On Wed, Dec 4, 2013 at 7:26 AM, <mhoover406@...> wrote:

>
>
> Thanks I will check the other groups out.
>
> As the new guy to the hobby I have a history question. Where does the term
> 73's come from?
>
>
"73" is from what is known as the "Phillips Code"

http://www.signalharbor.com/73.html

"73's" is actually improper use of the code.

Jim KC9HI

37414. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 14:08:10 UTC
From: John W.
Topic: 36956 Message: 37414

Shorthand in morse code for best regards

From: "mhoover406@..." <mhoover406@...>
To: "baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com" <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 4, 2013 7:26 AM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Thanks to all

Thanks I will check the other groups out.

As the new guy to the hobby I have a history question. Where does the term 73's come from?

Sent from my iPhone

37415. UV-5R power output

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 14:27:58 UTC
From: LonnieW
Topic: 37415 Message: 37415

I just received my UV-5R yesterday (along with a second radio destined to be a Christmas present).
I noticed that it will output a little over 5 watts in VHF, but only about 3.25 watts in UHF.
This is true for both radios.
Is this consistent with what everyone else is seeing?
Thanks and 73

Lonnie, N9IUI

37416. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 14:54:07 UTC
From: Christopher Tenney
Topic: 37320 Message: 37416

I found this radio model # on the baofeng web site. On the home page. It
was a rotating add.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of John
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:05 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

It takes Baofeng (and all the others, for that matter)forever to update
their web sites. It looks more rugged, and the Ebay seller indicates some
circuit improvements.

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>
wrote:
>
> I would not touch this radio period. It's not Baofeng at all. I always
> cross check any "new" radio with the baofeng web site to be sure it's
legit
> and this one is not
>
>

This email is free from viruses and malware because avast! Antivirus protection is active.
http://www.avast.com

37417. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 16:19:38 UTC
From: <tdperkins2000@...>
Topic: 37385 Message: 37417

Can't wait to see the pics - and indeed that .50 cal box is full of awesomeness.

One thing - those emergency light backup batteries appear to be 6v, so it would take 2x wired serially to recharge the UV-5R, right?

I like the idea of having a switch, also, though, because I have (barely) enough wiring expertise to individually wire the batteries to charge USB ports.

Another thing, I noticed in looking around that, in terms of space saving, backup garage door opener batteries seem comparably priced, in a much smaller package. But, I'm not sure of their long-term reliability. Here's an example:

http://www.lowes.com/pd_153887-38782-4228_4294937086__?x=&Ntt=atv+battery&UserSearch=atv+battery&productId=3659294&rpp=32&y=

Thanks for the great advice!

37418. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Digest Number 2675

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 16:47:47 UTC
From: Laurence Taylor
Topic: 37418 Message: 37418

ranger2995@... wrote:

> AW So if they are CB ops they are not worth the effort?

Sadly, some aren't. As I said, if they seem at all interested,
they get a sensible answer.

--
rgds
LAurence
<><
...I'm pink, therefore I'm spam.
---Taglines by Tagzilla (tagzilla.mozdev.org)

37419. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Digest Number 2675

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 17:26:23 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 37418 Message: 37419

But how do you know if you ignore them? Just asking.

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 4, 2013, at 11:47, Laurence Taylor <g7mzh@...> wrote:
>
> ranger2995@... wrote:
>
>> AW So if they are CB ops they are not worth the effort?
>
> Sadly, some aren't. As I said, if they seem at all interested,
> they get a sensible answer.
>
>
> --
> rgds
> LAurence
> <><
> ...I'm pink, therefore I'm spam.
> ---Taglines by Tagzilla (tagzilla.mozdev.org)
>
>
> ----------
>
> .
> USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
> .
> UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
> .Yahoo Groups Links
>
>
>

37421. RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 19:00:19 UTC
From: <jj.keeley@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37421

In the United States, the Multi-Use Radio Service (MURS) is an unlicensed two-way radio service similar to Citizens Band (CB). Established by the U.S. Federal Communications Commission in the fall of 2000, MURS created a radio service allowing for unlicensed (Part 95) operation, with a power limit of 2 watts (LOW POWER setting on UV-5R). The FCC formally defines MURS as "a private, two-way, short-distance voice or data communications service for personal or business activities of the general public." MURS stations may not be connected to the public telephone network, may not be used for store and forward operations, and radio repeaters are not permitted.

Channel Frequency Authorized bandwidth Channel Name
1 151.820 MHz 11.25 kHz N/A
2 151.880 MHz 11.25 kHz N/A
3 151.940 MHz 11.25 kHz N/A
4 154.570 MHz 20.00 kHz Blue Dot
5 154.600 MHz 20.00 kHz Green Dot

37422. RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 19:46:52 UTC
From: <n7kuw@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37422

And of course, the UV-5R is not part 95 certificated, so cannot be used on MURS regardless of what power setting you use.

37423. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 20:33:02 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37423

On 12/04/2013 11:46 AM, n7kuw@... wrote:

And of course, the UV-5R is not part 95 certificated, so cannot be used on MURS regardless of what power setting you use.

Specifically, §95.603 Certification required.
...
(g) Each Multi-Use Radio Service transmitter (a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate in the MURS) must be certificated in accordance with subpart J of part 2 of this chapter, Provided however, that those radio units certificated as of November 12, 2002 need not be recertificated.
...

37424. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 20:39:34 UTC
From: Ronald J. Dot'o Sr.
Topic: 36895 Message: 37424

Does part 90 cert. count?

----- Original Message -----
From: Gary Dunn
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:33
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

On 12/04/2013 11:46 AM, n7kuw@... wrote:

And of course, the UV-5R is not part 95 certificated, so cannot be used on MURS regardless of what power setting you use.

Specifically, §95.603 Certification required.
...
(g) Each Multi-Use Radio Service transmitter (a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate in the MURS) must be certificated in accordance with subpart J of part 2 of this chapter, Provided however, that those radio units certificated as of November 12, 2002 need not be recertificated.
...

37426. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 20:42:49 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 36895 Message: 37426

As standard math says:

90 != 95 J

So no such cross-cert known except for the grandfathering prior to Nov 2002.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ronald J. Dot'o Sr.
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 2:40 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Does part 90 cert. count?

----- Original Message -----

From: Gary Dunn <mailto:gary.dunn91@...>

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:33

Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

On 12/04/2013 11:46 AM, n7kuw@... wrote:

And of course, the UV-5R is not part 95 certificated, so cannot be used on MURS regardless of what power setting you use.

Specifically, §95.603 Certification required.
...
(g) Each Multi-Use Radio Service transmitter (a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate in the MURS) must be certificated in accordance with subpart J of part 2 of this chapter, Provided however, that those radio units certificated as of November 12, 2002 need not be recertificated.
...

37427. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 20:54:50 UTC
From: Michael D Earls
Topic: 37385 Message: 37427

What is the difference here?

http://www.walmart.com/browse/auto-tires/91083_1045959_1074765_1143312?povid=cat1104292-env514412-moduleA103113-lLinkLHN_Categories7_NonAutomotiveBatteries

Walmart shows 12v 35ah for $65.83 and 12v 12v 55ah for $110.13.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 2:42 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Hello, Yes I got them from Lowes.

They are Portalac px12072-hg 12vdc 7.2 ah. I use two or 3 because I also have a small inverter that I connect to it for emergencies.

The best batteries to use are here

http://www.sears.com/search=shorai?levels=Automotive

Higher price but very light what would weigh 20 lbs for the same Ah rating would weigh 1.5 lbs!

Technically you could place these batteries in a can and run radio equipment (40/60 watt mobiles) for weeks.

The uv5R is an HT and limited for the real UHF/VHF prep units you need a good Mobile portable along with HT’s and one that has crossband repeater functions for emergencies.

MP900 Zastone version is a good unit (part90/97) along with the PowerWerx units.

37428. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 21:05:32 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37385 Message: 37428

The price. I have two 35 aH wheelchair batteries that I use here for emergency power and to power my 10 meter amp in conjunction with the 10 amp power supply that couldn't ever run that amp by itself.

Everything has 50 amp Anderson connectors on it from old UPSs. I never have to worry about a reverse connection. The standard ham powerpoles are too wimpy, in my opinion, so I use these bigger ones. On some ends, I have 2 or 3 of them so I can daisy chain them to other things.

From: Michael D Earls <mikeearls@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 4, 2013 3:54 PM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

What is the difference here?

http://www.walmart.com/browse/auto-tires/91083_1045959_1074765_1143312?povid=cat1104292-env514412-moduleA103113-lLinkLHN_Categories7_NonAutomotiveBatteries

Walmart shows 12v 35ah for $65.83 and 12v 12v 55ah for $110.13.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

From:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 2:42 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Hello, Yes I got them from Lowes.

They are Portalac px12072-hg 12vdc 7.2 ah. I use two or 3 because I also have a small inverter that I connect to it for emergencies.

The best batteries to use are here

http://www.sears.com/search=shorai?levels=Automotive

Higher price but very light what would weigh 20 lbs for the same Ah rating would weigh 1.5 lbs!

Technically you could place these batteries in a can and run radio equipment (40/60 watt mobiles) for weeks.

The uv5R is an HT and limited for the real UHF/VHF prep units you need a good Mobile portable along with HT’s and one that has crossband repeater functions for emergencies.

MP900 Zastone version is a good unit (part90/97) along with the PowerWerx units.

37429. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 21:13:17 UTC
From: Michael D Earls
Topic: 37385 Message: 37429

My point was that on the Sears link, a 33ah costs $594.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 3:06 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

The price. I have two 35 aH wheelchair batteries that I use here for emergency power and to power my 10 meter amp in conjunction with the 10 amp power supply that couldn't ever run that amp by itself.

Everything has 50 amp Anderson connectors on it from old UPSs. I never have to worry about a reverse connection. The standard ham powerpoles are too wimpy, in my opinion, so I use these bigger ones. On some ends, I have 2 or 3 of them so I can daisy chain them to other things.

From: Michael D Earls <mikeearls@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 4, 2013 3:54 PM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

What is the difference here?

http://www.walmart.com/browse/auto-tires/91083_1045959_1074765_1143312?povid=cat1104292-env514412-moduleA103113-lLinkLHN_Categories7_NonAutomotiveBatteries

Walmart shows 12v 35ah for $65.83 and 12v 12v 55ah for $110.13.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 2:42 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Hello, Yes I got them from Lowes.

They are Portalac px12072-hg 12vdc 7.2 ah. I use two or 3 because I also have a small inverter that I connect to it for emergencies.

The best batteries to use are here

http://www.sears.com/search=shorai?levels=Automotive

Higher price but very light what would weigh 20 lbs for the same Ah rating would weigh 1.5 lbs!

Technically you could place these batteries in a can and run radio equipment (40/60 watt mobiles) for weeks.

The uv5R is an HT and limited for the real UHF/VHF prep units you need a good Mobile portable along with HT’s and one that has crossband repeater functions for emergencies.

MP900 Zastone version is a good unit (part90/97) along with the PowerWerx units.

37430. RE: UV5R+ and packet radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 21:23:01 UTC
From: <steven_o@...>
Topic: 37372 Message: 37430

Why not try one of the old fashioned Baycom type modems that used to come in kit form ? I used to have a lot of success with Baycom and handhelds in the 90,s. But they only run a few hundred baudot though. You may find that on a modern pc you see your messages several times over on the monitor.

37431. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Anyone else getting abusive emails from

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 21:23:09 UTC
From: Wolf Windshadow
Topic: 37167 Message: 37431

it appears his IP address made it onto a DNS real time black list...
private email me the full headers and I will let you know where in the
chain the block really is....

Wolf Windshadow
A.K.A. Chad

<http://webvideocall.oovoo.com/callme/wolfwindshadow/359>Live Video
Chat<http://webvideocall.oovoo.com/callme/wolfwindshadow/359>

<http://www.listia.com/signup/1351263>
<http://www.secondlife.com/join/?u=fa22b64e4e534f1a836000e659486070>

"It is by caffeine alone I set my mind in motion. It is by the beans of
Java that thoughts acquire speed, the hands acquire shakes, the shakes
become a warning. It is by caffeine alone I set my mind in motion...."

On Tue, Dec 3, 2013 at 9:04 PM, John <jl_wilkerson@...> wrote:

>
>
>
>
> OK folks... This stems from Barry posting trolling messages to my Puxing
> Yahoo group.
>
> This member is on moderated status on this group. The messages he receives
> are messages indicating his posts are not being approved.
>
> Barry is a known troll. He has yet to post anything of substance on the
> uv973 group.
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...> wrote:
> >
> > Someone is sending me emails saying message not accepted claiming
> > to be from From: "John" <jl_wilkerson@...> but when I attempt to
> > reply it bounces with the message that his email is blocked due to abuse.
> >
> > He had the audacity to email me to deny he was blocked for abuse but
> > here is part of the bounce mail header.
> >
> > jl_wilkerson@...
> > SMTP error from remote mail server after MAIL
> > FROM:<mrhat2005@...> SIZE=2733:
> > host frf-mailrelay.att.net [204.127.217.21]: 521-220.233.0.71

> > blocked by sbc:blacklist.mailrelay.att.net.
> > 521 DNSRBL: Blocked for abuse. See http://att.net/blocks
> >
>
>
>

37432. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 21:27:18 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37385 Message: 37432

540 cranking amps on that puppy.

It’s extra duty cycle.

You can get it a LOT cheaper on Amazon or EBay

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael D Earls
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 3:13 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

My point was that on the Sears link, a 33ah costs $594.

Mike Earls

AF5MS

TSRA Life Member

NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 3:06 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

The price. I have two 35 aH wheelchair batteries that I use here for
emergency power and to power my 10 meter amp in conjunction with
the 10 amp power supply that couldn't ever run that amp by itself.

Everything has 50 amp Anderson connectors on it from old UPSs. I
never have to worry about a reverse connection. The standard ham
powerpoles are too wimpy, in my opinion, so I use these bigger ones. On
some ends, I have 2 or 3 of them so I can daisy chain them to other things.

From: Michael D Earls <mikeearls@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 4, 2013 3:54 PM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

What is the difference here?

http://www.walmart.com/browse/auto-tires/91083_1045959_1074765_1143312?povid=cat1104292-env514412-moduleA103113-lLinkLHN_Categories7_NonAutomotiveBatteries

Walmart shows 12v 35ah for $65.83 and 12v 12v 55ah for $110.13.

Mike Earls

AF5MS

TSRA Life Member

NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 2:42 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Hello, Yes I got them from Lowes.

They are Portalac px12072-hg 12vdc 7.2 ah. I use two or 3 because I also have a small inverter that I connect to it for emergencies.

The best batteries to use are here

http://www.sears.com/search=shorai?levels=Automotive

Higher price but very light what would weigh 20 lbs for the same Ah rating would weigh 1.5 lbs!

Technically you could place these batteries in a can and run radio equipment (40/60 watt mobiles) for weeks.

The uv5R is an HT and limited for the real UHF/VHF prep units you need a good Mobile portable along with HT’s and one that has crossband repeater functions for emergencies.

MP900 Zastone version is a good unit (part90/97) along with the PowerWerx units.

37433. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 21:33:40 UTC
From: Michael D Earls
Topic: 37385 Message: 37433

Which is why I posted the link to the much cheaper Walmart batteries made by Schumacher.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael Black
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 3:27 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

540 cranking amps on that puppy.
It’s extra duty cycle.
You can get it a LOT cheaper on Amazon or EBay

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael D Earls
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 3:13 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

My point was that on the Sears link, a 33ah costs $594.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 3:06 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

The price. I have two 35 aH wheelchair batteries that I use here for
emergency power and to power my 10 meter amp in conjunction with
the 10 amp power supply that couldn't ever run that amp by itself.

Everything has 50 amp Anderson connectors on it from old UPSs. I
never have to worry about a reverse connection. The standard ham
powerpoles are too wimpy, in my opinion, so I use these bigger ones. On
some ends, I have 2 or 3 of them so I can daisy chain them to other things.

From: Michael D Earls <mikeearls@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 4, 2013 3:54 PM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

What is the difference here?

http://www.walmart.com/browse/auto-tires/91083_1045959_1074765_1143312?povid=cat1104292-en v514412-moduleA103113-lLinkLHN_Categories7_NonAutomotiveBatteries

Walmart shows 12v 35ah for $65.83 and 12v 12v 55ah for $110.13.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 2:42 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Hello, Yes I got them from Lowes.

They are Portalac px12072-hg 12vdc 7.2 ah. I use two or 3 because I also have a small inverter that I connect to it for emergencies.

The best batteries to use are here

http://www.sears.com/search=shorai?levels=Automotive

37434. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 21:36:58 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37385 Message: 37434

Which weigh a lot more as they are lead acid. That’s why Richard was recommending the Lithium ones.

That’s the main difference and was in an earlier email I sent that’s apparently stuck somewhere.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael D Earls
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 3:34 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Which is why I posted the link to the much cheaper Walmart batteries made by Schumacher.

Mike Earls

AF5MS

TSRA Life Member

NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael Black
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 3:27 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

540 cranking amps on that puppy.

It’s extra duty cycle.

You can get it a LOT cheaper on Amazon or EBay

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael D Earls
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 3:13 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

My point was that on the Sears link, a 33ah costs $594.

Mike Earls

AF5MS

TSRA Life Member

NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 3:06 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

The price. I have two 35 aH wheelchair batteries that I use here for emergency power and to power my 10 meter amp in conjunction with the 10 amp power supply that couldn't ever run that amp by itself.

Everything has 50 amp Anderson connectors on it from old UPSs. I never have to worry about a reverse connection. The standard ham powerpoles are too wimpy, in my opinion, so I use these bigger ones. On some ends, I have 2 or 3 of them so I can daisy chain them to other things.

From: Michael D Earls <mikeearls@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 4, 2013 3:54 PM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

What is the difference here?

http://www.walmart.com/browse/auto-tires/91083_1045959_1074765_1143312?povid=cat1104292-env514412-moduleA103113-lLinkLHN_Categories7_NonAutomotiveBatteries

Walmart shows 12v 35ah for $65.83 and 12v 12v 55ah for $110.13.

Mike Earls

AF5MS

TSRA Life Member

NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 2:42 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Hello, Yes I got them from Lowes.

They are Portalac px12072-hg 12vdc 7.2 ah. I use two or 3 because I also have a small inverter that I connect to it for emergencies.

The best batteries to use are here

http://www.sears.com/search=shorai?levels=Automotive

37435. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 21:44:58 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 36895 Message: 37435

Part 90 certification does not cover MURS.

The FCC will not certify any radio for MURS if it is capable of operation on any frequencies other than the 5 MURS frequencies.

?95.655 Frequency capability.
(d) No transmitter will be certificated for use in MURS if it is equipped with a frequency capability not listed in ?95.632.

Tom

On 12/04/2013 14:39, Ronald J. Dot'o Sr. wrote:
>
>
> Does part 90 cert. count?
>

37436. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 20:58:22 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37436

No, otherwise they would say Part 90 instead of 95. Read the rules. Check www.wireless.fcc.gov and look under Personal Radio Services to compare. The Baofeng is ONLY good for ham and business/public safety, all of which require the agency/business or person to be licensed.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 4, 2013, at 12:39, "Ronald J. Dot'o Sr." <ron.doto@...> wrote:
>
> Does part 90 cert. count?
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Gary Dunn
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:33
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
>> On 12/04/2013 11:46 AM, n7kuw@... wrote:
>>
>> And of course, the UV-5R is not part 95 certificated, so cannot be used on MURS regardless of what power setting you use.
>>
>
> Specifically, §95.603 Certification required.
> ...
> (g) Each Multi-Use Radio Service transmitter (a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate in the MURS) must be certificated in accordance with subpart J of part 2 of this chapter, Provided however, that those radio units certificated as of November 12, 2002 need not be recertificated.
> ...
>

37437. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 17:51:01 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37320 Message: 37437

If it's on eBay it must be true.

-----Original Message-----
From: John
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 00:04 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

It takes Baofeng (and all the others, for that matter)forever to update
their web sites. It looks more rugged, and the Ebay seller indicates some
circuit improvements.

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>
wrote:
>
> I would not touch this radio period. It's not Baofeng at all. I always
> cross check any "new" radio with the baofeng web site to be sure it's
> legit
> and this one is not
>

37438. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R+ and packet radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 19:56:28 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37372 Message: 37438

From: n0qbh@...
> I've been unable to copy any packet signals with this radio.
> The service monitor says the rx is plenty hot - better than my
> Yaesu FT2600. I have it connected to my base antenna 2m/440
> @ 70' so the antenna probably isn't an issue.
> Watching the audio on a scope doesn't show anything that looks like
> packet.
> Anyone else have any luck receiving packets with it?

The first question would be whether or not there is any packet activity in your vicinity for you to hear. There isn't much packet activity (other than APRS) across most of the US and many areas are pretty much empty of even APRS most of the time.

I looked as APRS.FI for the last 24 hours centered on your address and there was just your base running a really strange SSID as N0QBH-63 and a single track of K0CQW driving nearby (also running the really weird SSID of -63) I'm not sure how either of you managed to enter an SSID of 63, since the defined range for the SSID is 0 to 15 and most APRS encoders will only process values in that range.

37439. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-02 19:07:45 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37320 Message: 37439

-----Original Message-----
From: Henry Quigg

> But have you noticed its says NOT SHIPPED TO THE UK ?

Actually their "worldwide" shipping has quite a few exclusions:
Excludes: US Protectorates, APO/FPO, Africa, Central America and Caribbean, Middle East, Albania, Bulgaria, Croatia, Republic of, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Italy, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Moldova, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, United Kingdom, Vatican City State, Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas), French Guiana, Guyana, Paraguay, Peru, Suriname, Uruguay, Venezuela, Brunei Darussalam, Hong Kong, Laos, Taiwan, Afghanistan, Armenia, Azerbaijan Republic, Bangladesh, Bhutan, China, Kyrgyzstan, Maldives, Pakistan, Turkmenistan, Australia, Bermuda, Greenland, Mexico, Saint Pierre and Miquelon

A few of those are countries that many eBay sellers choose not to deal with due to problems tracking shipments and a high rate of "loss" in shipping.

The one I find most interesting is that this dealer in Hong Kong won't ship to Hong Kong!

37442. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 22:42:10 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 36895 Message: 37442

Who cares? If he wants to use his radio let him. I am sure the FCC is going to hunt him down for his awful horrible misuse. Not!!!!

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 4, 2013, at 15:58, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:
>
> No, otherwise they would say Part 90 instead of 95. Read the rules. Check www.wireless.fcc.gov and look under Personal Radio Services to compare. The Baofeng is ONLY good for ham and business/public safety, all of which require the agency/business or person to be licensed.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
>> On Dec 4, 2013, at 12:39, "Ronald J. Dot'o Sr." <ron.doto@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Does part 90 cert. count?
>>
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: Gary Dunn
>> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:33
>> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>>
>>
>>> On 12/04/2013 11:46 AM, n7kuw@... wrote:
>>>
>>> And of course, the UV-5R is not part 95 certificated, so cannot be used on MURS regardless of what power setting you use.
>>>
>>
>> Specifically, §95.603 Certification required.
>> ...
>> (g) Each Multi-Use Radio Service transmitter (a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate in the MURS) must be certificated in accordance with subpart J of part 2 of this chapter, Provided however, that those radio units certificated as of November 12, 2002 need not be recertificated.
>> ...
>

>

37443. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 22:58:42 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 36895 Message: 37443

We're talking about what's legal, not what can and can't be done with these radios. Just as we all know that driving faster than the speed limit is illegal and if caught, one may get a hefty fine. So goes here, proceed at your own risk but know if caught it could cost you thousands.

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Ernie Rice [ranger2995@gmail.com]
Date: Wed, Dec 4, 2013
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Who cares? If he wants to use his radio let him. I am sure the FCC is going to hunt him down for his awful horrible misuse. Not!!!!
Ernie

Sent from my iPhone
On Dec 4, 2013, at 15:58, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@YAHOO.COM> wrote:

No, otherwise they would say Part 90 instead of 95. Read the rules. Check www.wireless.fcc.gov and look under Personal Radio Services to compare. The Baofeng is ONLY good for ham and business/public safety, all of which require the agency/business or person to be licensed.

Jardy Dawson WA7JRD Ham Radio
Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
On Dec 4, 2013, at 12:39, "Ronald J. Dot'o Sr." <ron.doto@comcast.net> wrote:

Does part 90 cert. count? ----- Original Message ----- From: Gary Dunn To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:33 Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
On 12/04/2013 11:46 AM, n7kuw@n7kuw.com wrote:
And of course, the UV-5R is not part 95 certificated, so cannot be used on MURS regardless of what power setting you use.

Specifically, §95.603 Certification required.
...
(g) Each Multi-Use Radio Service transmitter (a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate in the MURS) must be certificated in accordance with subpart J of part 2 of this chapter, Provided however, that those radio units certificated as of November 12, 2002 need not be recertificated.
...

37444. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 23:13:55 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 37385 Message: 37444

Those batteries on the Sears site were Lithium nano-phosphate
batteries... not lead acid.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 4, 2013, at 4:13 PM, Michael D Earls wrote:

>
>
> My point was that on the Sears link, a 33ah costs $594.
>
> Mike Earls
> AF5MS
> TSRA Life Member
> NRA Benefactor Member
>

37446. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 23:42:00 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37446

Don't you think it's odd? This subject has come up in several places. The Group home page, the FAQs, been asked and answered here ad nauseum etc. All these guys will continue to bitch, moan, and act like a little brat child when mommy says she won't let them play with their toy, just because we don't want people to get in trouble. When they do get caught, I'm sure the first thing they will say is 'nobody told me!!' We know they will use the radios how they want to anyway, hopefully they will take their ball, go home, and not encourage anyone else to break the law. How many times do the rules have to be pointed out to you? I know, 'are you the radio police?' Maybe we should be. Ham radio is mostly self policed and doesn't work too bad. Either follow the rules or keep quiet about breaking them please.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 4, 2013, at 14:58, "Gary" <n9ptc@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> We're talking about what's legal, not what can and can't be done with these radios. Just as we all know that driving faster than the speed limit is illegal and if caught, one may get a hefty fine. So goes here, proceed at your own risk but know if caught it could cost you thousands.
>
> Gary N9PTC
>
> -------Original Message-------
> From: Ernie Rice [ranger2995@...]
> Date: Wed, Dec 4, 2013
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
> Who cares? If he wants to use his radio let him. I am sure the FCC is going to hunt him down for his awful horrible misuse. Not!!!!
> Ernie
>
> Sent from my iPhone
> On Dec 4, 2013, at 15:58, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@YAHOO.COM> wrote:
>
> No, otherwise they would say Part 90 instead of 95. Read the rules. Check www.wireless.fcc.gov and look under Personal Radio Services to compare. The Baofeng is ONLY good for ham and business/public safety, all of which require the agency/business or person to be licensed.
>
> Jardy Dawson WA7JRD Ham Radio
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 4, 2013, at 12:39, "Ronald J. Dot'o Sr." <ron.doto@...> wrote:
>
> Does part 90 cert. count? ----- Original Message ----- From: Gary Dunn To: baofeng_uv5r@...m Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:33 Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
> On 12/04/2013 11:46 AM, n7kuw@... wrote:
> And of course, the UV-5R is not part 95 certificated, so cannot be used on MURS regardless of what power setting you use.
>
> Specifically, §95.603 Certification required.
> ...
> (g) Each Multi-Use Radio Service transmitter (a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate in the MURS) must be certificated in accordance with subpart J of part 2 of this chapter, Provided however, that those radio units certificated as of November 12, 2002 need not be recertificated.
> ...
>
>
>
> ---
>
> .
> USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
> .
> UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
> .Yahoo Groups Links
>
>
>

37447. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 23:49:46 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 36895 Message: 37447

If if if. The odds are long and unlikely. The FCC has more important things on their hands that to harass someone with a few radios that may or may not be legal.
Is an FCC officer going to stop and inspect every radio he sees. NO!
Please don't quote part 90/95 or part whatever because as long as he isn't causing interference who cares?

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 4, 2013, at 17:58, "Gary" <n9ptc@...> wrote:
>
> We're talking about what's legal, not what can and can't be done with these radios. Just as we all know that driving faster than the speed limit is illegal and if caught, one may get a hefty fine. So goes here, proceed at your own risk but know if caught it could cost you thousands.
>
> Gary N9PTC
>
> -------Original Message-------
> From: Ernie Rice [ranger2995@...]
> Date: Wed, Dec 4, 2013
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
> Who cares? If he wants to use his radio let him. I am sure the FCC is going to hunt him down for his awful horrible misuse. Not!!!!
> Ernie
>
> Sent from my iPhone
> On Dec 4, 2013, at 15:58, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:
>
> No, otherwise they would say Part 90 instead of 95. Read the rules. Check www.wireless.fcc.gov and look under Personal Radio Services to compare. The Baofeng is ONLY good for ham and business/public safety, all of which require the agency/business or person to be licensed.
>
> Jardy Dawson WA7JRD Ham Radio
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
> On Dec 4, 2013, at 12:39, "Ronald J. Dot'o Sr." <ron.doto@...> wrote:
>
> Does part 90 cert. count? ----- Original Message ----- From: Gary Dunn To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:33 Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
> On 12/04/2013 11:46 AM, n7kuw@... wrote:

> And of course, the UV-5R is not part 95 certificated, so cannot be used on MURS regardless of what power setting you use.
>
> Specifically, §95.603 Certification required.
> ...
> (g) Each Multi-Use Radio Service transmitter (a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate in the MURS) must be certificated in accordance with subpart J of part 2 of this chapter, Provided however, that those radio units certificated as of November 12, 2002 need not be recertificated.
> ...
>
>
>
> ---
>
> .
> USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
> .
> UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
> .Yahoo Groups Links
>
>
>

37450. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 00:01:19 UTC
From: <lphebus@...>
Topic: 37385 Message: 37450

The Sears batteries are lithium iron phosphate, the walmart batteries are lead/acid. The lithium batteries are very much lighter and smaller for the same amp-hour capacity

37452. RE: To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 00:25:27 UTC
From: <steven_o@...>
Topic: 37325 Message: 37452

How comes the vox setting on my one which is a plus + will open up with just loud room noise at times. The mic is picking that up ok ?

2E1HFH

37453. [baofeng_uv5r] New Poll for baofeng_uv5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 01:11:10 UTC
From: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Topic: 36643 Message: 37453

Enter your vote today! A new poll has been created for the
baofeng_uv5r group:

i have 2 uv5r do you?

- no
- yes

To vote, please visit the following web page:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/surveys?id=2799297

Note: Please do not reply to this message. Poll votes are
not collected via email. To vote, you must go to the Yahoo! Groups
web site listed above.

Thanks!

37454. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 01:28:08 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 36895 Message: 37454

No, not whining.

Ernie, if you, and some others, had their way, every new member here would be led to believe it was perfectly legal to use these Chinese radios on services they are not certified for here in the USA . How ever as long as we are allowed to, a few of us will tell the truth. In the end it will be up to the new users to do the right thing, or not, and not you or me. All we can do is state the facts as we know them!

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Ernie Rice [ranger2995@gmail.com]
Date: Wed, Dec 4, 2013
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

  Yes you are
Ernie

Sent from my iPhone
On Dec 4, 2013, at 18:53, Jardy <JARDY72@YAHOO.COM> wrote:

  See? More sniveling and crying!!

Jardy Dawson WA7JRD Sent by something that worked, apparently....
On Dec 4, 2013, at 15:52, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@gmail.com> wrote:

  Awe but you are trying to be someone else's conscious.
Ernie

Sent from my iPhone
On Dec 4, 2013, at 18:42, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@YAHOO.COM> wrote:

  Don't you think it's odd? This subject has come up in several places. The Group home page, the FAQs, been asked and answered here ad nauseum etc. All these guys will continue to bitch, moan, and act like a little brat child when mommy says she won't let them play with their toy, just because we don't want people to get in trouble. When they do get caught, I'm sure the first thing they will say is 'nobody told me!!' We know they will use the radios how they want to anyway, hopefully they will take their ball, go home, and not encourage anyone else to break the law. How many times do the rules have to be pointed out to you? I know, 'are you the radio police?' Maybe we should be. Ham radio is mostly self policed and doesn't work too bad. Either follow the rules or keep quiet about breaking them please.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 4, 2013, at 14:58, "Gary" <n9ptc@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> We're talking about what's legal, not what can and can't be done with these radios. Just as we all know that driving faster than the speed limit is illegal and if caught, one may get a hefty fine. So goes here, proceed at your own risk but know if caught it could cost you thousands.
>
> Gary N9PTC
>
> -------Original Message-------
> From: Ernie Rice [ranger2995@gmail.com]
> Date: Wed, Dec 4, 2013
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
> Who cares? If he wants to use his radio let him. I am sure the FCC is going

37457. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 02:26:12 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 36895 Message: 37457

I never said it was legal or not. If he wants rouse them then that is his choice

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 4, 2013, at 20:28, "Gary" <n9ptc@...> wrote:
>
> No, not whining.
>
> Ernie, if you, and some others, had their way, every new member here would be led to believe it was perfectly legal to use these Chinese radios on services they are not certified for here in the USA . How ever as long as we are allowed to, a few of us will tell the truth. In the end it will be up to the new users to do the right thing, or not, and not you or me. All we can do is state the facts as we know them!
>
> Gary N9PTC
>
> -------Original Message-------
> From: Ernie Rice [ranger2995@...]
> Date: Wed, Dec 4, 2013
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
> Yes you are
> Ernie
>
> Sent from my iPhone
> On Dec 4, 2013, at 18:53, Jardy <JARDY72@...> wrote:
>
> See? More sniveling and crying!!
>
> Jardy Dawson WA7JRD Sent by something that worked, apparently....
> On Dec 4, 2013, at 15:52, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@...> wrote:
>
> Awe but you are trying to be someone else's conscious.
> Ernie
>
> Sent from my iPhone
> On Dec 4, 2013, at 18:42, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:
>
> Don't you think it's odd? This subject has come up in several places. The Group home page, the FAQs, been asked and answered here ad nauseum etc. All these guys will continue to bitch, moan, and act like a little brat child when mommy says she won't let them play with their toy, just because we don't want people to get in trouble. When they do get

caught, I'm sure the first thing they will say is 'nobody told me!!' We know they will use the radios how they want to anyway, hopefully they will take their ball, go home, and not encourage anyone else to break the law. How many times do the rules have to be pointed out to you? I know, 'are you the radio police?' Maybe we should be. Ham radio is mostly self policed and doesn't work too bad. Either follow the rules or keep quiet about breaking them please.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
>> On Dec 4, 2013, at 14:58, "Gary" <n9ptc@...> wrote:
>>
>> We're talking about what's legal, not what can and can't be done with these radios. Just as we all know that driving faster than the speed limit is illegal and if caught, one may get a hefty fine. So goes here, proceed at your own risk but know if caught it could cost you thousands.
>>
>> Gary N9PTC
>>
>> -------Original Message-------
>> From: Ernie Rice [ranger2995@...]
>> Date: Wed, Dec 4, 2013
>> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
>> Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
>> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>>
>> Who cares? If he wants to use his radio let him. I am sure the FCC is going
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> .
> USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
> .
> UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
> .Yahoo Groups Links
>
>
>

37459. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 02:47:57 UTC
From: Stan Labinsky Jr.
Topic: 36895 Message: 37459

Well, your literal observation may just cause a slight re-wording of the welcome page.

I suspect that the stated “discouragement” is because that horse has been beaten to death and then run through the grinder... again and again and again... wasting lots of bandwidth and patience.

And yes, it’s up to the end user, but should we become accessories to the crime by saying, “why not, go for it!”?

All we should do is to tell folks how to properly set up the radio, since the manual is soooo wonderful. Where they set it up is between them and their conscience or the cops.

Stan

From: Jim Henry
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 9:04 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

The group's welcome page is quite clear that discussion of the legality/illegality of these radios for GMRS is strongly discouraged. I take that literally, meaning discussing the use of these radios on other services is not discouraged, just the discussion of the legality issue is discouraged.

Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
jhenry@... jameshenry@...
www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
"Imagine a world with no hypothetical situations."

On Wed Dec 04 19:28:08 CST 2013, Gary <n9ptc@...> wrote:

No, not whining.

Ernie, if you, and some others, had their way, every new member here would be led to believe it was perfectly legal to use these Chinese radios on services they are not certified for here in the USA . How ever as long as we are allowed to, a few of us will tell the truth. In the end it will be up to the new users to do the right thing, or not, and not you or me. All we can do is state the facts as we know them!

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Ernie Rice [ranger2995@...]

Date: Wed, Dec 4, 2013
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yes you are
Ernie

Sent from my iPhone
On Dec 4, 2013, at 18:53, Jardy <JARDY72@...> wrote:

See? More sniveling and crying!!

Jardy Dawson WA7JRD Sent by something that worked, apparently....
On Dec 4, 2013, at 15:52, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@...>
wrote:

Awe but you are trying to be someone else's conscious.
Ernie

Sent from my iPhone
On Dec 4, 2013, at 18:42, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:

Don't you think it's odd? This subject has come up in several
places. The Group home page, the FAQs, been asked and answered
here ad nauseum etc. All these guys will continue to bitch, moan,
and act like a little brat child when mommy says she won't let
them play with their toy, just because we don't want people to get
in trouble. When they do get caught, I'm sure the first thing they
will say is 'nobody told me!!' We know they will use the radios
how they want to anyway, hopefully they will take their ball, go
home, and not encourage anyone else to break the law. How many
times do the rules have to be pointed out to you? I know, 'are you
the radio police?' Maybe we should be. Ham radio is mostly self
policed and doesn't work too bad. Either follow the rules or keep
quiet about breaking them please.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years
ago.

> On Dec 4, 2013, at 14:58, "Gary" <n9ptc@...> wrote:
>
> We're talking about what's legal, not what can and can't be done
> with these radios. Just as we all know that driving faster than
> the speed limit is illegal and if caught, one may get a hefty
> fine. So goes here, proceed at your own risk but know if caught
> it could cost you thousands.
>
> Gary N9PTC
>

> -------Original Message-------
> From: Ernie Rice [ranger2995@...]
> Date: Wed, Dec 4, 2013
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
> Who cares? If he wants to use his radio let him. I am sure the
> FCC is going

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

37461. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: To drill or not to drilll the mic?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 04:09:35 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37325 Message: 37461

On 12/04/2013 04:25 PM, steven_o@... wrote:

How comes the vox setting on my one which is a plus + will open up with just loud room noise at times. The mic is picking that up ok ?

Menu 4 and check the VOX triggering level.

37462. Drilling the hand speaker/mike

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 04:40:01 UTC
From: <jrm1967@...>
Topic: 37462 Message: 37462

ok i've been following the previous thread about drilling the mike, and decided to check my hand mike as that is what i use in the car the radio UVB-5RS and a speaker mike make unknown nothing printed on it.
I have to hold it aganst my lips to be heard and like wise put the speaker near my ear to hear well.So I took the mike apart and low and behold the hole for the mic is not located over the mic element and the slits for the speaker don't go through the material at all it is a totaly enclosed piece of plastic!! The circuit board with the mic element came out and i drilled a 3/32 inch hole directly over the element. The speaker is glued in 360 degrees around its circumfrance so I could not remove it easily the diaphram must not move very well all enclosed so I drilled a hole very carefully in the center slot by hand so not to puncture the speaker.volume went up by about 1/3rd!, and now I can keep the mic about an inch from my mouth and be heard but it is still to low for my liking i'm going to open it up to 1/8 inch and maybe more testing along the way wish me luck.LOL.Jim KB1PFL

37463. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 06:55:44 UTC
From: <n7kuw@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37463

I think it is perfectly fair and valid to point out what is and is not legal. Beyond that, I am responsible only for operations under licenses that I hold or manage. Each person can make their own decision as to what they will or will not do, but it is fair to help provide them the correct factual information they should consider in making that decision.

I will agree completely that it is extremely unlikely anyone will face enforcement actions unless they happen to cause interference someplace that matters, and even then typically the first notice is simply to stop doing whatever you are doing wrong.

I do not agree that is a valid excuse to intentionally violate the regulations, but only apply that argument to those licenses and operations I have responsibility for.

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <ranger2995@...> wrote:

If if if. The odds are long and unlikely. The FCC has more important things on their hands that to harass someone with a few radios that may or may not be legal.
Is an FCC officer going to stop and inspect every radio he sees. NO!
Please don't quote part 90/95 or part whatever because as long as he isn't causing interference who cares?

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 4, 2013, at 17:58, "Gary" <n9ptc@... mailto:n9ptc@...> wrote:
>
> We're talking about what's legal, not what can and can't be done with these radios. Just as we all know that driving faster than the speed limit is illegal and if caught, one may get a hefty fine. So goes here, proceed at your own risk but know if caught it could cost you thousands.
>
> Gary N9PTC
>
> -------Original Message-------
> From: Ernie Rice [ranger2995@... mailto:ranger2995@...]
> Date: Wed, Dec 4, 2013
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
> Who cares? If he wants to use his radio let him. I am sure the FCC is going to hunt him down for his awful horrible misuse. Not!!!!
> Ernie
>
> Sent from my iPhone
> On Dec 4, 2013, at 15:58, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@... mailto:JARDY72@...> wrote:

>
> No, otherwise they would say Part 90 instead of 95. Read the rules. Check www.wireless.fcc.gov and look under Personal Radio Services to compare. The Baofeng is ONLY good for ham and business/public safety, all of which require the agency/business or person to be licensed.
>
> Jardy Dawson WA7JRD Ham Radio
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
> On Dec 4, 2013, at 12:39, "Ronald J. Dot'o Sr." <ron.doto@... mailto:ron.doto@...> wrote:
>
> Does part 90 cert. count? ----- Original Message ----- From: Gary Dunn To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:33 Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
> On 12/04/2013 11:46 AM, n7kuw@... mailto:n7kuw@... wrote:
> And of course, the UV-5R is not part 95 certificated, so cannot be used on MURS regardless of what power setting you use.
>
> Specifically, §95.603 Certification required.
> ...
> (g) Each Multi-Use Radio Service transmitter (a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate in the MURS) must be certificated in accordance with subpart J of part 2 of this chapter, Provided however, that those radio units certificated as of November 12, 2002 need not be recertificated.
> ...
>
>
>
> ---
>
> .
> USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
> .
> UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
> .Yahoo Groups Links
>
>
>

37464. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 09:16:32 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 36895 Message: 37464

At 05:55 PM 5/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>I think it is perfectly fair and valid to point out what is and is
>not legal. Beyond that, I am responsible only for operations under
>licenses that I hold or manage. Each person can make their own
>decision as to what they will or will not do, but it is fair to help
>provide them the correct factual information they should consider in
>making that decision.

An important thing to remember is that amateur radio licensees are
permitted to use any
radio as long as it is used within their licence. No approval is needed.

37466. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 21:11:27 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37385 Message: 37466

Lead acid vs LiFePO4

Shorai LFX27KLD-BS12 is 27AH and 4.1lbs or 6.7AH per lb

Schumacher 35AH is 21.8 lbs or 1.6AH per lb

More than 4 times more weight efficient.

That’s why it’s better for portable use.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael D Earls
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 2:55 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

What is the difference here?

http://www.walmart.com/browse/auto-tires/91083_1045959_1074765_1143312?povid=cat1104292-env514412-moduleA103113-lLinkLHN_Categories7_NonAutomotiveBatteries

Walmart shows 12v 35ah for $65.83 and 12v 12v 55ah for $110.13.

Mike Earls

AF5MS

TSRA Life Member

NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 2:42 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] 9v battery charger

Hello, Yes I got them from Lowes.

They are Portalac px12072-hg 12vdc 7.2 ah. I use two or 3 because I also have a small inverter that I connect to it for emergencies.

The best batteries to use are here

http://www.sears.com/search=shorai?levels=Automotive

Higher price but very light what would weigh 20 lbs for the same Ah rating would weigh 1.5 lbs!

Technically you could place these batteries in a can and run radio equipment (40/60 watt mobiles) for weeks.

The uv5R is an HT and limited for the real UHF/VHF prep units you need a good Mobile portable along with HT’s and one that has crossband repeater functions for emergencies.

MP900 Zastone version is a good unit (part90/97) along with the PowerWerx units.

37467. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Anyone else getting abusive emails from

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-04 21:38:15 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37167 Message: 37467

With the trolling he's done, he deserves it.

From: Wolf Windshadow <WolfWindshadow@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 4, 2013 4:23 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Anyone else getting abusive emails from

it appears his IP address made it onto a DNS real time black list... private email me the full headers and I will let you know where in the chain the block really is....

37470. RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 13:26:37 UTC
From: <nomonk65@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37470

Some of the comments and statements seem to indicate there is a absence of Common Sense, Knowledge of the Law and simple Morals.... Encouraging anyone to violate the law is a combination of all three.
There seems to be a misunderstanding that it's the RADIO that is Lic. The individual hols the lic. and is required to follow the RESTRICTIONS set by the FCC..... What Freq you are or not allowed to operate on. It is YOU the individual not the rig that is lic.

Don't misunderstand the fact that Commercially Manufactured Radios carry an FCC Approval simply means the rig was made to meet the FCC Restrictions. The Radio Operator is 100% responsible for what goes on with it as far as the FCC is concerned.

The Ham Bands have for ever been policed so to speak by the Hammer themselves. To have an attitude of let them do what ever they want is simply wrong. By this action you have shown a total disregard to the good faith of Hammers all over the world.. We have a responsibility to NOT let Ham become CB

37471. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 13:37:44 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 36895 Message: 37471

I agree and disagree with your comment. It is up to the individual not somebody else to tell the individual what you should do and shouldn't do. InForm them that it may be illegal is fine.

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 5, 2013, at 8:26, <nomonk65@...> wrote:
>
> Some of the comments and statements seem to indicate there is a absence of Common Sense, Knowledge of the Law and simple Morals.... Encouraging anyone to violate the law is a combination of all three.
>
> There seems to be a misunderstanding that it's the RADIO that is Lic. The individual hols the lic. and is required to follow the RESTRICTIONS set by the FCC..... What Freq you are or not allowed to operate on. It is YOU the individual not the rig that is lic.
>
>
>
> Don't misunderstand the fact that Commercially Manufactured Radios carry an FCC Approval simply means the rig was made to meet the FCC Restrictions. The Radio Operator is 100% responsible for what goes on with it as far as the FCC is concerned.
>
>
>
> The Ham Bands have for ever been policed so to speak by the Hammer themselves. To have an attitude of let them do what ever they want is simply wrong. By this action you have shown a total disregard to the good faith of Hammers all over the world.. We have a responsibility to NOT let Ham become CB
>
>

37472. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 14:06:36 UTC
From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg
Topic: 36895 Message: 37472

I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning on a SHTF scenario.

On Thursday, December 5, 2013 6:37 AM, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@...> wrote:

>I agree and disagree with your comment. It is up to the individual not somebody else to tell the individual what you should do and shouldn't do. InForm them that it may be illegal is fine.
>
>
>Ernie
>
>Sent from my iPhone
>
>On Dec 5, 2013, at 8:26, <nomonk65@...> wrote:
>
>
>
>>Some of the comments and statements seem to indicate there is a absence of Common Sense, Knowledge of the Law and simple Morals.... Encouraging anyone to violate the law is a combination of all three.
>>There seems to be a misunderstanding that it's the RADIO that is Lic. The individual hols the lic. and is required to follow the RESTRICTIONS set by the FCC..... What Freq you are or not allowed to operate on. It is YOU the individual not the rig that is lic.
>>
>>
>>Don't misunderstand the fact that Commercially Manufactured Radios carry an FCC Approval simply means the rig was made to meet the FCC Restrictions. The Radio Operator is 100% responsible for what goes on with it as far as the FCC is concerned.
>>
>>
>>The Ham Bands have for ever been policed so to speak by the Hammer themselves. To have an attitude of let them do what ever they want is simply wrong. By this action you have shown a total disregard to the good faith of Hammers all over the world.. We have a responsibility to NOT let Ham become CB
>
>
>

37474. RE: 9v battery charger

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 14:21:51 UTC
From: <tdperkins2000@...>
Topic: 37385 Message: 37474

Interesting stuff.

Couple things:
1) Any objections to starting a new thread about mobile charging solutions? I think it would be great to have some of these ideas in one place.

2) The shipping weight of this sealed lead acid battery is <7 lbs.
http://www.walmart.com/ip/UPG-85968-D5719-UPG-85968-D5719-Sealed-Lead-Acid-Batteries-12V-10-AH-UB12100S/21618793

So, it seems like the 21 lb. value is based on rigging 3 of them together?

My goal is much more modest at this point, which is probably best left for a new thread. :)

37475. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 14:27:42 UTC
From: <n7kuw@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37475

The statement in Part 97 is in reference to Part 97. In other words, a ham can use another radio to operate on a HAM frequency outside of his license authorization. A Technician could operate in the Extra class portions of 20 meters or 40 meters in an emergency for example (if no other means of communication were available).

There are lesser (more restrictive) statements in Part 90 and other places in the regulations. You cannot arbitrarily apply a sentence in one part to operations governed by another part. It is not true that "anyone at anytime can grab any radio..." under any circumstances.

And that parenthetical entry is also core to the "permission". If you have any other method of communication, then you have no special permission in an emergency.

37477. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 15:07:10 UTC
From: <kerewon@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37477

Vote for Ernie ---SUPER TROLL 2013

37478. Technical Assistance

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 15:17:38 UTC
From: <harryvarderci@...>
Topic: 37478 Message: 37478

At the risk of being considered OFF TOPIC (because it does not refer to legal issues), does anyone need Technical Assistance regarding their UV-5R series radio?

HV

37479. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 15:18:24 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 36895 Message: 37479

Awe now the name calling starts. Yes please vote for me because if expressing ones opinion makes me a troll them so be it.
I am not the not calling names

Ernest

Sent from my iPhone

37480. Display in "Channel Mode"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 15:33:26 UTC
From: <ae5x@...>
Topic: 37480 Message: 37480

I have the UV-5R+ and wonder if it's possible to display both the channel number and the freq. As it is now, my display reads

CH-001
140.625

for example. But I have no way of knowing what freq is in CH001. Of course I can remember what I put where but only for a handful of channel...

I've seen photos and YouTube vids of the non-Plus UV-5R showing the freq and its associated channel number off to the side. Is it possible to get the Plus model to display this way as well?

John AE5X
http://www.ae5x.com/blog http://www.ae5x.com/blog

37482. RE: Technical Assistance

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 16:02:14 UTC
From: <beflumpy@...>
Topic: 37478 Message: 37482

Should be receiving two uv-5r today and cable ordered the baofeng cable also. One for myself and one as a Christmas gift for son. Both hold tickets. ExtrA and tech. Any advice on programming with win 7 or Xp

37483. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 16:06:34 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37480 Message: 37483
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

I’m staring at my UV-5RPlus and it has the small channel# off to the side of the freq.

If you change the mode on your CH display to name or freq you should also get the channel # off to the side.

Menu 21 & 22 pick the mode of display of Channel, Freq, or Name.

The channel mode doesn’t display the channel# as it’s redundant.

You’re not seeing that channel number on either line?

If you have CHIRP what’s your firmware version? Mine is BFB301

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of ae5x@...
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 9:33 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode"

I have the UV-5R+ and wonder if it's possible to display both the channel number and the freq. As it is now, my display reads

CH-001

140.625

for example. But I have no way of knowing what freq is in CH001. Of course I can remember what I put where but only for a handful of channel...

I've seen photos and YouTube vids of the non-Plus UV-5R showing the freq and its associated channel number off to the side. Is it possible to get the Plus model to display this way as well?

John AE5X

http://www.ae5x.com/blog

37484. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R power output

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 16:33:33 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37415 Message: 37484

On 12/05/2013 06:37 AM, Michael Black wrote:

... Your biggest consideration for emergency comm may be antenna length and flexible/stiff so you should pay attention to that for your use.
I'm using the Diamond RH771 since a 15" flexible isn't' too bad for me and it does the best on VHF and one of the best on UHF.

I bought it from here and got at least one 5-star review from somebody.

http://www.amazon.com/Diamond-Antenna-Tk-2107-Kguvd1p-Px-888k/dp/B00F3WEG8C ...

Mine (2) came from http://www.ebay.com/itm/111185860459 ... thanks for your analysis of those antennas.

37485. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 16:36:55 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 37480 Message: 37485

Check past messages. Asked and answered numerous times.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 5, 2013, at 7:33, <ae5x@...> wrote:
>
> I have the UV-5R+ and wonder if it's possible to display both the channel number and the freq. As it is now, my display reads
>
>
>
> CH-001
>
> 140.625
>
>
>
> for example. But I have no way of knowing what freq is in CH001. Of course I can remember what I put where but only for a handful of channel...
>
>
>
> I've seen photos and YouTube vids of the non-Plus UV-5R showing the freq and its associated channel number off to the side. Is it possible to get the Plus model to display this way as well?
>
>
>
> John AE5X
>
> http://www.ae5x.com/blog
>
>
>
>

37486. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R power output

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 16:40:31 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37415 Message: 37486

Hmmmm.$4.36 and free shipping sounds awfully suspicious to me.

But as long as you're happy.

Don't suppose you can do an SWR test on it, can you?

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gary Dunn
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 10:34 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R power output

On 12/05/2013 06:37 AM, Michael Black wrote:

... Your biggest consideration for emergency comm may be antenna length and flexible/stiff so you should pay attention to that for your use.

I'm using the Diamond RH771 since a 15" flexible isn't' too bad for me and it does the best on VHF and one of the best on UHF.

I bought it from here and got at least one 5-star review from somebody.

http://www.amazon.com/Diamond-Antenna-Tk-2107-Kguvd1p-Px-888k/dp/B00F3WEG8C
...
<http://www.amazon.com/Diamond-Antenna-Tk-2107-Kguvd1p-Px-888k/dp/B00F3WEG8C
>

Mine (2) came from http://www.ebay.com/itm/111185860459 ... thanks for your analysis of those antennas.

37487. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Technical Assistance

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 16:41:00 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 37478 Message: 37487

Check the FAQs found at the bottom of each email sent through the group.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 5, 2013, at 8:02, <beflumpy@...t> wrote:
>
> Should be receiving two uv-5r today and cable ordered the baofeng cable also. One for myself and one as a Christmas gift for son. Both hold tickets. ExtrA and tech. Any advice on programming with win 7 or Xp
>
>

37488. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R power output

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 16:55:30 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37415 Message: 37488

I wish I had the equipment to do so.

On 12/05/2013 08:40 AM, Michael Black wrote:

Hmmmm…$4.36 and free shipping sounds awfully suspicious to me.

But as long as you're happy…

Don't suppose you can do an SWR test on it, can you?

Mike W9MDB

From: Gary Dunn

On 12/05/2013 06:37 AM, Michael Black wrote:

... Your biggest consideration for emergency comm may be antenna length and flexible/stiff so you should pay attention to that for your use.

I'm using the Diamond RH771 since a 15" flexible isn't' too bad for me and it does the best on VHF and one of the best on UHF.

I bought it from here and got at least one 5-star review from somebody.

http://www.amazon.com/Diamond-Antenna-Tk-2107-Kguvd1p-Px-888k/dp/B00F3WEG8C ...

Mine (2) came from http://www.ebay.com/itm/111185860459 ... thanks for your analysis of those antennas.

–

37491. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 16:20:01 UTC
From: ZIP-G
Topic: 37480 Message: 37491

YES,

PICK LINE A OR B, YOUR CHOICE;
PRESS MENU,>UP/DOWN ARROW TO CHOOSE A/B.
PRESS MENU, UP/DOWN, CHOOSE FREQ>MENU> EXIT.
ENJOY

ZIPPY
----- Original Message -----
From: ae5x@...
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 10:33
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode"

I have the UV-5R+ and wonder if it's possible to display both the channel number and the freq. As it is now, my display reads

CH-001

140.625

for example. But I have no way of knowing what freq is in CH001. Of course I can remember what I put where but only for a handful of channel...

I've seen photos and YouTube vids of the non-Plus UV-5R showing the freq and its associated channel number off to the side. Is it possible to get the Plus model to display this way as well?

John AE5X

http://www.ae5x.com/blog

37493. Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 18:04:22 UTC
From: <leaney@...>
Topic: 37493 Message: 37493

I am looking for the best possible antenna to purchase for my ham radio. Clarity/good distance, etc. Any suggestions?

Thanks!

37495. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 18:09:25 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 37493 Message: 37495

Yes. Look at past messages and the FAQs. Asked and answered numerous times already.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent by hurling dead pigeons over the wall by trebuche.

>_____
> From: "leaney@..." <leaney@...>
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2013 10:04 AM
>Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>
>
>
>
>I am looking for the best possible antenna to purchase for my ham radio. Clarity/good distance, etc. Any suggestions?
>
>
>Thanks!
>
>
>
>
>

37496. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 18:09:51 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37493 Message: 37496

I like beams but they don't fit on HTs very well.

From: "leaney@..." <leaney@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:04 PM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

I am looking for the best possible antenna to purchase for my ham radio. Clarity/good distance, etc. Any suggestions?

Thanks!

37497. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Technical Assistance

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 18:10:19 UTC
From: <freier_98@...>
Topic: 37478 Message: 37497

I use Win 8 and programed radio all went good. After about two weeks I went back and no driver on putter it updated. So I went and got driver again and all works good now. Just watch that. Miklor.com will be best friend ever loaded with info. I think 3 turn on radio gives you the flash in radio do that first and go for the drivers. These are good radios for the price. Will never be Moto of Harris but price is good. I wish they would put out a digital version.

37498. Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 18:28:28 UTC
From: Laurence Taylor
Topic: 36895 Message: 37498

ranger2995@... wrote:

>
> Awe now the name calling starts. Yes please vote for me
> because if expressing ones opinion makes me a troll them so be
> it. I am not the not calling names

Ernie, I'm with you here.

While I agree that informing someone that their radio is not approved for this or that purpose, and an over-zealous FCC/PTT bod might want to make something of it, it's up to them what they do.

I do not feel it our place to act as the aether police for the entire spectrum - there are highly-paid government officials who do that.

If someone unlicensed wants to transmit on an amateur band, then obviously s/he can be dissuaded from doing so (and hopefully persuaded to take the exam and get a licence), but I am not paid to protect FRS/PMR446 or other "licence-free" band, nor any commercial PMR band. They have their own people to deal with any problems there.

I wonder how many people have beed driven away - from either amateur radio in general or from taking steps to use their rig safely and at least partly legally - because of the attitudes they encounter here?

Can't we just be nice to people?

--
rgds
LAurence
<><
..."And I ejected from my F-16", Tom explained
---Taglines by Tagzilla (tagzilla.mozdev.org)

37499. Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 19:18:10 UTC
From: <kb_moyer@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37499

I find the elitist attitude very distasteful and have hesitated getting involved because of the holy-er than thou dweebie nanny HAM's.

I operated in the CB frequencies since the 80's and have always hated the racket, bad attitudes and foul mouth noise there which is only increasing. I miss the decent friendly family communications we has on Ch 13 in our local coffee club which has been gone for decades. I miss that SSB used to be a place to get away from the loud mouth AMers,

For years I keep thinking HAM is the answer then I get on groups like this and only wish there were a happy medium between the HAM dweebs and CB slobs.

Who cares if someone uses one of these little 5w radios on GMRS or FRS? So what...get over yourselves! The FCC is not about to hunt you down, just leave it to the radio nannys.

Brett

37500. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 19:30:31 UTC
From: <kb_moyer@...>
Topic: 37493 Message: 37500

Would have been just as easy to give your favorite antenna as to tell him to look for himself!

37501. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 19:34:08 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37493 Message: 37501

On 12/05/2013 11:30 AM, kb_moyer@... wrote:

Would have been just as easy to give your favorite antenna as to tell him to look for himself!

"Give a man a fish, and you feed him for a day. Teach a man how to fish, and he'll sit in your boat drinking your beer all day."

37502. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 19:47:36 UTC
From: <kb_moyer@...>
Topic: 37493 Message: 37502

That was not teaching how to fish, which is by nature helpful, "asked and answered many times" was sarcasm and inpatients. If the new guy wanted to look up and read about antennas for his radio he could have but he came to the radio user group asked your opinion. If you don't want to answer because you are tired of the question then shut up!

37503. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 19:52:44 UTC
From: <ae5x@...>
Topic: 37480 Message: 37503

Thanks guys - got it!

John AE5X

37504. Re: Technical Assistance

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 20:07:48 UTC
From: LonnieW
Topic: 37478 Message: 37504

I also just got mine, along with a second one for my nephew. I bought the $6.95 cable from Amazon along with the radios.
There is a trick to installing the driver for the cable. If it is one of the counterfeit Prolific cables you will need to install an OLDER driver before you plug in the cable. Windoze will install the LATEST driver which will not work with the bogus Prolific chip.
What helped me the most was a short tutorial I found on the UV-3R Yahoo Group.
Drivers for both XP and Windoze 7 are there also.
I program with Chirp, a free program that works with a BUNCH of different radios.
73

Lonnie, N9IUi

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <beflumpy@...> wrote:
>
> Should be receiving two uv-5r today and cable ordered the baofeng cable also. One for myself and one as a Christmas gift for son. Both hold tickets. ExtrA and tech. Any advice on programming with win 7 or Xp
>

37505. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 20:15:18 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 37493 Message: 37505

If you want to go through this 17 times a week, go ahead. I just wish people would take advantage of all the effort put into answering these questions ahead of time to save all this trouble. Most of the questions have been asked and answered over 10 times each. It shows disrespect to those that set up the FAQs and manual, and shows they are too lazy or sloppy to look it up for themselves first. I had several questions answered myself by going here before asking the group.

I also just use the stock antenna, and as was pointed out before, what works for me may not work for you, due to many factors.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 5, 2013, at 11:47, <kb_moyer@...> wrote:
>
> That was not teaching how to fish, which is by nature helpful, "asked and answered many times" was sarcasm and inpatients. If the new guy wanted to look up and read about antennas for his radio he could have but he came to the radio user group asked your opinion. If you don't want to answer because you are tired of the question then shut up!
>
>
>
>

37506. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 20:18:35 UTC
From: Michael D Earls
Topic: 36895 Message: 37506

Apparently, for many on this list, the answer is no.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

-----Original Message-----
From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Laurence Taylor
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 12:28 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

.....

I wonder how many people have beed driven away - from either amateur radio in general or from taking steps to use their rig safely and at least partly legally - because of the attitudes they encounter here?

Can't we just be nice to people?

--
rgds
LAurence

37507. replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 20:27:53 UTC
From: Arlene Robinson
Topic: 37507 Message: 37507

I poked around there, but didn't see anything. I figured that the advice of seasoned ham users was the best way to go; hence the question.

37508. RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 20:35:28 UTC
From: <nomonk65@...>
Topic: 37493 Message: 37508

I don't necessarily agree that Repetitive questions are "It shows disrespect to those that set up the FAQs and manual, and shows they are too lazy or sloppy to look it up for themselves first." There are some folks that are truly NEW and have no idea what to ask, How to ask and for that matter don't know what they are asking.

If you are tired of then asking the same question over and over "IGNORE it" or refer then to where that answer may be found. Courtesy has always been a standard for Hammers. Sharing opinions as well as true knowledge has been a trademark of Amateur Radio. It seems that it's being lost to those that simply want to bitch.

If you have the answer share it or simply go on your way. I'm just as trire of the bitching and non productive post as you are of the repetitive questions.

37509. RE: replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 20:41:46 UTC
From: <kb_moyer@...>
Topic: 37507 Message: 37509

Seems logical and appropriate question to ask in a radio user group to me,

37510. RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 20:44:10 UTC
From: <kb_moyer@...>
Topic: 37493 Message: 37510

My point exactly, well said! Either take the time to help new people or ignore them and let others help. I am new to this radio and group and can learn from others peoples questions if they are answered.

37511. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 20:57:55 UTC
From: tracy neal
Topic: 37493 Message: 37511

This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group of adults

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

37512. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:14:33 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37493 Message: 37512

This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe

From: tracy neal <tneal901@...>

This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group of adults

37513. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:16:08 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37493 Message: 37513

On 12/05/2013 12:44 PM, kb_moyer@... wrote:

My point exactly, well said! Either take the time to help new people or ignore them and let others help. I am new to this radio and group and can learn from others peoples questions if they are answered.

You would have had your hands on all that information already had you simply read the email sent to every new subscriber.

37514. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:20:54 UTC
From: tracy neal
Topic: 37493 Message: 37514

Don't worry I am.

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

37515. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:25:54 UTC
From: G. L. Clark
Topic: 37493 Message: 37515

In what section of the user group web site is "replacement antennas" found? I would also like that info. Why re-invent the wheel if someone has already done it?
Gary
KJ6ONL

On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:20 PM, tracy neal <tneal901@...> wrote:

Don't worry I am.
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

From: Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>;
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>;
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 9:14:33 PM

This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe

From: tracy neal <tneal901@...>

This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group of adults

37516. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:26:29 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37516

So there is no point in joining the group if all you people are going to say to new users is read everything already said. I know this info is already on the internet if I wanted just to research info I would.what I came to this group for is conversation on the radio, same reason we key the radio up in the first place. If you all don't waste your time with newbie questions ignore them! If you want to have friendly conversation and help someone then join in.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gary Dunn
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:16 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On 12/05/2013 12:44 PM, kb_moyer@... <mailto:kb_moyer@...>
wrote:

My point exactly, well said! Either take the time to help new people or ignore them and let others help. I am new to this radio and group and can learn from others peoples questions if they are answered.

You would have had your hands on all that information already had you simply read the email sent to every new subscriber.

37517. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:30:07 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37493 Message: 37517

It would be nice if the newbies would read the FAQ first, then ask questions later.

From: Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@yahoo.com>

So there is no point in joining the group if all you people are going to say to new users is read everything already said. I know this info is already on the internet if I wanted just to research info I would…what I came to this group for is conversation on the radio, same reason we key the radio up in the first place. If you all don't waste your time with newbie questions ignore them! If you want to have friendly conversation and help someone then join in.

37518. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:34:55 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37518

The new guy asked if anyone had any opinion about antenna replacement and you sir are a dick! SHUV you FAQ!

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:30 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

It would be nice if the newbies would read the FAQ first, then ask questions later.

From: Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@... <mailto:kb_moyer@...> >

So there is no point in joining the group if all you people are going to say to new users is read everything already said. I know this info is already on the internet if I wanted just to research info I would…what I came to this group for is conversation on the radio, same reason we key the radio up in the first place. If you all don't waste your time with newbie questions ignore them! If you want to have friendly conversation and help someone then join in.

37519. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:38:32 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37493 Message: 37519

You were saying something about driving people away from the hobby?

Look in the mirror.

From: Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...>

The new guy asked if anyone had any opinion about antenna replacement and you sir are a dick! SHUV you FAQ!

37520. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:40:28 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 37493 Message: 37520

SEARCH is your friend

----- Original Message -----
From: G. L. Clark
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:25 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

In what section of the user group web site is "replacement antennas" found? I would also like that info. Why re-invent the wheel if someone has already done it?
Gary
KJ6ONL

On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:20 PM, tracy neal <tneal901@...> wrote:

Don't worry I am.
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

From: Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>;
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>;
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 9:14:33 PM

This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe

From: tracy neal <tneal901@...>

This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group of adults

37521. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:44:21 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 37493 Message: 37521

The problem with that is there are probably as many answers as there are members. What antenna works for me may or may not work for him. YMMV. So I suggest a Nagoya 771, user gets one and doesn't like it. Now he is out $$ with a radio that doesn't work any better than before. Read the previous answers (Can't find'em??? USE SEARCH!!!!) and make up your own mind
----- Original Message -----
From: kb_moyer@...
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 2:30 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Would have been just as easy to give your favorite antenna as to tell him to look for himself!

37522. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:45:45 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 36895 Message: 37522

Wellllll kind of. I believe it has to be a declared emergency, like a tornado or hurricane, And also it's " if no other methods are available"
----- Original Message -----
From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 9:06 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning on a SHTF scenario.

On Thursday, December 5, 2013 6:37 AM, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@...> wrote:

I agree and disagree with your comment. It is up to the individual not somebody else to tell the individual what you should do and shouldn't do. InForm them that it may be illegal is fine.

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 5, 2013, at 8:26, <nomonk65@...> wrote:

Some of the comments and statements seem to indicate there is a absence of Common Sense, Knowledge of the Law and simple Morals.... Encouraging anyone to violate the law is a combination of all three.
There seems to be a misunderstanding that it's the RADIO that is Lic. The individual hols the lic. and is required to follow the RESTRICTIONS set by the FCC..... What Freq you are or not allowed to operate on. It is YOU the individual not the rig that is lic.

Don't misunderstand the fact that Commercially Manufactured Radios carry an FCC Approval simply means the rig was made to meet the FCC Restrictions. The Radio Operator is 100% responsible for what goes on with it as far as the FCC is concerned.

The Ham Bands have for ever been policed so to speak by the Hammer themselves. To have an attitude of let them do what ever they want is simply wrong. By this action you have shown a total disregard to the good faith of Hammers all over the world.. We have a responsibility to NOT let Ham become CB

37523. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:46:46 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37523

You just keep missing the point.it's like banging my head against a wall! You are the "expert" and a new person asked an opinion. I am another new person who can't give him an educated opinion but I am frustrated at the treatment he is getting for asking a simple question. YOU are the one who said "you won't be nice until they ready the FAQ" and I am the one driving people away?

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:39 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

You were saying something about driving people away from the hobby?

Look in the mirror.

From: Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@... <mailto:kb_moyer@...> >

The new guy asked if anyone had any opinion about antenna replacement and you sir are a dick! SHUV you FAQ!

37524. Re: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:48:53 UTC
From: Arlene Robinson
Topic: 37493 Message: 37524

Just for the record, I did search the FAQ. I missed it somehow, or there is too much to sort thru. Anyway, I value direct interaction and recommendations and real world experience more than anything else. I've been all over the internet looking for information, but there was too much conflicting info. So I joined this group for support, figuring those of you who use this particular ham would know best. I am a totally newbie and am overwhelmed by technology, and am doing my best. Thanks to those who defended me and wanted to help, you have upheld my positive impression of ham radio operators. To he who did not want to help, just for the record, I am neither lazy nor sloppy in anything that I do.
Arlene

37525. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:49:03 UTC
From: <charger66fan@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37525

So in literally taking what you just said, if I come across say a utility worker that is injured and unable to speak, or a law enforcement official that is "down" I cannot use their radio to call for help. (just in case I don't have my cell phone or my ham radio with me?)

37526. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:52:57 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37526

I like the Nagoya 771 because it is portable and can reach my repeater 15 mile away from inside my house.

is just as easy as saying…

Asked and answered may times…go look in the following links the user guide and faq

Now he could have added the links after his opinion and recommended for more info they can check these out too, but that is a lot of extra work.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Doug McClure
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:44 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

□

The problem with that is there are probably as many answers as there are members. What antenna works for me may or may not work for him. YMMV. So I suggest a Nagoya 771, user gets one and doesn't like it. Now he is out $$ with a radio that doesn't work any better than before. Read the previous answers (Can't find'em??? USE SEARCH!!!!) and make up your own mind

----- Original Message -----

From: kb_moyer@yahoo.com <mailto:kb_moyer@...>

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>

Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 2:30 PM

Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Would have been just as easy to give your favorite antenna as to tell him to look for himself!

37527. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:59:44 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37493 Message: 37527

As Doug said, there is no cut and dried "best" replacement antenna. Where you are and what you want to do with it determine which one will be the "Best" for you. Things to consider:

-The radio suffers from poor rejection on VHF so a bigger/higher antenna may make receive worse
-Best performance on UHF is from an antenna up as high as you can go, but coax loss is worse on UHF.
-On VHF/UHF, height is might, as they say, but being higher, with more gain, may swamp the radio.

HTH

_____
From: Arlene Robinson <leaney@...>

Just for the record, I did search the FAQ. I missed it somehow, or there is too much to sort thru. Anyway, I value direct interaction and recommendations and real world experience more than anything else. I've been all over the internet looking for information, but there was too much conflicting info. So I joined this group for support, figuring those of you who use this particular ham would know best. I am a totally newbie and am overwhelmed by technology, and am doing my best. Thanks to those who defended me and wanted to help, you have upheld my positive impression of ham radio operators. To he who did not want to help, just for the record, I am neither lazy nor sloppy in anything that I do.
Arlene

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

http://info.yahoo.com/legal/us/yahoo/utos/terms/

37528. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 21:59:41 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37528

On 12/05/2013 01:45 PM, Doug McClure wrote:

Wellllll kind of. I believe it has to be a declared emergency, like a tornado or hurricane, And also it's " if no other methods are available"

----- Original Message -----

From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 9:06 AM

Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning on a SHTF scenario.

§97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.

No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of any means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential communication needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life and immediate protection of property when normal communication systems are not available.

§97.3 Definitions.
...
(5) Amateur station. A station in an amateur radio service consisting of the apparatus necessary for carrying on radiocommunications.
..

37529. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 22:22:55 UTC
From: G. L. Clark
Topic: 37493 Message: 37529

Trolls are owr enemy.

On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:40 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@...> wrote:

☐
SEARCH is your friend

----- Original Message -----
>From: G. L. Clark
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:25 PM
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>
>
>In what section of the user group web site is "replacement antennas" found? I would also like that info. Why re-invent the wheel if someone has already done it?
>Gary
>KJ6ONL
>
>
>
>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:20 PM, tracy neal <tneal901@...> wrote:
>
>
>Don't worry I am.
>Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
>
>
>
>_____
>From: Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>;
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>;
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 9:14:33 PM
>
>
>
>This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe
>
>
>
>
>
>_____
>From: tracy neal <tneal901@...>
>

>This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group of adults
>
>
>
>
>

37530. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 22:24:20 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 36895 Message: 37530

I would says bunch. I slower my license expire because f hams attitude in this area I have ugh more fun and friends in 11 than I ever did as a ham

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 5, 2013, at 15:18, "Michael D Earls" <mikeearls@...> wrote:
>
> Apparently, for many on this list, the answer is no.
>
> Mike Earls
> AF5MS
> TSRA Life Member
> NRA Benefactor Member
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of Laurence Taylor
> Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 12:28 PM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
> .....
>
> I wonder how many people have beed driven away - from either amateur radio
> in general or from taking steps to use their rig safely and at least partly
> legally - because of the attitudes they encounter here?
>
> Can't we just be nice to people?
>
> --
> rgds
> LAurence
>
>

37531. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 22:26:38 UTC
From: <nomonk65@...>
Topic: 37493 Message: 37531

Antenna's and Radio's are just like personal protection hand guns and calibers. The one I have in my hand is the best, (for me).

37532. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 22:28:39 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 36895 Message: 37532

Yes you can!!!!!!

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 5, 2013, at 16:49, <charger66fan@...> wrote:
>
> So in literally taking what you just said, if I come across say a utility worker that is injured and unable to speak, or a law enforcement official that is "down" I cannot use their radio to call for help. (just in case I don't have my cell phone or my ham radio with me?)
>
>

37533. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 22:32:27 UTC
From: <nomonk65@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37533

An EMERGENCY does not have to be declared by any Government agency..... It's a matter of LIFE/DEATH or Immediate Loss of Property. In which case I don't feel anyone would object Morally or Legally of anyone picking up a communication device of any kind to assist.

As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by passerby's picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an officer is down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq.....

When the SHTF the FCC, IRS as well as all government agency's will be under direct control of the Prez. So all laws are null and void and Lic will be useless.

37534. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 22:49:16 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37534

```
it does not have to be a declared emergency only a imminent threat to life
or health or property---its a rather lose
definition that derives from the common law criminal defense of necessity
```

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

```
On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 3:45 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@...>wrote:
```

>
>
> □
> Wellllll kind of. I believe it has to be a declared emergency, like a
> tornado or hurricane, And also it's " if no other methods are available"
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> *From:* Gary and Terrell Lindberg <gtlindberg@...>
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Thursday, December 05, 2013 9:06 AM
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
>
> I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material that says
> that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only way to get help. I
> believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio and call for help. Probably
> many of these BF buyers are planning on a SHTF scenario.
>
>
>
>
>
> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 6:37 AM, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@...>
> wrote:
>
>
> I agree and disagree with your comment. It is up to the individual not
> somebody else to tell the individual what you should do and shouldn't do.
> InForm them that it may be illegal is fine.
>
> Ernie
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Dec 5, 2013, at 8:26, <nomonk65@...> wrote:

>
>
> Some of the comments and statements seem to indicate there is a absence of
> Common Sense, Knowledge of the Law and simple Morals.... Encouraging
> anyone to violate the law is a combination of all three.
> There seems to be a misunderstanding that it's the RADIO that is Lic. The
> individual hols the lic. and is required to follow the RESTRICTIONS set by
> the FCC..... What Freq you are or not allowed to operate on. It is YOU the
> individual not the rig that is lic.
>
> Don't misunderstand the fact that Commercially Manufactured Radios carry
> an FCC Approval simply means the rig was made to meet the FCC Restrictions.
> The Radio Operator is 100% responsible for what goes on with it as far as
> the FCC is concerned.
>
> The Ham Bands have for ever been policed so to speak by the Hammer
> themselves. To have an attitude of let them do what ever they want is
> simply wrong. By this action you have shown a total disregard to the good
> faith of Hammers all over the world.. We have a responsibility to NOT let
> Ham become CB
>
>
>
>
>

37535. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 22:51:00 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 36895 Message: 37535

"As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by passerby's picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an officer is down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq....."

Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was monitoring
that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy stuff, that was...

37536. Re: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 22:52:30 UTC
From: James L Reeves
Topic: 37493 Message: 37536

Let us all play nice and be positive.

73, (best wishes)
James, KF4AQO
Sent from my iPad

37537. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 22:53:31 UTC
From: <ronric1@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37537

If you only have this radio for emergency use, and you never plan to practice using it, then it is pretty likely that you will not know how to use it in an emergency when you really need it. So for all those people buying this radio for SHTF use only, it will probably work best as a paper weight.

37538. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 23:03:30 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37538

most famous use of police radio by a civillian was in Dallas, Texas
on 22 November 1963 when a civillian used the radio to report Officer
JD Tippit was down having been shot

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 4:51 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

>
>
> "As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by passerby's
> picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an officer is
> down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq....."
>
> Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was monitoring
> that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy stuff,
> that was...
>
>
>

37539. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 23:11:49 UTC
From: rjtoegel
Topic: 37493 Message: 37539

Maybe they want communicate with a human.

Bob

Sent from my iPod

On Dec 5, 2013, at 4:30 PM, "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

> It would be nice if the newbies would read the FAQ first, then ask questions later.
>
> From: Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...>
>
> So there is no point in joining the group if all you people are going to say to new users is read everything already said. I know this info is already on the internet if I wanted just to research info I would…what I came to this group for is conversation on the radio, same reason we key the radio up in the first place. If you all don’t waste your time with newbie questions ignore them! If you want to have friendly conversation and help someone then join in.
>
>
>

37540. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 17:35:44 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 36895 Message: 37540

From: Ronald J. Dot'o Sr.
> Does part 90 cert. count?

Only for LICENSED stations that qualify under Section 95.1317

Grandfathered MURS Stations.
Stations that were licensed under part 90 of the Commission's Rules
to operate on MURS frequencies as of November 13, 2000, are granted
a license by rule that authorizes continued operations under the terms
of such nullified part 90 authorizations, including any rule waivers.

Two of the five MURS frequencies (154.570 and 154.600 MHz) were previously
Part 90 frequencies before being reassigned to MURS. These were commonly
used for fast food drive-thru systems and other business purposed. The
businesses that had Part 90 licenses for either of those frequencies are
allowed to continue using their existing equipment.

37541. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 23:13:22 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 36895 Message: 37541

You mean the one at Dealey Plaza?
http://earthcam.com/usa/texas/dallas/dealeyplaza/index.php

From: Timothy-Allen Albertson <kd0oia@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2013 6:03 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

most famous use of police radio by a civillian was in Dallas, Texas
on 22 November 1963 when a civillian used the radio to report Officer
JD Tippit was down having been shot

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 4:51 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

>
>"As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by passerby's picking up their
speaker mikes and calling for help when an officer is down..... Legally one is not allowed
on LEO freq....."
>
>Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was monitoring
>that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy stuff, that was...
>
>
>

37542. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 18:53:11 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37480 Message: 37542

From: ae5x@...

> I have the UV-5R+ and wonder if it's possible to display both the channel
> number and the freq. As it is now, my display reads
> CH-001
> 140.625

> for example. But I have no way of knowing what freq is in CH001.
> Of course I can remember what I put where but only for a handful
> of channel...
>I've seen photos and YouTube vids of the non-Plus UV-5R showing
> the freq and its associated channel number off to the side. Is it
> possible to get the Plus model to display this way as well?

The radio defaults to displaying both the cannel number and the frequency. You can set it to display the channel number and the channel name. But in either setting it ALWAYS displays the channel number whenever you are in CHANNEL MODE (recalling channels stored in memory). If you are in FREQUENCY MODE (using the VFO) there is no channel number.

The problem here is that you don't understand the display or the settings of this particular radio and seem to be making some assumptions based on your experience with other radios. THIS radio is different.

First of all, there are two independent displays. The upper or A display shows on setting. The lower or B display shows a completely separate setting. There is a small triangle to the left of the display that indicates which of the two displays is active. Only one display can control the radio at any time, but you can quickly change which display is controlling the radio by using the A/B button.

In your example where you say your display reads
CH-001
140.625
Apparently you have the A display set to show channel names and the B display set to show the frequencies. If you look to the right of those two lines you will see the channel number displayed. On the top line you will see 001 in small characters. On the bottom line you will see whatever channel number has the frequency 140.625 MHz. That might also be channel 001 or it might be any of the other channels because the two displays are NOT linked to each other (these two displays are always independent and CANNOT be linked to automatically show the same channel).

If you use programming software to set the memory channels of this radio, you can set the channel name to whatever you want. Such as a repeater location, a callsign, or even to the frequency. For example you might set up a memory channel with frequency 147.2800 and set the name to either W5SI or

to ATASCO as short for Atascocita or you could even set the channel name to 147280 if you prefer to remember that repeater by its frequency.

If you program your channels manually from the keypad, you cannot set the channel names and they will default to CH-000 through CH-127

You really need to refer to the information on the www.miklor.com website where you can get detailed information about the menu settings for this radio.

37543. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 23:20:44 UTC
From: John Wilkerson
Topic: 37493 Message: 37543

On 12/5/2013 16:44, Doug McClure wrote:
> ☐
>
> The problem with that is there are probably as many answers as there are
> members. What antenna works for me may or may not work for him. YMMV. So
> I suggest a Nagoya 771, user gets one and doesn't like it. Now he is out
> $$ with a radio that doesn't work any better than before. Read the
> previous answers (Can't find'em??? USE SEARCH!!!!) and make up your own
> mind
>

Formerly the "famous $3.79 antenna" Now a little more expensive:
http://www.ebay.com/itm/Female-universal-Antenna-for-Kenwood-HYT-PUXING-QUANSHENG-WOUXUN-UHF-VHF-sw-/181026286509?pt=LH_DefaultDomain_0&hash=item2a2601ebad

Found by many to be an excellent antenna. I have one on all my HT's.
Also, similar, to the Wouxun HT stock antennas.

While the OP may have run away in frustration by now, this is MY opinion
of a good replacement.

37545. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Technical Assistance

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 18:28:26 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37478 Message: 37545

Do you know of anyone qualified to provide any “Technical Assistance regarding their UV-5R series radio”?

From: harryvarderci@yahoo.com

At the risk of being considered OFF TOPIC (because it does not refer to legal issues), does anyone need Technical Assistance regarding their UV-5R series radio?

HV

37546. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 23:21:12 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37546

it was out in Oak Cliff not Dealey Plaza but

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 5:13 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

>
>
> You mean the one at Dealey Plaza?
> http://earthcam.com/usa/texas/dallas/dealeyplaza/index.php
>
>
> ----------
> *From:* Timothy-Allen Albertson <kd0oia@...>
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Thursday, December 5, 2013 6:03 PM
>
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
>
> most famous use of police radio by a civillian was in Dallas, Texas
> on 22 November 1963 when a civillian used the radio to report Officer
> JD Tippit was down having been shot
>
> 72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
> KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>
>
>
> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 4:51 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...> wrote:
>
>
> "As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by passerby's
> picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an officer is
> down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq....."
>
> Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was monitoring
> that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy stuff,
> that was...
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

37547. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 23:21:38 UTC
From: G. L. Clark
Topic: 37493 Message: 37547

our

On Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:24 PM, G. L. Clark <scout8004by@yahoo.com> wrote:

Trolls are owr enemy.

On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:40 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@...> wrote:

□
SEARCH is your friend

----- Original Message -----
>From: G. L. Clark
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:25 PM
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>
>
>In what section of the user group web site is "replacement antennas" found? I would also like that info. Why re-invent the wheel if someone has already done it?
>Gary
>KJ6ONL
>
>
>
>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:20 PM, tracy neal <tneal901@...> wrote:
>
>
>Don't worry I am.
>Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
>
>
>
>_____
>From: Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>;
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>;
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 9:14:33 PM
>
>
>
>This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe
>
>
>
>

>
>_____
>From: tracy neal <tneal901@bellsouth.net>
>
>This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group of adults
>
>
>
>
>

37548. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 19:13:07 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 37320 Message: 37548

It's not true. Baofeng doesn't make this radio and they indicated that themselves

On Wed, Dec 4, 2013 at 12:51 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

>
>
> If it's on eBay it must be true.
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: John
> Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 00:04 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???
>
> It takes Baofeng (and all the others, for that matter)forever to update
> their web sites. It looks more rugged, and the Ebay seller indicates some
> circuit improvements.
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>
> wrote:
> >
> > I would not touch this radio period. It's not Baofeng at all. I always
> > cross check any "new" radio with the baofeng web site to be sure it's
> > legit
> > and this one is not
> >
>
>
>

37549. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 19:28:14 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37493 Message: 37549

From: leaney@...

> I am looking for the best possible antenna to purchase for my ham radio.
> Clarity/good distance, etc. Any suggestions?

If you have a ham license you should know that there IS NO SUCH THING as "the best possible antenna"

You have to consider the frequency you will be using, where you will be using the radio, how you will be using the radio, and what you want to accomplish.

A four-bay array of 28 element yagi antennas might be the best choice if you plan to try EME operation with this radio; but wouldn't be very practical for casual conversations while walking in the woods -- and neither of those would do well operating from your car.

37550. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 23:27:37 UTC
From: Patrick lavallee
Topic: 36895 Message: 37550

I had to do this about 25 years ago, in a blackout. Officer on point duty hit by a eastbound car at a good rate of speed. I was first car waiting to go north.
I was amazed at the quick response time. Fortunately it happened out side of a Toronto ambulance station.
Patrick
Sent on the TELUS Mobility network with BlackBerry

-----Original Message-----
From: Scott KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>
Date: Thu, 5 Dec 2013 22:51:00
To: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

"As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by passerby's picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an officer is down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq....."

Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was monitoring
that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy stuff, that was...

37551. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 23:33:05 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37320 Message: 37551

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 2:13 PM, Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>wrote:

>
>
> It's not true. Baofeng doesn't make this radio and they indicated that
> themselves
>
>
Sorry. But it is true. It is in the banner on the Baofeng website home
page. And here is the link to the press release.

http://www.baofengradio.com/en/shownews.asp?id=27

Jim KC9HI

37552. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 23:49:51 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 37320 Message: 37552

Jim is correct. This is directly from Baofeng's website:

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 4:33 PM, Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...> wrote:

>
>
> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 2:13 PM, Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> It's not true. Baofeng doesn't make this radio and they indicated that
>> themselves
>>
>>
> Sorry. But it is true. It is in the banner on the Baofeng website home
> page. And here is the link to the press release.
>
> http://www.baofengradio.com/en/shownews.asp?id=27
>
> Jim KC9HI
>
>
>

37553. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 23:58:51 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37320 Message: 37553

Looks like yet another case style of the same radio.

From: Craig Carnahan <craig.n7lb@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2013 6:49 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Jim is correct. This is directly from Baofeng's website:
http://www.baofengradio.com/en/ProShowcn.asp?ID=230

37554. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 16:43:24 UTC
From: ZIP-G
Topic: 37480 Message: 37554

SORRY, FORGOT TO ADD THAT YOU USE MENU 21/22 (PAGES 54/55)
OF THE NEW (AUG) MIKLOR MANUAL.

ZIPPY
----- Original Message -----
From: ZIP-G
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 11:20
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode"

YES,

PICK LINE A OR B, YOUR CHOICE;
PRESS MENU,> 21/22
PRESS MENU,>UP/DOWN ARROW TO CHOOSE A/B.
PRESS MENU, UP/DOWN, CHOOSE FREQ>MENU> EXIT.
ENJOY

ZIPPY
----- Original Message -----
From: ae5x@...
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 10:33
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode"

I have the UV-5R+ and wonder if it's possible to display both the channel number and the freq. As it is now, my display reads

CH-001

140.625

for example. But I have no way of knowing what freq is in CH001. Of course I can remember what I put where but only for a handful of channel...

I've seen photos and YouTube vids of the non-Plus UV-5R showing the freq and its associated channel number off to the side. Is it possible to get the Plus model to display this way as well?

John AE5X

http://www.ae5x.com/blog

37555. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R power output

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 17:52:24 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 37415 Message: 37555

At 01:12 AM 6/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>I am new to ham .What do the numbers mean? I
>just want a better HT antenna for doing Search and Rescue and Emergency Comm.

```
For Search and Rescue and Emergency Comm you will
need a radio approved for commercial
use and programmed by the company licence holder.
The UV-5R does not have that approval.

>
>
>Thanks
>
>
>
>Christopher KB3ZLH
```

37556. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 18:25:52 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 36895 Message: 37556

From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg

> I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material
> that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only
> way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio
> and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning
> on a SHTF scenario.

If you studied "the test material" enough to get a ham license, you should realize that there is NO rule exemption for “in an emergency” except those SPECIFICALLY written into the rules for each radio service.

The ham technician class test materials include just a couple of questions related to Sections 97.401 through 97.405 -- which are the Amateur Radio rules for emergency operations. Those rules only apply to Amateur Radio Stations. An Amateur Radio Station is a station operating in the Amateur Radio Service. If you are operating on an non-amateur frequency, you are NOT an "Amateur Radio Station" - instead you are a station in whatever radio service owns the frequency you are using. In almost all cases, you are an unlicensed station operating illegally in violation of the rules of that radio service.

97.401 is not the “Get Out Of Jail Free” card that so many amateurs seem to think it is. It absolutely does not cover you using your radio on Police, Fire, GMRS, FRS, MURS, or other radio service frequencies. It does however cover an unlicensed operator who might use your radio on an amateur frequency in an actual emergency.

The only FCC rule that covers emergency operations across other radio services is located in Part 2 as section 2.405
47 CFR 2.405 - OPERATION DURING EMERGENCY
Operation during emergency.
The licensee of any station (except amateur, standard broadcast, FM broadcast, noncommercial educational FM broadcast, or television broadcast) may, during a period of emergency in which normal communication facilities are disrupted as a result of hurricane, flood, earthquake, or similar disaster, utilize such station for emergency communication service in communicating in a manner other than that specified in the instrument of authorization: Provided:
(a) That as soon as possible after the beginning of such emergency use, notice be sent to the Commission at Washington, D.C., and to the Engineer in Charge of the district in which the station is located, stating the nature of the emergency and the use to which the station is being put, and
(b) That the emergency use of the station shall be discontinued as soon as substantially normal communication facilities are again available, and
(c) That the Commission at Washington, D.C., and the Engineer in Charge shall be notified immediately when such special use of the station is terminated: Provided further,

(d) That in no event shall any station engage in emergency transmission on frequencies other than, or with power in excess of, that specified in the instrument of authorization or as otherwise expressly provided by the Commission, or by law: And provided further,
(e) That any such emergency communication undertaken under this section shall terminate upon order of the Commission.

37557. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 00:09:56 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 36895 Message: 37557

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 6:27 PM, Patrick lavallee <patlavallee1@...>wrote:

> I had to do this about 25 years ago, in a blackout. Officer on point duty
> hit by a eastbound car at a good rate of speed. I was first car waiting to
> go north.
> I was amazed at the quick response time. Fortunately it happened out side
> of a Toronto ambulance station.
> Patrick
> Sent on the TELUS Mobility network with BlackBerry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Scott KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>
> Date: Thu, 5 Dec 2013 22:51:00
> To: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by passerby's
> picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an officer is
> down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq....."
>
> Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was monitoring
> that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy stuff,
> that was...
>

A ham license is an operator license and a station license. That is why a ham can use any equipment that will operate properly within the requirements of their license class. You as an operator, are required to make sure your equipment is operating properly.

The equipment used by a LEO (law enforcement officer) only requires a station license. That is why the equipment must be certificated for the service that it is used. The LEO is not licensed. The city, county, etc. is licensed. It doesn't matter who uses the equipment. I'm sure that if you grabbed a radio from a LEO and started talking on it, you would be in big trouble. But no FCC rules would be violated.

Jim KC9HI

37558. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 00:16:53 UTC
From: John Wilkerson
Topic: 37320 Message: 37558

Yahoo Group Created:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng-gt-3/

One on the way :)

On 12/5/2013 18:49, Craig Carnahan wrote:
>
>
> Jim is correct. This is directly from Baofeng's website:
>
>
>
>
> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 4:33 PM, Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...
> <mailto:rock.unroe@...>> wrote:
>
> --
>
> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 2:13 PM, Emmett Gulley
> <emmettgulley71@... <mailto:emmettgulley71@...>> wrote:
>
> --
>
> It's not true. Baofeng doesn't make this radio and they
> indicated that themselves
>
>
> Sorry. But it is true. It is in the banner on the Baofeng website
> home page. And here is the link to the press release.
>
> http://www.baofengradio.com/en/shownews.asp?id=27
>
> Jim KC9HI
>
>
>
>

37559. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 00:16:47 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37559

I beg to disagree with your interpretation of 97.403 and 97.405 which state as follows:

§97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.

No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of any means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential communication needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life and immediate protection of property when normal communication systems are not available.
97.405 Station in distress.

(a) No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station in distress of any means at its disposal to attract attention, make known its condition and location, and obtain assistance.

(b) No provision of these rules prevents the use by a station, in the exceptional circumstances described in paragraph (a) of this section, of any means of radiocommunications at its disposal to assist a station in distress.

"any means of radiocomunication(s)" is extremely broad and allows use of non-ham frequencies

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 12:25 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

>
>
> From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg
>
> > I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material
> > that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only
> > way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio
> > and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning
> > on a SHTF scenario.
>
> If you studied "the test material" enough to get a ham license, you should
> realize that there is NO rule exemption for “in an emergency” except those
> SPECIFICALLY written into the rules for each radio service.
>
> The ham technician class test materials include just a couple of questions
> related to Sections 97.401 through 97.405 -- which are the Amateur Radio
> rules for emergency operations. Those rules only apply to Amateur Radio
> Stations. An Amateur Radio Station is a station operating in the Amateur

> Radio Service. If you are operating on an non-amateur frequency, you are
> NOT an "Amateur Radio Station" - instead you are a station in whatever
> radio service owns the frequency you are using. In almost all cases, you
> are an unlicensed station operating illegally in violation of the rules of
> that radio service.
>
> 97.401 is not the “Get Out Of Jail Free” card that so many amateurs seem
> to think it is. It absolutely does not cover you using your radio on
> Police, Fire, GMRS, FRS, MURS, or other radio service frequencies. It does
> however cover an unlicensed operator who might use your radio on an amateur
> frequency in an actual emergency.
>
> The only FCC rule that covers emergency operations across other radio
> services is located in Part 2 as section 2.405
> 47 CFR 2.405 - OPERATION DURING EMERGENCY
> Operation during emergency.
> *The licensee of any station (except amateur, standard broadcast, FM*
> *broadcast, noncommercial educational FM broadcast, or television*
> *broadcast) may, during a period of emergency in which normal*
> *communication facilities are disrupted as a result of hurricane, flood,*
> *earthquake, or similar disaster, utilize such station for emergency*
> *communication service in communicating in a manner other than that*
> *specified in the instrument of authorization: Provided*:
> (a) That as soon as possible after the beginning of such emergency use,
> notice be sent to the Commission at Washington, D.C., and to the
> Engineer in Charge of the district in which the station is located, stating
> the nature of the emergency and the use to which the station is being
> put, and
> (b) That the emergency use of the station shall be discontinued as soon
> as substantially normal communication facilities are again available, and
> (c) That the Commission at Washington, D.C., and the Engineer in Charge
> shall be notified immediately when such special use of the station is
> terminated: Provided further,
> (d) *That in no event shall any station engage in emergency transmission*
> *on frequencies other than, or with power in excess of, that specified in*
> *the instrument of authorization or as otherwise expressly provided by the*
> *Commission, or by law*: And provided further,
> (e) That any such emergency communication undertaken under this
> section shall terminate upon order of the Commission.
>
>
>

37560. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 19:11:31 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 37320 Message: 37560

Sorry, but baofeng indicated that they did not make the gt-8

On Wed, Dec 4, 2013 at 12:04 AM, John <jl_wilkerson@...> wrote:

>
>
> It takes Baofeng (and all the others, for that matter)forever to update
> their web sites. It looks more rugged, and the Ebay seller indicates some
> circuit improvements.
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>
> wrote:
> >
> > I would not touch this radio period. It's not Baofeng at all. I always
> > cross check any "new" radio with the baofeng web site to be sure it's
> legit
> > and this one is not
> >
> >
>
>
>

37561. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 19:12:38 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 37320 Message: 37561

Impossible, Baofeng indicated that they do not make this model. the only one they have is a 400 MHz model. They do not have any new versions of radios like the yellow and black one.

On Wed, Dec 4, 2013 at 9:54 AM, Christopher Tenney <chris.tenney@...>wrote:

>
>
> I found this radio model # on the baofeng web site. On the home page. It
> was a rotating add.
>
>
>
> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@...m]
> *On Behalf Of *John
> *Sent:* Wednesday, December 04, 2013 12:05 AM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???
>
>
>
>
>
> It takes Baofeng (and all the others, for that matter)forever to update
> their web sites. It looks more rugged, and the Ebay seller indicates some
> circuit improvements.
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>
> wrote:
> >
> > I would not touch this radio period. It's not Baofeng at all. I always
> > cross check any "new" radio with the baofeng web site to be sure it's
> legit
> > and this one is not
> >
> >
>
>
>
> ------------------------------
> <http://www.avast.com/>
>
> This email is free from viruses and malware because avast!
Antivirus<http://www.avast.com/>protection is active.

>
>
>

37562. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 00:26:03 UTC
From: wombatsone
Topic: 36895 Message: 37562

Sweet they can all die who gives a toss.

If it is a genuine life or death situation just walk away you might get the authorities on ur back. oh no!

Move on for goodness sake

-------- Original message --------
From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@gmail.com>
Date: 06/12/2013 2:25 AM (GMT+08:00)
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg

> I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material
> that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only
> way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio
> and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning
> on a SHTF scenario.

If you studied "the test material" enough to get a ham license, you should realize that there is NO rule exemption for “in an emergency” except those SPECIFICALLY written into the rules for each radio service.

The ham technician class test materials include just a couple of questions related to Sections 97.401 through 97.405 -- which are the Amateur Radio rules for emergency operations. Those rules only apply to Amateur Radio Stations. An Amateur Radio Station is a station operating in the Amateur Radio Service. If you are operating on an non-amateur frequency, you are NOT an "Amateur Radio Station" - instead you are a station in whatever radio service owns the frequency you are using. In almost all cases, you are an unlicensed station operating illegally in violation of the rules of that radio service.

97.401 is not the “Get Out Of Jail Free” card that so many amateurs seem to think it is. It absolutely does not cover you using your radio on Police, Fire, GMRS, FRS, MURS, or other radio service frequencies. It does however cover an unlicensed operator who might use your radio on an amateur frequency in an actual emergency.

The only FCC rule that covers emergency operations across other radio services is located in Part 2 as section 2.405
47 CFR 2.405 - OPERATION DURING EMERGENCY
Operation during emergency.
The licensee of any station (except amateur, standard broadcast, FM broadcast, noncommercial educational FM broadcast, or television broadcast) may, during a period of emergency in which normal communication facilities are disrupted as a result of hurricane, flood, earthquake, or similar disaster, utilize such station for emergency

communication service in communicating in a manner other than that specified in the instrument of authorization: Provided:

(a) That as soon as possible after the beginning of such emergency use, notice be sent to the Commission at Washington, D.C., and to the Engineer in Charge of the district in which the station is located, stating the nature of the emergency and the use to which the station is being put, and

(b) That the emergency use of the station shall be discontinued as soon as substantially normal communication facilities are again available, and

(c) That the Commission at Washington, D.C., and the Engineer in Charge shall be notified immediately when such special use of the station is terminated: Provided further,

(d) That in no event shall any station engage in emergency transmission on frequencies other than, or with power in excess of, that specified in the instrument of authorization or as otherwise expressly provided by the Commission, or by law: And provided further,

(e) That any such emergency communication undertaken under this section shall terminate upon order of the Commission.

37563. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 00:26:56 UTC
From: Patrick lavallee
Topic: 36895 Message: 37563

Sorry, should have added I used the officer's rugged old motorola HT.
Patrick
Sent on the TELUS Mobility network with BlackBerry

-----Original Message-----
From: Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...>
Date: Fri, 6 Dec 2013 00:09:56
To: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 6:27 PM, Patrick lavallee <patlavallee1@...
<mailto:patlavallee1@...> > wrote:
I had to do this about 25 years ago, in a blackout. Officer on point duty hit by a eastbound car at a good rate of speed. I was first car waiting to go north.
I was amazed at the quick response time. Fortunately it happened out side of a Toronto ambulance station.
Patrick
Sent on the TELUS Mobility network with BlackBerry

-----Original Message-----
From: Scott KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@... <mailto:kb3jqq@...> >
Date: Thu, 5 Dec 2013 22:51:00
To: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com> >
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

"As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by passerby's picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an officer is down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq....."

Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was monitoring
that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy stuff, that was...

A ham license is an operator license and a station license. That is why a ham can use any equipment that will operate properly within the requirements of their license class. You as an operator, are required to make sure your equipment is operating properly.

The equipment used by a LEO (law enforcement officer) only requires a station license. That is why the equipment must be certificated for the service that it is used. The LEO is not licensed. The city, county, etc. is licensed. It doesn't matter who uses the equipment. I'm sure that if you grabbed a radio from a LEO and started talking on it, you would be in big trouble. But no FCC rules would be violated.

Jim KC9HI

37564. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 00:28:13 UTC
From: John W.
Topic: 37320 Message: 37564

Considering your track record of trolling, I would question that the sky is blue, if you said it was.

From: Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:11 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Sorry, but baofeng indicated that they did not make the gt-8

37566. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 01:14:05 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 37493 Message: 37566

People that call people "trolls" are worse. They fall back on the only thing they know when they have nothing constructive to say

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 5, 2013, at 18:21, "G. L. Clark" <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>
> our
>
>
> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:24 PM, G. L. Clark <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>
> Trolls are owr enemy.
>
>
> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:40 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@...> wrote:
>
> □
> SEARCH is your friend
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: G. L. Clark
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:25 PM
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>
>
> In what section of the user group web site is "replacement antennas" found? I would also like that info. Why re-invent the wheel if someone has already done it?
> Gary
> KJ6ONL
>
>
> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:20 PM, tracy neal <tneal901@...> wrote:
>
> Don't worry I am.
> Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
>
> From: Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@yahoo.com>;
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>;
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
> Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 9:14:33 PM
>

>
> This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe
>
>
> From: tracy neal <tneal901@...>
>
> This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group of adults
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37567. RE: Freq's for non Hamm users - the bottom line

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 01:19:00 UTC
From: Duane Vincent
Topic: 36895 Message: 37567

If you were to report on the police officer's radio "EMERGENCY - OFFICER DOWN" and relay the information to the dispatcher, you would be far more likely receive a certificate of commendation from the mayor and chief of police than to be subject to any criticism whatsoever.

I spent 15 years in county search and rescue, and had an issued callsign on the sheriff's radio frequency. Had I been confronted with a real emergency back in the days before cell phones, I could have also used the law enforcement regional network to call for emergency responders with my type accepted Part 90 radios without getting in any kind of trouble.

Currently, I have been issued a regional emergency trunked system callsign in connection with my volunteer status with the local police department. I draw their radio equipment when I go out on patrol.

37568. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 01:21:14 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 37320 Message: 37568

You sir are a real hoot. Read your statement. The sky is blue. You are the one who is calling people names because they have a different opinion than you therefore they are wrong

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 5, 2013, at 19:28, "John W." <jl_wilkerson@...> wrote:
>
>
> Considering your track record of trolling, I would question that the sky is blue, if you said it was.
> From: Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:11 PM
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???
>
>
>
> Sorry, but baofeng indicated that they did not make the gt-8
>
>
>
>

37570. Re: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 01:29:59 UTC
From: K5DDJ
Topic: 37493 Message: 37570

I was first licensed in 1955 after passing my novice test in front of a FCC examiner. In all that time to present I have never before heard the term "hammer" used to refer to a ham radio operator. I guess I missed it somewhere along the line.....Harvey, K5DDJ [still an Advanced class (no longer available) ham that actually had to study, learn and then pass a test to become an amateur radio operator.]

37571. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 01:30:20 UTC
From: <zaphodb777@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37571

I fail to understand what you mean about "partially legally". Either you are legal, or you are being a scofflaw. I agree, that it can seem pretty harsh in here, but honestly, the hams in here are nothing compared to the violation you will feel if the FCC grabs you. With their current apparatus, and assistance from the NSA, as soon as they know to look for you, they will have your transmissions triangulated to within a couple of blocks. On the other hand, it is a possibility the volunteer monitors will RDF your site and report you. Yes, it seems very unfriendly.

ON THE OTHER HAND... If you have any business asking questions in this forum, or the intellect to understand the real answers... You probably are smart enough to get your Technician Class license. Study guides are available free on the net, and so are some study aids. I personally like hamexam.org. Between the flash cards on their site (do register, so you can track your performance), and the practice exams, I was able to get my Extra Class license in 2 weeks, using only a couple cramming hours a night (I already understood electronics, and radio theory well). Anything I did not understand in the flash-cards, I went over to Wikipedia and just read the article on it. Remember, all you really have to do to make that radio totally legal in your hands is pass element 2, technician.

Can hams feel hostile? HEDOUBLEHOCKEYSTICKS YES! For years, with my knowledge of theory and electronics, I was kept out of ham radio by one of the most lame reasons to exclude someone from any activity requiring intelligence... That being the ability to play drums, jungle drums, namely Morse Code. Thankfully, the FCC saw the light, and dropped this antiquated, talent requiring maleficence from what should be a pure hobby of intelligence. CW may work a lot of the time when voice can't, but Olivia MFSK can work 10dB below the noise floor. One takes a steady hand and the ability to keep a beat, the other one a computer, and raw intelligence. So yes, I agree, hams may seem hostile, and I still hold a grudge over CW (although I am still trying my best to learn it), so I am not doing any better by not dropping my grudge.

Please understand however, these vast bands we hams have been given, were given to us on the grounds we would self police them, and exhibit the best in gentlemanly (or gentlewomanly) behavior. One part of that is showing we have the intelligence to understand how it works, so we do not violate our constraints. The other part is to be registered, so that if we misbehave, it is a simple matter to provide corrective action and keep the bands enjoyable for those of us who do behave. These are not self made rules, they are rules levied against us by the governments in the places we operate. So please, don't take the heavy handed guarding of our hobby as a personal affront. Take a few hours your life to better your standing in the wireless community and become licensed, and join us in one of the fastest growing hobbies in the world.

Hope to see you on the air soon (legally), 73!
AE7EC, USA Extra Class Operator, and Volunteer Examiner

(quoted for context)
---snip---
I wonder how many people have beed driven away - from either amateur radio

in general or from taking steps to use their rig safely and at least partly legally - because of the attitudes they encounter here?

Can't we just be nice to people?
---snip---

37572. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 01:35:30 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37572

and i really dont know an agency that is going to get upset with anyone
helping an officer down--

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 7:13 PM, Jim Henry <jhenry@...> wrote:

>
>
> Hopefully this will always be a very rare situation but if you
> find yourself in such a case this might help. In my dept (and I
> assume most others) we have a small red button on the top of our
> Moto handhelds that sends "Officer Down" to the county emergency
> services center. Push that button and EVERYTHING STOPS county
> wide until the officer is located. Do that first, then voice in.
>
>
> ---
> Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
> jhenry@... jameshenry@...
> www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
> GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
> "Imagine a world with no hypothetical situations."
>
> On Thu Dec 05 17:03:30 CST 2013, Timothy-Allen Albertson
> <kd0oia@...> wrote:
>
> most famous use of police radio by a civillian was in Dallas,
> Texas
> on 22 November 1963 when a civillian used the radio to report
> Officer
> JD Tippit was down having been shot
>
> 72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
> KD?OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>
>
> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 4:51 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > "As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by
> > passerby's
> > picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an

> > officer is
> > down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq....."
> >
> > Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was
> > monitoring
> > that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy
> > stuff,
> > that was...
> >
> >
>
>
>

37573. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 01:38:39 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37573

i wish the Extra exam was all regs---i could pass it alot faster than it
seems to
be taking me

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 7:30 PM, <zaphodb777@...> wrote:

>
>
> I fail to understand what you mean about "partially legally". Either you
> are legal, or you are being a scofflaw. I agree, that it can seem pretty
> harsh in here, but honestly, the hams in here are nothing compared to the
> violation you will feel if the FCC grabs you. With their current apparatus,
> and assistance from the NSA, as soon as they know to look for you, they
> will have your transmissions triangulated to within a couple of blocks. On
> the other hand, it is a possibility the volunteer monitors will RDF your
> site and report you. Yes, it seems very unfriendly.
>
> ON THE OTHER HAND... If you have any business asking questions in this
> forum, or the intellect to understand the real answers... You probably are
> smart enough to get your Technician Class license. Study guides are
> available free on the net, and so are some study aids. I personally like
> hamexam.org. Between the flash cards on their site (do register, so you
> can track your performance), and the practice exams, I was able to get my
> Extra Class license in 2 weeks, using only a couple cramming hours a night
> (I already understood electronics, and radio theory well). Anything I did
> not understand in the flash-cards, I went over to Wikipedia and just read
> the article on it. Remember, all you really have to do to make that radio
> totally legal in your hands is pass element 2, technician.
>
>
> Can hams feel hostile? HEDOUBLEHOCKEYSTICKS YES! For years, with my
> knowledge of theory and electronics, I was kept out of ham radio by one of
> the most lame reasons to exclude someone from any activity requiring
> intelligence... That being the ability to play drums, jungle drums, namely
> Morse Code. Thankfully, the FCC saw the light, and dropped this antiquated,
> talent requiring maleficence from what should be a pure hobby of
> intelligence. CW may work a lot of the time when voice can't, but Olivia
> MFSK can work 10dB below the noise floor. One takes a steady hand and the
> ability to keep a beat, the other one a computer, and raw intelligence. So
> yes, I agree, hams may seem hostile, and I still hold a grudge over CW
> (although I am still trying my best to learn it), so I am not doing any
> better by not dropping my grudge.
>

>
> Please understand however, these vast bands we hams have been given, were
> given to us on the grounds we would self police them, and exhibit the best
> in gentlemanly (or gentlewomanly) behavior. One part of that is showing we
> have the intelligence to understand how it works, so we do not violate our
> constraints. The other part is to be registered, so that if we misbehave,
> it is a simple matter to provide corrective action and keep the bands
> enjoyable for those of us who do behave. These are not self made rules,
> they are rules levied against us by the governments in the places we
> operate. So please, don't take the heavy handed guarding of our hobby as a
> personal affront. Take a few hours your life to better your standing in the
> wireless community and become licensed, and join us in one of the fastest
> growing hobbies in the world.
>
> Hope to see you on the air soon (legally), 73!
> AE7EC, USA Extra Class Operator, and Volunteer Examiner
>
> (quoted for context)
> ---snip---
> I wonder how many people have beed driven away - from either amateur radio
> in general or from taking steps to use their rig safely and at least partly
> legally - because of the attitudes they encounter here?
>
> Can't we just be nice to people?
> ---snip---
>
>
>

37574. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users - the bottom line

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 01:46:00 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37574

i agree---and then render such first aid to the officer as one knows

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 7:19 PM, Duane Vincent <ka7jex@...> wrote:

>
>
> If you were to report on the police officer's radio "EMERGENCY - OFFICER
> DOWN" and relay the information to the dispatcher, you would be far more
> likely receive a certificate of commendation from the mayor and chief of
> police than to be subject to any criticism whatsoever.
>
> I spent 15 years in county search and rescue, and had an issued callsign
> on the sheriff's radio frequency. Had I been confronted with a real
> emergency back in the days before cell phones, I could have also used the
> law enforcement regional network to call for emergency responders with my
> type accepted Part 90 radios without getting in any kind of trouble.
>
> Currently, I have been issued a regional emergency trunked system callsign
> in connection with my volunteer status with the local police department. I
> draw their radio equipment when I go out on patrol.
>
>

37575. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 01:46:12 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 36895 Message: 37575

Ha ha typical

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 5, 2013, at 20:38, Timothy-Allen Albertson <kd0oia@...> wrote:
>
> i wish the Extra exam was all regs---i could pass it alot faster than it seems to
> be taking me
>
> 72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
> KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>
>
>
>> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 7:30 PM, <zaphodb777@...> wrote:
>>
>> I fail to understand what you mean about "partially legally". Either you are legal, or you are being a scofflaw. I agree, that it can seem pretty harsh in here, but honestly, the hams in here are nothing compared to the violation you will feel if the FCC grabs you. With their current apparatus, and assistance from the NSA, as soon as they know to look for you, they will have your transmissions triangulated to within a couple of blocks. On the other hand, it is a possibility the volunteer monitors will RDF your site and report you. Yes, it seems very unfriendly.
>>
>> ON THE OTHER HAND... If you have any business asking questions in this forum, or the intellect to understand the real answers... You probably are smart enough to get your Technician Class license. Study guides are available free on the net, and so are some study aids. I personally like hamexam.org. Between the flash cards on their site (do register, so you can track your performance), and the practice exams, I was able to get my Extra Class license in 2 weeks, using only a couple cramming hours a night (I already understood electronics, and radio theory well). Anything I did not understand in the flash-cards, I went over to Wikipedia and just read the article on it. Remember, all you really have to do to make that radio totally legal in your hands is pass element 2, technician.
>>
>>
>>
>> Can hams feel hostile? HEDOUBLEHOCKEYSTICKS YES! For years, with my knowledge of theory and electronics, I was kept out of ham radio by one of the most lame reasons to exclude someone from any activity requiring intelligence... That being the ability to play drums, jungle drums, namely Morse Code. Thankfully, the FCC saw the light, and dropped this antiquated, talent requiring maleficence from what should be a pure hobby of intelligence. CW may work a lot of the time when voice can't, but Olivia MFSK can work 10dB below the noise floor. One takes a steady hand and the ability to keep a beat, the other one a computer, and raw intelligence. So yes, I agree, hams may seem hostile, and I still hold a grudge over CW (although I am still trying my best to learn it), so I am not doing any better by not dropping my grudge.
>>
>>
>>

>> Please understand however, these vast bands we hams have been given, were given to us on the grounds we would self police them, and exhibit the best in gentlemanly (or gentlewomanly) behavior. One part of that is showing we have the intelligence to understand how it works, so we do not violate our constraints. The other part is to be registered, so that if we misbehave, it is a simple matter to provide corrective action and keep the bands enjoyable for those of us who do behave. These are not self made rules, they are rules levied against us by the governments in the places we operate. So please, don't take the heavy handed guarding of our hobby as a personal affront. Take a few hours your life to better your standing in the wireless community and become licensed, and join us in one of the fastest growing hobbies in the world.
>>
>> Hope to see you on the air soon (legally), 73!
>> AE7EC, USA Extra Class Operator, and Volunteer Examiner
>>
>>
>> (quoted for context)
>> ---snip---
>> I wonder how many people have beed driven away - from either amateur radio
>> in general or from taking steps to use their rig safely and at least partly
>> legally - because of the attitudes they encounter here?
>>
>> Can't we just be nice to people?
>> ---snip---
>
>

37576. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 01:46:25 UTC
From: G. L. Clark
Topic: 37493 Message: 37576

bull, a troll is a troll.

On Thursday, December 5, 2013 5:14 PM, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@...> wrote:

People that call people "trolls" are worse. They fall back on the only thing they know when they have nothing constructive to say

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 5, 2013, at 18:21, "G. L. Clark" <scout8004by@...> wrote:

>our
>
>
>
>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:24 PM, G. L. Clark <scout8004by@...m> wrote:
>
>
>Trolls are owr enemy.
>
>
>
>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:40 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@...> wrote:
>
>
>□
>SEARCH is your friend
>
>----- Original Message -----
>>From: G. L. Clark
>>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>>Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:25 PM
>>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>>
>>
>>In what section of the user group web site is "replacement antennas" found? I would also like that info. Why re-invent the wheel if someone has already done it?
>>Gary
>>KJ6ONL
>>
>>
>>
>>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:20 PM, tracy neal <tneal901@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>

>>
>>Don't worry I am.
>>Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
>>
>>
>>
>>_____
>>From: Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>;
>>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>;
>>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>>Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 9:14:33 PM
>>
>>
>>
>>This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>_____
>>From: tracy neal <tneal901@...>
>>
>>This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group of adults
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

37577. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 01:52:17 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37577

maybe so, but it does have the advantage of being able to disregard some of the idiotic opinions on regulatory issues seen on this list

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 7:46 PM, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@...> wrote:

>
>
> Ha ha typical
>
> Ernie
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Dec 5, 2013, at 20:38, Timothy-Allen Albertson <kd0oia@...>
> wrote:
>
>
>
> i wish the Extra exam was all regs---i could pass it alot faster than it
> seems to
> be taking me
>
> 72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
> KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>
>
>
> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 7:30 PM, <zaphodb777@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> I fail to understand what you mean about "partially legally". Either you
>> are legal, or you are being a scofflaw. I agree, that it can seem pretty
>> harsh in here, but honestly, the hams in here are nothing compared to the
>> violation you will feel if the FCC grabs you. With their current apparatus,
>> and assistance from the NSA, as soon as they know to look for you, they
>> will have your transmissions triangulated to within a couple of blocks. On
>> the other hand, it is a possibility the volunteer monitors will RDF your
>> site and report you. Yes, it seems very unfriendly.
>>
>> ON THE OTHER HAND... If you have any business asking questions in this
>> forum, or the intellect to understand the real answers... You probably are

>> smart enough to get your Technician Class license. Study guides are
>> available free on the net, and so are some study aids. I personally like
>> hamexam.org. Between the flash cards on their site (do register, so you
>> can track your performance), and the practice exams, I was able to get my
>> Extra Class license in 2 weeks, using only a couple cramming hours a night
>> (I already understood electronics, and radio theory well). Anything I did
>> not understand in the flash-cards, I went over to Wikipedia and just read
>> the article on it. Remember, all you really have to do to make that radio
>> totally legal in your hands is pass element 2, technician.
>>
>>
>> Can hams feel hostile? HEDOUBLEHOCKEYSTICKS YES! For years, with my
>> knowledge of theory and electronics, I was kept out of ham radio by one of
>> the most lame reasons to exclude someone from any activity requiring
>> intelligence... That being the ability to play drums, jungle drums, namely
>> Morse Code. Thankfully, the FCC saw the light, and dropped this antiquated,
>> talent requiring maleficence from what should be a pure hobby of
>> intelligence. CW may work a lot of the time when voice can't, but Olivia
>> MFSK can work 10dB below the noise floor. One takes a steady hand and the
>> ability to keep a beat, the other one a computer, and raw intelligence. So
>> yes, I agree, hams may seem hostile, and I still hold a grudge over CW
>> (although I am still trying my best to learn it), so I am not doing any
>> better by not dropping my grudge.
>>
>>
>> Please understand however, these vast bands we hams have been given, were
>> given to us on the grounds we would self police them, and exhibit the best
>> in gentlemanly (or gentlewomanly) behavior. One part of that is showing we
>> have the intelligence to understand how it works, so we do not violate our
>> constraints. The other part is to be registered, so that if we misbehave,
>> it is a simple matter to provide corrective action and keep the bands
>> enjoyable for those of us who do behave. These are not self made rules,
>> they are rules levied against us by the governments in the places we
>> operate. So please, don't take the heavy handed guarding of our hobby as a
>> personal affront. Take a few hours your life to better your standing in the
>> wireless community and become licensed, and join us in one of the fastest
>> growing hobbies in the world.
>>
>> Hope to see you on the air soon (legally), 73!
>> AE7EC, USA Extra Class Operator, and Volunteer Examiner
>>
>> (quoted for context)
>> ---snip---
>> I wonder how many people have beed driven away - from either amateur radio
>> in general or from taking steps to use their rig safely and at least
>> partly
>> legally - because of the attitudes they encounter here?
>>
>> Can't we just be nice to people?

>> ---snip---
>>
>>
>
>

37578. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 01:54:24 UTC
From: John R. Strohm
Topic: 37493 Message: 37578

You and me both.

First licensed Novice in the mid-1970s, Tech almost immediately afterwards, Advanced in the mid-‘80s, and finally made Amateur Extra in 2003.

Never heard the term “hammer”.

From: K5DDJ
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 7:29 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Replacement antenna

I was first licensed in 1955 after passing my novice test in front of a FCC examiner. In all that time to present I have never before heard the term "hammer" used to refer to a ham radio operator. I guess I missed it somewhere along the line.....Harvey, K5DDJ [still an Advanced class (no longer available) ham that actually had to study, learn and then pass a test to become an amateur radio operator.]

37579. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Digest Number 2686

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 01:56:14 UTC
From: Todd Bloomingdale
Topic: 37579 Message: 37579

I feel I'm in grade school on the playground. Grow up people and let's act like mature adult amateur radio operators. Yes I was a newbie and asked alot of questions. But you know what, nobody doesn't learns anything by keeping their mouth shut...

Todd Bloomingdale, KC9LOX
Tomah, WI
On Dec 5, 2013 7:46 PM, <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

> [image: Yahoo! Groups] <http://groups.yahoo.com/;_ylc=X3oDMTJlbDFhbXI3BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNnZnAEc3RpbWUDMTM4NjI5NDQwMg-->
> Baofeng UV-5R Group <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r;_ylc=X3oDMTJlY2wxOHMzBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDaGRyBHNsawNocGgEc3RpbWUDMTM4NjI5NDQwMQ-->
> 15 New Messages
> Digest #2686
> 1.1
> Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <#142c595f54b4c5c2_1.1> by "wombatsone"
> wombatsone
> 1.2
> Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <#142c595f54b4c5c2_1.2> by "Patrick
> lavallee" plavallee@rogers.com
> 1.3
> Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <#142c595f54b4c5c2_1.3> by "Jim Henry"
> jimhenry2000
> 1.4
> Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <#142c595f54b4c5c2_1.4> by "Timothy-Allen
> Albertson"
> 2a
> Re: new GT-3??? <#142c595f54b4c5c2_2a> by "John W." kd8dvr
> 2b
> Re: new GT-3??? <#142c595f54b4c5c2_2b> by "Ernie Rice" radiotech750
> 3.1
> Re: Replacement antenna <#142c595f54b4c5c2_3.1> by "Ernie Rice"
> radiotech750
> 3.2
> Re: Replacement antenna <#142c595f54b4c5c2_3.2> by "K5DDJ" k5ddj
> 3.3
> Re: Replacement antenna <#142c595f54b4c5c2_3.3> by "G. L. Clark"
> scout8004by
> 4.1
> Re: Freq's for non Hamm users - the bottom line <#142c595f54b4c5c2_4.1> by
> "Duane Vincent" ka7jex
> 4.2
> Re: Freq's for non Hamm users - the bottom line <#142c595f54b4c5c2_4.2> by

> "Timothy-Allen Albertson"
> 5.1
> Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <#142c595f54b4c5c2_5.1> by "Jim
> Henry" jimhenry2000
> 5.2
> Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <#142c595f54b4c5c2_5.2> by zaphodb777
> 5.3
> Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <#142c595f54b4c5c2_5.3> by
> "Timothy-Allen Albertson"
> 5.4
> Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <#142c595f54b4c5c2_5.4> by "Ernie
> Rice" radiotech750
>
> Messages
> 1.1 Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37562;_ylc=X3oDMTJzYmxyZDczBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2MgRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy>
> Thu Dec 5, 2013 4:26 pm (PST) . Posted by: "wombatsone" wombatsone
<wombatsone@...?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F>
> Sweet they can all die who gives a toss.
>
> If it is a genuine life or death situation just walk away you might get
> the authorities on ur back. oh no!
>
> Move on for goodness sake
>
> -------- Original message --------
> From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>
> Date: 06/12/2013 2:25 AM (GMT+08:00)
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
> From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg
>
> > I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material
> > that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only
> > way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio
> > and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning
> > on a SHTF scenario.
>
> If you studied "the test material" enough to get a ham license, you should
> realize that there is NO rule exemption for “in an emergency” except those
> SPECIFICALLY written into the rules for each radio service.
>
> The ham technician class test materials include just a couple of questions
> related to Sections 97.401 through 97.405 -- which are the Amateur Radio
> rules for emergency operations. Those rules only apply to Amateur Radio
> Stations. An Amateur Radio Station is a station operating in the Amateur

> Radio Service. If you are operating on an non-amateur frequency, you are
> NOT an "Amateur Radio Station" - instead you are a station in whatever
> radio service owns the frequency you are using. In almost all cases, you
> are an unlicensed station operating illegally in violation of the rules of
> that radio service.
>
> 97.401 is not the “Get Out Of Jail Free” card that so many amateurs seem
> to think it is. It absolutely does not cover you using your radio on
> Police, Fire, GMRS, FRS, MURS, or other radio service frequencies. It does
> however cover an unlicensed operator who might use your radio on an amateur
> frequency in an actual emergency.
>
> The only FCC rule that covers emergency operations across other radio
> services is located in Part 2 as section 2.405
> 47 CFR 2.405 - OPERATION DURING EMERGENCY
> Operation during emergency.
> The licensee of any station (except amateur, standard broadcast, FM
> broadcast, noncommercial educational FM broadcast, or television
> broadcast) may, during a period of emergency in which normal
> communication facilities are disrupted as a result of hurricane, flood,
> earthquake, or similar disaster, utilize such station for emergency
> communication service in communicating in a manner other than that
> specified in the instrument of authorization: Provided:
> (a) That as soon as possible after the beginning of such emergency use,
> notice be sent to the Commission at Washington, D.C., and to the
> Engineer in Charge of the district in which the station is located, stating
> the nature of the emergency and the use to which the station is being
> put, and
> (b) That the emergency use of the station shall be discontinued as soon
> as substantially normal communication facilities are again available, and
> (c) That the Commission at Washington, D.C., and the Engineer in Charge
> shall be notified immediately when such special use of the station is
> terminated: Provided further,
> (d) That in no event shall any station engage in emergency transmission
> on frequencies other than, or with power in excess of, that specified in
> the instrument of authorization or as otherwise expressly provided by the
> Commission, or by law: And provided further,
> (e) That any such emergency communication undertaken under this
> section shall terminate upon order of the Commission.
>
> Reply to sender <wombatsone@...?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F> . Reply to group <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F> . Reply via Web Post <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJzZ2lsbGpwBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2MgRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDcnBseQRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy?act=reply&messageNum=37562> . All Messages (189) <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/36895;_ylc=X3oDMTM4NmpxYnFxBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2MgRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdnRwYwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAyBHRwY0lkAzM2ODk1> . Top

> ^ <#142c595f54b4c5c2_toc>
> 1.2 Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37563;_ylc=X3oDMTJzMHYwM2FzBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2MwRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy>
> Thu Dec 5, 2013 4:26 pm (PST) . Posted by: "Patrick lavallee"
> plavallee@... <patlavallee1@...?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F>
> Sorry, should have added I used the officer's rugged old motorola HT.
> Patrick
> Sent on the TELUS Mobility network with BlackBerry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...>
> Date: Fri, 6 Dec 2013 00:09:56
> To: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 6:27 PM, Patrick lavallee <patlavallee1@...<mailto:
> patlavallee1@...> > wrote:
> I had to do this about 25 years ago, in a blackout. Officer on point duty
> hit by a eastbound car at a good rate of speed. I was first car waiting to
> go north.
> I was amazed at the quick response time. Fortunately it happened out side
> of a Toronto ambulance station.
> Patrick
> Sent on the TELUS Mobility network with BlackBerry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Scott KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@... <mailto:kb3jqq@...> >
> Date: Thu, 5 Dec 2013 22:51:00
> To: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com> >
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by passerby's
> picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an officer is
> down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq....."
>
> Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was monitoring
> that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy stuff,
> that was...

>
> A ham license is an operator license and a station license. That is why a
> ham can use any equipment that will operate properly within the
> requirements of their license class. You as an operator, are required to
> make sure your equipment is operating properly.
>
>
> The equipment used by a LEO (law enforcement officer) only requires a
> station license. That is why the equipment must be certificated for the
> service that it is used. The LEO is not licensed. The city, county, etc. is
> licensed. It doesn't matter who uses the equipment. I'm sure that if you
> grabbed a radio from a LEO and started talking on it, you would be in big
> trouble. But no FCC rules would be violated.
>
>
> Jim KC9HI
>
> Reply to sender <patlavallee1@...?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F> . Reply to group
<baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F> . Reply via Web Post <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJzNm9kNThqBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2MwRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDcnBseQRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy?act=reply&messageNum=37563> . All Messages (189) <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/36895;_ylc=X3oDMTM4OGFxM3B2BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2MwRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdnRwYwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAyBHRwY0lkAzM2ODk1> . Top
> ^ <#142c595f54b4c5c2_toc>
> 1.3 Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37565;_ylc=X3oDMTJzZXMyZG1hBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2NQRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy>
> Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:13 pm (PST) . Posted by: "Jim Henry" jimhenry2000
<jhenry@...?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F>
> Hopefully this will always be a very rare situation but if you
> find yourself in such a case this might help. In my dept (and I
> assume most others) we have a small red button on the top of our
> Moto handhelds that sends "Officer Down" to the county emergency
> services center. Push that button and EVERYTHING STOPS county
> wide until the officer is located. Do that first, then voice in.
>
> ---
> Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
> jhenry@... jameshenry@...
> www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
> GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
> "Imagine a world with no hypothetical situations."
>
> On Thu Dec 05 17:03:30 CST 2013, Timothy-Allen Albertson
> <kd0oia@...> wrote:
>

> most famous use of police radio by a civillian was in Dallas,
> Texas
> on 22 November 1963 when a civillian used the radio to report
> Officer
> JD Tippit was down having been shot
>
> 72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
> KD?OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>
> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 4:51 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > "As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by
> > passerby's
> > picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an
> > officer is
> > down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq....."
> >
> > Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was
> > monitoring
> > that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy
> > stuff,
> > that was...
> >
> >
>
> Reply to sender <jhenry@...?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F> . Reply to group <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F> . Reply via Web Post <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJzZ283MjNoBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2NQRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDcnBseQRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy?act=reply&messageNum=37565> . All Messages (189) <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/36895;_ylc=X3oDMTM4N2F0OHJjBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2NQRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdnRwYwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAyBHRwY0lkAzM2ODk1> . Top
> ^ <#142c595f54b4c5c2_toc>
> 1.4 Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37572;_ylc=X3oDMTJzMHBxbHVxBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU3MgRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy>
> Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:35 pm (PST) . Posted by: "Timothy-Allen Albertson"
<kd0oia@...?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F>
> and i really dont know an agency that is going to get upset with anyone
> helping an officer down--
>
> 72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
> KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>
> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 7:13 PM, Jim Henry <jhenry@...> wrote:

>
> >
> >
> > Hopefully this will always be a very rare situation but if you
> > find yourself in such a case this might help. In my dept (and I
> > assume most others) we have a small red button on the top of our
> > Moto handhelds that sends "Officer Down" to the county emergency
> > services center. Push that button and EVERYTHING STOPS county
> > wide until the officer is located. Do that first, then voice in.
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
> > jhenry@... jameshenry@...
> > www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
> > GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
> > "Imagine a world with no hypothetical situations."
> >
> > On Thu Dec 05 17:03:30 CST 2013, Timothy-Allen Albertson
> > <kd0oia@...> wrote:
> >
> > most famous use of police radio by a civillian was in Dallas,
> > Texas
> > on 22 November 1963 when a civillian used the radio to report
> > Officer
> > JD Tippit was down having been shot
> >
> > 72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
> > KD?OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
> >
> >
> > On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 4:51 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > "As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by
> > > passerby's
> > > picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an
> > > officer is
> > > down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq....."
> > >
> > > Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was
> > > monitoring
> > > that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy
> > > stuff,
> > > that was...
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
> >
> Reply to sender <kd0oia@...?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F> . Reply to group <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F> . Reply via Web Post <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJzZnI1ZXNtBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU3MgRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDcnBseQRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy?act=reply&messageNum=37572> . All Messages (189) <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/36895;_ylc=X3oDMTM4dXJ2bGUwBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU3MgRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdnRwYwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAyBHRwY0lkAzM2ODk1> . Top
> ^ <#142c595f54b4c5c2_toc>
> 2a Re: new GT-3??? <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37564;_ylc=X3oDMTJzZGFiaGgxBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2NARzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy>
> Thu Dec 5, 2013 4:28 pm (PST) . Posted by: "John W." kd8dvr <jl_wilkerson@...?subject=Re%3A%20new%20GT-3%3F%3F%3F>
>
>
> Considering your track record of trolling, I would question that the sky
> is blue, if you said it was.
>
> _____
> From: Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:11 PM
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???
>
>
> Sorry, but baofeng indicated that they did not make the gt-8
> Reply to sender <jl_wilkerson@...?subject=Re%3A%20new%20GT-3%3F%3F%3F> . Reply to group <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com?subject=Re%3A%20new%20GT-3%3F%3F%3F> . Reply via Web Post <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJzaWxqbGdkBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2NARzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDcnBseQRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy?act=reply&messageNum=37564> . All Messages (21) <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37320;_ylc=X3oDMTM4cjlmZzViBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2NARzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdnRwYwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAyBHRwY0lkAzM3MzIw> . Top
> ^ <#142c595f54b4c5c2_toc>
> 2b Re: new GT-3??? <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37568;_ylc=X3oDMTJzMTVjMWw5BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2OARzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy>
> Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:21 pm (PST) . Posted by: "Ernie Rice" radiotech750 <ranger2995@...?subject=Re%3A%20new%20GT-3%3F%3F%3F>
> You sir are a real hoot. Read your statement. The sky is blue. You are
> the one who is calling people names because they have a different opinion
> than you therefore they are wrong
>
> Ernie

>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> > On Dec 5, 2013, at 19:28, "John W." <jl_wilkerson@att.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Considering your track record of trolling, I would question that the sky
> is blue, if you said it was.
> > From: Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>
> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:11 PM
> > Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???
> >
> >
> >
> > Sorry, but baofeng indicated that they did not make the gt-8
> >
> >
> >
> >
> Reply to sender <ranger2995@...?subject=Re%3A%20new%20GT-3%3F%3F%3F> . Reply to group
<baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com?subject=Re%3A%20new%20GT-3%3F%3F%3F> . Reply via Web Post <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJzazh1MHB0BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2OARzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDcnBseQRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy?act=reply&messageNum=37568> . All Messages (21) <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37320;_ylc=X3oDMTM4MXNjNDk3BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2OARzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdnRwYwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAyBHRwY0lkAzM3MzIw> . Top
> ^ <#142c595f54b4c5c2_toc>
> 3.1 Re: Replacement antenna <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37566;_ylc=X3oDMTJzM3RndmxiBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2NgRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy>
> Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:14 pm (PST) . Posted by: "Ernie Rice" radiotech750
<ranger2995@...?subject=Re%3A%20Replacement%20antenna>
> People that call people "trolls" are worse. They fall back on the only
> thing they know when they have nothing constructive to say
>
> Ernie
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> > On Dec 5, 2013, at 18:21, "G. L. Clark" <scout8004by@...> wrote:
> >
> > our
> >
> >
> > On Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:24 PM, G. L. Clark <scout8004by@
> yahoo.com> wrote:
> >

> > Trolls are owr enemy.
> >
> >
> > On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:40 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@zoominter
> net.net> wrote:
> >
> > □
> > SEARCH is your friend
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: G. L. Clark
> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:25 PM
> > Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
> >
> >
> > In what section of the user group web site is "replacement antennas"
> found? I would also like that info. Why re-invent the wheel if someone has
> already done it?
> > Gary
> > KJ6ONL
> >
> >
> > On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:20 PM, tracy neal <tneal901@...>
> wrote:
> >
> > Don't worry I am.
> > Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
> >
> > From: Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>;
> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>;
> > Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
> > Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 9:14:33 PM
> >
> >
> > This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe
> >
> >
> > From: tracy neal <tneal901@...>
> >
> > This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group
> of adults
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> Reply to sender <ranger2995@...?subject=Re%3A%20Replacement%20antenna> . Reply to group
<baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com?subject=Re%3A%20Replacement%20antenna> . Reply via Web Post <http://grou
ps.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJzYzRoZzdmBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQw
BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2NgRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDcnBseQRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk
0NDAy?act=reply&messageNum=37566> . All Messages (35) <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message
/37493;_ylc=X3oDMTM4ZHRnbjdkBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2Mz
EwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2NgRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdnRwYwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAyBHRwY0lkAzM3NDkz> .
Top
> ^ <#142c595f54b4c5c2_toc>
> 3.2 Re: Replacement antenna <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37570;_ylc=X3oDMTJzZHQy
M2YwBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU3MA
RzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy>
> Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:29 pm (PST) . Posted by: "K5DDJ" k5ddj
<k5ddj@...?subject=Re%3A%20Replacement%20antenna>
> I was first licensed in 1955 after passing my novice test in front of a
> FCC
> examiner. In all that time to present I have never before heard the term
> "hammer" used to
> refer to a ham radio operator. I guess I missed it somewhere along the
> line.....Harvey, K5DDJ [still an Advanced class (no
> longer
> available) ham that actually had to study, learn and then pass a test to
> become an
> amateur radio operator.]
> Reply to sender <k5ddj@...?subject=Re%3A%20Replacement%20antenna> . Reply to group
<baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com?subject=Re%3A%20Replacement%20antenna> . Reply via Web Post <http://grou
ps.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJzaDIwdWVpBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQw
BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU3MARzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDcnBseQRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mj
k0NDAy?act=reply&messageNum=37570> . All Messages (35) <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/messag
e/37493;_ylc=X3oDMTM4Y3VnY2VyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2
MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU3MARzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdnRwYwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAyBHRwY0lkAzM3NDkz
> . Top
> ^ <#142c595f54b4c5c2_toc>
> 3.3 Re: Replacement antenna <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37576;_ylc=X3oDMTJzMjk1a
nNnBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU3NgRz
ZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy>
> Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:46 pm (PST) . Posted by: "G. L. Clark" scout8004by
<scout8004by@...?subject=Re%3A%20Replacement%20antenna>
> bull, a troll is a troll.
>
> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 5:14 PM, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@gmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>
> People that call people "trolls" are worse. They fall back on the only
> thing they know when they have nothing constructive to say
>

> Ernie
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Dec 5, 2013, at 18:21, "G. L. Clark" <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>
>
> >our
> >
> >
> >
> >On Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:24 PM, G. L. Clark <scout8004by@...>
> wrote:
> >
> >
> >Trolls are owr enemy.
> >
> >
> >
> >On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:40 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@zoominter
> net.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> >□
> >SEARCH is your friend
> >
> >----- Original Message -----
> >>From: G. L. Clark
> >>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> >>Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:25 PM
> >>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
> >>
> >>
> >>In what section of the user group web site is "replacement antennas"
> found? I would also like that info. Why re-invent the wheel if someone
> has already done it?
> >>Gary
> >>KJ6ONL
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:20 PM, tracy neal <tneal901@...>
> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>Don't worry I am.
> >>Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
> >>
> >>

> >>
> >>_____
> >>From: Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>;
> >>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>;
> >>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
> >>Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 9:14:33 PM
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>_____
> >>From: tracy neal <tneal901@...>
> >>
> >>This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group
> of adults
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> Reply to sender <scout8004by@...?subject=Re%3A%20Replacement%20antenna> . Reply to group
<baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com?subject=Re%3A%20Replacement%20antenna> . Reply via Web Post <http://grou
ps.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJzMTJ2cjdqBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwB
GdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU3NgRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDcnBseQRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0
NDAy?act=reply&messageNum=37576> . All Messages (35) <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/
37493;_ylc=X3oDMTM4azIzZWIpBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzE
wOARtc2dJZAMzNzU3NgRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdnRwYwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAyBHRwY0lkAzM3NDkz> .
Top
> ^ <#142c595f54b4c5c2_toc>
> 4.1 Re: Freq's for non Hamm users - the bottom line <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37567;
_ylc=X3oDMTJzbmVyc2sxBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARt
c2dJZAMzNzU2NwRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy>
> Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:19 pm (PST) . Posted by: "Duane Vincent" ka7jex
<ka7jex@...?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%20-%20the%20bottom%20line>
> If you were to report on the police officer's radio "EMERGENCY - OFFICER
> DOWN" and relay the information to the dispatcher, you would be far more
> likely receive a certificate of commendation from the mayor and chief of
> police than to be subject to any criticism whatsoever.
>
> I spent 15 years in county search and rescue, and had an issued callsign

> on the sheriff's radio frequency. Had I been confronted with a real
> emergency back in the days before cell phones, I could have also used the
> law enforcement regional network to call for emergency responders with my
> type accepted Part 90 radios without getting in any kind of trouble.
>
> Currently, I have been issued a regional emergency trunked system callsign
> in connection with my volunteer status with the local police department. I
> draw their radio equipment when I go out on patrol.
>
> Reply to sender
<ka7jex@...?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%20-%20the%20bottom%20line> .
Reply to group <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20user
s%20-%20the%20bottom%20line> . Reply via Web Post <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/post;_ylc=X3o
DMTJzdjJjOTc3BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMz
NzU2NwRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDcnBseQRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy?act=reply&messageNum=37567> . All
Messages (189) <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/36895;_ylc=X3oDMTM4bWxkczViBF9TAzk3
MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2NwRzZWMDZG1zZ
wRzbGsDdnRwYwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAyBHRwY0lkAzM2ODk1> . Top
> ^ <#142c595f54b4c5c2_toc>
> 4.2 Re: Freq's for non Hamm users - the bottom line <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37574;
_ylc=X3oDMTJzbjZvMGZyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARt
c2dJZAMzNzU3NARzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy>
> Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:46 pm (PST) . Posted by: "Timothy-Allen Albertson"
<kd0oia@...?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%20-%20the%20bottom%20line>
> i agree---and then render such first aid to the officer as one knows
>
> 72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
> KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>
> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 7:19 PM, Duane Vincent <ka7jex@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > If you were to report on the police officer's radio "EMERGENCY - OFFICER
> > DOWN" and relay the information to the dispatcher, you would be far more
> > likely receive a certificate of commendation from the mayor and chief of
> > police than to be subject to any criticism whatsoever.
> >
> > I spent 15 years in county search and rescue, and had an issued callsign
> > on the sheriff's radio frequency. Had I been confronted with a real
> > emergency back in the days before cell phones, I could have also used the
> > law enforcement regional network to call for emergency responders with my
> > type accepted Part 90 radios without getting in any kind of trouble.
> >
> > Currently, I have been issued a regional emergency trunked system
> callsign
> > in connection with my volunteer status with the local police department.
> I
> > draw their radio equipment when I go out on patrol.

> >
> >
> Reply to sender <kd0oia@gmail.com?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%20-%20the%20bottom%20line> . Reply to group <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%27s%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%20-%20the%20bottom%20line> . Reply via Web Post <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJzam5tMTJiBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU3NARzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDcnBseQRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy?act=reply&messageNum=37574> . All Messages (189) <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/36895;_ylc=X3oDMTM4czZmYmtrBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU3NARzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdnRwYwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAyBHRwY0lkAzM2ODk1> . Top
> ^ <#142c595f54b4c5c2_toc>
> 5.1 Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37569;_ylc=X3oDMTJzbmpzb3FuBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2OQRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy>
> Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:25 pm (PST) . Posted by: "Jim Henry" jimhenry2000
<jhenry@...?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%26%2339%3Bs%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F>
> I just have to believe that if the FCC would actually pursue
> charges against a well meaning citizen on such a technicality,
> then there are FAR TOO MANY people working for the FCC.
>
> ---
> Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
> jhenry@... jameshenry@...
> www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
> GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
> "Imagine a world with no hypothetical situations."
>
> On Thu Dec 05 16:32:27 CST 2013, nomonk65@... wrote:
>
> An EMERGENCY does not have to be declared by any Government
> agency..... It's a matter of LIFE/DEATH or Immediate Loss of
> Property. In which case I don't feel anyone would object Morally
> or Legally of anyone picking up a communication device of any kind
> to assist.
>
> As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by
> passerby's picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help
> when an officer is down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO
> freq.....
>
> When the SHTF the FCC, IRS as well as all government agency's
> will be under direct control of the Prez. So all laws are null and
> void and Lic will be useless.
>
> Reply to sender <jhenry@...?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%26%2339%3Bs%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F> . Reply to group <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com?subject=Re%3A%20Freq%26%2339%3Bs%20for%20non%20Hamm%20users%3F> . Reply via Web Post <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJzcXM3Zmk4BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2OQRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDcnBseQRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy?act=reply&messageNum=37569> . All Messages (189)

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/36895;_ylc=X3oDMTM4dWRjbThmBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU2OQRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdnRwYwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAyBHRwY0lkAzM2ODk1> . Top
> ^ <#142c595f54b4c5c2_toc>
> 5.2 Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/message/37571;_ylc=X3oDMTJzdDlnNG5oBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzgzMTA4NDQwBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzU3MQRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMzg2Mjk0NDAy>
> ...

37580. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 02:10:21 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 36895 Message: 37580

Yes, you could use their radio and it's been done in the past. However that's not a ham radio, it's a public service radio and the question was related to amateur radio.

----- Original Message -----
From: charger66fan@yahoo.com
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:49 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

So in literally taking what you just said, if I come across say a utility worker that is injured and unable to speak, or a law enforcement official that is "down" I cannot use their radio to call for help. (just in case I don't have my cell phone or my ham radio with me?)

37582. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 02:19:02 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 37493 Message: 37582

You're right it is a good antenna. I have one. Is it better than the stock, well sort of..
I can hit 1 more repeater using the Nagoya over the stcok. Better, but just marginally.
Again, ask 12 people, you'll get 12 different answers. Now I also have a 2m/70cm slim jim
dipole and it's far better that either the stock OR the Nagoya but it's installed in a
fixed location. I got it off eBay for around $22 IIRC, but without knowing the OP's
intended use I couldn't reccomend either.
----- Original Message -----
From: John Wilkerson
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 6:20 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

On 12/5/2013 16:44, Doug McClure wrote:
> □
>
> The problem with that is there are probably as many answers as there are
> members. What antenna works for me may or may not work for him. YMMV. So
> I suggest a Nagoya 771, user gets one and doesn't like it. Now he is out
> $$ with a radio that doesn't work any better than before. Read the
> previous answers (Can't find'em??? USE SEARCH!!!!) and make up your own
> mind
>

Formerly the "famous $3.79 antenna" Now a little more expensive:
http://www.ebay.com/itm/Female-universal-Antenna-for-Kenwood-HYT-PUXING-QUANSHENG-WOUXUN-U
HF-VHF-sw-/181026286509?pt=LH_DefaultDomain_0&hash=item2a2601ebad

Found by many to be an excellent antenna. I have one on all my HT's.
Also, similar, to the Wouxun HT stock antennas.

While the OP may have run away in frustration by now, this is MY opinion
of a good replacement.

37583. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 02:21:06 UTC
From: G. L. Clark
Topic: 37493 Message: 37583

Thanks for the info.
Gary
KJ6)NL

On Thursday, December 5, 2013 6:19 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@...> wrote:

□
You're right it is a good antenna. I have one. Is
it better than the stock, well sort of.. I can hit 1 more repeater using the
Nagoya over the stcok. Better, but just marginally. Again, ask 12 people, you'll
get 12 different answers. Now I also have a 2m/70cm slim jim dipole and it's far
better that either the stock OR the Nagoya but it's installed in a fixed
location. I got it off eBay for around $22 IIRC, but without knowing the OP's
intended use I couldn't reccomend either.
----- Original Message -----
>From: John Wilkerson
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 6:20 PM
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>
>
>
>
>On 12/5/2013 16:44, Doug McClure wrote:
>> □
>>
>>
The problem with that is there are probably as many answers as there
are
>> members. What antenna works for me may or may not work for him.
YMMV. So
>> I suggest a Nagoya 771, user gets one and doesn't like it.
Now he is out
>> $$ with a radio that doesn't work any better than
before. Read the
>> previous answers (Can't find'em??? USE SEARCH!!!!)
and make up your own
>> mind
>>
>
>Formerly the "famous $3.79
antenna" Now a little more
expensive:
>http://www.ebay.com/itm/Female-universal-Antenna-for-Kenwood-HYT-PUXING-QUANSHENG-WOUXUN-UHF-VHF
-sw-/181026286509?pt=LH_DefaultDomain_0&hash=item2a2601ebad

>
>Found
by many to be an excellent antenna. I have one on all my HT's.
>Also,
similar, to the Wouxun HT stock antennas.
>
>While the OP may have run
away in frustration by now, this is MY opinion
>of a good
replacement.
>

37584. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Digest Number 2686

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 02:23:53 UTC
From: G. L. Clark
Topic: 37579 Message: 37584

OK
Gary
KJ6ONL

On Thursday, December 5, 2013 5:56 PM, Todd Bloomingdale <tbloomingdale@...> wrote:

I feel I'm in grade school on the playground. Grow up people and let's act like mature adult amateur radio operators. Yes I was a newbie and asked alot of questions. But you know what, nobody doesn't learns anything by keeping their mouth shut...
Todd Bloomingdale, KC9LOX
Tomah, WI
On Dec 5, 2013 7:46 PM, <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>Baofeng UV-5R Group
>
>15 New Messages
>Digest #2686
>
>1.1
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? by "wombatsone" wombatsone
>1.2
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? by "Patrick lavallee" plavallee@...
>1.3
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? by "Jim Henry" jimhenry2000
>1.4
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? by "Timothy-Allen Albertson"
>2a
>Re: new GT-3??? by "John W." kd8dvr
>2b
>Re: new GT-3??? by "Ernie Rice" radiotech750
>3.1
>Re: Replacement antenna by "Ernie Rice" radiotech750
>3.2
>Re: Replacement antenna by "K5DDJ" k5ddj
>3.3
>Re: Replacement antenna by "G. L. Clark" scout8004by
>4.1
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users - the bottom line by "Duane Vincent" ka7jex
>4.2
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users - the bottom line by "Timothy-Allen Albertson"
>5.1
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? by "Jim Henry" jimhenry2000
>5.2
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? by zaphodb777

>5.3
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? by "Timothy-Allen Albertson"
>5.4
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? by "Ernie Rice" radiotech750
>Messages
>1.1
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>Thu Dec 5, 2013 4:26 pm (PST) . Posted by:
>"wombatsone" wombatsone
>Sweet they can all die who gives a toss.
>
>If it is a genuine life or death situation just walk away you might get the authorities on ur back. oh no!
>
>Move on for goodness sake
>
>-------- Original message --------
>From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>
>Date: 06/12/2013 2:25 AM (GMT+08:00)
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
>From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg
>
>> I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material
>> that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only
>> way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio
>> and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning
>> on a SHTF scenario.
>
>If you studied "the test material" enough to get a ham license, you should realize that there is NO rule exemption for “in an emergency” except those SPECIFICALLY written into the rules for each radio service.
>
>The ham technician class test materials include just a couple of questions related to Sections 97.401 through 97.405 -- which are the Amateur Radio rules for emergency operations. Those rules only apply to Amateur Radio Stations. An Amateur Radio Station is a station operating in the Amateur Radio Service. If you are operating on an non-amateur frequency, you are NOT an "Amateur Radio Station" - instead you are a station in whatever radio service owns the frequency you are using. In almost all cases, you are an unlicensed station operating illegally in violation of the rules of that radio service.
>
>97.401 is not the “Get Out Of Jail Free” card that so many amateurs seem to think it is. It absolutely does not cover you using your radio on Police, Fire, GMRS, FRS, MURS, or other radio service frequencies. It does however cover an unlicensed operator who might use your radio on an amateur frequency in an actual emergency.
>
>The only FCC rule that covers emergency operations across other radio services is located in Part 2 as section 2.405
>47 CFR 2.405 - OPERATION DURING EMERGENCY
>Operation during emergency.
>The licensee of any station (except amateur, standard broadcast, FM
>broadcast, noncommercial educational FM broadcast, or television

>broadcast) may, during a period of emergency in which normal
>communication facilities are disrupted as a result of hurricane, flood,
>earthquake, or similar disaster, utilize such station for emergency
>communication service in communicating in a manner other than that
>specified in the instrument of authorization: Provided:
>(a) That as soon as possible after the beginning of such emergency use,
>notice be sent to the Commission at Washington, D.C., and to the
>Engineer in Charge of the district in which the station is located, stating
>the nature of the emergency and the use to which the station is being
>put, and
>(b) That the emergency use of the station shall be discontinued as soon
>as substantially normal communication facilities are again available, and
>(c) That the Commission at Washington, D.C., and the Engineer in Charge
>shall be notified immediately when such special use of the station is
>terminated: Provided further,
>(d) That in no event shall any station engage in emergency transmission
>on frequencies other than, or with power in excess of, that specified in
>the instrument of authorization or as otherwise expressly provided by the
>Commission, or by law: And provided further,
>(e) That any such emergency communication undertaken under this
>section shall terminate upon order of the Commission.
>
>
>Reply to sender . Reply to group . Reply via Web Post . All Messages (189) . Top ^
>1.2
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>Thu Dec 5, 2013 4:26 pm (PST) . Posted by:
>"Patrick lavallee" plavallee@...
>Sorry, should have added I used the officer's rugged old motorola HT.
>Patrick
>Sent on the TELUS Mobility network with BlackBerry
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...>
>Date: Fri, 6 Dec 2013 00:09:56
>To: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 6:27 PM, Patrick lavallee <patlavallee1@... <mailto:patlavallee1@...> > wrote:
>I had to do this about 25 years ago, in a blackout. Officer on point duty hit by a eastbound car at a good rate of speed. I was first car waiting to go north.
>I was amazed at the quick response time. Fortunately it happened out side of a Toronto ambulance station.
>Patrick
>Sent on the TELUS Mobility network with BlackBerry

>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: Scott KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@... <mailto:kb3jqq@...> >
>Date: Thu, 5 Dec 2013 22:51:00
>To: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com> >
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>"As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by passerby's picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an officer is down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq....."
>
>Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was monitoring
>that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy stuff, that was...
>
>A ham license is an operator license and a station license. That is why a ham can use any equipment that will operate properly within the requirements of their license class. You as an operator, are required to make sure your equipment is operating properly.
>
>
>The equipment used by a LEO (law enforcement officer) only requires a station license. That is why the equipment must be certificated for the service that it is used. The LEO is not licensed. The city, county, etc. is licensed. It doesn't matter who uses the equipment. I'm sure that if you grabbed a radio from a LEO and started talking on it, you would be in big trouble. But no FCC rules would be violated.
>
>
>Jim KC9HI
>
>
>Reply to sender . Reply to group . Reply via Web Post . All Messages (189) . Top ^
>1.3
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:13 pm (PST) . Posted by:
>"Jim Henry" jimhenry2000
>Hopefully this will always be a very rare situation but if you
>find yourself in such a case this might help. In my dept (and I
>assume most others) we have a small red button on the top of our
>Moto handhelds that sends "Officer Down" to the county emergency
>services center. Push that button and EVERYTHING STOPS county
>wide until the officer is located. Do that first, then voice in.
>
>---
>Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
>jhenry@... jameshenry@...
>www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
>GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN

>"Imagine a world with no hypothetical situations."
>
>On Thu Dec 05 17:03:30 CST 2013, Timothy-Allen Albertson
><kd0oia@...> wrote:
>
>most famous use of police radio by a civillian was in Dallas,
>Texas
>on 22 November 1963 when a civillian used the radio to report
>Officer
>JD Tippit was down having been shot
>
>72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
>KD?OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>
>On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 4:51 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> "As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by
>> passerby's
>> picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an
>> officer is
>> down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq....."
>>
>> Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was
>> monitoring
>> that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy
>> stuff,
>> that was...
>>
>>
>
>
>Reply to sender . Reply to group . Reply via Web Post . All Messages (189) . Top ^
>1.4
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:35 pm (PST) . Posted by:
>"Timothy-Allen Albertson"
>and i really dont know an agency that is going to get upset with anyone
>helping an officer down--
>
>72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
>KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>
>On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 7:13 PM, Jim Henry <jhenry@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>

>> Hopefully this will always be a very rare situation but if you
>> find yourself in such a case this might help. In my dept (and I
>> assume most others) we have a small red button on the top of our
>> Moto handhelds that sends "Officer Down" to the county emergency
>> services center. Push that button and EVERYTHING STOPS county
>> wide until the officer is located. Do that first, then voice in.
>>
>>
>> ---
>> Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
>> jhenry@... jameshenry@...
>> www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
>> GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
>> "Imagine a world with no hypothetical situations."
>>
>> On Thu Dec 05 17:03:30 CST 2013, Timothy-Allen Albertson
>> <kd0oia@...> wrote:
>>
>> most famous use of police radio by a civillian was in Dallas,
>> Texas
>> on 22 November 1963 when a civillian used the radio to report
>> Officer
>> JD Tippit was down having been shot
>>
>> 72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
>> KD?OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>>
>>
>> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 4:51 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> > "As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by
>> > passerby's
>> > picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help when an
>> > officer is
>> > down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO freq....."
>> >
>> > Any of you around Maryland may remember Trooper Wolf. I was
>> > monitoring
>> > that night when the passer-by called in the officer down. Crazy
>> > stuff,
>> > that was...
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>>

>
>Reply to sender . Reply to group . Reply via Web Post . All Messages (189) . Top ^
>2a
>Re: new GT-3???
>Thu Dec 5, 2013 4:28 pm (PST) . Posted by:
>"John W." kd8dvr
>
>
>Considering your track record of trolling, I would question that the sky is blue, if you said it was.
>
>_____
>From: Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:11 PM
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???
>
>
>Sorry, but baofeng indicated that they did not make the gt-8
>Reply to sender . Reply to group . Reply via Web Post . All Messages (21) . Top ^
>2b
>Re: new GT-3???
>Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:21 pm (PST) . Posted by:
>"Ernie Rice" radiotech750
>You sir are a real hoot. Read your statement. The sky is blue. You are the one who is calling people names because they have a different opinion than you therefore they are wrong
>
>Ernie
>
>Sent from my iPhone
>
>> On Dec 5, 2013, at 19:28, "John W." <jl_wilkerson@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Considering your track record of trolling, I would question that the sky is blue, if you said it was.
>> From: Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>
>> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:11 PM
>> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???
>>
>>
>>
>> Sorry, but baofeng indicated that they did not make the gt-8
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>Reply to sender . Reply to group . Reply via Web Post . All Messages (21) . Top ^
>3.1

>Re: Replacement antenna
>Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:14 pm (PST) . Posted by:
>"Ernie Rice" radiotech750
>People that call people "trolls" are worse. They fall back on the only thing they know when they have nothing constructive to say
>
>Ernie
>
>Sent from my iPhone
>
>> On Dec 5, 2013, at 18:21, "G. L. Clark" <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>>
>> our
>>
>>
>> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:24 PM, G. L. Clark <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>>
>> Trolls are owr enemy.
>>
>>
>> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:40 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@zoominternet.net> wrote:
>>
>> □
>> SEARCH is your friend
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: G. L. Clark
>> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:25 PM
>> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>>
>>
>> In what section of the user group web site is "replacement antennas" found? I would also like that info. Why re-invent the wheel if someone has already done it?
>> Gary
>> KJ6ONL
>>
>>
>> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:20 PM, tracy neal <tneal901@...> wrote:
>>
>> Don't worry I am.
>> Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
>>
>> From: Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>;
>> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>;
>> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>> Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 9:14:33 PM
>>
>>

>> This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe
>>
>>
>> From: tracy neal <tneal901@bellsouth.net>
>>
>> This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group of adults
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>Reply to sender . Reply to group . Reply via Web Post . All Messages (35) . Top ^
>3.2
>Re: Replacement antenna
>Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:29 pm (PST) . Posted by:
>"K5DDJ" k5ddj
>I was first licensed in 1955 after passing my novice test in front of a FCC
>examiner. In all that time to present I have never before heard the term "hammer" used to
>refer to a ham radio operator. I guess I missed it somewhere along the
>line.....Harvey, K5DDJ [still an Advanced class (no longer
>available) ham that actually had to study, learn and then pass a test to become an
>amateur radio operator.]
>
>Reply to sender . Reply to group . Reply via Web Post . All Messages (35) . Top ^
>3.3
>Re: Replacement antenna
>Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:46 pm (PST) . Posted by:
>"G. L. Clark" scout8004by
>bull, a troll is a troll.
>
>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 5:14 PM, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@...> wrote:
>
>
>People that call people "trolls" are worse. They fall back on the only thing they know when they have nothing constructive to say
>
>Ernie
>
>Sent from my iPhone
>
>On Dec 5, 2013, at 18:21, "G. L. Clark" <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>
>
>>our

>>
>>
>>
>>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:24 PM, G. L. Clark <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>>Trolls are owr enemy.
>>
>>
>>
>>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:40 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>>□
>>SEARCH is your friend
>>
>>----- Original Message -----
>>>From: G. L. Clark
>>>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>>>Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:25 PM
>>>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>>>
>>>
>>>In what section of the user group web site is "replacement antennas" found? I would also like that info. Why re-invent the wheel if someone has already done it?
>>>Gary
>>>KJ6ONL
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:20 PM, tracy neal <tneal901@...t> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>Don't worry I am.
>>>Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>_____
>>>From: Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>;
>>>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>;
>>>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>>>Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 9:14:33 PM
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>_____
>>>From: tracy neal <tneal901@...>
>>>
>>>This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group of adults
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>Reply to sender . Reply to group . Reply via Web Post . All Messages (35) . Top ^
>4.1
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users - the bottom line
>Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:19 pm (PST) . Posted by:
>"Duane Vincent" ka7jex
>If you were to report on the police officer's radio "EMERGENCY - OFFICER DOWN" and relay the information to the dispatcher, you would be far more likely receive a certificate of commendation from the mayor and chief of police than to be subject to any criticism whatsoever.
>
>I spent 15 years in county search and rescue, and had an issued callsign on the sheriff's radio frequency. Had I been confronted with a real emergency back in the days before cell phones, I could have also used the law enforcement regional network to call for emergency responders with my type accepted Part 90 radios without getting in any kind of trouble.
>
>Currently, I have been issued a regional emergency trunked system callsign in connection with my volunteer status with the local police department. I draw their radio equipment when I go out on patrol.
>
>
>Reply to sender . Reply to group . Reply via Web Post . All Messages (189) . Top ^
>4.2
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users - the bottom line
>Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:46 pm (PST) . Posted by:
>"Timothy-Allen Albertson"
>i agree---and then render such first aid to the officer as one knows
>
>72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
>KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>
>On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 7:19 PM, Duane Vincent <ka7jex@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>

>> If you were to report on the police officer's radio "EMERGENCY - OFFICER
>> DOWN" and relay the information to the dispatcher, you would be far more
>> likely receive a certificate of commendation from the mayor and chief of
>> police than to be subject to any criticism whatsoever.
>>
>> I spent 15 years in county search and rescue, and had an issued callsign
>> on the sheriff's radio frequency. Had I been confronted with a real
>> emergency back in the days before cell phones, I could have also used the
>> law enforcement regional network to call for emergency responders with my
>> type accepted Part 90 radios without getting in any kind of trouble.
>>
>> Currently, I have been issued a regional emergency trunked system callsign
>> in connection with my volunteer status with the local police department. I
>> draw their radio equipment when I go out on patrol.
>>
>>
>
>Reply to sender . Reply to group . Reply via Web Post . All Messages (189) . Top ^
>5.1
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>Thu Dec 5, 2013 5:25 pm (PST) . Posted by:
>"Jim Henry" jimhenry2000
>I just have to believe that if the FCC would actually pursue
>charges against a well meaning citizen on such a technicality,
>then there are FAR TOO MANY people working for the FCC.
>
>---
>Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
>jhenry@... jameshenry@...
>www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
>GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
>"Imagine a world with no hypothetical situations."
>
>On Thu Dec 05 16:32:27 CST 2013, nomonk65@... wrote:
>
>An EMERGENCY does not have to be declared by any Government
>agency..... It's a matter of LIFE/DEATH or Immediate Loss of
>Property. In which case I don't feel anyone would object Morally
>or Legally of anyone picking up a communication device of any kind
>to assist.
>
>As a matter of fact LEO's have had their lives saved by
>passerby's picking up their speaker mikes and calling for help
>when an officer is down..... Legally one is not allowed on LEO
>freq.....
>
>When the SHTF the FCC, IRS as well as all government agency's
>will be under direct control of the Prez. So all laws are null and
>void and Lic will be useless.

>
>
>Reply to sender . Reply to group . Reply via Web Post . All Messages (189) . Top ^
>5.2
>Re: Freq's for non Hamm users? ...

37585. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 02:28:51 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37585

The FCC seems to give Hams more leeway in emergencies than others. See 47 CFR 97.403 and 97.405

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 8:10 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@zoominternet.net>wrote:

>
>
> □
> Yes, you could use their radio and it's been done in the past. However
> that's not a ham radio, it's a public service radio and the question was
> related to amateur radio.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> *From:* charger66fan@...
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:49 PM
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
>
> So in literally taking what you just said, if I come across say a utility
> worker that is injured and unable to speak, or a law enforcement official
> that is "down" I cannot use their radio to call for help. (just in case I
> don't have my cell phone or my ham radio with me?)
>
>
>

37586. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 02:32:52 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 37493 Message: 37586

Ha ha ha ran out of vocabulary did you? You must have because you fell back on the biggest word you know

Ha ha

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 5, 2013, at 20:46, "G. L. Clark" <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>
> bull, a troll is a troll.
>
>
> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 5:14 PM, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@...> wrote:
>
> People that call people "trolls" are worse. They fall back on the only thing they know when they have nothing constructive to say
>
> Ernie
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
>> On Dec 5, 2013, at 18:21, "G. L. Clark" <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> our
>>
>>
>> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:24 PM, G. L. Clark <scout8004by@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> Trolls are owr enemy.
>>
>>
>> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:40 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@...> wrote:
>>
>> □
>> SEARCH is your friend
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: G. L. Clark
>> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:25 PM
>> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>>

>>
>> In what section of the user group web site is "replacement antennas" found? I would also like that info. Why re-invent the wheel if someone has already done it?
>> Gary
>> KJ6ONL
>>
>>
>> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:20 PM, tracy neal <tneal901@...> wrote:
>>
>> Don't worry I am.
>> Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
>>
>> From: Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>;
>> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>;
>> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>> Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 9:14:33 PM
>>
>>
>> This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe
>>
>>
>> From: tracy neal <tneal901@...>
>>
>> This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group of adults
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

37587. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 02:33:44 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37587

One reason for this is the other radio services radios are usually preset on their frequencies, and do not have the flexibility of ham radio equipment. A strickly FRS/GMRS radio cannot go into ham or public safety areas. The Baofeng and Wouxuns are definitely changing things as far as Type 90 Certificated radios are concerned.

It would be interesting to see how many in this group are hams and how many aren't.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent by hurling dead pigeons over the wall by trebuche.

>_____
> From: Timothy-Allen Albertson <kd0oia@gmail.com>
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2013 6:28 PM
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
>
>
>The FCC seems to give Hams more leeway in emergencies than others. See 47 CFR 97.403 and 97.405
>
>
>
>72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
>KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>
>
>
>
>On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 8:10 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@...> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>□
>>Yes, you could use their radio and it's been done
in the past. However that's not a ham radio, it's a public service radio and the
question was related to amateur radio.
>>
>>
>>----- Original Message -----
>>>From: charger66fan@...
>>>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>>>Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:49 PM

>>>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>>>
>>>
>>>So in literally taking what you just said, if I come across say a utility worker that is injured and unable to speak, or a law enforcement official that is "down" I cannot use their radio to call for help. (just in case I don't have my cell phone or my ham radio with me?)
>>>
>
>
>
>

37588. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 02:38:34 UTC
From: G. L. Clark
Topic: 37493 Message: 37588

Moron is another word I know that seems to fit but a troll is a troll and "THAT" fits you to a T.
Gary

On Thursday, December 5, 2013 6:32 PM, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@...> wrote:

Ha ha ha ran out of vocabulary did you? You must have because you fell back on the biggest word you know

Ha ha

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 5, 2013, at 20:46, "G. L. Clark" <scout8004by@...> wrote:

>bull, a troll is a troll.
>
>
>
>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 5:14 PM, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@...> wrote:
>
>
>People that call people "trolls" are worse. They fall back on the only thing they know when they have nothing constructive to say
>
>
>Ernie
>
>Sent from my iPhone
>
>On Dec 5, 2013, at 18:21, "G. L. Clark" <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>
>
>
>>our
>>
>>
>>
>>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:24 PM, G. L. Clark <scout8004by@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>Trolls are owr enemy.
>>
>>

>>
>>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:40 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>>□
>>SEARCH is your friend
>>
>>----- Original Message -----
>>>From: G. L. Clark
>>>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>>>Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:25 PM
>>>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>>>
>>>
>>>In what section of the user group web site is "replacement antennas" found? I would also like that info. Why re-invent the wheel if someone has already done it?
>>>Gary
>>>KJ6ONL
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:20 PM, tracy neal <tneal901@...> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>Don't worry I am.
>>>Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>_____
>>>From: Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>;
>>>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>;
>>>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>>>Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 9:14:33 PM
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>_____
>>>From: tracy neal <tneal901@...>
>>>
>>>This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group of adults
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

37589. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 02:41:20 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37589

And we have to take a test. Im General Class.

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 8:33 PM, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:

>
>
> One reason for this is the other radio services radios are usually preset
> on their frequencies, and do not have the flexibility of ham radio
> equipment. A strickly FRS/GMRS radio cannot go into ham or public safety
> areas. The Baofeng and Wouxuns are definitely changing things as far as
> Type 90 Certificated radios are concerned.
>
> It would be interesting to see how many in this group are hams and how
> many aren't.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent by hurling dead pigeons over the wall by trebuche.
>
> *From:* Timothy-Allen Albertson <kd0oia@...>
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Thursday, December 5, 2013 6:28 PM
>
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
> The FCC seems to give Hams more leeway in emergencies than others. See
> 47 CFR 97.403 and 97.405
>
> 72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
> KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>
>
>
> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 8:10 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@...>wrote:
>
>
> ☐
> Yes, you could use their radio and it's been done in the past. However
> that's not a ham radio, it's a public service radio and the question was
> related to amateur radio.

>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> *From:* charger66fan@yahoo.com
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:49 PM
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
> So in literally taking what you just said, if I come across say a
> utility worker that is injured and unable to speak, or a law enforcement
> official that is "down" I cannot use their radio to call for help. (just
> in case I don't have my cell phone or my ham radio with me?)
>
>
>
>
>
>

37590. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Digest Number 2686

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 03:17:38 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37579 Message: 37590

Please don't quote an entire digest. We've already read those messages.

37591. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 03:26:08 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 36895 Message: 37591

Sounds like a Moto system. In our county, that button turns on alarms at the EOC and the officer has to hold that button down until it "honks" to reset it. I've heard dispatch have to have an officer repeat what they said if the emergency is pressed somewhere because the alarm is so loud. We're P25 phase I.

From: Jim Henry <jhenry@airpower.com>

Hopefully this will always be a very rare situation but if you find yourself in such a case this might help. In my dept (and I assume most others) we have a small red button on the top of our Moto handhelds that sends "Officer Down" to the county emergency services center. Push that button and EVERYTHING STOPS county wide until the officer is located. Do that first, then voice in.

37592. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 03:32:51 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 36895 Message: 37592

The dropping of code in 2007 was due to the fact that S.25 was modified at WRC 2003 to not require CW proficiency for access to the HF bands. It just took the Uncle that long to act.

From: "zaphodb777@..." <zaphodb777@...>

Can hams feel hostile? HEDOUBLEHOCKEYSTICKS YES! For years, with my knowledge of theory and electronics, I was kept out of ham radio by one of the most lame reasons to exclude someone from any activity requiring intelligence... That being the ability to play drums, jungle drums, namely Morse Code. Thankfully, the FCC saw the light, and dropped this antiquated, talent requiring maleficence from what should be a pure hobby of intelligence. CW may work a lot of the time when voice can't, but Olivia MFSK can work 10dB below the noise floor. One takes a steady hand and the ability to keep a beat, the other one a computer, and raw intelligence. So yes, I agree, hams may seem hostile, and I still hold a grudge over CW (although I am still trying my best to learn it), so I am not doing any better by not dropping my grudge.

37593. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 03:43:00 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 37493 Message: 37593

Ha ha I own you. You only know how to say word like moron and troll. What other BIG words are ion your limited brain?

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 5, 2013, at 21:38, "G. L. Clark" <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>
> Moron is another word I know that seems to fit but a troll is a troll and "THAT" fits you to a T.
> Gary
>
>
> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 6:32 PM, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@...> wrote:
>
> Ha ha ha ran out of vocabulary did you? You must have because you fell back on the biggest word you know
>
> Ha ha
>
> Ernie
>
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
>> On Dec 5, 2013, at 20:46, "G. L. Clark" <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> bull, a troll is a troll.
>>
>>
>> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 5:14 PM, Ernie Rice <ranger2995@...> wrote:
>>
>> People that call people "trolls" are worse. They fall back on the only thing they know when they have nothing constructive to say
>>
>> Ernie
>>
>> Sent from my iPhone
>>
>>> On Dec 5, 2013, at 18:21, "G. L. Clark" <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> our
>>>
>>>

>>> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 2:24 PM, G. L. Clark <scout8004by@...> wrote:
>>>
>>> Trolls are owr enemy.
>>>
>>>
>>> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:40 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@zoominternet.net> wrote:
>>>
>>> □
>>> SEARCH is your friend
>>>
>>> ----- Original Message -----
>>> From: G. L. Clark
>>> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>>> Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:25 PM
>>> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>>>
>>>
>>> In what section of the user group web site is "replacement antennas" found? I would also like that info. Why re-invent the wheel if someone has already done it?
>>> Gary
>>> KJ6ONL
>>>
>>>
>>> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 1:20 PM, tracy neal <tneal901@...> wrote:
>>>
>>> Don't worry I am.
>>> Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
>>>
>>> From: Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>;
>>> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>;
>>> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna
>>> Sent: Thu, Dec 5, 2013 9:14:33 PM
>>>
>>>
>>> This may be just your kind of link: Unsubscribe
>>>
>>>
>>> From: tracy neal <tneal901@...>
>>>
>>> This is the most complaining bunch of folks I have ever seen on a group of adults
>
>
>
>

37594. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 04:01:06 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37493 Message: 37594

I believe it's '70s-era "CB" terminology.

On 12/05/2013 05:54 PM, John R. Strohm wrote:

You and me both.

First licensed Novice in the mid-1970s, Tech almost immediately afterwards, Advanced in the mid-‘80s, and finally made Amateur Extra in 2003.

Never heard the term “hammer”.

From: K5DDJ

I was first licensed in 1955 after passing my novice test in front of a FCC

examiner. In all that time to present I have never before heard the term "hammer" used to refer to a ham radio operator. I guess I missed it somewhere along the line.....Harvey, K5DDJ [still an Advanced class (no longer

available) ham that actually had to study, learn and then pass a test to become an

amateur radio operator.]

–

37595. Re: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 04:01:27 UTC
From: Sheridan Bridge
Topic: 37493 Message: 37595

I agree with Doug. I also have the Nagoya 771 and find it works great. You might want to also check eham.net for reviews on VHF/UHF HT replacement antennas that use an SMA connection. Great review section.
73,
Guy N7BIR

Sent from my iPhone

37596. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 04:28:43 UTC
From: Patrick lavallee
Topic: 36895 Message: 37596

"partially legally". Is that like being a "little bit pregnant"?
Patrick
Sent on the TELUS Mobility network with BlackBerry

-----Original Message-----
From: zaphodb777@...
Date: Fri, 6 Dec 2013 01:30:20
To: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

I fail to understand what you mean about "partially legally". Either you are legal, or you are being a scofflaw. I agree, that it can seem pretty harsh in here, but honestly, the hams in here are nothing compared to the violation you will feel if the FCC grabs you. With their current apparatus, and assistance from the NSA, as soon as they know to look for you, they will have your transmissions triangulated to within a couple of blocks. On the other hand, it is a possibility the volunteer monitors will RDF your site and report you. Yes, it seems very unfriendly.

ON THE OTHER HAND... If you have any business asking questions in this forum, or the intellect to understand the real answers... You probably are smart enough to get your Technician Class license. Study guides are available free on the net, and so are some study aids. I personally like hamexam.org. Between the flash cards on their site (do register, so you can track your performance), and the practice exams, I was able to get my Extra Class license in 2 weeks, using only a couple cramming hours a night (I already understood electronics, and radio theory well). Anything I did not understand in the flash-cards, I went over to Wikipedia and just read the article on it. Remember, all you really have to do to make that radio totally legal in your hands is pass element 2, technician.

Can hams feel hostile? HEDOUBLEHOCKEYSTICKS YES! For years, with my knowledge of theory and electronics, I was kept out of ham radio by one of the most lame reasons to exclude someone from any activity requiring intelligence... That being the ability to play drums, jungle drums, namely Morse Code. Thankfully, the FCC saw the light, and dropped this antiquated, talent requiring maleficence from what should be a pure hobby of intelligence. CW may work a lot of the time when voice can't, but Olivia MFSK can work 10dB below the noise floor. One takes a steady hand and the ability to keep a beat, the other one a computer, and raw intelligence. So yes, I agree, hams may seem hostile, and I still hold a grudge over CW (although I am still trying my best to learn it), so I am not doing any better by not dropping my grudge.

Please understand however, these vast bands we hams have been given, were given to us on the grounds we would self police them, and exhibit the best in gentlemanly (or gentlewomanly) behavior. One part of that is showing we have the intelligence to understand how it works, so we do not violate our constraints. The other part is to be registered, so that if we misbehave, it is a simple matter to provide corrective action and keep the bands enjoyable for those of us who do behave. These are not self made rules, they are rules levied against us by the governments in the places we operate. So please, don't take the

heavy handed guarding of our hobby as a personal affront. Take a few hours your life to better your standing in the wireless community and become licensed, and join us in one of the fastest growing hobbies in the world.

Hope to see you on the air soon (legally), 73!
AE7EC, USA Extra Class Operator, and Volunteer Examiner

(quoted for context)
---snip---

I wonder how many people have beed driven away - from either amateur radio
in general or from taking steps to use their rig safely and at least partly
legally - because of the attitudes they encounter here?

Can't we just be nice to people?
---snip---

37597. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 04:39:41 UTC
From: Patrick lavallee
Topic: 36895 Message: 37597

This was one of those old motorola's like we used on the railway. The body was about 10 inches long and weighed 4 lb. You could almost hammer a nail in with them. Made great paper weights when trying to keep the paper work straight.
Dropped one 60 feet off a bridge once at 20 miles per hour. Went back to get it and it still worked.
Patrick
Sent on the TELUS Mobility network with BlackBerry

37598. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 04:52:54 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37320 Message: 37598

No, although it may be a very similar design, the BaoFeng material about this radio clearly indicates some significant component changes which they claim lead to performance improvements. I hope that the performance claims suffered greatly in the translation from Chinese to English because the English version is worthless technobabble that makes no sense at all (no change in the squelch circuitry is going to fix the front-end overload problems of the UV-5R design).

On the other hand, the component changes would mean that the GT-3 cannot be covered under the same Part 90 certification as all the models of the UV-5R have been.

From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 18:58 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Looks like yet another case style of the same radio.

From: Craig Carnahan <craig.n7lb@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2013 6:49 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Jim is correct. This is directly from Baofeng's website:
http://www.baofengradio.com/en/ProShowcn.asp?ID=230

37599. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 04:59:37 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 36895 Message: 37599

Disagree all you want. The simple fact is that a station transmitting on 462.6750 MHz is not an “Amateur Station” no matter what license the lid pressing the PTT button might hold. Once you are no longer “an amateur station” you come under 2.405, not 97-point-anything.

From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 19:16 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

I beg to disagree with your interpretation of 97.403 and 97.405 which state as follows:

§97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.
No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of any means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential communication needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life and immediate protection of property when normal communication systems are not available.

97.405 Station in distress.
(a) No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station in distress of any means at its disposal to attract attention, make known its condition and location, and obtain assistance.

(b) No provision of these rules prevents the use by a station, in the exceptional circumstances described in paragraph (a) of this section, of any means of radiocommunications at its disposal to assist a station in distress.

"any means of radiocomunication(s)" is extremely broad and allows use of non-ham frequencies

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 12:25 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg

> I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material
> that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only
> way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio
> and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning
> on a SHTF scenario.

If you studied "the test material" enough to get a ham license, you should realize that there is NO rule exemption for “in an emergency” except those SPECIFICALLY written into the rules for each radio service.

The ham technician class test materials include just a couple of questions related to Sections 97.401 through 97.405 -- which are the Amateur Radio rules for emergency

operations. Those rules only apply to Amateur Radio Stations. An Amateur Radio Station is a station operating in the Amateur Radio Service. If you are operating on an non-amateur frequency, you are NOT an "Amateur Radio Station" - instead you are a station in whatever radio service owns the frequency you are using. In almost all cases, you are an unlicensed station operating illegally in violation of the rules of that radio service.

97.401 is not the “Get Out Of Jail Free” card that so many amateurs seem to think it is. It absolutely does not cover you using your radio on Police, Fire, GMRS, FRS, MURS, or other radio service frequencies. It does however cover an unlicensed operator who might use your radio on an amateur frequency in an actual emergency.

The only FCC rule that covers emergency operations across other radio services is located in Part 2 as section 2.405
47 CFR 2.405 - OPERATION DURING EMERGENCY
Operation during emergency.
The licensee of any station (except amateur, standard broadcast, FM broadcast, noncommercial educational FM broadcast, or television broadcast) may, during a period of emergency in which normal communication facilities are disrupted as a result of hurricane, flood, earthquake, or similar disaster, utilize such station for emergency communication service in communicating in a manner other than that specified in the instrument of authorization: Provided:
(a) That as soon as possible after the beginning of such emergency use, notice be sent to the Commission at Washington, D.C., and to the Engineer in Charge of the district in which the station is located, stating the nature of the emergency and the use to which the station is being put, and
(b) That the emergency use of the station shall be discontinued as soon as substantially normal communication facilities are again available, and
(c) That the Commission at Washington, D.C., and the Engineer in Charge shall be notified immediately when such special use of the station is terminated: Provided further,
(d) That in no event shall any station engage in emergency transmission on frequencies other than, or with power in excess of, that specified in the instrument of authorization or as otherwise expressly provided by the Commission, or by law: And provided further,
(e) That any such emergency communication undertaken under this section shall terminate upon order of the Commission.

37600. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-05 19:19:26 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37480 Message: 37600
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

37601. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 04:39:05 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 36895 Message: 37601

I’m not sure who you think you were replying to (since you carefully chose to omit the text you are complaining about)....

But I will comment that NONE of the people who have tried to explain the rules to you said anything close to the nonsense garbage you just spewed forth.

From: charger66fan@...

So in literally taking what you just said, if I come across say a utility worker that is injured and unable to speak, or a law enforcement official that is "down" I cannot use their radio to call for help. (just in case I don't have my cell phone or my ham radio with me?)

37602. RE: What should I purchase for a complete set up?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 05:49:22 UTC
From: <miguelonxi@...>
Topic: 36791 Message: 37602

This is a great post.

37603. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 00:55:11 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37320 Message: 37603
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Looking at the close up pictures of the chipsets the numbers do not indicate a massive improvement except in noise figure and in power consumption. I need to get the spec sheets for the chipsets to compare in detail.

If someone can beat me too it that be great.

I suspect it is a case change and minor temperature ,power consumption and noise reduction for the chips. These chipset improvements are almost automatically done in each version as the manufacturing process improves. So this is not Special but normal and the designer/Importer specified Baofeng to use new stock chipsets.

I do not think the average user will see any difference other than cosmetic with this model.

However, it would be worth getting one for us Baofeng collectors.

From: Emmett Gulley
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 11:11 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: new GT-3???

Sorry, but baofeng indicated that they did not make the gt-8

On Wed, Dec 4, 2013 at 12:04 AM, John <jl_wilkerson@...> wrote:

It takes Baofeng (and all the others, for that matter)forever to update their web sites. It looks more rugged, and the Ebay seller indicates some circuit improvements.

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...> wrote:
>
> I would not touch this radio period. It's not Baofeng at all. I always
> cross check any "new" radio with the baofeng web site to be sure it's legit
> and this one is not
>
>

37604. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 05:52:13 UTC
From: Thomas Behrens
Topic: 36895 Message: 37604

It is a great system when it works. Unfortunately I worked for a system which had that big orange button which was a little to easy to hit. So easy to hit that they programmed them off. So it was nothing more than a waste of plastic. Even after a loss of a unit and both EMT's they never turned the system back on.

Tom

> On Dec 5, 2013, at 22:26, "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Sounds like a Moto system. In our county, that button turns on
> alarms at the EOC and the officer has to hold that button down
> until it "honks" to reset it. I've heard dispatch have to have an officer
> repeat what they said if the emergency is pressed somewhere
> because the alarm is so loud. We're P25 phase I.
>
> From: Jim Henry <jhenry@airpower.com>
>
> Hopefully this will always be a very rare situation but if you
> find yourself in such a case this might help. In my dept (and I
> assume most others) we have a small red button on the top of our
> Moto handhelds that sends "Officer Down" to the county emergency
> services center. Push that button and EVERYTHING STOPS county
> wide until the officer is located. Do that first, then voice in.
>
>

37605. 15th annual "SkyWarn Recognition Day"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 07:00:45 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37605 Message: 37605

http://www.wrh.noaa.gov/mtr/hamradio/

I'd be very interested to hear if anyone is successfully using echoLink
or irlp from their UV-5R variant to participate.

37606. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode" [1 Attachment]

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 07:11:56 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37480 Message: 37606
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

That display is too complicated can you explain it to me in better detail,

From: Xaun Loc
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 11:19 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode" [1 Attachment]

37607. "Ground Truth"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 07:38:43 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37607 Message: 37607

"Ground Truth" (http://voipwx.net/node/216)

"The National Weather Service calls ham radio operators its eyes and ears -- volunteers with federally licensed radio transmitters in their vehicles who provide 'ground truth' about severe weather that the forecasters can see only on their radar and computer screens. ...'They tell us whether warnings need to be extended or allowed to expire,' weather service meteorologist Larry Eblen said. '[Without them] it'd be like losing an arm.'"
–
American-Statesman, April 5, 2004

37608. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 09:40:54 UTC
From: Jon Rappuhn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37608

Sir,

I just sent you a reply. I was trying to keep it from cluttering the group, but you will see I WAS referring to a specific message sent just previous to the one that has your panties in a knot.

BTW it WAS not a complaint, simply some very dry sarcastic humor, as I tried to explain later. But thank you for making me feel like an idiot. from now on I shall forward all messages on this forum to you for approval before posting.

From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2013 8:39 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

I’m not sure who you think you were replying to (since you carefully chose to omit the text you are complaining about)....

But I will comment that NONE of the people who have tried to explain the rules to you said anything close to the nonsense garbage you just spewed forth.

From: charger66fan@...

So in literally taking what you just said, if I come across say a utility worker that is injured and unable to speak, or a law enforcement official that is "down" I cannot use their radio to call for help. (just in case I don't have my cell phone or my ham radio with me?)

37609. Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 12:32:02 UTC
From: Laurence Taylor
Topic: 36895 Message: 37609

charger66fan@... wrote:

> So in literally taking what you just said, if I come across
> say a utility worker that is injured and unable to speak, or a
> law enforcement official that is "down" I cannot use their
> radio to call for help. (just in case I don't have my cell
> phone or my ham radio with me?)

That would be my first course of action - get the information to
the people who need to know straight away. (And calling "Mayday"
on 2m can be pretty pointless).

I have known a number of instances where a police officer has
been injured or taken ill and a member of the public has used the
officer's radio. There was no suggestion the passer-by had done
anything but the right thing.

--
rgds
LAurence
<><
...I've got a memory like a ... a ... what's that thing called?
---Taglines by Tagzilla (tagzilla.mozdev.org)

37610. Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 12:52:19 UTC
From: Laurence Taylor
Topic: 36895 Message: 37610

zaphodb777@... wrote:

> I fail to understand what you mean about "partially legally".

I meant situations where the only violation is based on paperwork rather than technical factors; for example, using a radio that is within spec for a particular function but does not have type-approval.

I have no problem with such; I feel it is better for someone to take steps to ensure they are not going to cause any problems to other users than to simply use the radio without any preparation and possibly interfere with emergency services.

--
rgds
LAurence
<><
...What do you get if you play New Age music backwards? New Age music.
---Taglines by Tagzilla (tagzilla.mozdev.org)

37612. How you access this group

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 13:44:59 UTC
From: <tenorman1952@...>
Topic: 37612 Message: 37612

Just as with the other Yahoo Groups I moderate, I've received an email from one of our members here asking to be removed from the list. Upon further inquiry, he loves his UV-5R, but the problem is so many emails filling his inbox.

This Group is the most active of all of the Yahoo Groups to which I subscribe, which is about 30 or so. I get 2 to 4 "Daily Digests" a day, so I can't imagine the number of individual posts that would be rolling in.

In the end, this member was happy to be set to No Email, that is, in your settings, the "Web Only" option.

When you sign up for a Yahoo Group you are given the option to get Individual Emails for each post, a "Daily Digest", which is a number of posts all in one email, special emails (announcements) only from the group, or Web Only (No Email).

You may go to your settings for this group at any time and change this. No need to remove yourself from a Group if you have interest in the subject. You may still want to search for solutions to problems you have, or find particular subjects some time in the future... which is why you joined this group in the first place.

So in the end, this member will remain in the Group, but now can access the way HE prefers. Just wanted you all to be aware of this option so you can continue to enjoy the Group.

73,
Paul - AE5JU

37613. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 05:18:53 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 36895 Message: 37613

-----Original Message-----
From: Jim Henry
> I just have to believe that if the FCC would actually pursue
> charges against a well meaning citizen on such a technicality,
> then there are FAR TOO MANY people working for the FCC.

Various FCC representatives speaking at hamfests have always given basically the same party line answer to those sorts of "what if" questions...
They consistently tell people that FCC would 'probably' not pursue prosecution for a minor rule violation in an genuine emergency (immediate safety of life). That position is based on the "lesser evil" doctrine of US common law.

It was over a decade ago when I heard the Chief of the FCC Enforcement Branch debunk the myths about 97.401-97.405 but you are more than welcome to ask the current acting bureau chief, Robert H. Ratcliffe

On the other hand, despite all the assorted claims of "I heard about a guy who...." the simple fact is that genuine emergencies are pretty rare; and a genuine emergency where no legal means of communication was available, but there just happened to magically be an illegal method of communications readily at hand, would be astronomically unlikely.

The FCC generally only looks at unlicensed or out of band operation in cases where the legal user of the frequency complains about the unauthorized use or interference.

37614. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 14:12:36 UTC
From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg
Topic: 36895 Message: 37614

Xuan et al
    So, if I read you correctly the FCC is waiting to swope down on anyone for using the BF uv 5r unless they are using it w/ license and in an approrpiate manner 'cuz the fed regs are so particular that they even have to describe an officer down type emergency as not an emegency. This is very interesting and echoes what the fed is trying to do to health care and other areas of our life.
    By the way I am licensed and am not a "lid." I will get up tomorrow having learned something but you will get up... (check W.C Fields to finish the quote). I doubt if carefully written laws would have applied in the Japan Tsunami.
    So here is the next question for the folks who are changing the radio world by buying a product (BF): I guess I can't Tx but can't I just listen (Rx)? For ~$30 I can pick up satellites, coool!

On Thursday, December 5, 2013 10:08 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

>Disagree all you want. The simple fact is that a station
transmitting on 462.6750 MHz is not an “Amateur Station” no matter what license
the lid pressing the PTT button might hold. Once you are no longer “an
amateur station” you come under 2.405, not 97-point-anything.
>
>From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
>Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 19:16 PM
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm
users?
>
>
>
>
>I beg to disagree with your interpretation of 97.403 and 97.405
which state as follows:
>
>
>
>§97.403 Safety of life and protection
of property.
>No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of any
means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential communication
needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life and immediate
protection of property when normal communication systems are not available.
>97.405 Station in distress.
>(a) No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station in
distress of any means at its disposal to attract attention, make known its
condition and location, and obtain assistance.
>(b) No provision of these rules prevents the use by a station, in the

exceptional circumstances described in paragraph (a) of this section, of any
means of radiocommunications at its disposal to assist a station in
distress.
>
>"any means of radiocomunication(s)" is extremely broad and allows use of
non-ham frequencies
>
>
>
>72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
>KD∅OIA/(ex
KG6IRH)
>
>
>
>
>On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 12:25 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg
>>
>>> I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test
material
>>> that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only
>>> way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio
>>> and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning
>>> on a SHTF scenario.
>>
>>If you studied "the test material" enough to get a ham license, you should realize that there is NO rule exemption for "in an emergency" except those SPECIFICALLY written into the rules for each radio service.
>>
>>The ham technician class test materials include just a couple of questions related to Sections 97.401 through 97.405 -- which are the Amateur Radio rules for emergency operations. Those rules only apply to Amateur Radio Stations. An Amateur Radio Station is a station operating in the Amateur Radio Service. If you are operating on an non-amateur frequency, you are NOT an "Amateur Radio Station" - instead you are a station in whatever radio service owns the frequency you are using. In almost all cases, you are an unlicensed station operating illegally in violation of the rules of that radio service.
>>
>>97.401 is not the "Get Out Of Jail Free" card that so many amateurs seem to think it is. It absolutely does not cover you using your radio on Police, Fire, GMRS, FRS, MURS, or other radio service frequencies. It does however cover an unlicensed operator who might use your radio on an amateur frequency in an actual emergency.
>>
>>The only FCC rule that covers emergency operations across other radio services is located in Part 2 as section 2.405
>>47 CFR 2.405 - OPERATION DURING EMERGENCY
>>Operation during emergency.
>>The licensee of any station (except amateur, standard broadcast, FM
>>broadcast, noncommercial educational FM broadcast, or television

>>broadcast) may, during a period of emergency in which normal
>>communication facilities are disrupted as a result of hurricane, flood,
>>earthquake, or similar disaster, utilize such station for emergency
>>communication service in communicating in a manner other than that
>>specified in the instrument of authorization: Provided:
>>(a) That as soon as possible after the beginning of such emergency use,
>>notice be sent to the Commission at Washington, D.C., and to the
>>Engineer in Charge of the district in which the station is located, stating
>>the nature of the emergency and the use to which the station is being
>>put, and
>>(b) That the emergency use of the station shall be discontinued as soon
>>as substantially normal communication facilities are again available, and
>>(c) That the Commission at Washington, D.C., and the Engineer in Charge
>>shall be notified immediately when such special use of the station is
>>terminated: Provided further,
>>(d) That in no event shall any station engage in emergency transmission
>>on frequencies other than, or with power in excess of, that specified in
>>the instrument of authorization or as otherwise expressly provided by the
>>Commission, or by law: And provided further,
>>(e) That any such emergency communication undertaken under this
>>section shall terminate upon order of the Commission.
>
>
>
>

37615. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 15:15:55 UTC
From: <rock.unroe@...>
Topic: 37493 Message: 37615

This group consists of more than 10,000 members. What you are calling "all you people" is significantly less than 20 (or significantly less than 0.2% of the members).

What you have to understand is that there are more than a few group members that have spent a lot of time and effort contributing to this group by answering questions. Must of the repeat questions that a new member would ask has been compiled from this group and generously provided by the Miklor.com website. The group owner has taken the time to provide a link to this website in the group welcome message and has links provided to it at the bottom of each email for those that participate using email.

Even with all of this "help" provided to a new member, the group still gets a lot of repeat questions that would have been answered if the new member would have just taken a few minutes to read the Miklor.com "New Owner Users Guide" as requested in the welcome message.

I have been a group member since post 50 (there are now more than 37.5K posts). I spend a lot of time (nearly every day) answering questions posted here. I try to answer every question that I reply to with a usable answer. Sometimes I go out-of-my-way to provide a good and complete answer. But I've lost count of how may times a new member has asked a question that was already asked and answered earlier in the day (sometimes more than once). How much time should I (and others) spend a day answering the same question over and over (and over) again?

Sometime the correct answer is to refer the member to the already provided help documents. However, I will at least try to point them to the correct website page and/or FAQ #.

Jim KC9HI

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <kb_moyer@yahoo.com> wrote:

So there is no point in joining the group if all you people are going to say to new users is read everything already said. I know this info is already on the internet if I wanted just to research info I would…what I came to this group for is conversation on the radio, same reason we key the radio up in the first place. If you all don’t waste your time with newbie questions ignore them! If you want to have friendly conversation and help someone then join in.

37616. Re: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 15:19:49 UTC
From: mhoover406@...
Topic: 37493 Message: 37616

I am using a Nagoya 701 on my Baofeng and it works great, I would recommend it to anyone.

Sent from my iPhone

37617. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 15:22:49 UTC
From: Chris Horry
Topic: 37493 Message: 37617

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

On 12/05/2013 01:04 PM, leaney@... wrote:
>
>
> I am looking for the best possible antenna to purchase for my ham
radio. Clarity/good distance, etc. Any suggestions?
>
Best possible depends on your circumstances and were you are located.
The default antenna is actually pretty good. I use the ExpertPower 7.5"
which enables me to hit all area repeaters up to about 20 miles away
when outside. Inside is another story, but this is a low wattage radio
so unless you're within a few miles of your repeater it's always going
to be hard with a HT antenna. Get an adapter and hook up to a decent
J-Pole in those situations - it makes a HUGE difference.

Link to the ExpertPower:
http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B008Y2SPH6/ref=oh_details_o06_s00_i00?ie=UTF8&psc=1

73,

Chris KG4TSM

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.14 (GNU/Linux)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://www.enigmail.net/

iEYEARECAAYFAlKh68MACgkQnAAeGCtMZU5QqgCePcfKgMOnlzpjXjI3QaiIdWGG
T9gAoMPjpQ5x0nm1nxcDFFdjAZrOaGUr
=Z4No
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

37618. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 15:37:28 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37618

If you get tired of answering the same question then let one of the other 10,000 members answer it. Many of us newbies don’t come to research what has been said in the past, we come to ask opinions from people. If you want to share yours then please do so, if not then no one is going to blame you if you ignore it. If I wanted to research the internet for radio information I do just that, that is exactly how I found out about the radio and this group, when I join a USER group it is to talk to users.

The FAQ everyone constantly refers too is also mentioned in the same place at the as the group policy that request no talk of the legality of this radio yet that doesn’t seem to stop long time members from hounding on that issues without end. This makes me wonder why some of many HAMs are here…is it just to be the radio police?

As for the many messages in your inbox…if you would all stop referring to the law and the FAQ and just talk to people this may be significantly reduced to only pertinent useful information.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rock.unroe@...
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 10:16 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

This group consists of more than 10,000 members. What you are calling "all you people" is significantly less than 20 (or significantly less than 0.2% of the members).

What you have to understand is that there are more than a few group members that have spent a lot of time and effort contributing to this group by answering questions. Must of the repeat questions that a new member would ask has been compiled from this group and generously provided by the Miklor.com website. The group owner has taken the time to provide a link to this website in the group welcome message and has links provided to it at the bottom of each email for those that participate using email.

Even with all of this "help" provided to a new member, the group still gets a lot of repeat questions that would have been answered if the new member would have just taken a few minutes to read the Miklor.com "New Owner Users Guide" as requested in the welcome message.

I have been a group member since post 50 (there are now more than 37.5K posts). I spend a lot of time (nearly every day) answering questions posted here. I try to answer every question that I reply to with a usable answer. Sometimes I go out-of-my-way to provide a good and complete answer. But I've lost count of how may times a new member has asked a question that was already asked and answered earlier in the day (sometimes more than once). How much time should I (and others) spend a day answering the same question over and over (and over) again?

Sometime the correct answer is to refer the member to the already provided help documents. However, I will at least try to point them to the correct website page and/or FAQ #.

Jim KC9HI

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com> , <kb_moyer@... <mailto:kb_moyer@...> > wrote:

So there is no point in joining the group if all you people are going to say to new users is read everything already said. I know this info is already on the internet if I wanted just to research info I would…what I came to this group for is conversation on the radio, same reason we key the radio up in the first place. If you all don’t waste your time with newbie questions ignore them! If you want to have friendly conversation and help someone then join in.

37620. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 15:49:12 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37493 Message: 37620

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 10:37 AM, Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...> wrote:

>
>
> If you get tired of answering the same question then let one of the other
> 10,000 members answer it. Many of us newbies don’t come to research what
> has been said in the past, we come to ask opinions from people. If you want
> to share yours then please do so, if not then no one is going to blame you
> if you ignore it. If I wanted to research the internet for radio
> information I do just that, that is exactly how I found out about the radio
> and this group, when I join a USER group it is to talk to users.
>
>
>
> The FAQ everyone constantly refers too is also mentioned in the same place
> at the as the group policy that request no talk of the legality of this
> radio yet that doesn’t seem to stop long time members from hounding on that
> issues without end. This makes me wonder why some of many HAMs are here…is
> it just to be the radio police?
>
>
>
> As for the many messages in your inbox…if you would all stop referring to
> the law and the FAQ and just talk to people this may be significantly
> reduced to only pertinent useful information.
>
>
>
Nope. Not tired of answering questions. Just search the group for KC9HI and
you'll see.

I'm just explaining the FAQ referrers and radio lawyers are a vocal
minority of the group.

So ask all the question that you want. Direct to me if you wish. I will be
happy to answer them.

Jim KC9HI

37621. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 16:07:31 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37621

Oh sorry I misunderstood. Thank you Jim! I will keep watching the progress of the antenna discussion for more opinions. I am also interested in the discussion on the ammo box build. I will probably try building a self-contained solar repeater for fun.

I think the Diamond for the HT, the Tram for the mobile, and the Slim Jim for various other applications are some I will add to my collection. I already bought the Nagoya NA-701 and the UT-108UV mag mount.

What about base antennas? What do you think about the Centerfire Deluxe Discone? Looks like it is cheap enough to give it a try.

http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8
<http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8
&colid=2DJ0IR303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM>
&colid=2DJ0IR303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 10:49 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 10:37 AM, Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...
<mailto:kb_moyer@...> > wrote:

If you get tired of answering the same question then let one of the other 10,000 members answer it. Many of us newbies don't come to research what has been said in the past, we come to ask opinions from people. If you want to share yours then please do so, if not then no one is going to blame you if you ignore it. If I wanted to research the internet for radio information I do just that, that is exactly how I found out about the radio and this group, when I join a USER group it is to talk to users.

The FAQ everyone constantly refers too is also mentioned in the same place at the as the group policy that request no talk of the legality of this radio yet that doesn't seem to stop long time members from hounding on that issues without end. This makes me wonder why some of many HAMs are here.is it just to be the radio police?

As for the many messages in your inbox.if you would all stop referring to the law and the FAQ and just talk to people this may be significantly reduced to only pertinent useful information.

Nope. Not tired of answering questions. Just search the group for KC9HI and you'll see.

I'm just explaining the FAQ referrers and radio lawyers are a vocal minority of the group.

So ask all the question that you want. Direct to me if you wish. I will be happy to answer them.

Jim KC9HI

37622. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 16:19:42 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37493 Message: 37622

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 11:07 AM, Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...> wrote:

>
>
> Oh sorry I misunderstood. Thank you Jim! I will keep watching the progress
> of the antenna discussion for more opinions. I am also interested in the
> discussion on the ammo box build. I will probably try building a
> self-contained solar repeater for fun.
>
>
>
> I think the Diamond for the HT, the Tram for the mobile, and the Slim Jim
> for various other applications are some I will add to my collection. I
> already bought the Nagoya NA-701 and the UT-108UV mag mount.
>
>
>
> What about base antennas? What do you think about the Centerfire Deluxe
> Discone? Looks like it is cheap enough to give it a try.
>
>
>
>
> http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8&colid=2DJ0IR
303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM
>
>
>
> Brett
>
>
>
I have the Nagoya NA-701, the $3.79 special and recently purchased the
"new" Baofeng antenna (the one that come on the UV-B5/B6. They all work
fine for me. I find that the original Baofeng 'spike' that come on my UV-5R
seems to be better for receiving commercial FM broadcasts though.

The discone has a very broad frequency range and would be good for a
scanner or if you had to cover more than 2 bands with a single antenna, but
it has no gain. For covering just the 2 meter and 70 centimeter ham bands,
a j-pole is recommended here quite regularly and might be preferable. You
can build one yourself or you can buy them commercially (search for slim
jim, or j-pole or arrow for sources.).

Jim KC9HI

37623. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 16:32:48 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37623

Thanks Jim, I will get a Slim Jim and look at building my own J-Pole too! The fact there is no gain and the band is so wide is certainly a turn-off with the discone.I did not gather that from my research.

May have to check out the B5/6 antenna too.

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:20 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 11:07 AM, Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...
<mailto:kb_moyer@...> > wrote:

Oh sorry I misunderstood. Thank you Jim! I will keep watching the progress of the antenna discussion for more opinions. I am also interested in the discussion on the ammo box build. I will probably try building a self-contained solar repeater for fun.

I think the Diamond for the HT, the Tram for the mobile, and the Slim Jim for various other applications are some I will add to my collection. I already bought the Nagoya NA-701 and the UT-108UV mag mount.

What about base antennas? What do you think about the Centerfire Deluxe Discone? Looks like it is cheap enough to give it a try.

http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8
<http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8
&colid=2DJ0IR303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM>
&colid=2DJ0IR303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM

Brett

I have the Nagoya NA-701, the $3.79 special and recently purchased the "new" Baofeng antenna (the one that come on the UV-B5/B6. They all work fine for me. I find that the original Baofeng 'spike' that come on my UV-5R seems to be better for receiving commercial FM broadcasts though.

The discone has a very broad frequency range and would be good for a scanner or if you had to cover more than 2 bands with a single antenna, but it has no gain. For covering just the 2 meter and 70 centimeter ham bands, a j-pole is recommended here quite regularly and might be preferable. You can build one yourself or you can buy them commercially (search for slim jim, or j-pole or arrow for sources.).

Jim KC9HI

37625. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 16:45:00 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 37493 Message: 37625

Strictly speaking, there is no gain with a J-Pole, either, as most designs are 3/4 wave long (longest element), but the bottom 1/4 wave is essentially a quarter wave matching section, and does not radiate. That part of the antenna performs more like a feed line that transforms the 50 ohm low impedance from the transmitter/feed line to what is the very high impedance of an end-fed half wave antenna.

The radiating section of a J-Pole is a half wave vertical dipole. And, it has no gain over the standard measure... a half wave dipole, since it -is- a half wave dipole.

That said, it is possible to design a J-Pole that has gain, but that involves making the main element longer, and isolating the top section, as Cush Craft did with their design of the Ringo-Ranger antenna.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 6, 2013, at 11:32 AM, Brett Moyer wrote:

>
>
> Thanks Jim, I will get a Slim Jim and look at building my own J-Pole
> too! The fact there is no gain and the band is so wide is certainly
> a turn-off with the discone…I did not gather that from my research.
>
> May have to check out the B5/6 antenna too.
>
> Brett

37626. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 16:46:45 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37493 Message: 37626

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 11:32 AM, Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...> wrote:

>
>
> Thanks Jim, I will get a Slim Jim and look at building my own J-Pole too!
> The fact there is no gain and the band is so wide is certainly a turn-off
> with the discone…I did not gather that from my research.
>
>
>
> May have to check out the B5/6 antenna too.
>
>
>
> Brett
>
>
>
Some of the new UV-5R radios come with the A-V85 antenna (I believe that Kurt makes sure that his do). So check out Kurt's post and compare the antenna that he offers with yours. If yours is different, then Three Old Crows General Store is a good way to get one.

Jim KC9HI

37627. Re: How you access this group

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 16:52:12 UTC
From: Mike Jury
Topic: 37612 Message: 37627

When I first joined I did the same, then changed to daily digest, makes it much easier for me.

I couldn't keep track of messages otherwise.

73's

Mike
2E0HMJ

37628. AMSAT

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 16:58:27 UTC
From: <halloc@...>
Topic: 37628 Message: 37628

anybody can use Amateur Satellites with their radio. i'm trying to use somehow my radio with satellites, since it may be the only repeaters in the middle of the ocean.

thanks .. halit ..

37629. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 17:12:15 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37629

But it sounds that given the j-pole can be made to the band or bands you are working it is more efficient than the discone I have linked to. Correct?

-----Original Message-----
From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ray Sills
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:45 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Strictly speaking, there is no gain with a J-Pole, either, as most designs are 3/4 wave long (longest element), but the bottom 1/4 wave is essentially a quarter wave matching section, and does not radiate. That part of the antenna performs more like a feed line that transforms the 50 ohm low impedance from the transmitter/feed line to what is the very high impedance of an end-fed half wave antenna.

The radiating section of a J-Pole is a half wave vertical dipole. And, it has no gain over the standard measure... a half wave dipole, since it -is- a half wave dipole.

That said, it is possible to design a J-Pole that has gain, but that involves making the main element longer, and isolating the top section, as Cush Craft did with their design of the Ringo-Ranger antenna.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 6, 2013, at 11:32 AM, Brett Moyer wrote:

>
>
> Thanks Jim, I will get a Slim Jim and look at building my own J-Pole
> too! The fact there is no gain and the band is so wide is certainly a
> turn-off with the discone.I did not gather that from my research.
>
> May have to check out the B5/6 antenna too.
>
> Brett

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide .
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

37631. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 17:19:05 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37493 Message: 37631

J-Poles are very sensitive to their location and are affected by any metal in the near field.
A discone will never have better then unity gain and that's in the resonant zones.

From: Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...>

But it sounds that given the j-pole can be made to the band or bands you are working it is more efficient than the discone I have linked to. Correct?

37632. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 18:15:56 UTC
From: Kurt
Topic: 37493 Message: 37632

Yes it is….. And it is the antenna that we order and supply with all of the BaoFeng UV-5R, BF-F8 and BF-F9 radios that we sell at Three Old Crows General Store.

It is a much better antenna than the stubby antenna that is stock on the UV5 series radios.

Kurt - W5TXD

Three Old Crows General Store

BaoFeng Radios and Accessories
<http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com/> http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com

Honesty, Integrity and Customer Service Second to none!

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brett Moyer
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:15 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

I take it this is the one on the B5/B6? Thanks for the link.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Kurt
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:41 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Here is the link to the BaoFeng A-V85 Antenna as well…

http://threeoldcrows.com/shop/magento/baofeng-a-v85-dual-band-136-174-400-520-mhz-flexible-antenna.html

37633. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 18:20:49 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37633

Thank you Kurt! I will look into it.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Kurt
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 1:16 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yes it is….. And it is the antenna that we order and supply with all of the BaoFeng UV-5R, BF-F8 and BF-F9 radios that we sell at Three Old Crows General Store.

It is a much better antenna than the stubby antenna that is stock on the UV5 series radios.

Kurt - W5TXD

Three Old Crows General Store

BaoFeng Radios and Accessories
<http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com/> http://www.threeoldcrowsgeneralstore.com

Honesty, Integrity and Customer Service Second to none!

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
[mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brett Moyer
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:15 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

I take it this is the one on the B5/B6? Thanks for the link.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
[mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Kurt
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:41 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Here is the link to the BaoFeng A-V85 Antenna as well…

http://threeoldcrows.com/shop/magento/baofeng-a-v85-dual-band-136-174-400-520-mhz-flexible-antenna.html

37634. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 18:42:24 UTC
From: <kb8dns@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37634

Hmmm.... Big debate going on here over what is clearly a definition problem.
In the USA and it's territories it's the FCC who makes the rules for type acceptance and radio application.
On amateur radio frequencies one is limited to his or her license as to where he or she can transmit, one does not need a license to listen.
On amateur frequncies the only radios that can be used are those that are FCC type accepted for the amateur bands. If they transmit out of band, technically they are not type accepted.
Using non-type accepted radios modified to operate on the amateur bands is ok if done by a licensed amateur radio operator.

Part 97 rules of the FCC are for use of certain bands or frequencies. Including these bands... CB, MURS, FRS and there may be others, these are license free for now. GMRS needs a license. Any frequencies out of these bands are licensed to other services and should not be used as per the FCC rules.
Other countries have their own regulations.... no room for that here.

That the Beofeng will transmit everywhere, makes it non-type accepted for any use by FCC definition. Its use on the amateur bands under amateur rules makes it usable.... big gray area here! Using it on the part 97 rules is against the FCC as it can be of too high RF output power, ie: 300mW on the FRS band permitted.

The Beofeng is not type accepted by the FCC

With an emergency I would say anything goes to save life and property!

73 Rod KB8DNS

37635. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 18:46:52 UTC
From: <kb8dns@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37635

Xuan Loc is correct on all he states and quotes.... In sum the Baofeng is not type accepted even though it can be useful.

73 Rod KB8DNS

37636. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 18:57:51 UTC
From: Colorado Rob
Topic: 37628 Message: 37636

You can use it for satellite work, but it would not be my first choice radio for that use. For satellite work, it is helpful to be able to hear your own transmission. For that you need a full duplex radio -- one that can transmit and receive at the same time. These Baofeng radios cannot do that.

Also, most amateur satellites are linear transponders designed for CW and SSB. There are very few FM repeaters.

Rob wx9o
On Dec 6, 2013 10:59 AM, <halloc@...> wrote:

>
>
> anybody can use Amateur Satellites with their radio. i'm trying to use
> somehow my radio with satellites, since it may be the only repeaters in the
> middle of the ocean.
>
> thanks .. halit ..
>
>
>

37637. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 18:58:22 UTC
From: <kb8dns@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37637

Can you imagine a baseball game or a football game without rules?

Look at the chaos on the CB and bootleg bands!

73 Rod KB8DNS

37638. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:00:55 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37493 Message: 37638

Hello,

I have a solar box repeater should be pictured here in the archives if not I can send pictures again.

The unit also uses a modified slim jim that can stand up on its own in a flex fashion.

The slim jim is the best idea for a portable repeater.

From: Brett Moyer
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 8:32 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Thanks Jim, I will get a Slim Jim and look at building my own J-Pole too! The fact there is no gain and the band is so wide is certainly a turn-off with the discone…I did not gather that from my research.

May have to check out the B5/6 antenna too.

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r @yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:20 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 11:07 AM, Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...> wrote:

Oh sorry I misunderstood. Thank you Jim! I will keep watching the progress of the antenna discussion for more opinions. I am also interested in the discussion on the ammo box build. I will probably try building a self-contained sola r repeater for fun.

I think the Diamond for the HT, the Tram for the mobile, and the Slim Jim for various other applications are some I will add to my collection. I already bought the Nagoya NA-701 and the UT-108UV mag mount.

What about base antennas? What do you think about the Centerfire Deluxe Discone? Looks like it is cheap enough to give it a try.

http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl
_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8&colid=2DJ0IR303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM

Brett

I have the Nagoya NA-701, the $3.79 special and recently purchased the "new" Baofeng antenna (the one that come on the UV-B5/B6. They all work fine for me. I find that the original Baofeng 'spike' that come on my UV-5R seems to be better for receiving commercial

FM broadcasts though.

The discone has a very broad frequency range and would be good for a scanner or if you had to cover more than 2 bands with a single antenna, but it has no gain. For covering just the 2 meter and 70 centimeter h am bands, a j-pole is recommended here quite regularly and might be preferable. You can build one yourself or you can buy them commercially (search for slim jim, or j-pole or arrow for sources.).

Jim KC9HI

37639. Battery indicator work?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:07:50 UTC
From: Bob Larson
Topic: 37639 Message: 37639

I just picked up one a UV-5R+ on Amazon.

The other day while monitoring after leaving the rig on for about 24 hours it just died on me.

Today while xmitint it did the same. Both times when I last looked it had shown the battery at full strength.

Today after the it died, I turned it off and back on, came on. Tried to xmit, died. Did this a couple of times, and now the battery meter started fluctuating between 2 and 3 bars. and shut off.

I forgot to put the switch to off since it crapped out, and it turned itself back on. Battery level jumped around and it shut itself back off.

Is just an undocumented feature for a radio at this price point :) or did I get a bad one?

37640. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:16:32 UTC
From: Jon Rappuhn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37640

Mr. Taylor,

That obviously would be my first course of action also, Mr. Loc seems to be under the impression from another comment he "flamed" that these situations are rare and seldom happen. Here in eastern WA. help, including cell phone reception, 2 meter repeaters and 440 repeaters are few and far between, in the past 5 or 6 years there has been several incidents where the LEOfficer has been found dead by passersby and have used the officers radio to call for help. I also know that years ago as a "beat cop" we didn't have radio's and used call boxes and if we were in trouble someplace I wanted anyone to call for backup using any means necessary.

Mr. Loc,
I am sorry I didn't run this comment by you first to get your almighty permission to comment to a fellow ham and site user. I shall endeavor to do better next time. (perhaps this is why several members of our local ham club advised me NOT to join this forum, "Radio's are pretty good, user forum sucks")

Jon Rappuhn

KC7AUE ex KN7PQO

Wenatchee, WA. 98801

From: Laurence Taylor <g7mzh@btopenworld.com>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 4:32 AM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

charger66fan@... wrote:

> So in literally taking what you just said, if I come across
> say a utility worker that is injured and unable to speak, or a
> law enforcement official that is "down" I cannot use their
> radio to call for help. (just in case I don't have my cell
> phone or my ham radio with me?)

That would be my first course of action - get the information to the people who need to know straight away. (And calling "Mayday" on 2m can be pretty pointless).

I have known a number of instances where a police officer has been injured or taken ill and a member of the public has used the officer's radio. There was no suggestion the passer-by had done anything but the right thing.

--
rgds

LAurence
<><
...I've got a memory like a ... a ... what's that thing called?
---Taglines by Tagzilla (tagzilla.mozdev.org)

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

http://info.yahoo.com/legal/us/yahoo/utos/terms/

37641. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:17:20 UTC
From: Ernie Rice
Topic: 36895 Message: 37641

Why must cb be used as an example? Has anyone listened to 80 mtrs lately.
The cb ops around here are far better than the hams here

Ernie

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 6, 2013, at 13:58, <kb8dns@...> wrote:
>
> Can you imagine a baseball game or a football game without rules?
>
> Look at the chaos on the CB and bootleg bands!
>
> 73 Rod KB8DNS
>
>

37642. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:21:23 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 36895 Message: 37642

On 12/6/2013 8:12 AM, Gary and Terrell Lindberg wrote:
> Xuan et al
> So, if I read you correctly the FCC is waiting to swope down on
> anyone for using the BF uv 5r unless they are using it w/ license and
> in an approrpiate manner 'cuz the fed regs are so particular that they
> even have to describe an officer down type emergency as not an
> emegency. This is very interesting and echoes what the fed is trying
> to do to health care and other areas of our life.
> By the way I am licensed and am not a "lid." I will get up
> tomorrow having learned something but you will get up... (check W.C
> Fields to finish the quote). I doubt if carefully written laws would
> have applied in the Japan Tsunami.

If your licensed why don't you sign your emails with your name and call
sign?
Do you realize what a "Lid" is?

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

37643. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:23:53 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 36895 Message: 37643

Yes there is despite the fact this group is not for that purpose. Odd

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of kb8dns@...
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 1:42 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Hmmm.... Big debate going on here over what is clearly a definition problem.

In the USA and it's territories it's the FCC who makes the rules for type acceptance and radio application.

On amateur radio frequencies one is limited to his or her license as to where he or she can transmit, one does not need a license to listen.

On amateur frequncies the only radios that can be used are those that are FCC type accepted for the amateur bands. If they transmit out of band, technically they are not type accepted.

Using non-type accepted radios modified to operate on the amateur bands is ok if done by a licensed amateur radio operator.

Part 97 rules of the FCC are for use of certain bands or frequencies. Including these bands... CB, MURS, FRS and there may be others, these are license free for now. GMRS needs a license. Any frequencies out of these bands are licensed to other services and should not be used as per the FCC rules.

Other countries have their own regulations.... no room for that here.

That the Beofeng will transmit everywhere, makes it non-type accepted for any use by FCC definition. Its use on the amateur bands under amateur rules makes it usable.... big gray area here! Using it on the part 97 rules is against the FCC as it can be of too high RF output power, ie: 300mW on the FRS band permitted.

The Beofeng is not type accepted by the FCC

With an emergency I would say anything goes to save life and property!

73 Rod KB8DNS

37644. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:24:11 UTC
From: <opie_2_be@...>
Topic: 37628 Message: 37644

thanks Colorado birds yes

Videos of baofeng youtube satilite http://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=baofeng+youtube+satilite&qpvt=baofeng+youtube+satilite&FORM=VDRE bing.com/videos
3:55 http://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=baofeng+youtube+satilite&FORM=VIRE1#view=detail&mid=41CFFF4789A2DE2CE43041CFFF4789A2DE2CE430

How to Work Amateur Ham R… http://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=baofeng+youtube+satilite&FORM=VIRE2#view=detail&mid=41CFFF4789A2DE2CE43041CFFF4789A2DE2CE430
YouTube

3:56 http://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=baofeng+youtube+satilite&FORM=VIRE3#view=detail&mid=77332003C0CC6CDA225677332003C0CC6CDA2256

Baofeng UV5R and Cubex Dua… http://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=baofeng+youtube+satilite&FORM=VIRE4#view=detail&mid=77332003C0CC6CDA225677332003C0CC6CDA2256
YouTube

3:09 http://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=baofeng+youtube+satilite&FORM=VIRE5#view=detail&mid=1CF4837160971EDFEBAD1CF4837160971EDFEBAD

SO-50 Satellite with Baofeng U… http://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=baofeng+youtube+satilite&FORM=VIRE6#view=detail&mid=1CF4837160971EDFEBAD1CF4837160971EDFEBAD
YouTube

http://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=baofeng+youtube+satilite&FORM=VIRE7#view=detail&mid=E91287B592694300D1F6E91287B592694300D1F6

Work Amateur Satellite w/ Bao… http://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=baofeng+youtube+satilite&FORM=VIRE8#view=detail&mid=E91287B592694300D1F6E91287B592694300D1F6
YouTube look at that satellite birds no no?????

37645. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:25:48 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37628 Message: 37645

Given the radios are $30 could you have one for transmit and one to listen. Would that basically mimic full-duplex?

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Colorado Rob
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 1:58 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

You can use it for satellite work, but it would not be my first choice radio for that use. For satellite work, it is helpful to be able to hear your own transmission. For that you need a full duplex radio -- one that can transmit and receive at the same time. These Baofeng radios cannot do that.

Also, most amateur satellites are linear transponders designed for CW and SSB. There are very few FM repeaters.

Rob wx9o

On Dec 6, 2013 10:59 AM, <halloc@... <mailto:halloc@...m> > wrote:

anybody can use Amateur Satellites with their radio. i'm trying to use somehow my radio with satellites, since it may be the only repeaters in the middle of the ocean.

thanks .. halit ..

37646. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:27:22 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37646

Yes that is exactly what I am interested in doing. I hope to get started on it after Christmas. That is what I was thinking of the Slim Jims for. I figured with parts from Amazon it would cost about $170.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 2:01 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Hello,

I have a solar box repeater should be pictured here in the archives if not I can send pictures again.

The unit also uses a modified slim jim that can stand up on its own in a flex fashion.

The slim jim is the best idea for a portable repeater.

From: Brett Moyer <mailto:kb_moyer@...>

Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 8:32 AM

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>

Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Thanks Jim, I will get a Slim Jim and look at building my own J-Pole too! The fact there is no gain and the band is so wide is certainly a turn-off with the discone…I did not gather that from my research.

May have to check out the B5/6 antenna too.

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r @yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:20 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 11:07 AM, Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@... <mailto:kb_moyer@...> > wrote:

Oh sorry I misunderstood. Thank you Jim! I will keep watching the progress of the antenna discussion for more opinions. I am also interested in the discussion on the ammo box build. I will probably try building a self-contained sola r repeater for fun.

I think the Diamond for the HT, the Tram for the mobile, and the Slim Jim for various other applications are some I will add to my collection. I already bought the Nagoya NA-701 and

the UT-108UV mag mount.

What about base antennas? What do you think about the Centerfire Deluxe Discone? Looks like it is cheap enough to give it a try.

http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl _it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8 <http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8&colid=2DJ0IR303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM> &colid=2DJ0IR303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM

Brett

I have the Nagoya NA-701, the $3.79 special and recently purchased the "new" Baofeng antenna (the one that come on the UV-B5/B6. They all work fine for me. I find that the original Baofeng 'spike' that come on my UV-5R seems to be better for receiving commercial FM broadcasts though.

The discone has a very broad frequency range and would be good for a scanner or if you had to cover more than 2 bands with a single antenna, but it has no gain. For covering just the 2 meter and 70 centimeter h am bands, a j-pole is recommended here quite regularly and might be preferable. You can build one yourself or you can buy them commercially (search for slim jim, or j-pole or arrow for sources.).

Jim KC9HI

37647. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:31:26 UTC
From: K1GAX
Topic: 36895 Message: 37647
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

37648. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:34:51 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 37493 Message: 37648

On 12/6/2013 10:07 AM, Brett Moyer wrote:
>
> Oh sorry I misunderstood. Thank you Jim! I will keep watching the
> progress of the antenna discussion for more opinions. I am also
> interested in the discussion on the ammo box build. I will probably
> try building a self-contained solar repeater for fun.
>
> I think the Diamond for the HT, the Tram for the mobile, and the Slim
> Jim for various other applications are some I will add to my
> collection. I already bought the Nagoya NA-701 and the UT-108UV mag mount.
>
> What about base antennas? What do you think about the Centerfire
> Deluxe Discone? Looks lik e it is cheap enough to give it a try.
>
> http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8&colid=2DJ0IR
303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM
>
> Brett
>
>

Brett for your Base Antenna Idea why don't you build one?

http://mysite.verizon.net/cpthaines/id68.html

http://mysite.verizon.net/cpthaines/id14.html
http://mysite.verizon.net/cpthaines/id17.html

http://mysite.verizon.net/cpthaines/id70.html

Those are some links on simple builds. Antennas are fun to build.
I only have one commercial antenna on my roof for Amateur Radio and my
Television Antennas are modified commercial antennas also.
If you find someone getting rid of old Television antenna grab them
cause there is a lot of useful aluminum to be had in them. Never pass up
anyone that will give you decent lengths ie 24 inches or longer lengths
of aluminum, copper, stainless steel tubing.

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003

Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

37649. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:39:44 UTC
From: Jon Rappuhn
Topic: 37493 Message: 37649

I can certainly understand that there are many, many people in the group, and that many of them have done and are still doing a lot of research and posting on the FAQ, etc. But there also seems to be a number (small, but "vocal" who seem to have forgotten (or never learned) what the meaning of polite is. However they are very familiar with "Nasty" and these are the ones that tend to leave a bad taste in others, new and old, mouths. And as another said, some may just be looking for someone to discuss a question and answer a little further and perhaps make a friend or two along the way.

Some on here certainly discourage that. Sad that this country is getting to be this way.

Jon Rappuhn

KC7AUE

From: "rock.unroe@..." <rock.unroe@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 7:15 AM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

This group consists of more than 10,000 members. What you are calling "all you people" is significantly less than 20 (or significantly less than 0.2% of the members).

What you have to understand is that there are more than a few group members that have spent a lot of time and effort contributing to this group by answering questions. Must of the repeat questions that a new member would ask has been compiled from this group and generously provided by the Miklor.com website. The group owner has taken the time to provide a link to this website in the group welcome message and has links provided to it at the bottom of each email for those that participate using email.

Even with all of this "help" provided to a new member, the group still gets a lot of repeat questions that would have been answered if the new member would have just taken a few minutes to read the Miklor.com "New Owner Users Guide" as requested in the welcome message.

I have been a group member since post 50 (there are now more than 37.5K posts). I spend a lot of time (nearly every day) answering questions posted here. I try to answer every question that I reply to with a usable answer. Sometimes I go out-of-my-way to provide a good and complete answer. But I've lost count of how may times a new member has asked a question that was already asked and answered earlier in the day (sometimes more than once). How much time should I (and others) spend a day answering the same question over and over (and over) again?

Sometime the correct answer is to refer the member to the already provided help documents. However, I will at least try to point them to the correct website page and/or FAQ #.

Jim KC9HI

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <kb_moyer@yahoo.com> wrote:

So there is no point in joining the group if all you people are going to say to new users is read everything already said. I know this info is already on the internet if I wanted just to research info I would…what I came to this group for is conversation on the radio, same reason we key the radio up in the first place. If you all don’t waste your time with newbie questions ignore them! If you want to have friendly conversation and help someone then join in.

37650. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:06:45 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37628 Message: 37650

Yes it can be done but you need a directional Yagi to be able to hit the satellites. It is also best to have 2 people doing this as one moves the antenna and the other can operate any frequency adjust due to Doppler effects and to insure you can lock on quickly before it is out of range. We got a contact this way at our club ARRL Field Day event this last June.

From: halloc@...
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 8:58 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

anybody can use Amateur Satellites with their radio. i'm trying to use somehow my radio with satellites, since it may be the only repeaters in the middle of the ocean.

thanks .. halit ..

37651. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:49:08 UTC
From: Greg Ames
Topic: 36895 Message: 37651

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 1:42 PM, <kb8dns@...> wrote:
>On amateur frequncies the only radios that can be used are those that are FCC type accepted for the amateur bands.

Incorrect. Homebrew equipment is not type accepted, but is perfectly legal to use by hams on ham bands.

My FT-857D is not part 97 certified. There is a sticker on it, and a notation in the manual, that it "complies with part 15 of the FCC rules", but nothing about part 97 certification.

If I remember correctly (I think this came up once before), the only commercial ham gear that requires part 97 certification is HF amplifiers (to prevent them from being modified for 11 meter CB)

>If they transmit out of band, technically they are not type accepted.

Not sure what you mean here. I can use a TK-860 on the 440 ham band legally. If I transmit out of band, but on a valid part 90 frequency, that does not invalidate the part 90 cert of the radio, whether I am licensed to use that part 90 freq or not.

>Using non-type accepted radios modified to operate on the amateur bands is ok if done by a licensed amateur radio operator.

If what is "done by a licensed amateur radio operator"? The modification or the transmission? TX, yes (obviously), but I don't recall anything that requires a ham do the mod.

>Part 97 rules of the FCC are for use of certain bands or frequencies. Including these ban ds... CB, MURS, FRS
>and there may be others, these are license free for now. GMRS needs a license. Any frequencies out of these bands
>are licensed to other services and should not be used as per the FCC rules.

Not 97, you meant 95.

>That the Beofeng will transmit everywhere, makes it non-type accepted for any use by FCC definition.

Then why do all of mine have part 90 stickers?

>Its use on the
>amateur bands under amateur rules makes it usable.... big gray area here! Using it on the part 97 rules is against the
>FCC as it can be of too high RF output power, ie: 300mW on the FRS band permitted.

Again, change 97 to 95, and that statement is correct.

But the radio is illegal to use for FRS because it is not part 95 certified, period. Power, detachable antenna, etc is secondary.

>The Beofeng is not type accepted by the FCC

Again, then why do all of mine have part 90 stickers?

Greg
W1TGA

37652. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Battery indicator work?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 19:29:46 UTC
From: Drew from Zhrodague
Topic: 37639 Message: 37652

On 12/6/13, 2:07 PM, Bob Larson wrote:
> I just picked up one a UV-5R+ on Amazon.
>
> The other day while monitoring after leaving the rig on for about 24
> hours it just died on me.
>
> Today while xmitint it did the same. Both times when I last looked it
> had shown the battery at full strength.
>
> Today after the it died, I turned it off and back on, came on. Tried to
> xmit, died. Did this a couple of times, and now the battery meter
> started fluctuating between 2 and 3 bars. and shut off.
>
> I forgot to put the switch to off since it crapped out, and it turned
> itself back on. Battery level jumped around and it shut itself back off.
>
> Is just an undocumented feature for a radio at this price point :) or
> did I get a bad one?

Sorry - the battery indicator is not too accurate. Once my battery drops to two bars, it is time to charge it up again. While I am able to get about 2 evening's use out of the battery that ships with the unit, I do suggest the giant 2300/2400mah batteries that are out there. Having a handy extra battery makes it easier to continue your conversation, with only a minor disruption.

--

Drew from Zhrodague
fully-automatic assault sysadmin
drew@...

37653. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 20:11:12 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37653

Thank you for the suggestions Dale! I may consider making my own antenna especially considering I have to wait for more funds for the repeater project until after Christmas anyway.

The off center fed sleeve dual band dipole looks like the one I would be interested in trying. I will study what you sent. Do you have any other links to antennas projects like this one with more close up pictures?

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dale Miller
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 2:35 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On 12/6/2013 10:07 AM, Brett Moyer wrote:

Oh sorry I misunderstood. Thank you Jim! I will keep watching the progress of the antenna discussion for more opinions. I am also interested in the discussion on the ammo box build. I will probably try building a self-contained solar repeater for fun.

I think the Diamond for the HT, the Tram for the mobile, and the Slim Jim for various other applications are some I will add to my collection. I already bought the Nagoya NA-701 and the UT-108UV mag mount.

What about base antennas? What do you think about the Centerfire Deluxe Discone? Looks lik e it is cheap enough to give it a try.

http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8
<http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8
&colid=2DJ0IR303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM>
&colid=2DJ0IR303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM

Brett

Brett for your Base Antenna Idea why don't you build one?

http://mysite.verizon.net/cpthaines/id68.html

http://mysite.verizon.net/cpthaines/id14.html
http://mysite.verizon.net/cpthaines/id17.html

http://mysite.verizon.net/cpthaines/id70.html

Those are some links on simple builds. Antennas are fun to build.
I only have one commercial antenna on my roof for Amateur Radio and my

Television Antennas are modified commercial antennas also.
If you find someone getting rid of old Television antenna grab them cause there is a lot of useful aluminum to be had in them. Never pass up anyone that will give you decent lengths ie 24 inches or longer lengths of aluminum, copper, stainless steel tubing.

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@... <mailto:stpatrick3spam@...>
stpatrick2spam@... <mailto:stpatrick2spam@...>
stpatrick3spam@... <mailto:stpatrick3spam@...>
bdchimneysweepspam@... <mailto:bdchimneysweepspam@...>

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com <http://www.twintowersalliance.com>
--

37654. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 20:15:09 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37654

Thanks for understanding Jon! Just looking for friendly discussion on a hobby we share. People like you may yet convince me to get my tech license.

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jon Rappuhn
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 2:40 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

I can certainly understand that there are many, many people in the group, and that many of them have done and are still doing a lot of research and posting on the FAQ, etc. But there also seems to be a number (small, but "vocal" who seem to have forgotten (or never learned) what the meaning of polite is. However they are very familiar with "Nasty" and these are the ones that tend to leave a bad taste in others, new and old, mouths. And as another said, some may just be looking for someone to discuss a question and answer a little further and perhaps make a friend or two along the way.

Some on here certainly discourage that. Sad that this country is getting to be this way.

Jon Rappuhn

KC7AUE

From: "rock.unroe@... <mailto:rock.unroe@...> " <rock.unroe@... <mailto:rock.unroe@...> >
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 7:15 AM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

This group consists of more than 10,000 members. What you are calling "all you people" is significantly less than 20 (or significantly less than 0.2% of the members).

What you have to understand is that there are more than a few group members that have spent a lot of time and effort contributing to this group by answering questions. Must of the repeat questions that a new member would ask has been compiled from this group and generously provided by the Miklor.com website. The group owner has taken the time to provide a link to this website in the group welcome message and has links provided to it at the bottom of each email for those that participate using email.

Even with all of this "help" provided to a new member, the group still gets a lot of repeat questions that would have been answered if the new member would have just taken a few minutes to read the Miklor.com "New Owner Users Guide" as requested in the welcome message.

I have been a group member since post 50 (there are now more than 37.5K posts). I spend a lot of time (nearly every day) answering questions posted here. I try to answer every question that I reply to with a usable answer. Sometimes I go out-of-my-way to provide a good and complete answer. But I've lost count of how may times a new member has asked a

question that was already asked and answered earlier in the day (sometimes more than once). How much time should I (and others) spend a day answering the same question over and over (and over) again?

Sometime the correct answer is to refer the member to the already provided help documents. However, I will at least try to point them to the correct website page and/or FAQ #.

Jim KC9HI

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com> , <kb_moyer@... <mailto:kb_moyer@yahoo.com> > wrote:

So there is no point in joining the group if all you people are going to say to new users is read everything already said. I know this info is already on the internet if I wanted just to research info I would…what I came to this group for is conversation on the radio, same reason we key the radio up in the first place. If you all don’t waste your time with newbie questions ignore them! If you want to have friendly conversation and help someone then join in.

37655. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 20:19:05 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 37493 Message: 37655

HI Brett:

Not necessarily. The discone design is very broad banded, and is often used for VHF and UHF communication at airports and military facilities. The discone does not have as much "capture area" as a J-pole, so the J-pole might out-perform the discone, but that depends on how well the J-pole is constructed and the length and type of feed line used, compared to the discone. A really well made discone with good feed line might work better.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 6, 2013, at 12:12 PM, Brett Moyer wrote:

> But it sounds that given the j-pole can be made to the band or bands
> you are
> working it is more efficient than the discone I have linked to.
> Correct?

37656. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 20:28:00 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37493 Message: 37656

Maybe a little more, but worth it if it works a good panel will be almost $100 and the repeater module depending on simplex or duplex (I have both) will be another $100

I use the heavy Pb batteries for this unit but you can sink another $300 + if you decide to go with light high tech Li Fe PO batteries.

The slim jim roll up antenna works great for this unit

From: Brett Moyer
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:27 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yes that is exactly what I am interested in doing. I hope to get started on it after Christmas. That is what I was thinking of the Slim Jims for. I figured with parts from Amazon it would cost about $170.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 2:01 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@...m
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Hello,

I have a solar box repeater should be pictured here in the archives if not I can send pictures again.

The unit also uses a modified slim jim that can stand up on its own in a flex fashion.

The slim jim is the best idea for a portable repeater.

From: Brett Moyer

Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 8:32 AM

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Thanks Jim, I will get a Slim Jim and look at building my own J-Pole too! The fact there is no gain and the band is so wide is certainly a turn-off with the discone…I did not gather that from my research.

May have to check out the B5/6 antenna too.

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r @yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:20 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 11:07 AM, Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...> wrote:

Oh sorry I misunderstood. Thank you Jim! I will keep watching the progress of the antenna discussion for more opinions. I am also interested in the discussion on the ammo box build. I will probably try building a self-contained sola r repeater for fun.

I think the Diamond for the HT, the Tram for the mobile, and the Slim Jim for various other applications are some I will add to my collection. I already bought the Nagoya NA-701 and the UT-108UV mag mount.

What about base antennas? What do you think about the Centerfire Deluxe Discone? Looks like it is cheap enough to give it a try.

http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl
_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8&colid=2DJ0IR303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM

Brett

I have the Nagoya NA-701, the $3.79 special and recently purchased the "new" Baofeng antenna (the one that come on the UV-B5/B6. They all work fine for me. I find that the original Baofeng 'spike' that come on my UV-5R seems to be better for receiving commercial FM broadcasts though.

The discone has a very broad frequency range and would be good for a scanner or if you had to cover more than 2 bands with a single antenna, but it has no gain. For covering just the 2 meter and 70 centimeter h am bands, a j-pole is recommended here quite regularly and might be preferable. You can build one yourself or you can buy them commercially (search for slim jim, or j-pole or arrow for sources.).

Jim KC9HI

37657. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 20:34:06 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37628 Message: 37657

Yes and you use a module to control both of them you can even cross band it too.

just insure your frequency pairs are not going to interfere with anything and at 5W it should not. DCS code everything both RX and TX to keep noise and others off it because the uv5R is not made for heavy long duty cycles.

Ours is just a campsite in the mountains unit to be used in remote areas.

Also insure you set up the uv5 for dual tones as this is how you will control the repeater functions remotely.

We run ours around 5-7 miles in remote areas in simplex mode not tested really much further than this. It is mostly for hiking , biking camping fun.

From: Brett Moyer
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:25 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

Given the radios are $30 could you have one for transmit and one to listen. Would that basically mimic full-duplex?

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Colorado Rob
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 1:58 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

You can use it for satellite work, but it would not be my first choice radio for that use. For sa tellite work, it is helpful to be able to hear your own transmission. For that you need a full duplex radio -- one that can transmit and receive at the same time. These Baofeng radios cannot do that.

Also, most amateur satellites are linear transponders designed for CW and SSB. There are very few FM repeaters.

Rob wx9o

On Dec 6, 2013 10:59 AM, <halloc@yahoo.com> wrote:

anybody can use Amateur Satellites with their radio. i'm trying to use somehow my radio with satellites, since it may be the only repeaters in the middle of the ocean.

thanks .. halit ..

37658. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Battery indicator work?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 20:41:42 UTC
From: Bob Larson
Topic: 37639 Message: 37658

```
>
> Thanks, good info indeed. Mine didn't even seem to give me to bar warning
> that I noticed. Not until I left it on and it came back on and started
> fluctuating. Maybe I'll see if another one from Amazon will at least show
> two bars as a warning.
>

Right up there w/ the "s-meter" I guess. LOL

> __
>
> Sorry - the battery indicator is not too accurate. Once my battery
> drops to two bars, it is time to charge it up again. While I am able to
> get about 2 evening's use out of the battery that ships with the unit, I
> do suggest the giant 2300/2400mah batteries that are out there. Having a
> handy extra battery makes it easier to continue your conversation, with
> only a minor disruption.
>
> --
>
> Drew from Zhrodague
> fully-automatic assault sysadmin
> drew@...
>
>
```

37659. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 20:55:56 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37493 Message: 37659

Brett get your license as it is so easy you will kick yourself for not doing it earlier.

Once you get your license you can join clubs and hone your skills, generally many things are limited without a license and hiding in the dark playing around on GMRS/FRS (like some do using this radio) is like taking your .308 to shoot at the .22LR range. Your .308 can do a lot more but you limit it to the .22lr pistol range. Same thing with your radio.

The Uv5R is a good simple starter radio but is not the best you can find on the market. It is good to throw in a Bug out bag or for heavy use without crying when it gets broke compared to your $500 Motorola.

Manny experience hams do not even play much with VHF/UHF because the LOS principles and are mostly into HF or something else. With a license you can step up into the bigger and badd'er areas where you can talk around the world and operate high power big boys stuff.

It all starts with that tech license

Not to sound like a conspiracy theory type, but if you have not figured it out our country is going in the wrong direction. As for the Americans in this group we really need to look at preparedness and independence from all controls. This goes for energy, communications, self-defense, marketable skills, morals and values. We are losing this spirit which is being replaced with greed, government dependency, obesity and self-medication abuse.

Ham radio is another useful skill to develop within the hobby and for an unknown future. Ham radio was the only thing working from Katrina to the Colorado floods a few months back. It is worthy to get your license ASAP.

From: Brett Moyer
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 12:15 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Thanks for understanding Jon! Just looking for friendly discussion on a hobby we share. People like you may yet convince me to get my tech license.

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jon Rappuhn
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 2:40 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

I can certainly understand that there are many, many people in the group, and that many of them have done and are still doing a lot of research and posting on the FAQ, etc. But there also seems to be a number (small, but "vocal" who seem to have forgotten (or never learned) what the meaning of polite is. However they are very familiar with "Nasty" and these are the ones that tend to leave a bad taste in others, new and old, mouths. And as another

said, some may just be looking for someone to discuss a question and answer a little further and perhaps make a friend or two along the way.

Some on here certainly discourage that. Sad that this country is getting to be this way.

Jon Rappuhn

KC7AUE

From: "rock.unroe@..." <rock.unroe@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 7:15 AM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

This group consists of more than 10,000 members. What you are calling "all you people" is significantly less than 20 (or significantly less than 0.2% of the members).

What you have to understand is that there are more than a few group members that have spent a lot of time and effort contributing to this group by answering questions. Must of the repeat questions that a new member would ask has been compiled from this group and generously provided by the Miklor.com website. The group owner has taken the time to provide a link to this website in the group welcome message and has links provided to it at the bottom of each email for those that participate using email.

Even with all of this "help" provided to a new member, the group still gets a lot of repeat questions that would have been answered if the new member would have just taken a few minutes to read the Miklor.com "New Owner Users Guide" as requested in the welcome message.

I have been a group member since post 50 (there are now more than 37.5K posts). I spend a lot of time (nearly every day) answering questions posted here. I try to answer every question that I reply to with a usable answer. Sometimes I go out-of-my-way to provide a good and complete answer. But I've lost count of how may times a new member has asked a question that was already asked and answered earlier in the day (sometimes more than once). How much time should I (and others) spend a day answering the same question over and over (and over) again?

Sometime the correct answer is to refer the member to the already provided help documents. However, I will at least try to point them to the correct website page and/or FAQ #.

Jim KC9HI

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <kb_moyer@...> wrote:

So there is no point in joining the group if all you people are going to say to new users is read everything already said. I know this info is already on the internet if I wanted just to research info I would…what I came to this group for is conversation on the radio, same reason we key the radio up in the first place. If you all don’t waste your time with newbie questions ignore them! If you want to have friendly conversation and help someone then join in.

37660. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 21:07:18 UTC
From: <kb8dns@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37660

Thanks Bruce! A typo on my part where I meant to say part 95 for those bands not under amateur rules. Part 95 also covers Radio Control and toys along with Part 15, mostly low power devices that are low ERP in the milliwatt range. Part 15 can be in the same bands as other services, just listen to the 'A' channels on Christmas morning!
The Baofeng UV5R along with several other Chinese rigs are able to transmit all over. With that in mind operators should be aware of the different services and use common sense to limit interference.

73 Rod KB8DNS

37661. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 21:30:05 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 37493 Message: 37661

On 12/6/2013 2:11 PM, Brett Moyer wrote:
>
> Thank you for the suggestions Dale! I may consider making my own
> antenna especially considering I have to wait for more funds for the
> repeater project until after Christmas anyway.
>
> The off center fed sleeve dual band dipole looks like the one I would
> be interested in trying. I will study what you sent. Do you have any
> other links to antennas projects like this one with more close up
> pictures?
>
> Brett
>
>

Here is another version of the same antenna
http://www.hamuniverse.com/w7lpn2440sleevedipole.html

And a version that is easy to build but I don't think would hold up to
the elements for too many years.
http://www.athensarc.org/sleevedipole.asp

Some others you should be able to build.
http://amateurradio.bz/2m-70cm_vertical_dipole_antenna.html
http://vk2zoi.com/articles/half-wave-flower-pot/
http://vk2zoi.com/articles/dual-band-high-gain-flower-pot/*
*http://www.dxzone.com/catalog/Antennas/

Some from the ARRL
http://www.arrl.org/vhf-omni
http://www.arrl.org/vhf-more-projects
http://www.arrl.org/vhf-mobile

The Slim Jim is easy to build and is nothing more than a J-Pole.
http://ac8gy.com/dual_band_slim_jim_antenna.html

The Townsman is a pretty easy Build.
http://www.hamuniverse.com/G3jamtownsmanscan.pdf

This should get your mind going with ideas and like I said materials can
be had, you just have to keep your eyes and ears open to freebies

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)

Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

37662. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 21:31:44 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 37493 Message: 37662

On 12/6/2013 2:11 PM, Brett Moyer wrote:
>
> Thank you for the suggestions Dale! I may consider making my own
> antenna especially considering I have to wait for more funds for the
> repeater project until after Christmas anyway.
>
> The off center fed sleeve dual band dipole looks like the one I would
> be interested in trying. I will study what you sent. Do you have any
> other links to antennas projects like this one with more close up
> pictures?
>
> Brett
>
>

Oh do a Google search for Collinear Antenna and you will find another
nice home-brew idea.

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

37663. RE: Battery indicator work?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 21:32:32 UTC
From: <aaronmichalb@...>
Topic: 37639 Message: 37663

I've read that the battery meters are hit or miss. I suppose I got a good one. Mine seems to reflect battery level accurately enough: meter moves from 3 bars to 2, and down to 1, and before it dies it gives me the audible battery alert for several minutes.

37664. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Battery indicator work?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 21:36:18 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 37639 Message: 37664

nothing will replace a volt meter for battery measurement

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 3:32 PM, <aaronmichalb@...> wrote:

>
>
> I've read that the battery meters are hit or miss. I suppose I got a good
> one. Mine seems to reflect battery level accurately enough: meter moves
> from 3 bars to 2, and down to 1, and before it dies it gives me the audible
> battery alert for several minutes.
>
>
>

37665. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 21:38:10 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 36895 Message: 37665

On 12/6/2013 3:07 PM, kb8dns@... wrote:
>
> Thanks Bruce! A typo on my part where I meant to say part 95 for
> those bands not under amateur rules. Part 95 also covers Radio Control
> and toys along with Part 15, mostly low power devices that are low ERP
> in the milliwatt range. Part 15 can be in the same bands as other
> services, just listen to the 'A' channels on Christmas morning!
> The Baofeng UV5R along with several other Chinese rigs are able to
> transmit all over. With that in mind operators should be aware of the
> different services and use common sense to limit interference.
>
> 73 Rod KB8DNS
>
>

Not completely true. There are radio control frequencies in the Amateur
Radio bands.
That is why some Hams get there Extra so that they can use those
frequencies with there models.
But the cheap radio controlled models are outside the Amateur Radio bands.

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

37666. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 21:46:19 UTC
From: Jon Rappuhn
Topic: 37493 Message: 37666

AMEN to everything you stated sir. Here in my neck of the woods the authorities rely heavily on our club (which is mostly tech.'s (retired) when we have our massive forest fires, heavy flash floods, avalanches etc. and we enjoy doing it. We even have portable 2m repeaters and towers ready to go in less than an hour so it really helps, (we also have a phone interconnect so the firefighters from out of the area can make free calls home occasionally if they are here for several days or weeks at a time.

And your last paragraph is so very true, with the situation the way it is, if God forbid, the SHTF a couple of these inexpensive radios are going to be very handy.

I'm just glad I was able to get my tech. license before a job injury and a series of small strokes made it impossible to use my short term memory otherwise I'd have my General by now. (I should have got it when my Novice expired 50 years ago, but the heady mixture of gas, burning rubber and perfume sidetracked me LOL.

73's
Jon Rappuhn
KC7AUE

From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 12:55 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Brett get your license as it is so easy you will kick yourself for not doing it earlier.

Once you get your license you can join clubs and hone your skills, generally many things are limited without a license and hiding in the dark playing around on GMRS/FRS (like some do using this radio) is like taking your .308 to shoot at the .22LR range. Your .308 can do a lot more but you limit it to the .22lr pistol range. Same thing with your radio.

The Uv5R is a good simple starter radio but is not the best you can find on the market. It is good to throw in a Bug out bag or for heavy use without crying when it gets broke compared to your $500 Motorola.

Manny experience hams do not even play much with VHF/UHF because the LOS principles and are mostly into HF or something else. With a license you can step up into the bigger and badd’er areas where you can talk around the world and operate high power big boys stuff.

It all starts with that tech license

Not to sound like a conspiracy theory type, but if you have not figured it out our country is going in the wrong direction. As for the Americans in this group we really need to look at preparedness and independence from all controls. This goes for energy, communications, self-defense, marketable skills, morals and values. We are losing this spirit which is being replaced with greed, government dependency, obesity and self-medication abuse.

Ham radio is another useful skill to develop within the hobby and for an unknown future. Ham radio was the only thing working from Katrina to the Colorado floods a few months back. It is worthy to get your license ASAP.

From: Brett Moyer
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 12:15 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Thanks for understanding Jon! Just looking for friendly discussion on a hobby we share. People like you may yet convince me to get my tech license.
Brett
From:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jon Rappuhn
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 2:40 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

I can certainly understand that there are many, many people in the group, and that many of them have done and are still doing a lot of research and posting on the FAQ, etc. But there also seems to be a number (small, but "vocal" who seem to have forgotten (or never learned) what the meaning of polite is. However they are very familiar with "Nasty" and these are the ones that tend to leave a bad taste in others, new and old, mouths. And as another said, some may just be looking for someone to discuss a question and answer a little further and perhaps make a friend or two along the way. Some on here certainly discourage that. Sad that this country is getting to be this way.
Jon Rappuhn
KC7AUE
From:"rock.unroe@..." <rock.unroe@gmail.com>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 7:15 AM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

This
group consists of more than 10,000 members. What you are calling "all you people" is significantly less than 20 (or significantly less than 0.2% of the members).

What you have to understand is that there are more than a few
group members that have spent a lot of time and effort contributing to this group by answering questions. Must of the repeat questions that a new member would ask has been compiled from this group and generously provided by the Miklor.com website. The group owner has taken the time to provide a link to this website in the group welcome message and has links provided to it at the bottom of each email for those that participate using email.

Even with all of
this "help" provided to a new member, the group still gets a lot of repeat questions that would have been answered if the new member would have just taken a few minutes to read the Miklor.com "New Owner Users Guide" as requested in the welcome message.

I have been a group member since post 50 (there are now
more than 37.5K posts). I spend a lot of time (nearly every day) answering questions posted here. I try to answer every question that I reply to with a usable answer. Sometimes I go out-of-my-way to provide a good and complete answer. But I've lost count of how may times a new member has asked a question that was already asked and answered earlier in the day (sometimes more than once). How much time should I (and others) spend a day answering the same question over and over (and over) again?

Sometime the correct answer is
to refer the member to the already provided help documents. However, I will at least try to point them to the correct website page and/or FAQ #.

Jim
KC9HI

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com,
<kb_moyer@...>
wrote:
So there is no point in joining the
group if all you people are going to say to new users is read everything already said. I know this info is already on the internet if I wanted just to research info I would…what I came to this group for is conversation on the radio, same reason we key the radio up in the first place. If you all don’t waste your time with newbie questions ignore them! If you want to have friendly conversation and help someone then join in.

37667. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 21:49:49 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37667

There is no type acceptance for ham bands. Type 90 radios that cover 136-174 and 400-520 can be used legally on ham bands by licensed operators. The Baofengs and Wouxuns are both legal in both ham and business/public safety when all parties are properly licensed. This is why these radios are popular with Search and Rescue groups and ham operators. No mods needed, legal to use, just follow the rules.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 6, 2013, at 10:42, <kb8dns@...> wrote:
>
> Hmmm.... Big debate going on here over what is clearly a definition problem.
>
> In the USA and it's territories it's the FCC who makes the rules for type acceptance and radio application.
>
> On amateur radio frequencies one is limited to his or her license as to where he or she can transmit, one does not need a license to listen.
>
> On amateur frequncies the only radios that can be used are those that are FCC type accepted for the amateur bands. If they transmit out of band, technically they are not type accepted.
>
> Using non-type accepted radios modified to operate on the amateur bands is ok if done by a licensed amateur radio operator.
>
>
>
> Part 97 rules of the FCC are for use of certain bands or frequencies. Including these bands... CB, MURS, FRS and there may be others, these are license free for now. GMRS needs a license. Any frequencies out of these bands are licensed to other services and should not be used as per the FCC rules.
>
> Other countries have their own regulations.... no room for that here.
>
>
>
> That the Beofeng will transmit everywhere, makes it non-type accepted for any use by FCC definition. Its use on the amateur bands under amateur rules makes it usable.... big gray area here! Using it on the part 97 rules is against the FCC as it can be of too high RF output power, ie: 300mW on the FRS band permitted.
>
> The Beofeng is not type accepted by the FCC
>

>
>
> With an emergency I would say anything goes to save life and property!
>
>
>
> 73 Rod KB8DNS
>
>

37668. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 22:04:59 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 37493 Message: 37668

Actually Brett I use a slim jim j pole for a base with my UV5R. I got it on eBay for around $20. Well worth it. I have it in an upstairs room and get great results from it. Don't remember the seller, but ytou can get it with a variety of connctors on the end. BTW you want a female SMA connector.
----- Original Message -----
From: Brett Moyer
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:07 AM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Oh sorry I misunderstood. Thank you Jim! I will keep watching the progress of the antenna discussion for more opinions. I am also interested in the discussion on the ammo box build. I will probably try building a self-contained solar repeater for fun.

I think the Diamond for the HT, the Tram for the mobile, and the Slim Jim for various other applications are some I will add to my collection. I already bought the Nagoya NA-701 and the UT-108UV mag mount.

What about base antennas? What do you think about the Centerfire Deluxe Discone? Looks like it is cheap enough to give it a try.

http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8&colid=2DJ0IR303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 10:49 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 10:37 AM, Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...> wrote:

If you get tired of answering the same question then let one of the other 10,000 members answer it. Many of us newbies don't come to research what has been said in the past, we come to ask opinions from people. If you want to share yours then please do so, if not then no one is going to blame you if you ignore it. If I wanted to research the internet for radio information I do just that, that is exactly how I found out about the radio and this group, when I join a USER group it is to talk to users.

The FAQ everyone constantly refers too is also mentioned in the same place at the as the group policy that request no talk of the legality of this radio yet that doesn't seem to stop long time members from hounding on that issues without end. This makes me wonder why some of many HAMs are here.is it just to be the radio police?

As for the many messages in your inbox.if you would all stop referring to the law and the FAQ and just talk to people this may be significantly reduced to only pertinent useful information.

Nope. Not tired of answering questions. Just search the group for KC9HI and you'll see.

I'm just explaining the FAQ referrers and radio lawyers are a vocal minority of the group.

So ask all the question that you want. Direct to me if you wish. I will be happy to answer them.

Jim KC9HI

37669. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 22:06:15 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 36895 Message: 37669

You only need a Technician Class license to use the radio control frequencies in the 6 meter band.

Tom

>
> Not completely true. There are radio control frequencies in the Amateur
> Radio bands.
> That is why some Hams get there Extra so that they can use those
> frequencies with there models.
> But the cheap radio controlled models are outside the Amateur Radio bands.

37670. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 20:11:43 UTC
From: Drew from Zhrodague
Topic: 37493 Message: 37670

On 12/6/13, 2:27 PM, Brett Moyer wrote:
> Yes that is exactly what I am interested in doing. I hope to get started
> on it after Christmas. That is what I was thinking of the Slim Jims for.
> I figured with parts from Amazon it would cost about $170.

I bought a n9tax SlimJim to use in my apartment. I liked it so much, I ordered another one. They're available as either just the antenna, antenna with long feed wire, and with a variety of connector options. The unit itself was like $27 with 16' of coax - which was perfect for me to sit on the couch, and hang the antenna from the curtain rod:

http://www.2wayelectronix.com/

Nowadays, my SJ hangs in the stairwell to my basement, and still makes it into ALL of my local repeaters with full quieting. I'm not able to do that with the NA-771 and NA-701 antennas I've bought for my UV-5R. My buddy uses my other SJ, which hangs from the rain gutters outside of his shack - and likewise, he and I can talk on all the local repeaters.

This thing is well made - better than I would have done. It's measured for its purpose, and a great deal at $27. Units without the long cord are $22.99. I don't know the guy, just happy with the product.

--

Drew from Zhrodague
fully-automatic assault sysadmin
drew@...

37671. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 22:37:04 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 37493 Message: 37671

first antenna i ever made was a twin lead j-pole (and google for sites on
how to build it) even with bad
feedline, mismatched 72 ohm with connecting barrels of over 30 feet, i was
able to hit 70 cm repeaters
upto 40 miles away on 300 MW and although i had it up i was in a swale

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 4:04 PM, Doug McClure <dmcclure1@...>wrote:

>
>
> Actually Brett I use a slim jim j pole for a base with my UV5R. I got it
> on eBay for around $20. Well worth it. I have it in an upstairs room and
> get great results from it. Don't remember the seller, but ytou can get it
> with a variety of connctors on the end. BTW you want a female SMA
> connector.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> *From:* Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...>
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Friday, December 06, 2013 11:07 AM
> *Subject:* RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna
>
>
>
> Oh sorry I misunderstood. Thank you Jim! I will keep watching the
> progress of the antenna discussion for more opinions. I am also interested
> in the discussion on the ammo box build. I will probably try building a
> self-contained solar repeater for fun.
>
> I think the Diamond for the HT, the Tram for the mobile, and the Slim
> Jim for various other applications are some I will add to my collection. I
> already bought the Nagoya NA-701 and the UT-108UV mag mount.
>
> What about base antennas? What do you think about the Centerfire Deluxe
> Discone? Looks like it is cheap enough to give it a try.
>
>
> http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8&colid=2DJ0IR
303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM
>
> Brett
>
> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:

> baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] *On Behalf Of *Jim Unroe
> *Sent:* Friday, December 06, 2013 10:49 AM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna
>
>
>
> On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 10:37 AM, Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> If you get tired of answering the same question then let one of the other
> 10,000 members answer it. Many of us newbies don’t come to research what
> has been said in the past, we come to ask opinions from people. If you want
> to share yours then please do so, if not then no one is going to blame you
> if you ignore it. If I wanted to research the internet for radio
> information I do just that, that is exactly how I found out about the radio
> and this group, when I join a USER group it is to talk to users.
>
> The FAQ everyone constantly refers too is also mentioned in the same
> place at the as the group policy that request no talk of the legality of
> this radio yet that doesn’t seem to stop long time members from hounding on
> that issues without end. This makes me wonder why some of many HAMs are
> here…is it just to be the radio police?
>
> As for the many messages in your inbox…if you would all stop referring
> to the law and the FAQ and just talk to people this may be significantly
> reduced to only pertinent useful information.
>
> Nope. Not tired of answering questions. Just search the group for KC9HI
> and you'll see.
>
> I'm just explaining the FAQ referrers and radio lawyers are a vocal
> minority of the group.
>
> So ask all the question that you want. Direct to me if you wish. I will be
> happy to answer them.
>
> Jim KC9HI
>
>
>

37673. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: What should I purchase for a complete set up?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 22:53:06 UTC
From: Robert Toegel
Topic: 36791 Message: 37673

Actually, I screwed it up, should be bids. Along time ago, I worked in a factory and we won a million dollar order on a penny a unit.

Bob

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 12:49 AM, <miguelonxi@...> wrote:

>
>
> This is a great post.
>
>
>

37674. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 23:37:11 UTC
From: <kerewon@...>
Topic: 37493 Message: 37674

Are you related to this guy?

http://www.eham.net/ehamforum/smf/index.php?topic=85472.0;wap2

37676. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 23:25:02 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 36895 Message: 37676

From: Laurence Taylor
> I have known a number of instances where a police officer
> has been injured or taken ill and a member of the public
> has used the officer's radio. There was no suggestion the
> passer-by had done anything but the right thing.

And there is nothing in any of the FCC regulations that would prohibit such use of the officer's radio by a passer-by.

As at least one other person has pointed out, licenses under Part 90 are Station Licenses -- it is the radio that is licensed, not the operator. The licensee (in this case the Police DEPARTMENT) is responsible for deciding who can and cannot operate their radios. If the department decides that the passer-by was justified in using the officer's radio, then that use is 100% legal.

If you happened to encounter an unlocked unoccupied police car and decided to reach in and use the radio clearly the circumstances would determine whether or not the department would approve of your use -- but any prosecution for wrong-doing would be by the local authorities for violating local or state laws, it would not be a matter for the FCC.

On the other hand, if you buy a used police radio at some swap meet and use it on what happens to be a police frequency, you could be prosecuted by both the local police (under local or state law) AND by the FCC (under federal law).

37677. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How you access this group

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 23:52:08 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37612 Message: 37677

From: tenorman1952@...
> You may go to your settings for this group at any time
> and change this. No need to remove yourself from a
> Group if you have interest in the subject. You may
> still want to search for solutions to problems you
> have, or find particular subjects some time in the
> future... which is why you joined this group in the
> first place.

You don’t even need to go to the group website to change you settings. You can choose between Normal, Digest, and No Mail simply be sending an email to the appropriate address.

In the groups that I own, we use a monthly automatic message to remind users of the available commands:

The following commands are available for managing your subscription to mail lists on YahooGroups in e-mail without going to the group web site on Yahoo. These are also the only way you can manage your subscription if you didn't establish a Yahoo ID or didn't link the subscription to your Yahoo ID.

Subscribe: ListName-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

Unsubscribe: ListName-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Using the subscribe command always joins you to the list in "Normal" or individual e-mail mode where you receive each message sent to the list as its own separate message.

The Unsubscribe command removes you completely from the list.

Switch to Digest mail: ListName-digest@yahoogroups.com

Once you are subscribed to a YahooGroups e-mail list, you can use this command to switch from your current mode to Digest mode. In digest mode, instead of receiving each individual e-mail as it is sent to the list, you will normally receive all the messages for a day as a single message. If there are no messages that day, you will not receive anything. If there are 1 to 24 messages you will receive them all together in a single message sent by the server each 24 hours (different groups are processed at different times, but each group is normally processed at about the same time each day). If there are 25 or more messages in the group in one day, the server will automatically send out a digest as soon as it contains 25 messages. Then at the regular time it will send out any remaining messages that arrived since the last digest was sent. No digest ever contains more than 25 messages.

Note also that digests do not include any attachments or graphics that may have been included with the original e-mails.

Switch to No Mail mode: ListName-nomail@yahoogroups.com

This command allows you to remain a member of a YahooGroups mail list while not receiving any mail from that list. This can be useful if you are going on an assignment or on vacation and won't be checking your mail. You can use one of the other commands to turn your mail back on when you return.

Note that when you turn your mail back on, Yahoo does not send you any messages you missed while you were gone. The only way to read any messages that were sent while you were gone is by going to the group web site to read the message archives.

Switch to Normal mail: ListName-normal@yahoogroups.com

You can use this command to switch from Digest mode or No Mail mode to Normal mode. Normal mode is to receive each message on the group mail list as an individual e-mail message.

In all these commands you replace ListName with the name of the list you where you want the command to apply. The list name is separated from the command word by a hyphen. Be careful with lists that have hyphens or underscores in the list name. The format is always the list name followed by a hyphen followed by the command word then the "at" sign followed by yahoogroups dot com
For example, the list name for the baofeng_uv5r group is baofeng_uv5r, so to set your subscription to normal mode you would send an e-mail message to the address baofeng_uv5r-normal@yahoogroups.com

Once you send a command to YahooGroups in e-mail you should get a confirmation message back from Yahoo and you must reply to the confirmation message before the command will take effect.

For all of these commands, you must send the message from the exact e-mail address you want the command to effect.

In each of these commands, only the address counts -- it does not matter what you put in the subject line or in the body of the message. You can leave the subject and body blank, or you can put anything at all in them. The part of the Yahoo Groups server that reads these commands reads only the address you are sending from and the address you sent the message to.

Note that all changes are processed by Yahoo and may take up to 24 hours to take effect. You may continue to receive mail based on the previous setting for up to 48 hours after changing a setting.

To write to the Owner of the List: ListName-owner@yahoogroups.com

This isn't a "command" but you can always use this address to send a message to the owner of any YahooGroup even if you don't know who owns the group and even if you are not a member of that group. This is the one case where the subject line and body text are needed.

Note that you can only send mail to ONE group owner at a time. If you try to send a message to more than one group owner using this method Yahoo will refuse the message.

37678. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 23:36:18 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 36895 Message: 37678

-----Original Message-----
From: Laurence Taylor

> zaphodb777@... wrote:
>> I fail to understand what you mean about "partially legally".
>
> I meant situations where the only violation is based on
> paperwork rather than technical factors; for example,
> using a radio that is within spec for a particular function
> but does not have type-approval.

Legally speaking you are referring to the distinction between Malum prohibitum and Malum in se -- or perhaps splitting an even finer hair because at least in any of the discussions here there is very rarely any instance where the radio meets ALL the specifications but simply does not have type-approval. Any of those instances would arise only outside the United States. Inside the US, all the arguments about these radios being "close enough" are about Part 95 or Part 80, and in those instances the radio is NOT JUST lacking type approval, but it does not meet all the technical requirements to be approved.

37679. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 01:03:23 UTC
From: Michael D Earls
Topic: 37493 Message: 37679

The email he has been posting under is one of those listed.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of kerewon@...
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 5:37 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Are you related to this guy?

http://www.eham.net/ehamforum/smf/index.php?topic=85472.0;wap2

37680. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 01:28:39 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37493 Message: 37680

This warning about Ernie was posted on cbtricks months ago and it was
extremely timely at that time, as Ernie was going to trade me a Washington
for an HTX-10. I still have my HTX-10 and the trade was stopped before it started.

YMMV

From: Michael D Earls <mikeearls@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 8:03 PM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

The email he has been posting under is one of those listed.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

From:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
kerewon@...
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 5:37 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Are you related to this guy?

http://www.eham.net/ehamforum/smf/index.php?topic=85472.0;wap2

37681. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 21:50:30 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 36895 Message: 37681

At 06:18 AM 6/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>I find the elitist attitude very distasteful and have hesitated
>getting involved because of the holy-er than thou dweebie nanny HAM's.
>
>
>I operated in the CB frequencies since the 80's and have always
>hated the racket, bad attitudes and foul mouth noise there which is
>only increasing. I miss the decent friendly family communications we
>has on Ch 13 in our local coffee club which has been gone for
>decades. I miss that SSB used to be a place to get away from the
>loud mouth AMers,
>
>
>For years I keep thinking HAM is the answer then I get on groups
>like this and only wish there were a happy medium between the HAM
>dweebs and CB slobs.

UHF CB or in your case FRS might be the way to go.

>Brett

37682. Re: Fw: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 21:47:06 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 37492 Message: 37682

At 03:22 AM 6/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>THIS LIST IS ABOUT THE UV-5R AND ITS FAMILY MEMBERS, NOT THE LAW
>WHEN SOMEONE WANTS TO KNOW IF THEY CAN USE THE UV5R FOR GMRS,
>OR ANY OTHER MISUSE, SIMPLY TELL THEM IT IS ILLEGAL TO DO SO AND
>NO LONGER ASSIST THEM. TO SAY YOU ARE A 'HAM AND WANT TO USE IT
>FOR S&R' IS SOMEONE FISHING FOR WAYS TO DO SOMETHING NOT CORRECT.
>TO SAY YOU ARE IN S&R AND WANT TO USE IT ON THE HAM BANDS IS THE
>SAME THING. GET THE COMPLETE REASON BEFORE GIVING AN ANSWER.
>
>TO DO SO MAKES YOU AND ENABLER
>(<http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/enabler?show=0&t=1386257422AND>http://www.mer
riam-webster.com/dictionary/enabler?show=0&t=1386257422AND
>AND PARTY TO ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES.
>JUST BECAUSE CHIRP DOES IT IS THEIR PROBLEM.
>
>JUST BECAUSE EVERYONE IS DOING IT DOES NOT MAKE IT RIGHT.
>IN MY OPINION.

All caps is considered shouting and therefore rude. Please do not shout.

>
>ZIPPY

37683. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 00:26:14 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 36895 Message: 37683

Jon,

You seem determined to misrepresent my statements to suit your own agenda. I really don’t mind you posting nonsense, but I would prefer that you stop lying about things I have or have not said.

I will not bother pointing out that your memory is clearly faulty about the number of instances such as you describe, but I will point out that your nonsense discussion of using a dead or injured police officer’s radio has absolutely NOTHING to do with any discussion of illegally using the UV-5R on GMRS, FRS, MURS or on Public Safety frequencies without authorization.

From: Jon Rappuhn
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 14:16 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Mr. Taylor,

That obviously would be my first course of action also, Mr. Loc seems to be under the impression from another comment he "flamed" that these situations are rare and seldom happen. Here in eastern WA. help, including cell phone reception, 2 meter repeaters and 440 repeaters are few and far between, in the past 5 or 6 years there has been several incidents where the LEOfficer has been found dead by passersby and have used the officers radio to call for help. I also know that years ago as a "beat cop" we didn't have radio's and used call boxes and if we were in trouble someplace I wanted anyone to call for backup using any means necessary.

Mr. Loc,
I am sorry I didn't run this comment by you first to get your almighty permission to comment to a fellow ham and site user. I shall endeavor to do better next time. (perhaps this is why several members of our local ham club advised me NOT to join this forum, "Radio's are pretty good, user forum sucks")

Jon Rappuhn

KC7AUE ex KN7PQO

Wenatchee, WA. 98801

From: Laurence Taylor <g7mzh@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 4:32 AM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

charger66fan@... wrote:

> So in literally taking what you just said, if I come across
> say a utility worker that is injured and unable to speak, or a
> law enforcement official that is "down" I cannot use their
> radio to call for help. (just in case I don't have my cell
> phone or my ham radio with me?)

That would be my first course of action - get the information to the people who need to know straight away. (And calling "Mayday" on 2m can be pretty pointless).

I have known a number of instances where a police officer has been injured or taken ill and a member of the public has used the officer's radio. There was no suggestion the passer-by had done anything but the right thing.

--
rgds
LAurence
<><
...I've got a memory like a ... a ... what's that thing called?
---Taglines by Tagzilla (tagzilla.mozdev.org)

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

37684. Re: Freqs for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 02:10:32 UTC
From: Jardy
Topic: 37492 Message: 37684

What a load rhis statement is.
Www.ccareswa.org
Www.silverstarsar.org

I do both and this is a good and legal for both.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD
Sent by something that worked, apparently....

> >FOR S&R' IS SOMEONE FISHING FOR WAYS TO DO SOMETHING NOT CORRECT.
> >TO SAY YOU ARE IN S&R AND WANT TO USE IT ON THE HAM BANDS IS THE
> >SAME THING. GET THE COMPLETE REASON BEFORE GIVING AN ANSWER.
>
>

37685. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Display in "Channel Mode"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 21:58:02 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 37480 Message: 37685

At 03:43 AM 6/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>ï»¿
>SORRY, FORGOT TO ADD THAT YOU USE MENU 21/22 (PAGES 54/55)
>OF THE NEW (AUG) MIKLOR MANUAL.
>

All caps is considered shouting and therefore rude. Please don't shout!

>ZIPPY

37686. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 02:31:26 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37686

On 12/06/2013 10:42 AM, kb8dns@... wrote:

... On amateur frequncies the only radios that can be used are those that are FCC type accepted for the amateur bands. ...

Nothing is farther from the truth. One can transmit on any radio that one chooses to build from any parts whatsoever (even QRP from an Altoid can with a homemade "Slim-Jim" using a 9V battery), as long as one has the license for the frequency and mode of transmit, and as long as one meets the other requirements of Title 47 USC Part 97.

If you disagree then show us where the UV-5R variants are "type accepted" for Part 97 use, and where the Law states the requirement. It's shocking that a "General Class" licensee would spout such nonsense. Are you one of those who passed the tests by studying the Q/A without knowing the subject matter?

Experimentation, home-handyman construction and fun are the very nature of amateur radio.

37687. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Battery indicator work?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 02:54:53 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37639 Message: 37687

On 12/06/2013 11:07 AM, Bob Larson wrote:

I just picked up one a UV-5R+ on Amazon.

The other day while monitoring after leaving the rig on for about 24 hours it just died on me. ...

Depending on reception audio activity, that sounds entirely normal for an 1800 mAh that arrived in an unknown state of charge and was placed into service without conditioning, judging by what's stated in your post.

Now that the battery is fully discharged, place it in the charger (assuming an ambient temperature above freezing; if radio is attached, then turn it "Off"), then when the battery is charged, place it back into service until fully discharged again (the radio turns itself off shortly after two beeps). Do this three complete times before then charging at any level of discharge, and you'll get the best long-term battery performance possible.

37689. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 03:22:41 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37493 Message: 37689

On 12/06/2013 12:55 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr wrote:

...

Ham radio is another useful skill to develop within the hobby and for an unknown future. Ham radio was the only thing working from Katrina to the Colorado floods a few months back. It is worthy to get your license ASAP.

Agreed. What worries me is the huge number of "preppers" who buy multiples of these UV-5R variants, boisterously claiming that they can use them in an emergency with no FCC license. One doesn't think the prospects of anarchy bode well in any genuine emergency.

37690. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 04:06:15 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37690

On 12/06/2013 02:06 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:

You only need a Technician Class license to use the radio control frequencies in the 6 meter band.

And if I recall correctly, the operator's callsign is required to be affixed to the transmitter.

37691. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 04:07:20 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37691

No such rule that I know of.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 6, 2013, at 20:06, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:
>
>> On 12/06/2013 02:06 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:
>>
>> You only need a Technician Class license to use the radio control frequencies in the 6 meter band.
>
> And if I recall correctly, the operator's callsign is required to be affixed to the transmitter.
>

37692. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 04:32:40 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37692

Yeah, I can't find it either in Part 97. It was one of those things that caught my attention in the Technician Class, as I have an entire fleet of 27 MHz and 2.4 GHz radio-controlled helicopters of various kinds.

I imagined myself in jail someday in the holding tank, and the pot-bellied, unshaven, hardened criminal comes over and says "What're ya in for, kid?" "Uh ... uh ... I didn't have my callsign on the model helicopter's transmitter, the one with the camera" and they'd all sneer and move away from me, until I said "which I flew over the fence at Los Alamos National Laboratories in New Mexico" when they's all come back high-fivin', laughin' and shakin' my hand.

On 12/06/2013 08:07 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:

No such rule that I know of.
...

On Dec 6, 2013, at 20:06, I quoted and wrote wrote:

On 12/06/2013 02:06 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:

You only need a Technician Class license to use the radio control frequencies in the 6 meter band.

And if I recall correctly, the operator's callsign is required to be affixed to the transmitter.

37693. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 04:59:17 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 36895 Message: 37693

On 12/6/2013 10:06 PM, Gary Dunn wrote:
>
> On 12/06/2013 02:06 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:
>> You only need a Technician Class license to use the radio control
>> frequencies in the 6 meter band.
>
> And if I recall correctly, the operator's callsign is required to be
> affixed to the transmitter.
>

You recall correctly.
I don't see it on the band plan I have but there use to be Radio Control in one of the bands that Extras could only use. I know this cause one of my father's friends who has been SK since shortly after I got my license upgraded to Extra for just that reason. He didn't want to take any chance that someone would ruin his very expensive helicopter. I can't say as I blamed him either, I would have done the same.

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

37694. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 05:14:05 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37694

Found it!
§ 97.215 Telecommand of model craft.

An amateur station transmitting signals to control a model craft may be operated as follows:

(a) The station identification procedure is not required for transmissions directed only to the model craft, provided that a label indicating the station call sign and the station licensee's name and address is affixed to the station transmitter.

(b) The control signals are not considered codes or ciphers intended to obscure the meaning of the communication.

(c) The transmitter power must not exceed 1 W.

[54 FR 25857, June 20, 1989, as amended at 56 FR 56171, Nov. 1, 1991]

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 6, 2013, at 20:32, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:
>
> Yeah, I can't find it either in Part 97. It was one of those things that caught my attention in the Technician Class, as I have an entire fleet of 27 MHz and 2.4 GHz radio-controlled helicopters of various kinds.
>
> I imagined myself in jail someday in the holding tank, and the pot-bellied, unshaven, hardened criminal comes over and says "What're ya in for, kid?" "Uh ... uh ... I didn't have my callsign on the model helicopter's transmitter, the one with the camera" and they'd all sneer and move away from me, until I said "which I flew over the fence at Los Alamos National Laboratories in New Mexico" when they's all come back high-fivin', laughin' and shakin' my hand.
>
>> On 12/06/2013 08:07 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:
>>
>> No such rule that I know of.
>> ...
>>
>> On Dec 6, 2013, at 20:06, I quoted and wrote wrote:
>>
>>> On 12/06/2013 02:06 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:
>>>
>>> You only need a Technician Class license to use the radio control frequencies in the 6 meter band.
>>

>> And if I recall correctly, the operator's callsign is required to be affixed to the transmitter.
>
>

37695. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 05:19:42 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37695

I did not see anything about needing to be an extra.

§ 97.215 Telecommand of model craft.

An amateur station transmitting signals to control a model craft may be operated as follows:

(a) The station identification procedure is not required for transmissions directed only to the model craft, provided that a label indicating the station call sign and the station licensee's name and address is affixed to the station transmitter.

(b) The control signals are not considered codes or ciphers intended to obscure the meaning of the communication.

(c) The transmitter power must not exceed 1 W.

[54 FR 25857, June 20, 1989, as amended at 56 FR 56171, Nov. 1, 1991]

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 6, 2013, at 20:32, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:
>
> Yeah, I can't find it either in Part 97. It was one of those things that caught my attention in the Technician Class, as I have an entire fleet of 27 MHz and 2.4 GHz radio-controlled helicopters of various kinds.
>
> I imagined myself in jail someday in the holding tank, and the pot-bellied, unshaven, hardened criminal comes over and says "What're ya in for, kid?" "Uh ... uh ... I didn't have my callsign on the model helicopter's transmitter, the one with the camera" and they'd all sneer and move away from me, until I said "which I flew over the fence at Los Alamos National Laboratories in New Mexico" when they's all come back high-fivin', laughin' and shakin' my hand.
>
>> On 12/06/2013 08:07 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:
>>
>> No such rule that I know of.
>> ...
>>
>> On Dec 6, 2013, at 20:06, I quoted and wrote wrote:
>>
>>> On 12/06/2013 02:06 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:
>>>
>>> You only need a Technician Class license to use the radio control frequencies in the 6 meter band.

>>
>> And if I recall correctly, the operator's callsign is required to be affixed to the transmitter.
>
>

37696. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 05:46:09 UTC
From: Patrick lavallee
Topic: 36895 Message: 37696

Gary.
I wonder how many people on this list, got you reference? Good one.
Patrick
Sent on the TELUS Mobility network with BlackBerry

-----Original Message-----
From: Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...>
Date: Sat, 7 Dec 2013 04:32:40
To: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yeah, I can't find it either in Part 97. It was one of those things that caught my attention in the Technician Class, as I have an entire fleet of 27 MHz and 2.4 GHz radio-controlled helicopters of various kinds.

I imagined myself in jail someday in the holding tank, and the pot-bellied, unshaven, hardened criminal comes over and says "What're ya in for, kid?" "Uh ... uh ... I didn't have my callsign on the model helicopter's transmitter, the one with the camera" and they'd all sneer and move away from me, until I said "which I flew over the fence at Los Alamos National Laboratories in New Mexico" when they's all come back high-fivin', laughin' and shakin' my hand.

On 12/06/2013 08:07 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:

No such rule that I know of.
...

On Dec 6, 2013, at 20:06, I quoted and wrote wrote:

On 12/06/2013 02:06 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:
You only need a Technician Class license to use the radio control frequencies in the 6 meter band.

And if I recall correctly, the operator's callsign is required to be affixed to the transmitter.

37697. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Battery indicator work?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 05:57:51 UTC
From: Bob Larson
Topic: 37639 Message: 37697

Thanks ! When I got it I put it in the charger, so far I've drained it to the point of turning off on it's own twice now and let it fully charge.

One thing of interest, I never see the charger light go green it goes from Solid Red to what what appears to be a falshing. it looks more like solid green w/ the red flashing.

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 9:54 PM, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:

>
>
> On 12/06/2013 11:07 AM, Bob Larson wrote:
>
>
> I just picked up one a UV-5R+ on Amazon.
>
> The other day while monitoring after leaving the rig on for about 24
> hours it just died on me. ...
>
>
> Depending on reception audio activity, that sounds entirely normal for an
> 1800 mAh that arrived in an unknown state of charge and was placed into
> service without conditioning, judging by what's stated in your post.
>
> Now that the battery is fully discharged, place it in the charger
> (assuming an ambient temperature above freezing; if radio is attached, then
> turn it "Off"), then when the battery is charged, place it back into
> service until fully discharged again (the radio turns itself off shortly
> after two beeps). Do this three complete times before then charging at any
> level of discharge, and you'll get the best long-term battery performance
> possible.
>
>
>

37698. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Battery indicator work?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 06:14:10 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37639 Message: 37698

Plug the charger in first, and when the red/green light comes on, then install the battery into the charger,
either by itself or attached to the turned off radio. When it's done the green light comes on. I just won't
cook any meals with it. ;-)

From: Bob Larson <larson.bob@...>

Thanks ! When I got it I put it in the charger, so far I've drained it to the point of turning off on it's own twice now and let it fully charge.

One thing of interest, I never see the charger light go green it goes from Solid Red to what what appears to be a falshing. it looks more like solid green w/ the red flashing.

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 9:54 PM, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:

>On 12/06/2013 11:07 AM, Bob Larson wrote:
>
>
>>I just picked up one a UV-5R+ on Amazon.
>>
>>
>>The other day while monitoring after leaving the rig on for about 24 hours it just died on me. ...
>>
>Depending on reception audio activity, that sounds entirely normal
for an 1800 mAh that arrived in an unknown state of charge and was
placed into service without conditioning, judging by what's stated
in your post.
>
>Now that the battery is fully discharged, place it in the charger
(assuming an ambient temperature above freezing; if radio is
attached, then turn it "Off"), then when the battery is charged,
place it back into service until fully discharged again (the radio
turns itself off shortly after two beeps). Do this three complete
times before then charging at any level of discharge, and you'll get
the best long-term battery performance possible.
>

37699. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 06:18:37 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37699

Agreed, nor even General. Thanks for locating it, I'll tell them guys in jail.

On 12/06/2013 09:19 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:

I did not see anything about needing to be an extra.

§ 97.215 Telecommand of model craft.

An amateur station transmitting signals to control a model craft may be operated as follows:

(a) The station identification procedure is not required for transmissions directed only to the model craft, provided that a label indicating the station call sign and the station licensee's name and address is affixed to the station transmitter.

(b) The control signals are not considered codes or ciphers intended to obscure the meaning of the communication.

(c) The transmitter power must not exceed 1 W.

[54 FR 25857, June 20, 1989, as amended at 56 FR 56171, Nov. 1, 1991]

Jardy Dawson

WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

On Dec 6, 2013, at 20:32, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:

Yeah, I can't find it either in Part 97. It was one of those things that caught my attention in the Technician Class, as I have an entire fleet of 27 MHz and 2.4 GHz radio-controlled helicopters of various kinds.

I imagined myself in jail someday in the holding tank, and the pot-bellied, unshaven, hardened criminal comes over and says "What're ya in for, kid?" "Uh ... uh ... I didn't have my callsign on the model helicopter's transmitter, the one with the camera" and they'd all sneer and move away from me, until I said "which I flew over the fence at Los Alamos National Laboratories in New Mexico" when they's all come back high-fivin', laughin' and shakin' my hand.

On 12/06/2013 08:07 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:

No such rule that I know of.
...

On Dec 6, 2013, at 20:06, I quoted and wrote wrote:

On 12/06/2013 02:06 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:

You only need a Technician Class license to use the radio control frequencies in the 6 meter band.

And if I recall correctly, the operator's callsign is required to be affixed to the transmitter.

37700. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 06:27:17 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37493 Message: 37700

We do prepper and electronic trade shows and on my table I put everything avail to get people involved in getting their license. We have books, guides, software, flash cards, practice tests, you name it.

I turn blue in the face talking to some that will probably just hide on FRS/GMRS unlicensed. I know that so I tell them that having a license gives them a chance to hone their skills and improve their knowledge base and how they might become an expert for the whole group or family unit by getting licensed. Lots of analogies and comparisons in my diatribe.

This works as over 90% will look into it and I get follow-up calls. If I just start talking rules and regs and the government says get a license it falls on deaf ears most of the time.

Different approach but the best end results as many are joining the Ham ranks bringing useful skills, backgrounds and experiences.

From: Gary Dunn
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 7:22 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On 12/06/2013 12:55 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr wrote:

...
Ham radio is another useful skill to develop within the hobby and for an unknown future. Ham radio was the only thing working from Katrina to the Colorado floods a few months back. It is worthy to get your license ASAP.

Agreed. What worries me is the huge number of "preppers" who buy multiples of these UV-5R variants, boisterously claiming that they can use them in an emergency with no FCC license. One doesn't think the prospects of anarchy bode well in any genuine emergency.

37701. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 08:47:07 UTC
From: G. L. Clark
Topic: 37493 Message: 37701

Got sucked in, sorry.
Gary
KJ6ONL

On Friday, December 6, 2013 5:28 PM, "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

This warning about Ernie was posted on cbtricks months ago and it was
extremely timely at that time, as Ernie was going to trade me a Washington
for an HTX-10. I still have my HTX-10 and the trade was stopped before it started.

YMMV

From: Michael D Earls <mikeearls@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 8:03 PM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

The email he has been posting under is one of those listed.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

From:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
kerewon@...
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 5:37 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Replacement antenna

Are you related to this guy?

http://www.eham.net/ehamforum/smf/index.php?topic=85472.0;wap2

37702. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 08:50:54 UTC
From: <kb8dns@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37702

On 12/06/2013 10:42 AM, kb8dns@yahoo.com mailto:kb8dns@yahoo.com <mailto:kb8dns@yahoo.com mailto:kb8dns@yahoo.com> wrote:

... On amateur frequncies the only radios that can be used are those that are FCC type accepted for the amateur bands. ...

Nothing is farther from the truth. One can transmit on any radio that one chooses to build from any parts whatsoever (even QRP from an Altoid can with a homemade "Slim-Jim" using a 9V battery), as long as one has the license for the frequency and mode of transmit, and as long as one meets the other requirements of Title 47 USC Part 97.

If you disagree then show us where the UV-5R variants are "type accepted" for Part 97 use, and where the Law states the requirement. It's shocking that a "General Class" licensee would spout such nonsense. Are you one of those who passed the tests by studying the Q/A without knowing the subject matter?

Experimentation, home-handyman construction and fun are the very nature of amateur radio.

Hi Gary,
I was refering to the FCC type acceptance of comercially produced radios like the UV5R, it is not, at least on my unit. I know very well about homebrew rigs on the amateur bands. The topic of this group is the Baofeng and this discussion is about it's use on various bands. The rig is not type accepted and it's use is questionable as it is capable of exceeding ERP on power restricted bands as on FRS frequencies.
I have built several homebrew rigs and used modified comercial gear along with CB tranceivers over the last 25 years plus. And please do not question my ablities in open topics as you do not know anything about me..... Thank you....

73 Rod KB8DNS

37703. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 09:00:29 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 36895 Message: 37703

On 12/6/2013 11:19 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:
> I did not see anything about needing to be an extra.
>
>
> § 97.215 Telecommand of model craft.
>
> ---
>
> An amateur station transmitting signals to control a model craft may
> be operated as follows:
>
> (a) The station identification procedure is not required for
> transmissions directed only to the model craft, provided that a label
> indicating the station call sign and the station licensee's name and
> address is affixed to the station transmitter.
>
> (b) The control signals are not considered codes or ciphers intended
> to obscure the meaning of the communication.
>
> (c) The transmitter power must not exceed 1 W.
>
> [54 FR 25857, June 20, 1989, as amended at 56 FR 56171, Nov. 1, 1991]
>
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>

If the frequency is in the Extra portion of the band plan you would have to.
But like I said the band plan I have here only has RC in the 6 meter
band so techs can use it.
There use to be a RC portion in one of the lower bands that was in the
Extra only portion.

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

37704. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 10:15:02 UTC
From: J.Gordon Beattie, Jr., W2TTT
Topic: 36895 Message: 37704

Hi Folks!

Do you guys know how to disable the V/M button on the Baofeng UV-5R radio so it can□t accept input through the keypad or use the vfo memories?

I know how to disable most everything else, but do not know how to HAM-Proof the VFO.

To be Commercial type accepted, there must be a way. I just can□t figure it out.

Please email me and let me know if you have an answer.

Thanks & 73,

Gordon Beattie, W2TTT

201.314.6964

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dale Miller
Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2013 9:00 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

On 12/6/2013 11:19 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:

I did not see anything about needing to be an extra.

§ 97.215 Telecommand of model craft.

An amateur station transmitting signals to control a model craft may be operated as follows:

(a) The station identification procedure is not required for transmissions directed only to the model craft, provided that a label indicating the station call sign and the station licensee's name and address is affixed to the station transmitter.

(b) The control signals are not considered codes or ciphers intended to obscure the meaning of the communication.

(c) The transmitter power must not exceed 1 W.

[54 FR 25857, June 20, 1989, as amended at 56 FR 56171, Nov. 1, 1991]

Jardy Dawson

WA7JRD Ham Radio

If the frequency is in the Extra portion of the band plan you would have to.
But like I said the band plan I have here only has RC in the 6 meter band so
techs can use it.
There use to be a RC portion in one of the lower bands that was in the Extra
only portion.

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

37705. Frequencies for non-Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 10:16:44 UTC
From: J.Gordon Beattie, Jr., W2TTT
Topic: 37705 Message: 37705
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Hi Folks!

Do you guys know how to disable the V/M button on the Baofeng UV-5R radio so it can't accept input through the keypad or use the vfo memories?

I know how to disable most everything else, but do not know how to HAM-Proof the VFO.

To be Commercial type accepted, there must be a way. I just can't figure it out.

Please email me and let me know if you have an answer.

Thanks & 73,

Gordon Beattie, W2TTT

201.314.6964

37706. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 10:35:38 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37706

I think you have to do that by software. You may have to disable the menu button.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 7, 2013, at 2:15, "J.Gordon Beattie, Jr., W2TTT" <w2ttt@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Folks!
>
>
> Do you guys know how to disable the V/M button on the Baofeng UV-5R radio so it can’t accept input through the keypad or use the vfo memories?
>
>
>
> I know how to disable most everything else, but do not know how to HAM-Proof the VFO.
>
>
>
> To be Commercial type accepted, there must be a way. I just can’t figure it out.
>
>
>
> Please email me and let me know if you have an answer.
>
>
>
> Thanks & 73,
>
> Gordon Beattie, W2TTT
>
> 201.314.6964
>
> From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dale Miller
> Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2013 9:00 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
>
>
>
>> On 12/6/2013 11:19 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:

>>
>>
>>
>> I did not see anything about needing to be an extra.
>>
>>
>>
>> § 97.215 Telecommand of model craft.
>>
>> An amateur station transmitting signals to control a model craft may be operated as follows:
>>
>> (a) The station identification procedure is not required for transmissions directed only to the model craft, provided that a label indicating the station call sign and the station licensee's name and address is affixed to the station transmitter.
>>
>> (b) The control signals are not considered codes or ciphers intended to obscure the meaning of the communication.
>>
>> (c) The transmitter power must not exceed 1 W.
>>
>> [54 FR 25857, June 20, 1989, as amended at 56 FR 56171, Nov. 1, 1991]
>>
>>
>>
>> Jardy Dawson
>>
>> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>>
>
> If the frequency is in the Extra portion of the band plan you would have to.
> But like I said the band plan I have here only has RC in the 6 meter band so techs can use it.
> There use to be a RC portion in one of the lower bands that was in the Extra only portion.
>
>
>
>
> --
> All the Best & 73's
> Dale Miller, KC2CBD
> Tennessee
> Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
> Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005
>
> Registered Linux User: #317401
> Linux since June 2003
> Registered Ubuntu User #26423
>

> stpatrick3spam@...
> stpatrick2spam@...
> stpatrick3spam@...
> bdchimneysweepspam@...
>
> (cut the spam to reply)
>
>
> VOTE TO REBUILD!
> www.twintowersalliance.com
> --
>

37707. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 10:47:11 UTC
From: Richard Sparks
Topic: 36895 Message: 37707

I just TX with a fake handle.. who's gonna look it up to see if its real or not?

On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 12:12 AM, Gary and Terrell Lindberg <gtlindberg@...> wrote:

>
>
> Xuan et al
> So, if I read you correctly the FCC is waiting to swope down on
> anyone for using the BF uv 5r unless they are using it w/ license and in
> an approrpiate manner 'cuz the fed regs are so particular that they even
> have to describe an officer down type emergency as not an emegency. This
> is very interesting and echoes what the fed is trying to do to health care
> and other areas of our life.
> By the way I am licensed and am not a "lid." I will get up tomorrow
> having learned something but you will get up... (check W.C Fields to finish
> the quote). I doubt if carefully written laws would have applied in the
> Japan Tsunami.
> So here is the next question for the folks who are changing the radio
> world by buying a product (BF): I guess I can't Tx but can't I just
> listen (Rx)? For ~$30 I can pick up satellites, coool!
>
>
>
> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 10:08 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...m>
> wrote:
>
>
> Disagree all you want. The simple fact is that a station
> transmitting on 462.6750 MHz is not an “Amateur Station” no matter what
> license the lid pressing the PTT button might hold. Once you are no longer
> “an amateur station” you come under 2.405, not 97-point-anything.
>
> *From:* Timothy-Allen Albertson <kd0oia@...>
> *Sent:* Thursday, December 05, 2013 19:16 PM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
>
>
> I beg to disagree with your interpretation of 97.403 and 97.405 which
> state as follows:
>
>

> §97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.
> No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of any
> means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential
> communication needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life
> and immediate protection of property when normal communication systems are
> not available.
> 97.405 Station in distress.
> (a) No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station in
> distress of any means at its disposal to attract attention, make known its
> condition and location, and obtain assistance.
> (b) No provision of these rules prevents the use by a station, in the
> exceptional circumstances described in paragraph (a) of this section, of
> any means of radiocommunications at its disposal to assist a station in
> distress.
>
> "any means of radiocomunication(s)" is extremely broad and allows use of
> non-ham frequencies
>
>
> 72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
> KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>
>
>
> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 12:25 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:
>
>
> From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg
>
> > I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material
> > that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only
> > way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio
> > and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning
> > on a SHTF scenario.
>
> If you studied "the test material" enough to get a ham license, you should
> realize that there is NO rule exemption for “in an emergency” except those
> SPECIFICALLY written into the rules for each radio service.
>
> The ham technician class test materials include just a couple of questions
> related to Sections 97.401 through 97.405 -- which are the Amateur Radio
> rules for emergency operations. Those rules only apply to Amateur Radio
> Stations. An Amateur Radio Station is a station operating in the Amateur
> Radio Service. If you are operating on an non-amateur frequency, you are
> NOT an "Amateur Radio Station" - instead you are a station in whatever
> radio service owns the frequency you are using. In almost all cases, you
> are an unlicensed station operating illegally in violation of the rules of
> that radio service.
>

> 97.401 is not the “Get Out Of Jail Free” card that so many amateurs seem
> to think it is. It absolutely does not cover you using your radio on
> Police, Fire, GMRS, FRS, MURS, or other radio service frequencies. It does
> however cover an unlicensed operator who might use your radio on an amateur
> frequency in an actual emergency.
>
> The only FCC rule that covers emergency operations across other radio
> services is located in Part 2 as section 2.405
> 47 CFR 2.405 - OPERATION DURING EMERGENCY
> Operation during emergency.
> *The licensee of any station (except amateur, standard broadcast, FM*
> *broadcast, noncommercial educational FM broadcast, or television*
> *broadcast) may, during a period of emergency in which normal*
> *communication facilities are disrupted as a result of hurricane, flood,*
> *earthquake, or similar disaster, utilize such station for emergency*
> *communication service in communicating in a manner other than that*
> *specified in the instrument of authorization: Provided*:
> (a) That as soon as possible after the beginning of such emergency use,
> notice be sent to the Commission at Washington, D.C., and to the
> Engineer in Charge of the district in which the station is located, stating
> the nature of the emergency and the use to which the station is being
> put, and
> (b) That the emergency use of the station shall be discontinued as soon
> as substantially normal communication facilities are again available, and
> (c) That the Commission at Washington, D.C., and the Engineer in Charge
> shall be notified immediately when such special use of the station is
> terminated: Provided further,
> (d) *That in no event shall any station engage in emergency transmission*
> *on frequencies other than, or with power in excess of, that specified in*
> *the instrument of authorization or as otherwise expressly provided by the*
> *Commission, or by law*: And provided further,
> (e) That any such emergency communication undertaken under this
> section shall terminate upon order of the Commission.
>
>
>
>
>
>

--
Richard Sparks

37708. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 10:49:31 UTC
From: Richard Sparks
Topic: 37628 Message: 37708

What are Amateur Satellites and how do they work with the BF >?

On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 2:58 AM, <halloc@...> wrote:

>
>
> anybody can use Amateur Satellites with their radio. i'm trying to use
> somehow my radio with satellites, since it may be the only repeaters in the
> middle of the ocean.
>
> thanks .. halit ..
>
>
>

--
Richard Sparks

37709. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 10:53:49 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37709

You would be surprised. Lots of hams would.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 7, 2013, at 2:47, Richard Sparks <yobydnub@...> wrote:
>
> I just TX with a fake handle.. who's gonna look it up to see if its real or not?
>
>
>
>
>> On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 12:12 AM, Gary and Terrell Lindberg <gtlindberg@...> wrote:
>>
>> Xuan et al
>> So, if I read you correctly the FCC is waiting to swope down on anyone for using the BF uv 5r unless they are using it w/ license and in an approrpiate manner 'cuz the fed regs are so particular that they even have to describe an officer down type emergency as not an emegency. This is very interesting and echoes what the fed is trying to do to health care and other areas of our life.
>> By the way I am licensed and am not a "lid." I will get up tomorrow having learned something but you will get up... (check W.C Fields to finish the quote). I doubt if carefully written laws would have applied in the Japan Tsunami.
>> So here is the next question for the folks who are changing the radio world by buying a product (BF): I guess I can't Tx but can't I just listen (Rx)? For ~$30 I can pick up satellites, coool!
>>
>>
>>
>> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 10:08 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> Disagree all you want. The simple fact is that a station transmitting on 462.6750 MHz is not an “Amateur Station” no matter what license the lid pressing the PTT button might hold. Once you are no longer “an amateur station” you come under 2.405, not 97-point-anything.
>>
>> From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
>> Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 19:16 PM
>> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>>
>>
>>
>> I beg to disagree with your interpretation of 97.403 and 97.405 which state as follows:
>>
>>
>> §97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.

>>
>> No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of any means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential communication needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life and immediate protection of property when normal communication systems are not available.
>> 97.405 Station in distress.
>>
>> (a) No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station in distress of any means at its disposal to attract attention, make known its condition and location, and obtain assistance.
>> (b) No provision of these rules prevents the use by a station, in the exceptional circumstances described in paragraph (a) of this section, of any means of radiocommunications at its disposal to assist a station in distress.
>>
>> "any means of radiocomunication(s)" is extremely broad and allows use of non-ham frequencies
>>
>>
>> 72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
>> KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>>
>>
>>
>> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 12:25 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:
>>
>> From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg
>>
>> > I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material
>> > that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only
>> > way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio
>> > and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning
>> > on a SHTF scenario.
>>
>> If you studied "the test material" enough to get a ham license, you should realize that there is NO rule exemption for “in an emergency” except those SPECIFICALLY written into the rules for each radio service.
>>
>> The ham technician class test materials include just a couple of questions related to Sections 97.401 through 97.405 -- which are the Amateur Radio rules for emergency operations. Those rules only apply to Amateur Radio Stations. An Amateur Radio Station is a station operating in the Amateur Radio Service. If you are operating on an non-amateur frequency, you are NOT an "Amateur Radio Station" - instead you are a station in whatever radio service owns the frequency you are using. In almost all cases, you are an unlicensed station operating illegally in violation of the rules of that radio service.
>>
>> 97.401 is not the “Get Out Of Jail Free” card that so many amateurs seem to think it is. It absolutely does not cover you using your radio on Police, Fire, GMRS, FRS, MURS, or other radio service frequencies. It does however cover an unlicensed operator who might use your radio on an amateur frequency in an actual emergency.
>>
>> The only FCC rule that covers emergency operations across other radio services is located in Part 2 as section 2.405
>> 47 CFR 2.405 - OPERATION DURING EMERGENCY
>> Operation during emergency.
>> The licensee of any station (except amateur, standard broadcast, FM
>> broadcast, noncommercial educational FM broadcast, or television

>> broadcast) may, during a period of emergency in which normal
>> communication facilities are disrupted as a result of hurricane, flood,
>> earthquake, or similar disaster, utilize such station for emergency
>> communication service in communicating in a manner other than that
>> specified in the instrument of authorization: Provided:
>> (a) That as soon as possible after the beginning of such emergency use,
>> notice be sent to the Commission at Washington, D.C., and to the
>> Engineer in Charge of the district in which the station is located, stating
>> the nature of the emergency and the use to which the station is being
>> put, and
>> (b) That the emergency use of the station shall be discontinued as soon
>> as substantially normal communication facilities are again available, and
>> (c) That the Commission at Washington, D.C., and the Engineer in Charge
>> shall be notified immediately when such special use of the station is
>> terminated: Provided further,
>> (d) That in no event shall any station engage in emergency transmission
>> on frequencies other than, or with power in excess of, that specified in
>> the instrument of authorization or as otherwise expressly provided by the
>> Commission, or by law: And provided further,
>> (e) That any such emergency communication undertaken under this
>> section shall terminate upon order of the Commission.
>
>
>
> --
> Richard Sparks
>

37710. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 11:03:35 UTC
From: James PapawTommie Hinman
Topic: 36895 Message: 37710

Do you guys ever sleep?

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
From: JARDY72@YAHOO.COM
Date: Sat, 7 Dec 2013 02:53:49 -0800
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

You would be surprised. Lots of hams would.

Jardy DawsonWA7JRD Ham Radio
Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
On Dec 7, 2013, at 2:47, Richard Sparks <yobydnub@gmail.com> wrote:

I just TX with a fake handle.. who's gonna look it up to see if its real or not?

On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 12:12 AM, Gary and Terrell Lindberg <gtlindberg@yahoo.com> wrote:

Xuan et al So, if I read you correctly the FCC is waiting to swope down on anyone for using the BF uv 5r unless they are using it w/ license and in an approrpiate manner 'cuz the fed regs are so particular that they even have to describe an officer down type emergency as not an emegency. This is very interesting and echoes what the fed is trying to do to health care and other areas of our life.

By the way I am licensed and am not a "lid." I will get up tomorrow having learned something but you will
get up... (check W.C Fields to finish the quote). I doubt if carefully written laws would have applied in the Japan Tsunami.
So here is the next question for the folks who are changing the radio world by buying a product (BF): I guess I can't Tx but can't I just listen (Rx)? For ~$30 I can pick up satellites, coool!

On Thursday, December 5, 2013 10:08 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@gmail.com> wrote:

Disagree all you want. The simple fact is that a station
transmitting on 462.6750 MHz is not an “Amateur Station” no matter what license
the lid pressing the PTT button might hold. Once you are no longer “an
amateur station” you come under 2.405, not 97-point-anything.

From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 19:16 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm
users?

I beg to disagree with your interpretation of 97.403 and 97.405
which state as follows:
§97.403 Safety of life and protection

of property.
No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of any means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential communication needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life and immediate protection of property when normal communication systems are not available.
97.405 Station in distress.
(a) No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station in distress of any means at its disposal to attract attention, make known its condition and location, and obtain assistance.
(b) No provision of these rules prevents the use by a station, in the exceptional circumstances described in paragraph (a) of this section, of any means of radiocommunications at its disposal to assist a station in distress.

"any means of radiocomunication(s)" is extremely broad and allows use of non-ham frequencies

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSONKD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 12:25 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@gmail.com> wrote:

From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg

> I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material
> that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only
> way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio
> and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning
> on a SHTF scenario.

If you studied "the test material" enough to get a ham license, you should realize that there is NO rule exemption for “in an emergency” except those SPECIFICALLY written into the rules for each radio service.

The ham technician class test materials include just a couple of questions related to Sections 97.401 through 97.405 -- which are the Amateur Radio rules for emergency operations. Those rules only apply to Amateur Radio Stations. An Amateur Radio Station is a station operating in the Amateur Radio Service. If you are operating on an non-amateur frequency, you are NOT an "Amateur Radio Station" - instead you are a station in whatever radio service owns the frequency you are using. In almost all cases, you are an unlicensed station operating illegally in violation of the rules of that radio service.

97.401 is not the “Get Out Of Jail Free” card that so many amateurs seem to think it is. It absolutely does not cover you using your radio on Police, Fire, GMRS, FRS, MURS, or other radio service frequencies. It does however cover an unlicensed operator who might use your radio on an amateur frequency in an actual emergency.

The only FCC rule that covers emergency operations across other radio
services is located in Part 2 as section 2.405
47 CFR 2.405 - OPERATION DURING EMERGENCY
Operation during emergency.
The licensee of any station (except amateur,
standard broadcast, FM
broadcast, noncommercial educational FM
broadcast, or television
broadcast) may, during a period of
emergency in which normal
communication facilities are disrupted as a result of hurricane,
flood,
earthquake, or similar disaster, utilize such station for
emergency
communication service in communicating in a manner other than
that
specified in the instrument of authorization:
Provided:
(a) That as soon as possible after the beginning of such emergency
use,
notice be sent to the Commission at Washington, D.C., and to the
Engineer in Charge of the district in which the station is located,
stating
the nature of the emergency and the use to which the station is
being
put, and
(b) That the emergency use of the station shall be discontinued as
soon
as substantially normal communication facilities are again available,
and
(c) That the Commission at Washington, D.C., and the Engineer in
Charge
shall be notified immediately when such special use of the station
is
terminated: Provided further,
(d) That in no event shall any station
engage in emergency transmission
on frequencies other than, or with power
in excess of, that specified in
the instrument of authorization or as
otherwise expressly provided by the
Commission, or by
law: And provided further,
(e) That any such emergency communication undertaken under this
section shall terminate upon order of the
Commission.

--
Richard Sparks

37711. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 11:03:38 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 37628 Message: 37711

Go here for info. http://www.work-sat.com/Work-Sat/Home.html

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 7, 2013, at 2:49, Richard Sparks <yobydnub@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> What are Amateur Satellites and how do they work with the BF >?
>
>
>> On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 2:58 AM, <halloc@...> wrote:
>>
>> anybody can use Amateur Satellites with their radio. i'm trying to use somehow my radio with satellites, since it may be the only repeaters in the middle of the ocean.
>>
>>
>> thanks .. halit ..
>
>
>
> --
> Richard Sparks
>

37712. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 11:39:37 UTC
From: Richard Sparks
Topic: 36895 Message: 37712

Its not like I TX every day - and when I do most cant even decipher what my handle is I say it so fast.. I never get asked to repeat it.. I think im looking pretty sweet

VK4**

On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 8:53 PM, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:

>
>
> You would be surprised. Lots of hams would.
>
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
> On Dec 7, 2013, at 2:47, Richard Sparks <yobydnub@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> I just TX with a fake handle.. who's gonna look it up to see if its real
> or not?
>
>
>
>
> On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 12:12 AM, Gary and Terrell Lindberg <
> gtlindberg@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Xuan et al
>> So, if I read you correctly the FCC is waiting to swope down on
>> anyone for using the BF uv 5r unless they are using it w/ license and in
>> an approrpiate manner 'cuz the fed regs are so particular that they even
>> have to describe an officer down type emergency as not an emegency. This
>> is very interesting and echoes what the fed is trying to do to health care
>> and other areas of our life.
>> By the way I am licensed and am not a "lid." I will get up tomorrow
>> having learned something but you will get up... (check W.C Fields to finish
>> the quote). I doubt if carefully written laws would have applied in the
>> Japan Tsunami.
>> So here is the next question for the folks who are changing the

>> radio world by buying a product (BF): I guess I can't Tx but can't I just
>> listen (Rx)? For ~$30 I can pick up satellites, coool!
>>
>>
>>
>> On Thursday, December 5, 2013 10:08 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@gmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Disagree all you want. The simple fact is that a station
>> transmitting on 462.6750 MHz is not an “Amateur Station” no matter what
>> license the lid pressing the PTT button might hold. Once you are no longer
>> “an amateur station” you come under 2.405, not 97-point-anything.
>>
>> *From:* Timothy-Allen Albertson <kd0oia@...>
>> *Sent:* Thursday, December 05, 2013 19:16 PM
>> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>>
>>
>>
>> I beg to disagree with your interpretation of 97.403 and 97.405 which
>> state as follows:
>>
>>
>> §97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.
>> No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of any
>> means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential
>> communication needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life
>> and immediate protection of property when normal communication systems are
>> not available.
>> 97.405 Station in distress.
>> (a) No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station in
>> distress of any means at its disposal to attract attention, make known its
>> condition and location, and obtain assistance.
>> (b) No provision of these rules prevents the use by a station, in the
>> exceptional circumstances described in paragraph (a) of this section, of
>> any means of radiocommunications at its disposal to assist a station in
>> distress.
>>
>> "any means of radiocomunication(s)" is extremely broad and allows use of
>> non-ham frequencies
>>
>>
>> 72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
>> KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)
>>
>>
>>

>> On Thu, Dec 5, 2013 at 12:25 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg
>>
>> > I am a newbie but seem to remember a point in the test material
>> > that says that "In an emergency..." the UV 5r might be the only
>> > way to get help. I believe anyone at anytime can grab any radio
>> > and call for help. Probably many of these BF buyers are planning
>> > on a SHTF scenario.
>>
>> If you studied "the test material" enough to get a ham license, you
>> should realize that there is NO rule exemption for “in an emergency” except
>> those SPECIFICALLY written into the rules for each radio service.
>>
>> The ham technician class test materials include just a couple of
>> questions related to Sections 97.401 through 97.405 -- which are the
>> Amateur Radio rules for emergency operations. Those rules only apply to
>> Amateur Radio Stations. An Amateur Radio Station is a station operating
>> in the Amateur Radio Service. If you are operating on an non-amateur
>> frequency, you are NOT an "Amateur Radio Station" - instead you are a
>> station in whatever radio service owns the frequency you are using. In
>> almost all cases, you are an unlicensed station operating illegally in
>> violation of the rules of that radio service.
>>
>> 97.401 is not the “Get Out Of Jail Free” card that so many amateurs seem
>> to think it is. It absolutely does not cover you using your radio on
>> Police, Fire, GMRS, FRS, MURS, or other radio service frequencies. It does
>> however cover an unlicensed operator who might use your radio on an amateur
>> frequency in an actual emergency.
>>
>> The only FCC rule that covers emergency operations across other radio
>> services is located in Part 2 as section 2.405
>> 47 CFR 2.405 - OPERATION DURING EMERGENCY
>> Operation during emergency.
>> *The licensee of any station (except amateur, standard broadcast, FM*
>> *broadcast, noncommercial educational FM broadcast, or television*
>> *broadcast) may, during a period of emergency in which normal*
>> *communication facilities are disrupted as a result of hurricane, flood,*
>> *earthquake, or similar disaster, utilize such station for emergency*
>> *communication service in communicating in a manner other than that*
>> *specified in the instrument of authorization: Provided*:
>> (a) That as soon as possible after the beginning of such emergency use,
>> notice be sent to the Commission at Washington, D.C., and to the
>> Engineer in Charge of the district in which the station is located,
>> stating
>> the nature of the emergency and the use to which the station is being
>> put, and
>> (b) That the emergency use of the station shall be discontinued as soon

>> as substantially normal communication facilities are again available, and
>> (c) That the Commission at Washington, D.C., and the Engineer in Charge
>> shall be notified immediately when such special use of the station is
>> terminated: Provided further,
>> (d) *That in no event shall any station engage in emergency transmission*
>> *on frequencies other than, or with power in excess of, that specified in*
>> *the instrument of authorization or as otherwise expressly provided by
>> the*
>> *Commission, or by law*: And provided further,
>> (e) That any such emergency communication undertaken under this
>> section shall terminate upon order of the Commission.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> --
> Richard Sparks
>
>
>

--
Richard Sparks

37713. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 12:05:49 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 36895 Message: 37713

What is UHF CB?

Sent from my iPad

> On Dec 6, 2013, at 4:50 PM, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...> wrote:
>
> At 06:18 AM 6/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >I find the elitist attitude very distasteful and have hesitated
> >getting involved because of the holy-er than thou dweebie nanny HAM's.
> >
> >
> >I operated in the CB frequencies since the 80's and have always
> >hated the racket, bad attitudes and foul mouth noise there which is
> >only increasing. I miss the decent friendly family communications we
> >has on Ch 13 in our local coffee club which has been gone for
> >decades. I miss that SSB used to be a place to get away from the
> >loud mouth AMers,
> >
> >
> >For years I keep thinking HAM is the answer then I get on groups
> >like this and only wish there were a happy medium between the HAM
> >dweebs and CB slobs.
>
> UHF CB or in your case FRS might be the way to go.
>
> >Brett
>
>

37714. MEMORIES CONVERSION TXT or FX or CSV to PGM Baofeng

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 12:30:14 UTC
From: <patrizioitalian@...>
Topic: 37714 Message: 37714

Hi to all and thanks for reading this message

I bought a Baofeng device and download software for programming memories

I have also a TH-F7 Kenwood device and the relative cable for programming memories

THE CABLE IS THE SAME, WORKS ALSO ON BAOFENG DEVICE

I used it to put some memories but I would like to transfer more than 10 memories and I want to know if there is a conversion software to do it.

I tried to open with notepad a .pgm file without any result so I need to find something suitable.

Does someone do it before? would be very nice to know if exist such conversion software in order to put any frequency list from txt csv or kenwood fx format to be readable from Baofeng software pgm format file.

Thanks for all

Pat

37715. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MEMORIES CONVERSION TXT or FX or CSV to PGM Baofeng

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 12:51:20 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37714 Message: 37715

On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 7:30 AM, <patrizioitalian@...> wrote:

>
>
> Hi to all and thanks for reading this message
>
> I bought a Baofeng device and download software for programming memories
>
> I have also a TH-F7 Kenwood device and the relative cable for programming
> memories
>
> THE CABLE IS THE SAME, WORKS ALSO ON BAOFENG DEVICE
>
> I used it to put some memories but I would like to transfer more than 10
> memories and I want to know if there is a conversion software to do it.
>
> I tried to open with notepad a .pgm file without any result so I need to
> find something suitable.
>
> Does someone do it before? would be very nice to know if exist such
> conversion software in order to put any frequency list from txt csv or
> kenwood fx format to be readable from Baofeng software pgm format file.
>
> Thanks for all
>
> Pat
>

Pat,

Why don't you give CHIRP a try. It works with multiple radio brands and models. I use it with my Baofeng UV-5R & UV-B5, Wouxun KG-UV6D and Kenwood TH-F6.

You would just download from your TH-F7 to CHIRP to get a tab with its channels, then download from the UV-B5 to get a tab for it. Then you just cut-and-past between the two tabs. No conversion is necessary.

CHIRP will also export and import CSV files.

Jim KC9HI

37716. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 14:04:31 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 36895 Message: 37716

We don't have UHF CB in North America.

It is a 476-477 MHz CB service that only exists in Australia, New Zealand and a few other countries in that area.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/UHF_CB

Tom

On 12/07/2013 06:05, Brett Moyer wrote:
>
>
> What is UHF CB?

37717. RE: MEMORIES CONVERSION TXT or FX or CSV to PGM Baofeng

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 14:17:42 UTC
From: <patrizioitalian@...>
Topic: 37714 Message: 37717

Hi Jim

I tried also CHIRP but I have some memories on my Baofeng that cannot read it. I see that cable is ok and program could find it but nothing else

I think that CHIRP is not usable with my UV-B6 still they will upgrade it.

Pat IK5ODX

37718. RE: Frequencies for non-Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 14:37:39 UTC
From: <n7kuw@...>
Topic: 37705 Message: 37718

I do not believe you can actually disable the V/M button. What you have to do is program the VFO limits so the upper frequency is below the lower frequency. That way it is not possible to enter a frequency in VFO mode. It is very debatable that the UV-5R is actially Part 90 compliant (even though it is supposedly certificated). Just having the sticker doesn't make it compliant, it actually has to operate in accordance with the provisions of Part 90, and if it is programmed so that the end user can enter transmitter frequency via VFO, it is not compliant.

37719. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 14:48:48 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 36895 Message: 37719

I realize it is not the legal US CB 11m frequencies but had never heard of UHF CB before.
Thanks

> On Dec 7, 2013, at 9:04 AM, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> We don't have UHF CB in North America.
>
> It is a 476-477 MHz CB service that only exists in Australia, New
> Zealand and a few other countries in that area.
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/UHF_CB
>
> Tom
>
> On 12/07/2013 06:05, Brett Moyer wrote:
> >
> >
> > What is UHF CB?
>

37720. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 14:51:25 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 36895 Message: 37720

FRS/GMRS in the US and some UHF system in Australia.

From: Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...>

What is UHF CB?

37721. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 15:05:45 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 36895 Message: 37721

On Dec 7, 2013, at 12:19 AM, Jardy Dawson wrote:

>
>
> I did not see anything about needing to be an extra.
>
> § 97.215 Telecommand of model craft.

<snip>

Agreed. I do recall a number of model craft people getting Technician licenses in order to get away from the cluttered 27 MHz radio control frequencies. A Tech ticket would give the licensee full operating privileges in the 6 meter band, which I believe is where most RC people using amateur licenses would operate. Most of the frequencies used, IIRC, were more toward the middle of the band. Most communication activity (even to this day) is in the lowest 500 KHz of the band, except for FM, which is in the upper end.

I discovered that a neighbor in my community has an amateur license, and one day I met his wife and mentioned that I knew her husband was a ham... and she replied, "Oh no, his hobby is model airplanes". So, there you go.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

37722. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: MEMORIES CONVERSION TXT or FX or CSV to PGM Baofeng

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 15:09:11 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37714 Message: 37722

On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 9:17 AM, <patrizioitalian@...> wrote:

>
>
> Hi Jim
>
>
> I tried also CHIRP but I have some memories on my Baofeng that cannot read
> it. I see that cable is ok and program could find it but nothing else
>
>
>
> I think that CHIRP is not usable with my UV-B6 still they will upgrade it.
>
>
> Pat IK5ODX
>
>
>
Have you tried the very latest CHIRP daily build? There have been some
updates to help it work the the peculiar way the UV-B5/B6 radio behaves.

Jim KC9HI

37723. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-06 23:55:06 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 36895 Message: 37723

Gary and/or Terrell

Whichever of you is posting this nonsense is working very hard to sound like a total idiot – and you are succeeding.

From: Gary and Terrell Lindberg
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 09:12 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Xuan et al
So, if I read you correctly the FCC is waiting to swope down on anyone for using the BF uv 5r unless they are using it w/ license and in an approrpiate manner 'cuz the fed regs are so particular that they even have to describe an officer down type emergency as not an emegency. This is very interesting and echoes what the fed is trying to do to health care and other areas of our life.
By the way I am licensed and am not a "lid." I will get up tomorrow having learned something but you will get up... (check W.C Fields to finish the quote). I doubt if carefully written laws would have applied in the Japan Tsunami.
So here is the next question for the folks who are changing the radio world by buying a product (BF): I guess I can't Tx but can't I just listen (Rx)? For ~$30 I can pick up satellites, coool!

37724. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 15:38:57 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 36895 Message: 37724

I'm a retired senior citizen. I love late night TV so am up often until 2 or 3AM. My wife on the other hand is in bed at 9 and up at 5 every day.

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: James PapawTommie Hinman [papawtommie@hotmail.com]
Date: Sat, Dec 7, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

  Do you guys ever sleep?

37725. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: MEMORIES CONVERSION TXT or FX or CSV to PGM Baofeng

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 15:40:11 UTC
From: <patrizioitalian@...>
Topic: 37714 Message: 37725

I downloaded version CHIRP 0.3.1

GTK 2.24.10
PyGTK 2.24.0
Python 2.7.2

I don't know if it is the latest version

Pat IK5ODX

37726. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: MEMORIES CONVERSION TXT or FX or CSV to PGM Baofeng

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 15:50:16 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37714 Message: 37726

v0.3.1 is the latest stable release (April 2013). The Baofeng UV-B5/B6, F-11 and UV-82 were introduced after this so you have to use a daily build that added support for each radio or the latest daily build to get them all. Here is the link.

http://trac.chirp.danplanet.com/chirp_daily/LATEST/

Jim KC9HI

On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 10:40 AM, <patrizioitalian@...> wrote:

>
>
> I downloaded version CHIRP 0.3.1
>
>
> GTK 2.24.10
> PyGTK 2.24.0
> Python 2.7.2
>
>
> I don't know if it is the latest version
>
>
> Pat IK5ODX
>
>
>

37727. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: MEMORIES CONVERSION TXT or FX or CSV to PGM Baofeng

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 15:53:42 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37714 Message: 37727

You can get more current versions from here…much has been added since 0.3.1

http://trac.chirp.danplanet.com/chirp_daily/

May as well try the latest version:

http://trac.chirp.danplanet.com/chirp_daily/daily-20131204/chirp-daily-20131204-installer.exe

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of patrizioitalian@...
Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2013 9:40 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: MEMORIES CONVERSION TXT or FX or CSV to PGM Baofeng

I downloaded version CHIRP 0.3.1

GTK 2.24.10
PyGTK 2.24.0
Python 2.7.2

I don't know if it is the latest version

Pat IK5ODX

37728. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 16:05:02 UTC
From: Stan Labinsky Jr.
Topic: 36895 Message: 37728
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Actually, back when eleven meter CB was being set up, there was... and I’m going out on a limb here, the Class “A” Citizen’s Band in existence which was on UHF frequencies in the U.S.

IIRC, cost was a big factor in the FCC’s move to a lower frequency system. They just didn't take skip into account.

Stan

From: Brett Moyer
Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2013 9:48 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

I realize it is not the legal US CB 11m frequencies but had never heard of UHF CB before.
Thanks

On Dec 7, 2013, at 9:04 AM, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:

We don't have UHF CB in North America.

It is a 476-477 MHz CB service that only exists in Australia, New
Zealand and a few other countries in that area.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/UHF_CB

Tom

On 12/07/2013 06:05, Brett Moyer wrote:
>
>
> What is UHF CB?

37729. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 16:09:10 UTC
From: Ed Plaisance
Topic: 36895 Message: 37729

Rod,

Several weeks ago this forum had this discussion (and weeks before that, too).

I personally provided the links to the FCC pages wherein you can see all the documentation that the UV-5R is type accepted for Part 90 usage. If you search the messages you can find them.

It is not accepted for any other Part use which requires FCC certification, namely Part 80 and Part 95, which would cover FRS usage.

If your unit does not have the required labeling inside the battery compartment, then it is probably what they call a "grey market" item. This would mean that since your unit does not comply with the FCC's requirements for labeling by the manufacturer, it is not legal for Part 90 usage, even though the model itself has been "generically" approved. You can see the info on the FCC's requirements for the labeling of devices at those same pages mentioned above.

As has been reiterated ad nausem in this forum, Part 97 radios do not require any FCC certification. My Yaesu FT-897D's label says nothing about "Part 97 acceptance".

In a nutshell, the UV-5R can be legally used by hams on the ham frequencies and power limits. This means that you can use yours with or without the Part 90 label, on the ham bands.
If it had the Part 90 label it could also be used legally by Part 90 license holders.

Hope this is clear.

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/7/2013 3:50 AM, kb8dns@... wrote:
>
> On 12/06/2013 10:42 AM, kb8dns@... <mailto:kb8dns@...>
> <mailto:kb8dns@... <mailto:kb8dns@...>> wrote:
>
> ... On amateur frequncies the only radios that can be
> used are those that are FCC type accepted for the amateur
> bands. ...
>
>
> Nothing is farther from the truth. One can transmit on any radio
> that one chooses to build from any parts whatsoever (even QRP

> from an Altoid can with a homemade "Slim-Jim" using a 9V
> battery), as long as one has the license for the frequency
> and mode of transmit, and as long as one meets the other
> requirements of Title 47 USC Part 97.
>
> If you disagree then show us where the UV-5R variants are "type
> accepted" for Part 97 use, and where the Law states the
> requirement. It's shocking that a "General Class" licensee
> would spout such nonsense. Are you one of those who passed
> the tests by studying the Q/A without knowing the subject
> matter?
>
> Experimentation, home-handyman construction and fun are the very
> nature of amateur radio.
>
>
>
> Hi Gary,
> I was refering to the FCC type acceptance of comercially produced
> radios like the UV5R, it is not, at least on my unit. I know very well
> about homebrew rigs on the amateur bands. The topic of this group is
> the Baofeng and this discussion is about it's use on various bands.
> The rig is not type accepted and it's use is questionable as it is
> capable of exceeding ERP on power restricted bands as on FRS frequencies.
> I have built several homebrew rigs and used modified comercial gear
> along with CB tranceivers over the last 25 years plus. And please do
> not question my ablities in open topics as you do not know anything
> about me..... Thank you....
>
> 73 Rod KB8DNS
>
>

37730. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 16:19:31 UTC
From: Ed Plaisance
Topic: 36895 Message: 37730

Gordon,

I am not exactly sure what you are trying to accomplish here and why.

However, the UV-5R unit is already Part 90 accepted...this includes commercial usage.

Of course the business entity will need to have/obtain a license and freq assignment.

You don't need to modify it to make it type-accepted...that is the rationale behind requiring Part 90 radios to meet certain requirements of the FCC.

If you need to modify it for other reasons...that's a different story, I guess.

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/7/2013 5:15 AM, J.Gordon Beattie, Jr., W2TTT wrote:
>
> Hi Folks!
>
> Do you guys know how to disable the V/M button on the Baofeng UV-5R
> radio so it can't accept input through the keypad or use the vfo memories?
>
> I know how to disable most everything else, but do not know how to
> HAM-Proof the VFO.
>
> To be Commercial type accepted, there must be a way. I just can't
> figure it out.
>
> Please email me and let me know if you have an answer.
>
> //Thanks & 73,//
>
> //Gordon Beattie, W2TTT//
>
> //201.314.6964//////
>
> ----------
>
> *From:*baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] *On Behalf Of *Dale Miller
> *Sent:* Saturday, December 07, 2013 9:00 AM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?
>
> On 12/6/2013 11:19 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:
>
>> I did not see anything about needing to be an extra.
>>
>>
>> *? 97.215 Telecommand of model craft.*
>>
>> ---
>>
>> An amateur station transmitting signals to control a model craft may
>> be operated as follows:
>>
>> (a) The station identification procedure is not required for
>> transmissions directed only to the model craft, provided that a label
>> indicating the station call sign and the station licensee's name and
>> address is affixed to the station transmitter.
>>
>> (b) The control signals are not considered codes or ciphers intended
>> to obscure the meaning of the communication.
>>
>> (c) The transmitter power must not exceed 1 W.
>>
>> [54 FR 25857, June 20, 1989, as amended at 56 FR 56171, Nov. 1, 1991]
>>
>> Jardy Dawson
>>
>> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>>
>
> If the frequency is in the Extra portion of the band plan you would
> have to.
> But like I said the band plan I have here only has RC in the 6 meter
> band so techs can use it.
> There use to be a RC portion in one of the lower bands that was in the
> Extra only portion.
>
>
>
> --
> All the Best & 73's
> Dale Miller, KC2CBD
> Tennessee
> Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
> Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005
>
> Registered Linux User: #317401
> Linux since June 2003
> Registered Ubuntu User #26423

>
> stpatrick3spam@... <mailto:stpatrick3spam@...>
> stpatrick2spam@... <mailto:stpatrick2spam@...>
> stpatrick3spam@... <mailto:stpatrick3spam@...>
> bdchimneysweepspam@... <mailto:bdchimneysweepspam@...>
>
> (cut the spam to reply)
>
>
> VOTE TO REBUILD!
> www.twintowersalliance.com <http://www.twintowersalliance.com>
> --
>

37731. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: MEMORIES CONVERSION TXT or FX or CSV to PGM Baofeng

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 16:21:53 UTC
From: <patrizioitalian@...>
Topic: 37714 Message: 37731

Many Thanks Mike and Jim I will try them and I will say you next.

Pat IK5ODX

37732. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Frequencies for non-Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 16:31:00 UTC
From: Ed Plaisance
Topic: 37705 Message: 37732

N7KUW,

This "debate" has been clarified ad nauseam on this forum.

https://apps.fcc.gov/oetcf/tcb/reports/Tcb731GrantForm.cfm?mode=COPY&RequestTimeout=500&tcb_code=&application_id=392511&fcc_id=ZP5BF-5R
https://apps.fcc.gov/oetcf/eas/reports/ViewExhibitReport.cfm?mode=Exhibits&RequestTimeout=500&calledFromFrame=N&application_id=392511&fcc_id=ZP5BF-5R

Please review the FCC documents referenced to see that this unit is
approved for Part 90 usage.

Debating among ourselves whether it is compliant or not is beside the
point...it is still approved for Part 90 usage.

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/7/2013 9:37 AM, n7kuw@... wrote:
>
> I do not believe you can actually disable the V/M button. What you
> have to do is program the VFO limits so the upper frequency is below
> the lower frequency. That way it is not possible to enter a frequency
> in VFO mode. It is very debatable that the UV-5R is actially Part 90
> compliant (even though it is supposedly certificated). Just having the
> sticker doesn't make it compliant, it actually has to operate in
> accordance with the provisions of Part 90, and if it is programmed so
> that the end user can enter transmitter frequency via VFO, it is not
> compliant.
>
>

37733. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 16:51:25 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 36895 Message: 37733

Hi Stan:

Yes.. back in that era, Class A CB was a number of frequencies in the 460 MHz range. Equipment was not much different (and cost as much) as commercial 2-way systems.

And there was also Class B. Also, UHF, but on a fixed frequency of 465 MHz. Standards for Class B were very loose, and there was one commonly available system back then manufactured by a company called Vocaline. I had a pair of those units at one time. Technology of that time used vacuum tubes, and this Class B unit had a modulated oscillator transmitter, and a super-regenerative receiver. The transceivers would operate from 110V AC power or 12V DC, using an automotive type vibrator supply. It worked over short ranges.. perhaps up to a mile and maybe a bit more if the rigs had an unobstructed path between them. They came with a simple whip antenna, but an outboard ground plane antenna was also available.

Class C was 27 MHz radio control, and Class D was 23 channel voice communication on 27 MHz.. the US CB that is now expanded to 40 channels. In that time back then, all stations needed a station license, and were issued callsigns.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 7, 2013, at 11:05 AM, Stan Labinsky Jr. wrote:

>
>
> Actually, back when eleven meter CB was being set up, there was...
> and I’m going out on a limb here, the Class “A” Citizen’s Band in
> existence which was on UHF frequencies in the U.S.
>
> IIRC, cost was a big factor in the FCC’s move to a lower frequency
> system. They just didn't take skip into account. <wlEmoticon-
> winkingsmile[1].png>
>
> Stan

37735. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 17:45:18 UTC
From: Greg Ames
Topic: 36895 Message: 37735

The Wikipedia entry for CB radio has a bunch of history info, both US and other countries.
On Dec 7, 2013 11:52 AM, "Jim Henry" <jhenry@...> wrote:

>
>
> When I received my first Class D CB license in 1963 there was also
> Class A,B, and C. IIRC Class C was for unlicensed remote control,
> and either A or B was UHF.
>
> ---
> Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
> jhenry@... jameshenry@...
> www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
> GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
> "Imagine a world with no hypothetical situations."
>
> On Sat Dec 07 08:04:31 CST 2013, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:
>
> We don't have UHF CB in North America.
>
> It is a 476-477 MHz CB service that only exists in Australia, New
> Zealand and a few other countries in that area.
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/UHF_CB
>
> Tom
>
> On 12/07/2013 06:05, Brett Moyer wrote:
> >
> >
> > What is UHF CB?
>
>
>

37736. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 18:06:11 UTC
From: <kb8dns@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37736

Very good Jim! There was a UHF CB band one time but it did not catch on, there were even a few rigs for sale, tube type. I believe the frequencies were around the current GMRS and FRS and may be relative to A and B, not sure of the exact ones.

73 Rod KB8DNS

37737. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 18:11:43 UTC
From: <kb8dns@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37737

Ed, Thanks for that info and clarification. I did look up part 90 and there are items I did not know. I try to keep the focus on the amateur bands were I do my operations as a general class operator.
I know about the use of the Baofeng on the ham allocations but I do not think it is for the GMRS/FRS channels even though it does a fine job there. It also works on the MURS or 'DOT' frequencies.

73 Rod KB8DNS

37738. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 19:05:02 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37738

So if I have a metal pole with a Big Stick on top it probably would not be a good idea to hang a j-pole below then, right?

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 12:19 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

J-Poles are very sensitive to their location and are affected by any metal in the near field.

A discone will never have better then unity gain and that's in the resonant zones.

From: Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@... <mailto:kb_moyer@...> >

But it sounds that given the j-pole can be made to the band or bands you are working it is more efficient than the discone I have linked to. Correct?

37739. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 19:07:29 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37739
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Well the j-pole sound like the better antenna but the discone maybe easier for me to mount. I guess I could start cheap and go from there if need be.

Thank you.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ray Sills
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 3:19 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

HI Brett:

Not necessarily. The discone design is very broad banded, and is often used for VHF and UHF communication at airports and military facilities. The discone does not have as much "capture area" as a J-pole, so the J-pole might out-perform the discone, but that depends on how well the J-pole is constructed and the length and type of feed line used, compared to the discone. A really well made discone with good feed line might work better.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 6, 2013, at 12:12 PM, Brett Moyer wrote:

> But it sounds that given the j-pole can be made to the band or bands
> you are
> working it is more efficient than the discone I have linked to.
> Correct?

37740. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 19:16:05 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37740
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Can you describe this panel and maybe share a link.

What I was thinking about building is a simple temp short range repeater for camping using two UV-5R's and a cable from speaker out on one radio listening on the receive freq to the mic input on a second radio set to use vox and on the transmit freq. This is not very sophisticated but should not have a delay.

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 3:28 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Maybe a little more, but worth it if it works a good panel will be almost $100 and the repeater module depending on simplex or duplex (I have both) will be another $100

I use the heavy Pb batteries for this unit but you can sink another $300 + if you decide to go with light high tech Li Fe PO batteries.

The slim jim roll up antenna works great for this unit

From: Brett Moyer <mailto:kb_moyer@...>

Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:27 AM

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>

Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yes that is exactly what I am interested in doing. I hope to get started on it after Christmas. That is what I was thinking of the Slim Jims for. I figured with parts from Amazon it would cost about $170.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 2:01 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Hello,

I have a solar box repeater should be pictured here in the archives if not I can send pictures again.

The unit also uses a modified slim jim that can stand up on its own in a flex fashion.

The slim jim is the best idea for a portable repeater.

From: Brett Moyer <mailto:kb_moyer@...>

Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 8:32 AM

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>

Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Thanks Jim, I will get a Slim Jim and look at building my own J-Pole too! The fact there is no gain and the band is so wide is certainly a turn-off with the discone…I did not gather that from my research.

May have to check out the B5/6 antenna too.

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r @yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:20 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 11:07 AM, Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@... <mailto:kb_moyer@...> > wrote:

Oh sorry I misunderstood. Thank you Jim! I will keep watching the progress of the antenna discussion for more opinions. I am also interested in the discussion on the ammo box build. I will probably try building a self-contained sola r repeater for fun.

I think the Diamond for the HT, the Tram for the mobile, and the Slim Jim for various other applications are some I will add to my collection. I already bought the Nagoya NA-701 and the UT-108UV mag mount.

What about base antennas? What do you think about the Centerfire Deluxe Discone? Looks like it is cheap enough to give it a try.

http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl _it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8 <http://www.amazon.com/dp/B003LYMHHQ/ref=wl_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8&colid=2DJ0IR303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM> &colid=2DJ0IR303H8LW&coliid=I3LFMVXRR68JZM

Brett

I have the Nagoya NA-701, the $3.79 special and recently purchased the "new" Baofeng antenna (the one that come on the UV-B5/B6. They all work fine for me. I find that the original Baofeng 'spike' that come on my UV-5R seems to be better for receiving commercial FM broadcasts though.

The discone has a very broad frequency range and would be good for a scanner or if you had to cover more than 2 bands with a single antenna, but it has no gain. For covering just the 2 meter and 70 centimeter h am bands, a j-pole is recommended here quite regularly and might be preferable. You can build one yourself or you can buy them commercially (search for slim jim, or j-pole or arrow for sources.).

Jim KC9HI

37741. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 19:16:44 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37741

I will search that type of antenna. Thanks

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dale Miller
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 4:32 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On 12/6/2013 2:11 PM, Brett Moyer wrote:

Thank you for the suggestions Dale! I may consider making my own antenna especially considering I have to wait for more funds for the repeater project until after Christmas anyway.

The off center fed sleeve dual band dipole looks like the one I would be interested in trying. I will study what you sent. Do you have any other links to antennas projects like this one with more close up pictures?

Brett

Oh do a Google search for Collinear Antenna and you will find another nice home-brew idea.

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@... <mailto:stpatrick3spam@...>
stpatrick2spam@... <mailto:stpatrick2spam@...>
stpatrick3spam@... <mailto:stpatrick3spam@...>
bdchimneysweepspam@... <mailto:bdchimneysweepspam@...>

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com <http://www.twintowersalliance.com>
--

37742. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 19:21:57 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37628 Message: 37742

Yes this is exactly what I am thinking but hoping to skip the control module if possible using vox and a cable from speaker out on one to mic in on the other.

Looks like I will have to learn about dual tones and how I can control the functions remotely.

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 3:34 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

Yes and you use a module to control both of them you can even cross band it too.

just insure your frequency pairs are not going to interfere with anything and at 5W it should not. DCS code everything both RX and TX to keep noise and others off it because the uv5R is not made for heavy long duty cycles.

Ours is just a campsite in the mountains unit to be used in remote areas.

Also insure you set up the uv5 for dual tones as this is how you will control the repeater functions remotely.

We run ours around 5-7 miles in remote areas in simplex mode not tested really much further than this. It is mostly for hiking , biking camping fun.

From: Brett Moyer <mailto:kb_moyer@...>

Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 11:25 AM

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>

Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

Given the radios are $30 could you have one for transmit and one to listen. Would that basically mimic full-duplex?

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com> [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Colorado Rob
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 1:58 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AMSAT

You can use it for satellite work, but it would not be my first choice radio for that use. For sa tellite work, it is helpful to be able to hear your own transmission. For that you need a full duplex radio -- one that can transmit and receive at the same time. These Baofeng radios cannot do that.

Also, most amateur satellites are linear transponders designed for CW and SSB. There are very few FM repeaters.

Rob wx9o

On Dec 6, 2013 10:59 AM, <halloc@...m <mailto:halloc@...> > wrote:

anybody can use Amateur Satellites with their radio. i'm trying to use somehow my radio with satellites, since it may be the only repeaters in the middle of the ocean.

thanks .. halit ..

37743. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 19:27:06 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37743

Thank you for all the links! I am sure I will find just the right example of one that will work for me here.

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dale Miller
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 4:30 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On 12/6/2013 2:11 PM, Brett Moyer wrote:

Thank you for the suggestions Dale! I may consider making my own antenna especially considering I have to wait for more funds for the repeater project until after Christmas anyway.

The off center fed sleeve dual band dipole looks like the one I would be interested in trying. I will study what you sent. Do you have any other links to antennas projects like this one with more close up pictures?

Brett

Here is another version of the same antenna
http://www.hamuniverse.com/w7lpn2440sleevedipole.html

And a version that is easy to build but I don't think would hold up to the elements for too many years.
http://www.athensarc.org/sleevedipole.asp

Some others you should be able to build.
http://amateurradio.bz/2m-70cm_vertical_dipole_antenna.html
http://vk2zoi.com/articles/half-wave-flower-pot/
http://vk2zoi.com/articles/dual-band-high-gain-flower-pot/
http://www.dxzone.com/catalog/Antennas/

Some from the ARRL
http://www.arrl.org/vhf-omni
http://www.arrl.org/vhf-more-projects
http://www.arrl.org/vhf-mobile

The Slim Jim is easy to build and is nothing more than a J-Pole.
http://ac8gy.com/dual_band_slim_jim_antenna.html

The Townsman is a pretty easy Build.
http://www.hamuniverse.com/G3jamtownsmanscan.pdf

This should get your mind going with ideas and like I said materials can be had, you just have to keep your eyes and ears open to freebies

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@... <mailto:stpatrick3spam@...>
stpatrick2spam@... <mailto:stpatrick2spam@...>
stpatrick3spam@... <mailto:stpatrick3spam@...>
bdchimneysweepspam@... <mailto:bdchimneysweepspam@...>

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com <http://www.twintowersalliance.com>
--

37744. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 19:30:09 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37744

Yes I think the Slim Jim would be worth a try. Sounds very simple yet effective at a very affordable price. Even if it doesn’t end up working out for a base I can use it in the portable camping situation.

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Drew from Zhrodague
Sent: Friday, December 6, 2013 3:12 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On 12/6/13, 2:27 PM, Brett Moyer wrote:
> Yes that is exactly what I am interested in doing. I hope to get started
> on it after Christmas. That is what I was thinking of the Slim Jims for.
> I figured with parts from Amazon it would cost about $170.

I bought a n9tax SlimJim to use in my apartment. I liked it so much, I ordered another one. They're available as either just the antenna, antenna with long feed wire, and with a variety of connector options. The unit itself was like $27 with 16' of coax - which was perfect for me to sit on the couch, and hang the antenna from the curtain rod:

http://www.2wayelectronix.com/

Nowadays, my SJ hangs in the stairwell to my basement, and still makes it into ALL of my local repeaters with full quieting. I'm not able to do that with the NA-771 and NA-701 antennas I've bought for my UV-5R. My buddy uses my other SJ, which hangs from the rain gutters outside of his shack - and likewise, he and I can talk on all the local repeaters.

This thing is well made - better than I would have done. It's measured for its purpose, and a great deal at $27. Units without the long cord are $22.99. I don't know the guy, just happy with the product.

--

Drew from Zhrodague
fully-automatic assault sysadmin
drew@... <mailto:drew@...>

37745. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 19:35:00 UTC
From: Stan Labinsky Jr.
Topic: 36895 Message: 37745

KKG0832... had it from 1964 till you couldn't renew it any more.

-----Original Message-----
From: Ray Sills
Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2013 11:51 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Hi Stan:

Yes.. back in that era, Class A CB was a number of frequencies in the 460 MHz range. Equipment was not much different (and cost as much) as commercial 2-way systems.

And there was also Class B. Also, UHF, but on a fixed frequency of 465 MHz. Standards for Class B were very loose, and there was one commonly available system back then manufactured by a company called Vocaline. I had a pair of those units at one time. Technology of that time used vacuum tubes, and this Class B unit had a modulated oscillator transmitter, and a super-regenerative receiver. The transceivers would operate from 110V AC power or 12V DC, using an automotive type vibrator supply. It worked over short ranges.. perhaps up to a mile and maybe a bit more if the rigs had an unobstructed path between them. They came with a simple whip antenna, but an outboard ground plane antenna was also available.

Class C was 27 MHz radio control, and Class D was 23 channel voice communication on 27 MHz.. the US CB that is now expanded to 40 channels. In that time back then, all stations needed a station license, and were issued callsigns.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 7, 2013, at 11:05 AM, Stan Labinsky Jr. wrote:

>
>
> Actually, back when eleven meter CB was being set up, there was...
> and I?m going out on a limb here, the Class ?A? Citizen?s Band in
> existence which was on UHF frequencies in the U.S.
>
> IIRC, cost was a big factor in the FCC?s move to a lower frequency
> system. They just didn't take skip into account. <wlEmoticon-
> winkingsmile[1].png>
>
> Stan

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

37747. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 19:54:14 UTC
From: <kb_moyer@...>
Topic: 37493 Message: 37747

Sorry about the "all you people" remark I just got frustrated at how long it was taking to see any helpful information from this group for newbie, Arlene, when she asked an antenna question. Now I see there are helpful members here that are still interested in having a conversation.

As for the tech license and the many reasons to consider getting one, especially the SHTF potential, I totally agree. I have been interested in ham for many many years but never pursued it because of the cost of equipment was so much higher than CB, but with this radio that is no longer a factor and that's why I am here. But being here reminds me of the other reason I have hesitated and that is because it seems many hams are overly anal about the rules. I suppose if I can ignore the riff raff on CB it will be a piece of cake to filter this out too. It just may be these are the ones that posts in forums and not actually on the air. At any rate, thanks for your help and encouragement, I will keep pushing forward towards my tech which I do think I want to finally get.

37748. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 19:59:30 UTC
From: Stan Labinsky Jr.
Topic: 36895 Message: 37748

Hmmm.... KCD.... A Philadelphia area call.

Stan... KKG0832... all five units

Boy that was looooooong ago!

From: Jim Henry
Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2013 2:52 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yeah I was not too familiar with those bands as no way we could afford a UHF rig then. I did not realize what a major purchase it was for my dad to buy me the Class D rig, a 23 channel Dewald s-1050 with a super regen receiver, was $120 in 1963. I was 12 so our first license (KCD5717)was in my father's name as you had to be 18 to get a license.

Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
jhenry@... jameshenry@...
www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
"Imagine a world with no hypothetical situations."

On Sat Dec 07 12:06:11 CST 2013, kb8dns@... wrote:

Very good Jim! There was a UHF CB band one time but it did not catch on, there were even a few rigs for sale, tube type. I believe the frequencies were around the current GMRS and FRS and may be relative to A and B, not sure of the exact ones.

73 Rod KB8DNS

37749. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 20:29:23 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 37493 Message: 37749

Gary you are so right about the different approach, especially when you think about why many of us new people buying this radio and here on forums like this. We're concerned with the FED's complete disregard of our constitution and therefore our personal freedom by continually instituting more and more tyrannical rules, forcing our dependency on them. Then we hear hams warn that the FFC is going to give stiff fines and take equipment for using this 5w radio on FRS. I assure you getting busted using this radio on FRS is the least of our concerns at this point, we are preparing for far more drastic scenarios. But I am also not interested in giving them reasons to come knocking.

For those worried about your hobby…I understand you fears, just relax and teach us new influx of people and I am sure you will find us friendly and willing to do what is necessary to get along. We understand that if the radio bands become a mess it will not be useful to anyone which is why we are not just getting CB's. Most of us are honest law abiding citizens not interested in anarchy. I am an elected official in my town, very involved in my community and just want to make sure I can reach my wife if the cell towers go down.

Brett

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Saturday, December 7, 2013 1:27 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

We do prepper and electronic trade shows and on my table I put everything avail to get people involved in getting their license. We have books, guides, software, flash cards, practice tests, you name it.

I turn blue in the face talking to some that will probably just hide on FRS/GMRS unlicensed. I know that so I tell them that having a license gives them a chance to hone their skills and improve their knowledge base and how they might become an expert for the whole group or family unit by getting licensed. Lots of analogies and comparisons in my diatribe.

This works as over 90% will look into it and I get follow-up calls. If I just start talking rules and regs and the government says get a license it falls on deaf ears most of the time.

Different approach but the best end results as many are joining the Ham ranks bringing useful skills, backgrounds and experiences.

From: Gary Dunn <mailto:gary.dunn91@...>

Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 7:22 PM

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>

Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Replacement antenna

On 12/06/2013 12:55 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr wrote:

...

Ham radio is another useful skill to develop within the hobby and for an unknown future. Ham radio was the only thing working from Katrina to the Colorado floods a few months back. It is worthy to get your license ASAP.

Agreed. What worries me is the huge number of "preppers" who buy multiples of these UV-5R variants, boisterously claiming that they can use them in an emergency with no FCC license. One doesn't think the prospects of anarchy bode well in any genuine emergency.

37750. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 20:40:12 UTC
From: Ed Plaisance
Topic: 36895 Message: 37750

Rod,

Yes, GMRS/FRS/MURS are all Part 95.

So, even though the radio will work on those frequencies, it is not legal for Part 95.

I found it very enlightening to research those FCC pages.

Interesting to see that others are now quoting chapter and verse from the FCC on various topics.

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/7/2013 1:11 PM, kb8dns@... wrote:
>
> Ed, Thanks for that info and clarification. I did look up part 90 and
> there are items I did not know. I try to keep the focus on the amateur
> bands were I do my operations as a general class operator.
> I know about the use of the Baofeng on the ham allocations but
> I do not think it is for the GMRS/FRS channels even though it does a
> fine job there. It also works on the MURS or 'DOT' frequencies.
>
>
> 73 Rod KB8DNS
>
>

37751. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 21:08:03 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 36895 Message: 37751

A .PDF of the current Part 97 is here:
https://www.dropbox.com/s/3wqrosiwxjdmkj7/2013Part97.pdf

37752. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 21:13:04 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37752

Here is the FCC link to all Personal Radio Services, including FRS, GMRS, MURS, etc.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:08, "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...> wrote:
>
> A .PDF of the current Part 97 is here:
> https://www.dropbox.com/s/3wqrosiwxjdmkj7/2013Part97.pdf
>

37753. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 21:27:13 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 36895 Message: 37753

There are links to the various FCC rule parts on the baofeng_uv5r links page:
http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/baofeng_uv5r/links/all

Those links go to the current verion on the Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (e-CFR) web site which is always current.

PDF files that people copy and post on file sharing sites may or may not be current.

Tom ND5Y

37754. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 21:38:21 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37754

http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/index.htm?job=service_home&id=personal_radio
Let's try it again WITH the link. Oops.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:13, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:
>
> Here is the FCC link to all Personal Radio Services, including FRS, GMRS, MURS, etc.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
>> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:08, "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> A .PDF of the current Part 97 is here:
>> https://www.dropbox.com/s/3wqrosiwxjdmkj7/2013Part97.pdf
>
>

37756. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 14:23:15 UTC
From: jrc301161@...
Topic: 36895 Message: 37756

I remember years ago in the UK, we also had CB on UHF. It was only 20 channels and the radios cost quite a lot of money at the time. But it did NOT last for that long. But the amount of Hams and non-Hams use the uv-5r on PMR and NOT on the 0.25w but on FULL power. I know one guy that is using a Kenwood handheld, and think it is on 25W. Everyone says how good he is getting out, but when the law says that you can use 0.25W and he is using 25W then I think he is going to get his signal out. Some of the Hams use 2m and 75cm, and who do you think might TX on them bands on 25W ?????????? When he go's in for his ticket, do NOT think he will keep it long, as he will only be able to TX on 10W. So on 0.25W he is doing 25W, then on 10W I bet he will give it a go at 100W.

Bye from John in the UK
Sent from my BlackBerry® wireless device

-----Original Message-----
From: Tom ND5Y <nd5y@yahoo.com>
Sender: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Date: Sat, 07 Dec 2013 08:04:31
To: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Reply-To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

We don't have UHF CB in North America.

It is a 476-477 MHz CB service that only exists in Australia, New
Zealand and a few other countries in that area.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/UHF_CB

Tom

On 12/07/2013 06:05, Brett Moyer wrote:
>
>
> What is UHF CB?

37757. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 21:13:01 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 36895 Message: 37757

There used to be special rules for certain 6M frequencies reserved for remote control use by licensed hams. The specific frequencies were dropped from the rules some time ago.

There are also special rules for the 26-27 MHz and 72-76 MHz remote control frequencies used by anyone. These are in Part 95, sections 95.201 through 95.225

The RC rules were quite detailed, including certain color flags that were supposed to be attached to the antenna of the transmitter indicating which RC frequency was being used so that two people wouldn’t accidentally try to use the same frequency. Certain RC frequencies were allowed for all kinds of RC projects and certain frequencies were allowed only for boats/cars but not for RC aircraft. I don’t recall when the special rules for the 6M RC frequencies were trimmed back, but all that is left is 97.215, which (as Gary mentioned) does require that “a label indicating the station call sign and the station licensee's name and address is affixed to the station transmitter” – note that it is the station callsign not necessarily the operator’s callsign.

From: Jardy Dawson

No such rule that I know of.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

On Dec 6, 2013, at 20:06, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:

On 12/06/2013 02:06 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:

You only need a Technician Class license to use the radio control frequencies in the 6 meter band.

And if I recall correctly, the operator's callsign is required to be affixed to the transmitter.

37758. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Locking out the VFO/MR button

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 21:28:54 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 36895 Message: 37758

From: J.Gordon Beattie, Jr., W2TTT

> Do you guys know how to disable the V/M button
> on the Baofeng UV-5R radio so it can’t accept input
> through the keypad or use the vfo memories?

There is no known way to do so on the UV-5R family of radios running the normal BaoFeng firmware; but that capability is included in the F-11 model, which is a regular UV-5R running special firmware.

> To be Commercial type accepted, there must
> be a way. I just can’t figure it out.

That is what the rules say, but the FCC approved the UV-5R anyway.

37759. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MEMORIES CONVERSION TXT or FX or CSV to PGM Baofeng

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 21:34:05 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37714 Message: 37759

Actually, Jim, it sounds like he was using CHIRP. Either of the BaoFeng software would easily program more than 10 memories – the only instances I have ever heard of where anyone had a problem with how many channels they could program were people using CHIRP who didn't know how to set up the program properly.

From: Jim Unroe
Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2013 07:51 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MEMORIES CONVERSION TXT or FX or CSV to PGM Baofeng

On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 7:30 AM, <patrizioitalian@...> wrote:

Hi to all and thanks for reading this message

I bought a Baofeng device and download software for programming memories

I have also a TH-F7 Kenwood device and the relative cable for programming memories

THE CABLE IS THE SAME, WORKS ALSO ON BAOFENG DEVICE

I used it to put some memories but I would like to transfer more than 10 memories and I want to know if there is a conversion software to do it.

I tried to open with notepad a .pgm file without any result so I need to find something suitable.

Does someone do it before? would be very nice to know if exist such conversion software in order to put any frequency list from txt csv or kenwood fx format to be readable from Baofeng software pgm format file.

Thanks for all

Pat

Pat,

Why don't you give CHIRP a try. It works with multiple radio brands and models. I use it with my Baofeng UV-5R & UV-B5, Wouxun KG-UV6D and Kenwood TH-F6.

You would just download from your TH-F7 to CHIRP to get a tab with its channels, then download from the UV-B5 to get a tab for it. Then you just cut-and-past between the two tabs. No conversion is necessary.

CHIRP will also export and import CSV files.

Jim KC9HI

37760. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 22:31:18 UTC
From: Greg Ames
Topic: 36895 Message: 37760

Is 6m RC gear even sold anymore? The only stuff I've seen recently was
2.4ghz spread spectrum.
On Dec 7, 2013 5:22 PM, "Xaun Loc" <xaunloc@...> wrote:

>
>
> There used to be special rules for certain 6M frequencies reserved for
> remote control use by licensed hams. The specific frequencies were dropped
> from the rules some time ago.
>
> There are also special rules for the 26-27 MHz and 72-76 MHz remote
> control frequencies used by anyone. These are in Part 95, sections 95.201
> through 95.225
>
> The RC rules were quite detailed, including certain color flags that were
> supposed to be attached to the antenna of the transmitter indicating which
> RC frequency was being used so that two people wouldn’t accidentally try to
> use the same frequency. Certain RC frequencies were allowed for all kinds
> of RC projects and certain frequencies were allowed only for boats/cars but
> not for RC aircraft. I don’t recall when the special rules for the 6M RC
> frequencies were trimmed back, but all that is left is 97.215, which (as
> Gary mentioned) does require that “a label indicating the station call
> sign and the station licensee's name and address is affixed to the station
> transmitter” – note that it is the station callsign not necessarily the
> operator’s callsign.
>
> *From:* Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@YAHOO.COM>
>
> No such rule that I know of.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
> On Dec 6, 2013, at 20:06, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:
>
> On 12/06/2013 02:06 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:
>
>
> You only need a Technician Class license to use the radio control
> frequencies in the 6 meter band.
>
>
> And if I recall correctly, the operator's callsign is required to be

> affixed to the transmitter.
>
>
>
>
>

37761. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Part 95 Radio Services

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 22:07:28 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 36895 Message: 37761

From: Jardy Dawson
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/index.htm?job=service_home&id=personal_radio
> Let's try it again WITH the link. Oops.

Interestingly enough the FCC website provides links to descriptions of each of the radio services, but it is almost impossible to find a link from any of the FCC web pages to the actual rules themselves.

There are numerous websites that provide the rules online.

Personally I am not fond of using any of the websites dedicated to a particular radio service because often such pages are not regularly updated. My choice is to use one of the various online compilations of the Code of Federal Regulations, such as the official Government Printing Office website, or Cornell University website.

The easiest way to get a quick link to any of the FCC rules is you know the part number is to do a Google or Bing search on 47 CFR followed by the part number. For example, if you want the personal radio services (GMRS/FRS/CB/MURS etc) which are covered by Part 95, just search for 47 CFR 95 and you will normally get both the GPO and Cornell University sites near the very top of the search results.

The most common areas discussed include
Part 80 - The Maritime Services
Part 90 - Safety and Special Radio Services (Includes Public Safety and Industrial/Business)
Part 95 - Personal Radio Services
Part 97 - Amateur Radio Service
and, although rarely mentioned here,
Part 2 - General Rules and Regulations

37762. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 23:02:09 UTC
From: <tenorman1952@...>
Topic: 36895 Message: 37762

I don't think the colored flags (for R/C aircraft) was an FCC rule, but rather an AMA (Academy of Model Aeronautics) rule. While I didn't fly 6m, I did use the older 72 mhz gear.

You could always spot a 6m R/C transmitter... it had a button to send CW. It was necessary to send your call sign before takeoff and after landing. It was not necessary to transmit your call every 10 minutes as it was recognized that this would take the pilots hand off of the control stick and distract him while trying to control the plane.

Not nearly as many R/C pilots had Tech licenses as those that used the 72 mhz frequencies, so they seldom had to wait until their frequency was available. Back then, most of the ham I knew were Techs that flew R/C.

There were 6 frequencies interspersed between the CB channels, and one shared, CB ch 23. The shared ch 23 was useless for aircraft, and used only for cars and boats. The other R/C channels, those were the "skipped" intervals in the CB channels, became useless for aircraft as more CB'ers used illegal amplifiers that splattered all over the spectrum. About the same time six 72 mhz frequencies became available (late 1960's). In 1990 the previous 72 mhz frequencies were phased out and 50 more closely spaced frequencies phased in. Also fifty 75 mhz frequencies were allowed for R/C cars, boats, and other non-flying vehicles.

And now it is all 2.4 ghz.

73,
Paul - AE5JU

37763. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 23:15:27 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37763

Here's the FCC homepage for CFR 47. Look up each part to see the actual rules.

http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/rules-regulations-title-47

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:38, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@YAHOO.COM> wrote:
>
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/index.htm?job=service_home&id=personal_radio
> Let's try it again WITH the link. Oops.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
>> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:13, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Here is the FCC link to all Personal Radio Services, including FRS, GMRS, MURS, etc.
>>
>> Jardy Dawson
>> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>>
>> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>>
>>> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:08, "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> A .PDF of the current Part 97 is here:
>>> https://www.dropbox.com/s/3wqrosiwxjdmkj7/2013Part97.pdf
>
>

37764. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-07 23:53:02 UTC
From: Ed Plaisance
Topic: 36895 Message: 37764

Jardy,

Your link led me to

http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/ECFR?page=browse

where, for grins, I entered CFR 47 and "amateur station" and got a slew
of references including this one:

http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?gp=1&SID=bd44e0696d25ea4379dadadbb1dbac9d&ty=HTML
&h=L&r=SECTION&n=47y5.0.1.1.6.5.159.2

which, for me, is the mother of all mythbusters re some of the recent
comments...granted it does not cover some of the extreme hypothetical
cases of "John Q Public coming across an officer down and picking up his
radio to call for help"...but I would challenge anyone to find chapter
and verse in these FCC rules and regs where it says such action is
prohibited.

If all of the gloom-and-doom-sayers on this forum spent some time
researching their claims on these resources, they might be pleasantly
surprised.

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/7/2013 6:15 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:
> Here's the FCC homepage for CFR 47. Look up each part to see the
> actual rules.
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/rules-regulations-title-47
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:38, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...
> <mailto:JARDY72@...>> wrote:
>
>> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/index.htm?job=service_home&id=personal_radio
>> Let's try it again WITH the link. Oops.
>>
>> Jardy Dawson
>> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>>
>> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

>>
>> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:13, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...
>> <mailto:JARDY72@...>> wrote:
>>
>>> Here is the FCC link to all Personal Radio Services, including FRS,
>>> GMRS, MURS, etc.
>>>
>>> Jardy Dawson
>>> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>>>
>>> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>>>
>>> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:08, "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...
>>> <mailto:kb3jqq@...>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> A .PDF of the current Part 97 is here:
>>>> https://www.dropbox.com/s/3wqrosiwxjdmkj7/2013Part97.pdf
>

37765. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 00:07:36 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37765

When I hit the link, it took me to part 47. From there you would go to part 80 marine, part 90 public safety/business, part 95 personal radio services, or part 97 amateur radio. I wonder if part of your link got cut off or separated from the rest of the link.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 7, 2013, at 15:53, Ed Plaisance <plaisaeb@...> wrote:
>
> Jardy,
>
> Your link led me to
>
> http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/ECFR?page=browse
>
> where, for grins, I entered CFR 47 and "amateur station" and got a slew of references including this one:
>
> http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?gp=1&SID=bd44e0696d25ea4379dadadbb1dbac9d&ty=HTML&h=L&r=SECTION&n=47y5.0.1.1.6.5.159.2
>
> which, for me, is the mother of all mythbusters re some of the recent comments...granted it does not cover some of the extreme hypothetical cases of "John Q Public coming across an officer down and picking up his radio to call for help"...but I would challenge anyone to find chapter and verse in these FCC rules and regs where it says such action is prohibited.
>
> If all of the gloom-and-doom-sayers on this forum spent some time researching their claims on these resources, they might be pleasantly surprised.
>
> Ed
> kb3tmi
>
>
>
>> On 12/7/2013 6:15 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:
>>
>> Here's the FCC homepage for CFR 47. Look up each part to see the actual rules.
>>
>> http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/rules-regulations-title-47
>>
>> Jardy Dawson
>> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>>
>> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

>>
>> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:38, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/index.htm?job=service_home&id=personal_radio
>>> Let's try it again WITH the link. Oops.
>>>
>>> Jardy Dawson
>>> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>>>
>>> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>>>
>>> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:13, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Here is the FCC link to all Personal Radio Services, including FRS, GMRS, MURS, etc.
>>>>
>>>> Jardy Dawson
>>>> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>>>>
>>>> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>>>>
>>>> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:08, "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> A .PDF of the current Part 97 is here:
>>>>> https://www.dropbox.com/s/3wqrosiwxjdmkj7/2013Part97.pdf
>
>

37766. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 01:08:04 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 36895 Message: 37766

If it's a concern, I'd recommend getting the opinion of a good attorney.
Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Ed Plaisance [plaisaeb@yahoo.com]
Date: Sat, Dec 7, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

  Jardy,

Your link led me to

http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/ECFR?page=browse

where, for grins, I entered CFR 47 and "amateur station" and got a slew of references including this one:

http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?gp=1&SID=bd44e0696d25ea4379dadadbb1dbac9d&ty=HTML&h=L&r=SECTION&n=47y5.0.1.1.6.5.159.2

which, for me, is the mother of all mythbusters re some of the recent comments...granted it does not cover some of the extreme hypothetical cases of "John Q Public coming across an officer down and picking up his radio to call for help"...but I would challenge anyone to find chapter and verse in these FCC rules and regs where it says such action is prohibited.

If all of the gloom-and-doom-sayers on this forum spent some time researching their claims on these resources, they might be pleasantly surprised.

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/7/2013 6:15 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:
  Here's the FCC homepage for CFR 47. Look up each part to see the actual rules.
http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/rules-regulations-title-47

Jardy Dawson WA7JRD Ham Radio
Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:38, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@YAHOO.COM> wrote:

  http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/index.htm?job=service_home&id=personal_radio Let's try it again WITH the link. Oops.

Jardy Dawson WA7JRD Ham Radio
Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:13, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@YAHOO.COM> wrote:

Here is the FCC link to all Personal Radio Services, including FRS, GMRS, MURS, etc.

Jardy Dawson WA7JRD Ham Radio
Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:08, "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:

A .PDF of the current Part 97 is here:
https://www.dropbox.com/s/3wqrosiwxjdmkj7/2013Part97.pdf
Reply via web post Reply to sender Reply to group Start a New Topic Messages in this topic (274) Recent Activity: New Members 86 Visit Your Group .
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.

37767. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Frequencies for non-Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 01:18:10 UTC
From: <n7kuw@...>
Topic: 37705 Message: 37767

There is nothing to debate. A radio must be certificated for, and compliant with the requirements of Part 90, to be able to be used for Part 90 operations. Part of that is correct labelling with the FCC ID number as someone else noted. Simply having the certificate does not in and of itself make the radio compliant. If you program the UV-5R so the end user can program frequencies, it is not compliant. In order to satisfy the certification, the radio must be provided to the end user (the person pushing the push to talk button) programmed so that that user is not able to make changes to transmitting parameters. That is very clear in Part 90.

How you (or anyone else on this forum) wishes to actually use their radio is your/their business, not mine. But people need to be properly aware of what the regulations state in order to comply with them, should they choose to do so.

There is a whole lot more to Part 90 than simply being granted a certification.

37768. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 01:24:40 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 36895 Message: 37768

I just updated the file. It now has Friday's date rather then one in November. Link still works.

A .PDF of the current Part 97 is here:
https://www.dropbox.com/s/3wqrosiwxjdmkj7/2013Part97.pdf

37770. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 01:34:26 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 36895 Message: 37770

I found a "feature" in my BFB231 radio. If you set the squelch to "0", the little menu numbers
on the right, blink. It becomes solid when I set it to 1 or above.

From: Jim Henry <jhenry@...>
But then what would we have left to discuss here, UV-5R's?
;)

37771. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] "Ground Truth"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 01:43:17 UTC
From: Gary D. Schwartz
Topic: 37607 Message: 37771

Agreed, the Skywarn Spotters, as the NWS calls them, are key in filling in weather data in between the "official" weather stations on severe weather events. Most official stations are at airports large and small. The spotter reports help them fine tune their weather models and predictions for the in between locations.

Look up your local National Weather Service office (about 53 around the country), and look up the schedule of Skywarn Spotter classes in your area. They are primarily in the Spring and Summer, are 2-3 hours and, depending on your politics, are free or we have paid for them already.

The class covers a good amount of basic weather knowledge, reading local conditions, and observing extreme weather events (hurricanes, tornados, thunderstorms, high winds, lightning, flooding, blizzards, etc). Besides the public service part of it, this is useful information for anyone spending time outdoors for work or recreation or travel.

While they do accept spotter reports via the Web, social media, even phone calls to a special number, the majority of Skywarn Spotters are also licensed hams, calling in on local nets which are activated during extreme weather events. They operate from home or mobile, sometimes on emergency power.

I would highly recommend that hams add Skywarn Spotter training to their public service capabilities.

Worth noting is that today, the first Saturday in December, was the annual Skywarn Recognition Day, and many hams and ham clubs set up HF and VHF operations at over 100 weather service offices and weather observatories around the country, working to contact each other during the day. Most also set up demos of ham radio operations for those visiting those locations.

In the Boston area, the Blue Hill Observatory had a station from the Boston ARC, and the Boston are NWS office south of Boston was staffed as well.

Gary
N1ZCE

At 02:38 AM 12/6/2013, Gary Dunn wrote:
>
>
><http://voipwx.net/node/216>"Ground Truth"
>
>
>
>"The National Weather Service calls ham radio
>operators its eyes and ears -- volunteers with
>federally licensed radio transmitters in their
>vehicles who provide 'ground truth' about severe
>weather that the forecasters can see only on
>their radar and computer screens. ...'They tell
>us whether warnings need to be extended or
>allowed to expire,' weather service
>meteorologist Larry Eblen said. '[Without them] it'd be like losing an arm.'"
>
>American-Statesman, April 5, 2004

Gary D. Schwartz, N1ZCE
Needham, MA
Please reply to: n1zce@arrl.net OR n1zce@...

37772. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 01:50:00 UTC
From: Ed Plaisance
Topic: 36895 Message: 37772

Jardy,

It was the "simple search" on the left side that was missing

http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/ECFR?SID=1f8ee22ef1450a8a84f37ce4bfa6ebf0&page=simple

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/7/2013 7:07 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:
> When I hit the link, it took me to part 47. From there you would go
> to part 80 marine, part 90 public safety/business, part 95 personal
> radio services, or part 97 amateur radio. I wonder if part of your
> link got cut off or separated from the rest of the link.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
> On Dec 7, 2013, at 15:53, Ed Plaisance <plaisaeb@...
> <mailto:plaisaeb@...>> wrote:
>
>> Jardy,
>>
>> Your link led me to
>>
>> http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/ECFR?page=browse
>>
>> where, for grins, I entered CFR 47 and "amateur station" and got a
>> slew of references including this one:
>>
>> http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?gp=1&SID=bd44e0696d25ea4379dadadbb1dbac9d&ty=H
TML&h=L&r=SECTION&n=47y5.0.1.1.6.5.159.2
>>
>> which, for me, is the mother of all mythbusters re some of the recent
>> comments...granted it does not cover some of the extreme hypothetical
>> cases of "John Q Public coming across an officer down and picking up
>> his radio to call for help"...but I would challenge anyone to find
>> chapter and verse in these FCC rules and regs where it says such
>> action is prohibited.
>>
>> If all of the gloom-and-doom-sayers on this forum spent some time
>> researching their claims on these resources, they might be pleasantly
>> surprised.
>>

>> Ed
>> kb3tmi
>>
>>
>>
>> On 12/7/2013 6:15 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:
>>> Here's the FCC homepage for CFR 47. Look up each part to see the
>>> actual rules.
>>>
>>> http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/rules-regulations-title-47
>>>
>>> Jardy Dawson
>>> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>>>
>>> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>>>
>>> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:38, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...
>>> <mailto:JARDY72@...>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/index.htm?job=service_home&id=personal_radio
>>>> Let's try it again WITH the link. Oops.
>>>>
>>>> Jardy Dawson
>>>> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>>>>
>>>> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>>>>
>>>> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:13, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...
>>>> <mailto:JARDY72@...>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Here is the FCC link to all Personal Radio Services, including
>>>>> FRS, GMRS, MURS, etc.
>>>>>
>>>>> Jardy Dawson
>>>>> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>>>>>
>>>>> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>>>>>
>>>>> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:08, "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...
>>>>> <mailto:kb3jqq@...>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> A .PDF of the current Part 97 is here:
>>>>>> https://www.dropbox.com/s/3wqrosiwxjdmkj7/2013Part97.pdf
>>
>

37773. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 02:34:45 UTC
From: Ed Plaisance
Topic: 36895 Message: 37773

Jim,

Wink, wink, appreciated.

But seriously, I for one, would much rather discuss real issues related
to the radio.

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/7/2013 8:25 PM, Jim Henry wrote:
>
> But then what would we have left to discuss here, UV-5R's?
> ;)
>
> ---
> Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
> jhenry@... jameshenry@...
> www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
> GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
> "Imagine a world with no hypothetical situations."
>
> On Sat Dec 07 17:53:02 CST 2013, Ed Plaisance <plaisaeb@...>
> wrote:
>
> Jardy,
>
> Your link led me to
>
> http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/ECFR?page=browse
>
> where, for grins, I entered CFR 47 and "amateur station" and got
> a slew
> of references including this one:
>
> http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?gp=1&SID=bd44e0696d25ea4379dadadbb1dbac9d&ty=HT
ML&h=L&r=SECTION&n=47y5.0.1.1.6.5.159.2
>
> which, for me, is the mother of all mythbusters re some of the
> recent
> comments...granted it does not cover some of the extreme
> hypothetical
> cases of "John Q Public coming across an officer down and picking
> up his
> radio to call for help"...but I would challenge anyone to find
> chapter

> and verse in these FCC rules and regs where it says such action
> is
> prohibited.
>
> If all of the gloom-and-doom-sayers on this forum spent some time
> researching their claims on these resources, they might be
> pleasantly
> surprised.
>
> Ed
> kb3tmi
>
> On 12/7/2013 6:15 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:
> > Here's the FCC homepage for CFR 47. Look up each part to see the
> > actual rules.
> >
> > http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/rules-regulations-title-47
> >
> > Jardy Dawson
> > WA7JRD Ham Radio
> >
> > Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years
> > ago.
> >
> > On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:38, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...
> > <mailto:JARDY72@...>> wrote:
> >
> >>
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/index.htm?job=service_home&id=personal_radio
> >> Let's try it again WITH the link. Oops.
> >>
> >> Jardy Dawson
> >> WA7JRD Ham Radio
> >>
> >> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25
> >> years ago.
> >>
> >> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:13, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...
> >> <mailto:JARDY72@...>> wrote:
> >>
> >>> Here is the FCC link to all Personal Radio Services, including
> >>> FRS, GMRS, MURS, etc.
> >>>
> >>> Jardy Dawson
> >>> WA7JRD Ham Radio
> >>>
> >>> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25
> >>> years ago.
> >>>
> >>> On Dec 7, 2013, at 13:08, "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...

> >>> <mailto:kb3jqq@...>> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> A .PDF of the current Part 97 is here:
> >>>> https://www.dropbox.com/s/3wqrosiwxjdmkj7/2013Part97.pdf
> >
>
>

37774. [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 03:12:27 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37774

On 12/07/2013 01:27 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:

There are links to the various FCC rule parts on the baofeng_uv5r links page:
http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/baofeng_uv5r/links/all

Those links go to the current verion on the Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (e-CFR) web site which is always current.

PDF files that people copy and post on file sharing sites may or may not be current.

Entirely correct for the most part, with the exception that laws (both new and revised) appear first in the "Federal Register" (FR) when they are passed, and only afterwards (often weeks but sometimes months) on the eCFR site.

For example, any legal changes as a result of http://www.arrl.org/news/plans-announced-to-update-the-communications-act-of-1934 will be first published in the FR, which will carry the force of Law as written.

37775. Re: Replacement antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 05:31:15 UTC
From: Arlene Robinson
Topic: 37493 Message: 37775

I really appreciate the support, everyone. Thanks. :-)

37776. Re: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 06:01:45 UTC
From: John Guillory
Topic: 36895 Message: 37776

It still states what everyone has been saying. If there is another means available, use it. Only when no other means is available and life is at stake can you use that clause.

--
KF5QEO
John Guillory
westlakegeek@...
Cell: 601-754-9233
Pinger: 337-240-7890

37777. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Part 95 Radio Services

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 06:42:35 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37777

use the GPO and run a search throuh the Federal Register to see if
there is any changes to the last CFR

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 4:07 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

>
>
> From: Jardy Dawson
> >
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/index.htm?job=service_home&id=personal_radio
> > Let's try it again WITH the link. Oops.
>
> Interestingly enough the FCC website provides links to descriptions of
> each
> of the radio services, but it is almost impossible to find a link from any
> of the FCC web pages to the actual rules themselves.
>
> There are numerous websites that provide the rules online.
>
> Personally I am not fond of using any of the websites dedicated to a
> particular radio service because often such pages are not regularly
> updated.
> My choice is to use one of the various online compilations of the Code of
> Federal Regulations, such as the official Government Printing Office
> website, or Cornell University website.
>
> The easiest way to get a quick link to any of the FCC rules is you know
> the
> part number is to do a Google or Bing search on 47 CFR followed by the
> part
> number. For example, if you want the personal radio services
> (GMRS/FRS/CB/MURS etc) which are covered by Part 95, just search for 47
> CFR
> 95 and you will normally get both the GPO and Cornell University sites
> near
> the very top of the search results.
>
> The most common areas discussed include
> Part 80 - The Maritime Services
> Part 90 - Safety and Special Radio Services (Includes Public Safety and
> Industrial/Business)
> Part 95 - Personal Radio Services
> Part 97 - Amateur Radio Service

> and, although rarely mentioned here,
> Part 2 - General Rules and Regulations
>
>
>

37778. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 07:07:03 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37778

On 12/07/2013 05:34 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ wrote:

I found a "feature" in my BFB231 radio. If you set the squelch to "0", the little menu numbers

on the right, blink. It becomes solid when I set it to 1 or above.

Unable to duplicate on a BF-F9.

37779. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 07:11:52 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37779

On 12/05/2013 11:18 AM, kb_moyer@... wrote:

I find the elitist attitude very distasteful and have hesitated getting involved because of the holy-er than thou dweebie nanny HAM's.

You'll consistently find that such name-calling will socially isolate you anywhere, anytime, in any forum, in any medium whatsoever.

37780. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 07:31:34 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37780

On 12/07/2013 02:31 PM, Greg Ames wrote:

Is 6m RC gear even sold anymore? The only stuff I've seen recently was 2.4ghz spread spectrum.

"Recently", yes. These 2.4 GHz RC models are "BNF" (bind-n-fly) which, using spread-spectrum technology, allow many users with even the same model from the same manufacturer with the same transmitter on the same frequency, to operate their vehicles independently, without interference from the others who might be standing immediately adjacent to each other while enjoying the same hobby.

The motivation for this came from numerous incidents (especially in California) where people were properly operating their rc aircraft, and malicious others were intentionally providing wrongful input from same-frequency transmitters in the comfort of their own vehicles, somehow taking pleasure in the cost of the crashes they caused for others.

37781. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 07:39:51 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37781

On 12/07/2013 05:24 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ wrote:

I just updated the file. It now has Friday's date rather then one in November. Link still works.

It doesn't matter what date the file has, it's still not authoritative.

37782. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 07:46:57 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 36895 Message: 37782

On 12/07/2013 10:01 PM, John Guillory wrote:

It still states what everyone has been saying. If there is another means available, use it. Only when no other means is available and life is at stake can you use that clause.

... except for when Santa is found with the long-anticipated coronary.

37783. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 13:40:22 UTC
From: Brett Moyer
Topic: 36895 Message: 37783

Maybe you did not notice it was in response to another post. Sorry if it offends you.

> On Dec 8, 2013, at 2:11 AM, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:
>
>> On 12/05/2013 11:18 AM, kb_moyer@... wrote:
>>
>> I find the elitist attitude very distasteful and have hesitated getting involved because of the holy-er than thou dweebie nanny HAM's.
>
> You'll consistently find that such name-calling will socially isolate you anywhere, anytime, in any forum, in any medium whatsoever.
>

37784. Setting frequency in memory to not be included in scan

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 15:42:19 UTC
From: <samalex@...>
Topic: 37784 Message: 37784

HI. I have a UV-5RE+, and on occasion I'm getting RFI on one or to frequencies that's causing them to stop when scanning. Is it possible to temporarily set them to not be included in the scan? When using Chirp to program the radio there's a Skip option, so does this option skip the frequency in a scan? If so I'm unable to find it in the menus on the radio.

Alternatively I tried setting the R-CTCS since this frequency is a repeater with a tone in hopes that it would only stop on the frequency if it picked-up the tone, but this setting won't save nor is it listed in Chirp as an option.

thanks for any advice.

37785. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 15:44:48 UTC
From: Ed Cifelli
Topic: 36895 Message: 37785

Tom, in the early days of CB it was called Class D Citizens Radio Service and was where it is now except it had 23 channels later expanded to 40. There was also a Class A Citizens Radio Service that was 460 - 470 MHz and the radios were more expensive. This is also the time when we had to buy a license and were assigned call signs according to where the license was issued for. Similar to the original ham license setup. Mine cost me $20 and was from Rhode Island (KBC6382) even though I was in Alabama. The Class A was eventually dropped from CB and the spectrum was used for FRS/GMRS.

EdC
KC7MWP

On Sun, Dec 8, 2013 at 6:40 AM, Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...> wrote:

>
>
> Maybe you did not notice it was in response to another post. Sorry if it
> offends you.
>
> On Dec 8, 2013, at 2:11 AM, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> On 12/05/2013 11:18 AM, kb_moyer@... wrote:
>
>
>
> I find the elitist attitude very distasteful and have hesitated getting
> involved because of the holy-er than thou dweebie nanny HAM's.
>
>
> You'll consistently find that such name-calling will socially isolate you
> anywhere, anytime, in any forum, in any medium whatsoever.
>
>
>
>
>

37786. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Setting frequency in memory to not be included in scan

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 15:51:52 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37784 Message: 37786

Only through software.

From: "samalex@..." <samalex@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 8, 2013 10:42 AM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Setting frequency in memory to not be included in scan

HI. I have a UV-5RE+, and on occasion I'm getting RFI on one or to frequencies that's causing them to stop when scanning. Is it possible to temporarily set them to not be included in the scan? When using Chirp to program the radio there's a Skip option, so does this option skip the frequency in a scan? If so I'm unable to find it in the menus on the radio.

Alternatively I tried setting the R-CTCS since this frequency is a repeater with a tone in hopes that it would only stop on the frequency if it picked-up the tone, but this setting won't save nor is it listed in Chirp as an option.

thanks for any advice.

37787. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Setting frequency in memory to not be included in scan

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 18:05:24 UTC
From: John Kintz
Topic: 37784 Message: 37787

On 12/8/2013 10:42 AM, samalex@... wrote:
>
> HI. I have a UV-5RE+, and on occasion I'm getting RFI on one or to
> frequencies that's causing them to stop when scanning. Is it possible
> to temporarily set them to not be included in the scan? When using
> Chirp to program the radio there's a Skip option, so does this option
> skip the frequency in a scan? If so I'm unable to find it in the
> menus on the radio.
>
>
The SKIP column in CHIRP should be all the way to the right.

> Alternatively I tried setting the R-CTCS since this frequency is a
> repeater with a tone in hopes that it would only stop on the frequency
> if it picked-up the tone, but this setting won't save nor is it listed
> in Chirp as an option.
>
To set CTCSS decode in CHIRP, set the TONE MODE column to TSQL and set
the TONESQL column to the correct tone frequency.

Most memory channel settings can't be changed once they have been saved
to a channel. It will appear that they can be changed in the menu, but
the radio will not allow them to be changed. To change the parameters
of a memory channel, the channel must first be deleted and then
re-entered with the new parameters.

- John AC4JK

37788. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Setting frequency in memory to not be included in scan

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 18:21:03 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37784 Message: 37788

On Sun, Dec 8, 2013 at 10:42 AM, <samalex@...> wrote:

>
>
> HI. I have a UV-5RE+, and on occasion I'm getting RFI on one or to
> frequencies that's causing them to stop when scanning. Is it possible to
> temporarily set them to not be included in the scan? When using Chirp to
> program the radio there's a Skip option, so does this option skip the
> frequency in a scan? If so I'm unable to find it in the menus on the radio.
>
Yes, this option is for skipping the channel with scanning, You will not
find it in a menu in the radio because it can only be set using software.

>
> Alternatively I tried setting the R-CTCS since this frequency is a
> repeater with a tone in hopes that it would only stop on the frequency if
> it picked-up the tone, but this setting won't save nor is it listed in
> Chirp as an option.
>

You cannot change the R-CTCSS value (or most other parameters) of a
programmed channel using the keypad. The only way to change anything
(except the TX frequency and T-CTCSS tone or T-DCS code) using the keypad
is to erase the channel and then program it back with the change.

>
> thanks for any advice.
>
>
You're welcome.

73,
Jim KC9HI

37790. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 20:54:22 UTC
From: Karl Schwab
Topic: 36895 Message: 37790

R/C (radio control) of model aircraft, boats, and cars has changed! Most of the R/C radio systems today in USA and other areas, are now on 2.4gh. Gone are the days of frequency flags, long antennas, etc. Most model airplane clubs will only fly 2.4gh because of all of the advantages of this frequency. That means, 27mhz, 50mhz and 72mhz are only good if you are not in a club or fly in your backyard! My 6 meter Heathkit system sits on a shelf in my shack! 73, de KO8S

On Sunday, December 8, 2013 2:31 AM, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:

On 12/07/2013 02:31 PM, Greg Ames wrote:

>Is 6m RC gear even sold anymore? The only stuff I've seen recently was 2.4ghz spread spectrum.
"Recently", yes. These 2.4 GHz RC models are "BNF" (bind-n-fly) which, using spread-spectrum technology, allow many users with even the same model from the same manufacturer with the same transmitter on the same frequency, to operate their vehicles independently, without interference from the others who might be standing immediately adjacent to each other while enjoying the same hobby.

The motivation for this came from numerous incidents (especially in California) where people were properly operating their rc aircraft, and malicious others were intentionally providing wrongful input from same-frequency transmitters in the comfort of their own vehicles, somehow taking pleasure in the cost of the crashes they caused for others.

37791. UV5RS (the 220 version) better antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 22:02:39 UTC
From: <clevelandg@...>
Topic: 37791 Message: 37791

Much discussion of the 2/440 version but I see nothing for the 2/220 version antenna. I did notice that the factory antenna is marked "136-174/240-250" so they made an attempt here.

K7TP

37792. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Freq's for non Hamm users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 22:37:44 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37792

Chicken Banders can do things which are funny to repeat.

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Sun, Dec 8, 2013 at 9:44 AM, Ed Cifelli <kc7mwp@...> wrote:

>
>
> Tom, in the early days of CB it was called Class D Citizens Radio Service
> and was where it is now except it had 23 channels later expanded to 40.
> There was also a Class A Citizens Radio Service that was 460 - 470 MHz and
> the radios were more expensive. This is also the time when we had to buy a
> license and were assigned call signs according to where the license was
> issued for. Similar to the original ham license setup. Mine cost me $20 and
> was from Rhode Island (KBC6382) even though I was in Alabama. The Class A
> was eventually dropped from CB and the spectrum was used for FRS/GMRS.
>
> EdC
> KC7MWP
>
>
> On Sun, Dec 8, 2013 at 6:40 AM, Brett Moyer <kb_moyer@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Maybe you did not notice it was in response to another post. Sorry if it
>> offends you.
>>
>> On Dec 8, 2013, at 2:11 AM, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> On 12/05/2013 11:18 AM, kb_moyer@... wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> I find the elitist attitude very distasteful and have hesitated getting
>> involved because of the holy-er than thou dweebie nanny HAM's.
>>
>>
>> You'll consistently find that such name-calling will socially isolate you
>> anywhere, anytime, in any forum, in any medium whatsoever.
>>
>>
>>

>>
>
>

37793. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] "Ground Truth"

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 22:38:10 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 37607 Message: 37793

Yopu can also get a free app for Android (at least) called mPing that will send weather reports from your smartphone
----- Original Message -----
From: Gary D. Schwartz
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2013 8:43 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] "Ground Truth"

Agreed, the Skywarn Spotters, as the NWS calls them, are key in filling in weather data in between the "official" weather stations on severe weather events. Most official stations are at airports large and small. The spotter reports help them fine tune their weather models and predictions for the in between locations.

Look up your local National Weather Service office (about 53 around the country), and look up the schedule of Skywarn Spotter classes in your area. They are primarily in the Spring and Summer, are 2-3 hours and, depending on your politics, are free or we have paid for them already.

The class covers a good amount of basic weather knowledge, reading local conditions, and observing extreme weather events (hurricanes, tornados, thunderstorms, high winds, lightning, flooding, blizzards, etc). Besides the public service part of it, this is useful information for anyone spending time outdoors for work or recreation or travel.

While they do accept spotter reports via the Web, social media, even phone calls to a special number, the majority of Skywarn Spotters are also licensed hams, calling in on local nets which are activated during extreme weather events. They operate from home or mobile, sometimes on emergency power.

I would highly recommend that hams add Skywarn Spotter training to their public service capabilities.

Worth noting is that today, the first Saturday in December, was the annual Skywarn Recognition Day, and many hams and ham clubs set up HF and VHF operations at over 100 weather service offices and weather observatories around the country, working to contact each other during the day. Most also set up demos of ham radio operations for those visiting those locations.

In the Boston area, the Blue Hill Observatory had a station from the Boston ARC, and the Boston are NWS office south of Boston was staffed as well.

Gary
N1ZCE

At 02:38 AM 12/6/2013, Gary Dunn wrote:

"Ground Truth"

"The National Weather Service calls ham radio operators its eyes and ears -- volunteers with federally licensed radio transmitters in their vehicles who provide 'ground truth' about severe weather that the forecasters can see only on their radar and computer screens. ...'They tell us whether warnings need to be extended or allowed to expire,' weather service meteorologist Larry Eblen said. '[Without them] it'd be like losing an arm.'"

American-Statesman, April 5, 2004

Gary D. Schwartz, N1ZCE
Needham, MA
Please reply to: n1zce@... OR n1zce@averagebear.us

37794. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Part 95 Radio Services

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 22:59:11 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 36895 Message: 37794

Both the ecfr.gov site run by GPO and the Cornell University site are regularly updated. I have yet to see either one be more than a a couple of weeks behind when any section of the CFR has been updated. But, yes, if you have the patience to search the Federal Register you might find a public announcement of a newly revised regulation which has to be posted before any changes go into effect.

From: Timothy-Allen Albertson

use the GPO and run a search throuh the Federal Register to see if
there is any changes to the last CFR

37795. Fw: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 23:06:43 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37795 Message: 37795

From: Greg Ames

> Is 6m RC gear even sold anymore? The only
> stuff I've seen recently was 2.4ghz spread spectrum.

Apparently very limited off-the-shelf availability. At least one company (Futaba) has some 72/75 MHz systems that can be set up to operate on 50 MHz

37796. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Part 95 Radio Services

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 23:12:57 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 36895 Message: 37796

arrl newsletter which is free to all also keeps upto date on Ham regulatory issues
well before any regs are adopted

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Sun, Dec 8, 2013 at 4:59 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

>
>
> Both the ecfr.gov site run by GPO and the Cornell University site are
> regularly updated. I have yet to see either one be more than a a couple of
> weeks behind when any section of the CFR has been updated. But, yes, if
> you have the patience to search the Federal Register you might find a
> public announcement of a newly revised regulation which has to be posted
> before any changes go into effect.
>
> *From:* Timothy-Allen Albertson <kd0oia@...>
>
>
> use the GPO and run a search throuh the Federal Register to see if
> there is any changes to the last CFR
>
>
>

37797. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Part 95 Radio Services

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-08 23:09:05 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 36895 Message: 37797

Or just look on arrl's web site and save yourself a headache. ARRL always posts changes to the cfr part 47. SMH!

On Sun, Dec 8, 2013 at 5:59 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

>
>
> Both the ecfr.gov site run by GPO and the Cornell University site are
> regularly updated. I have yet to see either one be more than a a couple of
> weeks behind when any section of the CFR has been updated. But, yes, if
> you have the patience to search the Federal Register you might find a
> public announcement of a newly revised regulation which has to be posted
> before any changes go into effect.
>
> *From:* Timothy-Allen Albertson <kd0oia@...>
>
>
> use the GPO and run a search throuh the Federal Register to see if
> there is any changes to the last CFR
>
>
>

37798. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-09 14:23:40 UTC
From: Karl Schwab
Topic: 37795 Message: 37798

Why would you even want 6 meter R/C radio equipment??? Again, most model airplane flying clubs are not going to let you fly on their field! KO8S

On Sunday, December 8, 2013 6:10 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

From: Greg Ames

> Is 6m RC gear even sold anymore? The only
> stuff I've seen recently was 2.4ghz spread spectrum.

Apparently very limited off-the-shelf availability. At least one company
(Futaba) has some 72/75 MHz systems that can be set up to operate on 50 MHz

---

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

http://info.yahoo.com/legal/us/yahoo/utos/terms/

37799. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Frequencies for non-Ham users?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-09 15:45:20 UTC
From: <harkness76639@...>
Topic: 37705 Message: 37799

This is true: Just because they say, "We have FCC Part 90" approval does not mean that they are on the Up&Up.

In order to be compliant, the radio must meet all of the requirements for the bands that it is able to transmit in... and, the radio must be able to be "LOCKED" so that it is not field programmable. I have one of these Chinese radios form TYT that can be locked so that it is not field programmable. Once locked by the computer program so that it cannot be used out of approved band or without appropriate power output, it is "COMPLIANT". Still, it can also be made "NON-COMPIANT". That makes it questionable for use.

I have two files on my computer that can make it "Compliant" under the Fire/EMS usage... and another that can make it "Compliant" under Ham/Amateur radio. There is a third file that will set it to both the Fire/EMS and Ham frequencies, but to remain compliant I must put in Ham channels that cannot be modified or changed (not even the CTCSS or DCS repeater usage).

I also have other files that can open the radio for anything: based on the failure of the Federal Government, Texas Seceding, and the idea that "All is fair in Love and War"... but that is for another day...

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <n7kuw@...> wrote:

There is nothing to debate. A radio must be certificated for, and compliant with the requirements of Part 90, to be able to be used for Part 90 operations. Part of that is correct labelling with the FCC ID number as someone else noted. Simply having the certificate does not in and of itself make the radio compliant. If you program the UV-5R so the end user can program frequencies, it is not compliant. In order to satisfy the certification, the radio must be provided to the end user (the person pushing the push to talk button) programmed so that that user is not able to make changes to transmitting parameters. That is very clear in Part 90.

How you (or anyone else on this forum) wishes to actually use their radio is your/their business, not mine. But people need to be properly aware of what the regulations state in order to comply with them, should they choose to do so.

There is a whole lot more to Part 90 than simply being granted a certification.

37800. New "feature" found

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-09 15:54:05 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37800 Message: 37800

I found a "feature" in my BFB231 radio. If you set the squelch to "0", the little menu numbers
on the right, blink in menu mode. It becomes solid when it is set it to 1 or above.

37801. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-09 19:37:52 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37795 Message: 37801

On 12/09/2013 06:23 AM, Karl Schwab wrote:

Why would you even want 6 meter R/C radio equipment??? Again, most model airplane flying clubs are not going to let you fly on their field! KO8S

One absolutely does NOT need a "club" to fly rc aircraft, and most of them are using spread-spectrum 2.4 GHz anyway. It's a big world out there, and people enjoy getting the feel of operating heirloom equipment. Almost EVERY club will let you fly such equipment "on their field", as they love such old equipment. Many amateur radio operators fire-up the old vacuum tube MF & HF units too for the same reason ... one really doesn't adequately understand the value of the new without an appreciation for the old.

37803. Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-09 22:21:27 UTC
From: Duane Vincent
Topic: 37795 Message: 37803

We have beat to death the subject of the UV-5R not being type accepted under Part 95 - but, are there ANY Baofeng radios that are indeed Part 95 accepted? Not limited for to GMRS or FRS - just Part 95 service itself?

Duane

37804. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-09 22:25:33 UTC
From: Jardy
Topic: 37795 Message: 37804

I seriously doubt it. Someone surely would have pointed out if there was, I hope

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD
Sent by something that worked, apparently....

On Dec 9, 2013, at 14:21, Duane Vincent <ka7jex@...> wrote:

> We have beat to death the subject of the UV-5R not being type accepted under Part 95 - but, are there ANY Baofeng radios that are indeed Part 95 accepted? Not limited for to GMRS or FRS - just Part 95 service itself?
>
> Duane
>

37805. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-09 22:26:54 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37795 Message: 37805
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

If you do an FCC search on Baofeng all you get back is the UV-3R and UV-5R as the only two certified for anything.

So I do believe the answer is no.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Duane Vincent
Sent: Monday, December 09, 2013 4:21 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

We have beat to death the subject of the UV-5R not being type accepted under Part 95 - but, are there ANY Baofeng radios that are indeed Part 95 accepted? Not limited for to GMRS or FRS - just Part 95 service itself?

Duane

37806. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-09 23:06:27 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 37795 Message: 37806

The only one of these low cost Chinese radios I'm aware of that actually is Part 95 certified is the Wouxun 'GU-16 GMRS' Portable available thru Powerwerx for $99.99. Not to be confused with the GU-16 that is not Part 95 certified.

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Duane Vincent [ka7jex@yahoo.com]
Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

We have beat to death the subject of the UV-5R not being type accepted under Part 95 - but, are there ANY Baofeng radios that are indeed Part 95 accepted? Not limited for to GMRS or FRS - just Part 95 service itself?

Duane

37807. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-09 23:17:19 UTC
From: Greg Ames
Topic: 37795 Message: 37807

I've been thinking about getting one of these for GMRS. And that radio is not legal for FRS (part 95 cert or no), because of the power output and removable antenna.

On Mon, Dec 9, 2013 at 6:06 PM, Gary <n9ptc@...> wrote:
> The only one of these low cost Chinese radios I'm aware of that actually is Part 95 certified is the Wouxun 'GU-16 GMRS' Portable available thru Powerwerx for $99.99. Not to be confused with the GU-16 that is not Part 95 certified.
>
> Gary N9PTC
>
> -------Original Message-------
> From: Duane Vincent [ka7jex@...]
> Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2013
> To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?
>
> We have beat to death the subject of the UV-5R not being type accepted under Part 95 - but, are there ANY Baofeng radios that are indeed Part 95 accepted? Not limited for to GMRS or FRS - just Part 95 service itself?
>
> Duane
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> .
> USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
> .
> UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
> .Yahoo Groups Links
>
>
>

37808. RE: UV5RS (the 220 version) better antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-09 23:29:29 UTC
From: <venicebeachastrologer@...>
Topic: 37791 Message: 37808

Diamond makes a good tribander, but you need the adapter.

37809. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-09 22:48:35 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37795 Message: 37809

Not to mention the simple fact that the range of 2.4 GHz equipment is actually quite limited – spread spectrum and frequency hopping work quite well to keep local transmitters from interfering with each other, but the 2.4 GHz band is shared with everything from Bluetooth and wi-fi to microwave ovens and video relays. With power measured in mW, you can’t expect to maintain control over any significant distance. Which is fine for most RC hobbyists because they generally want to watch their RC planes flying right over the field.

At 72/76 MHz the power goes up to 3/4 W which is pretty good – especially since analog TV is no longer cluttering up the band. With quality gear you ought to be able to maintain control at any distance where you can see the model plane, car, or boat. Generally the only problem is that there could be another RC transmitter on the same channel, but with 80 channels available accidental interference is seldom a real problem.

At 26/27 MHz you get 4 W (or even 25 W on one channel) but the 26/27 MHz channels are also regular CB radio channels, depending on where you are there is a chance that the whole band is useless for RC.

Meanwhile at 6M ham RC operations in some areas has moved away from the 53 MHz frequencies which may have nearby repeater frequencies and are now more commonly in the 50.8-51.0 MHz neighborhood. While it would not be very practical to run a full Kilowatt on 6M RC, there is nothing in the rules to prevent it.
From: Gary Dunn
Sent: Monday, December 09, 2013 14:37 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

On 12/09/2013 06:23 AM, Karl Schwab wrote:

Why would you even want 6 meter R/C radio equipment??? Again, most model airplane flying clubs are not going to let you fly on their field! KO8S

One absolutely does NOT need a "club" to fly rc aircraft, and most of them are using spread-spectrum 2.4 GHz anyway. It's a big world out there, and people enjoy getting the feel of operating heirloom equipment. Almost EVERY club will let you fly such equipment "on their field", as they love such old equipment. Many amateur radio operators fire-up the old vacuum tube MF & HF units too for the same reason ... one really doesn't adequately understand the value of the new without an appreciation for the old.

37810. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-09 23:53:11 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 37795 Message: 37810

Your correct. I fixated on the GMRS part of the question, I forgot FRS was also mention. Personally I think the GU-16 GMRS is to expensive. I can find used Kenwood TK-360/'s for half th price that are proven to be reliable and work very well for GMRS. I own several.

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Greg Ames [tga@tga3.com]
Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

I've been thinking about getting one of these for GMRS. And that radio is not legal for FRS (part 95 cert or no), because of the power output and removable antenna.

On Mon, Dec 9, 2013 at 6:06 PM, Gary <n9ptc@comcast.net> wrote:
> The only one of these low cost Chinese radios I'm aware of that actually is Part 95 certified is the Wouxun 'GU-16 GMRS' Portable available thru Powerwerx for $99.99. Not to be confused with the GU-16 that is not Part 95 certified.
>
> Gary N9PTC
>
> -------Original Message-------
> From: Duane Vincent [ka7jex@yahoo.com]
> Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2013
> To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?
>
> We have beat to death the subject of the UV-5R not being type accepted under Part 95 - but, are there ANY Baofeng radios that are indeed Part 95 accepted? Not limited for to GMRS or FRS - just Part 95 service itself?
>
> Duane
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> .
> USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
> .
> UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ

> .Yahoo Groups Links
>
>
>

37811. Re: Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 00:09:42 UTC
From: Duane Vincent
Topic: 37795 Message: 37811

On Mon, 12/9/13, Greg Ames <tga@...> wrote:
I've been thinking about getting one of these for GMRS. And that radio is not legal for FRS (part 95 cert or no), because of
the power output and removable antenna.

Nor is it legal for GMRS either, which also falls under Part 95. Not trying to stir the pot here, guys...

Main reason that I bought the U5VR is because the railroads went narrow band, and using the UV5R as a narrow band scanner (transmit inhibited) works just fine for me. Cost is a third of buying a narrow band scanner and the radio does the job just fine for me at trackside or listening to the road channels when riding AMTRAK.

The five VHF MURS channel service radios commonly referred to as "dot radios (red dot, green dot)" have I believe also been moved to Part 95; however, radios certificated prior to 2002 under Part 90 that meet specifications for power/bandwidth restrictions may be used on the MURS service. I have a pair of Yaesu FT-1123 that should be legal on the 3 MURS wideband frequencies.

Licensed 33 years as a ham radio operator - and not about to jeopardize my license in any way.

37812. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 00:23:22 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 37795 Message: 37812

What isn't legal for GMRS, the Wouxun GU-16 GMRS? Yes it is and has Part 95 certification. Or the Kenwood TK-360 which also is Part 95 certified,

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Duane Vincent [ka7jex@yahoo.com]
Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Nor is it legal for GMRS either, which also falls under Part 95. Not trying to stir the pot here, guys...

37813. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 00:31:41 UTC
From: Ed Plaisance
Topic: 37795 Message: 37813

Duane,

In a word "no".

You can go to the FCC site
https://apps.fcc.gov/oetcf/eas/reports/GenericSearch.cfm and enter ZP5
under GRANTEE CODE...this is the code for the Baofeng Company...and hit
Search...you will see ALL of the FCC-approved items they have.

None are Part 95 approved.

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/9/2013 5:21 PM, Duane Vincent wrote:
>
> We have beat to death the subject of the UV-5R not being type accepted
> under Part 95 - but, are there ANY Baofeng radios that are indeed Part
> 95 accepted? Not limited for to GMRS or FRS - just Part 95 service itself?
>
> Duane
>
>

37814. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 00:40:18 UTC
From: <tenorman1952@...>
Topic: 37795 Message: 37814

"At 26/27 MHz you get 4 W (or even 25 W on one channel) but the 26/27 MHz channels are also regular CB radio channels, depending on where you are there is a chance that the whole band is useless for RC."

The 27 mhz frequencies have always been useless for R/C, at least since the late 60's.

The 27 mhz frequencies, as per my previous post on this, shared CB Ch. 23. That was totally useless. If you looked at the channel spacing of the CB channels at that time, it appeared that every so often an interval was skipped over. Those skipped frequencies were the R/C channels.

We had a little 2 or 3 car cab company that purchased R/C crystals to put in their CBs to illegally have "private" channels, we later found out. We had a number of mysterious crashes with 27 mhz equipment. Then we began to notice that one of the city cabs was always parked nearby watching when that happened, and would leave as soon as there was a crash.

The next Saturday one of our members walked around him from behind and saw that the cab driver was dialing through the channels on his CB, keying the mic, trying to cause a crash. Only 72 mhz planes were in the air by that time. The cab driver seemed quite frustrated, our member said, that he was having no effect on our planes.

The FCC was notified about this, especially because an out of control R/C aircraft could cause serious damage or worse. It was investigated, the cab company heavily fined and their radio equipment seized.

We never experienced any problems 72 mhz from a strong TV ch. 4 in the area. The biggest interference problem was always another R/Cer turning on his transmitter on the same frequency. Nor did we ever have any problems with the 6 m frequencies.

Paul - AE5JU

37815. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 00:40:32 UTC
From: Ed Plaisance
Topic: 37795 Message: 37815

Gary,

Yes, here is the relevant FCC page.

https://apps.fcc.gov/oetcf/tcb/reports/Tcb731GrantForm.cfm?mode=COPY&RequestTimeout=500&tcb_code=&application_id=325474&fcc_id=WVTWOUXUN08

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/9/2013 6:06 PM, Gary wrote:
> The only one of these low cost Chinese radios I'm aware of that actually is Part 95 certified is the Wouxun 'GU-16 GMRS' Portable available thru Powerwerx for $99.99. Not to be confused with the GU-16 that is not Part 95 certified.
>
> Gary N9PTC
>
> -------Original Message-------
> From: Duane Vincent [ka7jex@...]
> Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2013
> To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?
>
> We have beat to death the subject of the UV-5R not being type accepted under Part 95 - but, are there ANY Baofeng radios that are indeed Part 95 accepted? Not limited for to GMRS or FRS - just Part 95 service itself?
>
> Duane
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> .
> USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
> .
> UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
> .Yahoo Groups Links
>
>
>

37816. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 00:56:55 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 37795 Message: 37816

Not all of the "color dot designator" frequencies are Part 95 MURS.
Some are low band Part 90, some are high band part 90, some are UHF Part
90 and some are GMRS from way back when GMRS was legal for business use.

In case anybody cares a list of them is at:
http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Common_Itinerant_and_Business

Tom ND5Y

On 12/09/2013 18:09, Duane Vincent wrote:
> The five VHF MURS channel service radios commonly referred to as "dot radios (red dot, green dot)" have I believe also been moved to Part 95;

37817. RE: UV5RS (the 220 version) better antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 00:58:04 UTC
From: <jrm1967@...>
Topic: 37791 Message: 37817

this is the antenna i've been using for 220 on my UV5RS I also use a 2 meter mobile and a 2 meter rubber duck all BNC so you need the adapter! get the self supporting adapter that supports off the case.73.Jim KB1PFL

http://www.ebay.com/itm/Workman-W220-10-10-220-MHz-Replacement-Rubber-Duck-Antenna-with-BNC-Connector-/200996407985?pt=US_Radio_Comm_Antennas&hash=item2ecc51cab1

37818. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 01:03:30 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 37795 Message: 37818

Wouxun GU-16 GMRS from Powerwerx carries FCC ID #WVTWOUXUN08. Look it up and tell me what part of the FCC web site says it's not Part 95. As for Kenwood TK-360G, try FCC ID #ALH29473110. So now please explain why the FCC says they are Part 95 certified but you contend they are not!

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Ed Plaisance [plaisaeb@yahoo.com]
Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

  Duane,

In a word "no".

You can go to the FCC site https://apps.fcc.gov/oetcf/eas/reports/GenericSearch.cfm and enter ZP5 under GRANTEE CODE...this is the code for the Baofeng Company...and hit Search...you will see ALL of the FCC-approved items they have.

None are Part 95 approved.

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/9/2013 5:21 PM, Duane Vincent wrote:
  We have beat to death the subject of the UV-5R not being type accepted under Part 95 - but, are there ANY Baofeng radios that are indeed Part 95 accepted? Not limited for to GMRS or FRS - just Part 95 service itself?

Duane

37820. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 01:19:25 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 37795 Message: 37820

Your legal as long as it has an FCC ID tag with an FCC ID number that shows it's Part 95 certified on the FCC web site.

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Jim Henry [jhenry@airpower.com]
Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

I sure hope they are legal as I bought a pair of them for GMRS.

Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
jhenry@airpower.com jameshenry@ieee.org
www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
"Imagine a world with no hypothetical situations."

On Mon Dec 09 18:23:22 CST 2013, Gary <n9ptc@comcast.net> wrote:

What isn't legal for GMRS, the Wouxun GU-16 GMRS? Yes it is and has Part 95 certification. Or the Kenwood TK-360 which also is Part 95 certified,

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Duane Vincent [ka7jex@yahoo.com]
Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Nor is it legal for GMRS either, which also falls under Part 95.
Not trying to stir the pot here, guys...

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ

.Yahoo Groups Links

37822. Re: Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 02:46:23 UTC
From: Duane Vincent
Topic: 37795 Message: 37822

Thank you. It appears that the UV3R and the UV5R are the only two models that have Part 90 certification.

Duane KA7JEX

On Mon, 12/9/13, Ed Plaisance <plaisaeb@...> wrote:

In a word "no". You can go to the FCC site
https://apps.fcc.gov/oetcf/eas/reports/GenericSearch.cfm
and enter ZP5 under GRANTEE CODE...this is the code for the
Baofeng Company...and hit Search...you will see ALL of the
FCC-approved items they have. None are Part 95 approved.

Ed

kb3tmi

On 12/9/2013
5:21 PM, Duane Vincent
wrote:

We have beat to death the subject of the
UV-5R not being
type accepted under Part 95 - but, are there
ANY Baofeng
radios that are indeed Part 95 accepted? Not
limited for
to GMRS or FRS - just Part 95 service itself?

Duane

37823. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 02:48:38 UTC
From: Ed Plaisance
Topic: 37795 Message: 37823

Gary,

Are you responding to my comment to Duane about the UV-5R here?

No one is saying that the GU-16 GMRS is NOT Part 95 certified.

In fact, I provided you with the text from the FCC website attesting to
the authorization.

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/9/2013 8:03 PM, Gary wrote:
> Wouxun GU-16 GMRS from Powerwerx carries FCC ID #WVTWOUXUN08. Look it up and tell me what part of the FCC web site says it's not Part 95. As for Kenwood TK-360G, try FCC ID #ALH29473110. So now please explain why the FCC says they are Part 95 certified but you contend they are not!
>
> Gary N9PTC
>
> -------Original Message-------
> From: Ed Plaisance [plaisaeb@...]
> Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2013
> To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?
>
> Duane,
>
> In a word "no".
>
> You can go to the FCC site https://apps.fcc.gov/oetcf/eas/reports/GenericSearch.cfm and enter ZP5 under GRANTEE CODE...this is the code for the Baofeng Company...and hit Search...you will see ALL of the FCC-approved items they have.
>
> None are Part 95 approved.
>
> Ed
> kb3tmi
>
>
> On 12/9/2013 5:21 PM, Duane Vincent wrote:
> We have beat to death the subject of the UV-5R not being type accepted under Part 95 - but, are there ANY Baofeng radios that are indeed Part 95 accepted? Not limited for to GMRS or FRS - just Part 95 service itself?
>

> Duane
>
>
>
>
>
> ----------
>
> .
> USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
> .
> UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
> .Yahoo Groups Links
>
>
>

37825. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 03:47:56 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 37795 Message: 37825

Probably, I don't, I get adamant quickly when it comes to GMRS and using legal equipment.

I never say a need for ham radio in my life until a couple years ago. I had no close family who are hams, no friends, not interested in rag chewing, etc... For the last 13 years GMRS has met all my needs. It has only been recently I've seen a possible use. The REACT I belong to does 30 some local events. A few are outside our repeater coverage. Some of those we can cover with a mobile repeater. But a few others would be have much greater coverage if we could cover the area through a ham repeater. Those not a ham could stay within simplex range letting those who are a ham covering the fringe. And of course our control operator would have to have both licenses. It's still a work in progress.

Gary N9PTC

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Ed Plaisance [plaisaeb@yahoo.com]
Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

  Gary,

Are you responding to my comment to Duane about the UV-5R here?

No one is saying that the GU-16 GMRS is NOT Part 95 certified.

In fact, I provided you with the text from the FCC website attesting to
the authorization.

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/9/2013 8:03 PM, Gary wrote:
> Wouxun GU-16 GMRS from Powerwerx carries FCC ID #WVTWOUXUN08. Look it up and tell me what part of the FCC web site says it's not Part 95. As for Kenwood TK-360G, try FCC ID #ALH29473110. So now please explain why the FCC says they are Part 95 certified but you contend they are not!
>
> Gary N9PTC
>
> -------Original Message-------
> From: Ed Plaisance [plaisaeb@yahoo.com]
> Date: Mon, Dec 9, 2013
> To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]

> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?
>
> Duane,
>
> In a word "no".
>
> You can go to the FCC site https://apps.fcc.gov/oetcf/eas/reports/GenericSearch.cfm and enter ZP5 under GRANTEE CODE...this is the code for the Baofeng Company...and hit Search...you will see ALL of the FCC-approved items they have.
>
> None are Part 95 approved.
>
> Ed
> kb3tmi
>
>
> On 12/9/2013 5:21 PM, Duane Vincent wrote:
> We have beat to death the subject of the UV-5R not being type accepted under Part 95 - but, are there ANY Baofeng radios that are indeed Part 95 accepted? Not limited for to GMRS or FRS - just Part 95 service itself?
>
> Duane
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
>
> .
> USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
> .
> UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
> .Yahoo Groups Links
>
>
>

37827. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 04:49:13 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37795 Message: 37827

Circle City REACT C261 ?

-----Original Message-----
From: Gary

The REACT I belong to does 30 some local events.

37828. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 04:52:28 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37795 Message: 37828

The main thing wrong with the Powerwerx GU-16 is that it is poorly programmed for most licensed GMRS users. Of course, the radio is easy enough to reprogram with the same software that programs the non-certified models -- and it is perfectly legal to reprogram it so long as you only program GMRS channels.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gary

Your correct. I fixated on the GMRS part of the question, I forgot FRS was also mention. Personally I think the GU-16 GMRS is to expensive. I can find used Kenwood TK-360/'s for half th price that are proven to be reliable and work very well for GMRS. I own several.

Gary N9PTC

37831. RE: UV5RS (the 220 version) better antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 14:31:41 UTC
From: <jrm1967@...>
Topic: 37791 Message: 37831

No problem john glad to contribute.
I bought that antenna "workman" about 2 1/2 years ago from that seller he must have quite a few to still be selling them. I originally bought it for my yeasu vx-7R l also was using an adapter. That antenna really gets out as i was using only 300Mw to a repeater 20 miles away! works great on the UV-5RS.
I also use the antenna below for 2 meters which is a 5/8ths wave there are also 5/8ths wave 220 versions in the drop down window I believe the reciever in the UV-5RS is better on 220mhz than the 2meter band which seems to cut in and out especialy when mobile, so i can't make any claims on the smiley antenna except they are expensive at 22.00 dollars.73'.Jim KB1PFL

P.s. that is the adapter i am using from 3 old crows..

37832. RE: UV5RS (the 220 version) better antenna

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-10 15:04:29 UTC
From: <jrm1967@...>
Topic: 37791 Message: 37832

would help to include the link Doh.....

<http://www.smileyantenna.com/collections/2-meter/products/5-8-slim-duck-antenna-2-meter>

37833. TXP unable to get off of High?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-11 03:57:06 UTC
From: <andrew.wiley6@...>
Topic: 37833 Message: 37833

Hello Everyone,

I'm wondering if there is anyway to change the TXP off of High if it has already been programmed in by Chirp Software. I still have the Chirp software, I'm just wondering if I can do it without having to go back into the Chirp Software everytime to change the TXP setting. I have already tried going into the menu, going to TXP and hitting the menu button again and hitting the down arrow to change it to low and then hitting the menu button again to save it, and then the exit button to exit, but it didn't hold. Is this a common problem? Any solutions? I finally got my A/B button to work again!

73s

Andrew KK4SHO

37834. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] TXP unable to get off of High?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-11 04:14:28 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37833 Message: 37834

On Tue, Dec 10, 2013 at 10:57 PM, <andrew.wiley6@...> wrote:

>
>
> Hello Everyone,
>
> I'm wondering if there is anyway to change the TXP off of High if it has
> already been programmed in by Chirp Software. I still have the Chirp
> software, I'm just wondering if I can do it without having to go back into
> the Chirp Software everytime to change the TXP setting. I have already
> tried going into the menu, going to TXP and hitting the menu button again
> and hitting the down arrow to change it to low and then hitting the menu
> button again to save it, and then the exit button to exit, but it didn't
> hold. Is this a common problem? Any solutions? I finally got my A/B button
> to work again!
>
> 73s
>
> Andrew KK4SHO
>
>

Hi Andrew,

The only 3 things that you can change on a programmed channel is the TX frequency and the TX CTCSS tone or TX DCS code. To change anything else from the keypad requires that you erase the channel and program it again.

I program everything at low power using CHIRP. Then if the time comes that I need more power (very rare), I can temporarily toggle to high power by tapping the [#] key. You can do the same to toggle to low power. If you do anything to leave the channel and then come back, the power level will be restored to the programmed setting.

Note that on older firmware, the power toggle feature will not function unless menu 7 (TDR) is set to OFF.

Jim KC9HI

37835. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-11 05:56:30 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 37795 Message: 37835

At 09:48 AM 10/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>Not to mention the simple fact that the range of
>2.4 GHz equipment is actually quite limited □
>spread spectrum and frequency hopping workk
>quite well to keep local transmitters from
>interfering with each other, but the 2.4 GHz
>band is shared with everything from Bluetooth
>and wi-fi to microwave ovens and video
>relays. With power measured in mW, you canâ□□t
>expect to maintain control over any significant
>distance. Which is fine for most RC hobbyists
>because they generally want to watch their RC
>planes flying right over the field.
>
>At 72/76 MHz the power goes up to 3/4 W which is
>pretty good □□ especially since analog TV is no
>longer cluttering up the band. With quality
>gear you ought to be able to maintain control at
>any distance where you can see the model plane,
>car, or boat. Generally the only problem is
>that there could be another RC transmitter on
>the same channel, but with 80 channels available
>accidental interference is seldom a real problem.

There is also 29.7 to 30 Mhz.

37836. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-11 08:23:49 UTC
From: Mike Morris WA6ILQ
Topic: 37795 Message: 37836

At 09:56 PM 12/10/2013, you wrote:
>At 09:48 AM 10/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >Not to mention the simple fact that the range of
> >2.4 GHz equipment is actually quite limited
> >spread spectrum and frequency hopping workk
> >quite well to keep local transmitters from
> >interfering with each other, but the 2.4 GHz
> >band is shared with everything from Bluetooth
> >and wi-fi to microwave ovens and video
> >relays. With power measured in mW, you canâ□□t
> >expect to maintain control over any significant
> >distance. Which is fine for most RC hobbyists
> >because they generally want to watch their RC
> >planes flying right over the field.
> >
> >At 72/76 MHz the power goes up to 3/4 W which is
> >pretty good □ especially since analog TV is no
> >longer cluttering up the band. With quality
> >gear you ought to be able to maintain control at
> >any distance where you can see the model plane,
> >car, or boat. Generally the only problem is
> >that there could be another RC transmitter on
> >the same channel, but with 80 channels available
> >accidental interference is seldom a real problem.
>
>There is also 29.7 to 30 Mhz.

```
If you have an amateur radio license.

Mike WA6ILQ
```

37837. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-11 15:25:33 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 37795 Message: 37837

On Dec 11, 2013, at 3:23 AM, Mike Morris WA6ILQ wrote:
>>
>> There is also 29.7 to 30 Mhz.
>
> If you have an amateur radio license.
>
> Mike WA6ILQ

HI MIke:

Not really. 29.7 to 30 MHz are not part of the 10 meter amateur band allocation.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

37838. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-11 15:56:25 UTC
From: <tenorman1952@...>
Topic: 37795 Message: 37838

Regarding R/C aircraft frequencies...

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <mrhat2005@exemail.com.au> wrote:

There is also 29.7 to 30 Mhz.

Perhaps in Oz, but in the USA those frequencies were never legal for R/C. But different frequencies in various countries. Futaba went to plug in frequency modules, the whole tuned circuit, so that modelers that flew internationally could adapt. It takes a little more than just plugging in crystals.

Even in the USA within the 72 mhz band it was not legal to just change crystals. The transmitter was supposed to be retuned by a licensed electronics tech if the crystal was changed. In the real world, my 72 mhz transmitter was checked with all of the crystals in that band and the technician said there was nothing he could do to touch up the tuning, it was good to go with any 72 mhz freq. But legally, the end user was not supposed to change crystals.

The Heathkit R/C radios had the transmitter's RF board already built and tuned at the factory to meet the FCC requirements. Heathkit did provide a good means of tuning the receiver. The 5 ch (in R/C that means 5 functions, not frequencies) Heathkit I bought in 1971 was the first use of integrated circuits and ceramic filters (instead of IF cans) I had seen up to that time. Quite advanced.

Paul - AE5JU

37839. UV5RA+ Internal Mic Quit Working!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-11 19:13:15 UTC
From: Karl Schwab
Topic: 37839 Message: 37839

Yesterday, I was carrying my UV5RA+ with me while my wife (she too is a radio amateur) had the use of my base station, had sent me to the store for some last minute cooking items. I had her list, but in case she needed anything else, she could call me. As it turned out, she did and I gave here a call back. She called again, I again called her, and she called me again! So what could be wrong. I ended up coming home (I don't own a cell phone) and I discovered, that the internal mic on my radio was not working; I verified this by using my external mic, which then, worked fine. My radio had worked fine a few days before when I was at a local ham fest without the external speaker/mic.

I remembered reading on this User Group, to try and clean out the radios external speaker/mic jack (the larger of the two) with a "Q" tip and rubbing alcohol. I did it and now internal mic works perfectly again! So, it is important to have a optional speaker/mic to be able to diagnose this problem! Thanks guys for this tip. 73, de KO8S

37840. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5RA+ Internal Mic Quit Working!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-11 20:05:02 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 37839 Message: 37840

HI Karl:

FB. Glad you found the fix. But... should it happen again, you could always send a little morse via the DTMF keypad. Not elegant, but if the XYL is saying "I'm not getting any audio from you... can -you- her me?"... you could always key "YES" or "C" (morse abbreviation for affirmative... also sounds like Spanish "Si"). :)

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 11, 2013, at 2:13 PM, Karl Schwab wrote:

>
>
>
> Yesterday, I was carrying my UV5RA+ with me while my wife (she too
> is a radio amateur) had the use of my base station, had sent me to
> the store for some last minute cooking items. I had her list, but
> in case she needed anything else, she could call me. As it turned
> out, she did and I gave here a call back. She called again, I again
> called her, and she called me again! So what could be wrong. I
> ended up coming home (I don't own a cell phone) and I discovered,
> that the internal mic on my radio was not working; I verified this
> by using my external mic, which then, worked fine. My radio had
> worked fine a few days before when I was at a local ham fest without
> the external speaker/mic.
>
> I remembered reading on this User Group, to try and clean out the
> radios external speaker/mic jack (the larger of the two) with a "Q"
> tip and rubbing alcohol. I did it and now internal mic works
> perfectly again! So, it is important to have a optional speaker/mic
> to be able to diagnose this problem! Thanks guys for this tip. 73,
> de KO8S
>
>
>

37841. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5RA+ Internal Mic Quit Working!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-11 21:22:04 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37839 Message: 37841

Karl,

I discovered an additional problem along with the cleaning solution to fix the problem you had. The problem you had was most likely due to a glue residue that is used on the very top of the jack as a dust/water veneer seal. If that glue seeps it will fall into the contact (scratchy audio) or cause the contact to stick open. I found this would happen during temperature changes where if the radio was too hot or in the sun it would cause the glue to seep. Replacements had no seal on them and worked well.

Another recent problem seems to be with low quality metal used in the jack that will not flex back or the jack loosens and slightly catches on the plastic housing. These problems should require jack replacement or sent back to the dealer.

This problem seems to show up if reprogramming or switching to ext mics and the cleaning procedure does not work.

If this keeps happening, hopefully it won’t, you might have to replace something. 8 times out of 10 the alcohol clean/flush works.

Baofeng and sister companies already have gotten an earful from me concerning the jack issues which seem to have been fixed with their new models that utilize a metal jack ring and beefier jack contacts and housing.

From: Karl Schwab
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2013 11:13 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5RA+ Internal Mic Quit Working!

Yesterday, I was carrying my UV5RA+ with me while my wife (she too is a radio amateur) had the use of my base station, had sent me to the store for some last minute cooking items. I had her list, but in case she needed anything else, she could call me. As it turned out, she did and I gave here a call back. She called again, I again called her, and she called me again! So what could be wrong. I ended up coming home (I don't own a cell phone) and I discovered, that the internal mic on my radio was not working; I verified this by using my external mic, which then, worked fine. My radio had worked fine a few days before when I was at a local ham fest without the external speaker/mic.

I remembered reading on this User Group, to try and clean out the radios external speaker/mic jack (the larger of the two) with a "Q" tip and rubbing alcohol. I did it and now internal mic works perfectly again! So, it is important to have a optional speaker/mic to be able to diagnose this problem! Thanks guys for this tip. 73, de KO8S

37842. Chirp question

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 01:16:55 UTC
From: <Jandjam@...>
Topic: 37842 Message: 37842

I've got 6 of UV-5R's coming for the family (yes we all have our tickets).
When programming with chirp is it possible to save the configuration (don't know if that's the correct word) so that once I get one radio loaded I can just plug in the next and have the same setup?

Thanks
David
programming newb .....

37843. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Chirp question

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 01:19:18 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 37842 Message: 37843

You can. You have to read each radio first, then write the config to the radio.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 11, 2013, at 17:16, <Jandjam@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> I've got 6 of UV-5R's coming for the family (yes we all have our tickets).
>
> When programming with chirp is it possible to save the configuration (don't know if that's the correct word) so that once I get one radio loaded I can just plug in the next and have the same setup?
>
> Thanks
> David
> programming newb ......
>

37844. RE: Chirp question

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 02:51:45 UTC
From: <Jandjam@...>
Topic: 37842 Message: 37844

Thanks Jardy. I'm understanding that I need to dl the memory for the radio and then upload the new config.
Thanks again

37845. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Chirp question

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 03:01:43 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37842 Message: 37845

On Wed, Dec 11, 2013 at 9:51 PM, <Jandjam@...> wrote:

>
>
> Thanks Jardy. I'm understanding that I need to dl the memory for the radio
> and then upload the new config.
>
> Thanks again
>
>
> You only have to download from the first radio, then program your channels
and settings and then upload to all radios as long as they are all UV-5R
radios.

But if it was me doing it, I would power up each new radio one at a time
and without pressing any buttons download the factory channels and settings
and save these as "master factory reset" files never to be altered. Pick
one to make a copy of, program the copy and then upload it to all radios.

Jim KC9HI

37846. UV-5RA only receiving on NOAA?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 03:44:30 UTC
From: penna176th@epix.net
Topic: 37846 Message: 37846

Totally new to radios, just got a UV-5RA. After reading the "manual" and some of the guides online, I am still only receiving anything on the local NOAA frequency.

Everything else is all static, even local frequencies I know are very active. Can anyone offer some basic help to a new user?

37847. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5RA only receiving on NOAA?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 03:58:14 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 37846 Message: 37847

Check the FAQs found at the bottom of the email. Several reasons can cause this.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent by hurling dead pigeons over the wall by trebuche.

>_____
> From: "penna176th@..." <penna176th@...>
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2013 7:44 PM
>Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5RA only receiving on NOAA?
>
>
>
>
>Totally new to radios, just got a UV-5RA. After reading the "manual" and some of the guides online, I am still only receiving anything on the local NOAA frequency.
>
>Everything else is all static, even local frequencies I know are very active. Can anyone offer some basic help to a new user?
>
>
>
>
>

37848. Re: UV-5RA only receiving on NOAA?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 04:20:49 UTC
From: penna176th@epix.net
Topic: 37846 Message: 37848

I've been reading forums/FAQ's/guides since this morning.

I just reset it back to factory for the 4th time, still the same.

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:
>
> Check the FAQs found at the bottom of the email.Â Several reasons can cause this.
>
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent by hurling dead pigeons over the wall by trebuche.
>
>
> >_____
> > From: "penna176th@..." <penna176th@...>
> >To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> >Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2013 7:44 PM
> >Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5RA only receiving on NOAA?
> >
> >
> >
> >Â
> >Totally new to radios, just got a UV-5RA. After reading the "manual" and some of the guides online, I am still only receiving anything on the local NOAA frequency.
> >
> >Everything else is all static, even local frequencies I know are very active. Can anyone offer some basic help to a new user?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

37850. Poll results for baofeng_uv5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 09:01:39 UTC
From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 20172 Message: 37850

```
The following baofeng_uv5r poll is now closed. Here are the
final results:

POLL QUESTION: i have 2 uv5r do you?

CHOICES AND RESULTS
- no, 24 votes, 38.10%
- yes, 39 votes, 61.90%

For more information about this group, please visit
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r

For help with Yahoo Groups, please visit
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.html
```

37852. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: UV-5RA only receiving on NOAA?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 05:05:19 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37846 Message: 37852

The most likely reason you are only hearing anything on the NOAA frequency is because that it the only frequency that always has something on it. You don't mention what other frequencies you are trying to listen to, where you got those frequencies, or what settings you are trying to use, but let me just say that even the most heavily used frequencies in most areas are still silent the majority of the time.

-----Original Message-----
From: penna176th@...

I've been reading forums/FAQ's/guides since this morning.

I just reset it back to factory for the 4th time, still the same.

> >_____
> > From: "penna176th@..." <penna176th@...>

> >Totally new to radios, just got a UV-5RA. After reading the "manual" and
> >some of the guides online, I am still only receiving anything on the
> >local NOAA frequency.
> >
> >Everything else is all static, even local frequencies I know are very
> >active. Can anyone offer some basic help to a new user?
> >

37853. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Chirp question

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 05:12:39 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37842 Message: 37853

From: Jandjam@...

> I've got 6 of UV-5R's coming for the family (yes we all have our tickets).
> When programming with chirp is it possible to save the configuration
> (don't know if that's the correct word) so that once I get one radio
> loaded I can just plug in the next and have the same setup?

IF they are all the same firmware version, then you definitely can load the same image file into all 6 radios.

You should download and save the original image file from each radio and check the version number as it shows up in CHIRP. If they are all the same, you only need to make one edited file which you can then load to program all the radios -- if some are different you ought to make a separate image file for each firmware version just to be 100% safe.

Or you can use the BaoFeng software which works a little differently and will let you use a single file to program all the radios that have any recent firmware version.

37854. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Chirp question

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 11:44:33 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37842 Message: 37854

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 12:12 AM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

>
>
> From: Jandjam@...
>
> > I've got 6 of UV-5R's coming for the family (yes we all have our
> tickets).
> > When programming with chirp is it possible to save the configuration
> > (don't know if that's the correct word) so that once I get one radio
> > loaded I can just plug in the next and have the same setup?
>
> IF they are all the same firmware version, then you definitely can load
> the
> same image file into all 6 radios.
>
> You should download and save the original image file from each radio and
> check the version number as it shows up in CHIRP. If they are all the
> same,
> you only need to make one edited file which you can then load to program
> all
> the radios -- if some are different you ought to make a separate image
> file
> for each firmware version just to be 100% safe.
>
> Or you can use the BaoFeng software which works a little differently and
> will let you use a single file to program all the radios that have any
> recent firmware version.
>
Xaun,

You bring up a good point here. Just because 6 radios are purchased at the same time does not guarantee that they will all have the same firmware version in all of them. They will probably all be the same, but it would be a good idea to know if they aren't.

I agree, the Baofeng software doesn't worry about the firmware version, because the normal read/write process does not cover the radio's "other" memory area (the area of memory that can affected by the firmware version). When writing a CHIRP image to a radio with a differing firmware version than it was saved from, the firmware difference is detected and writing to "other" memory area is skipped making the CHIRP behavior the same as the Baofeng software's behavior.

The bad news with CHIRP is that when the software stops to tell you that the "other" memory is being skipped because of the firmware difference, the

notification looks too much like warning that the process failed even though text in the notification is telling you that writing the channels and settings was successful.

Jim KC9HI

37855. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: UV-5RA only receiving on NOAA?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 13:20:53 UTC
From: <rattis@...>
Topic: 37846 Message: 37855

As Xaun said, even the busy repeaters can have dead times. Try searching for a local net or two. A traffic net or club net should work. From my experience the information a traffic net's broadcast times are probably going to be more accurate than a club's net.

Some of the information gets outdated quickly. Repeater Book said there was a repeater where I used to live, that was active. Digging around, it turned out that the repeater had been shut down for over a year.

Chris
KD8VPJ

37856. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5RA+ Internal Mic Quit Working!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 14:18:57 UTC
From: Karl Schwab
Topic: 37839 Message: 37856

Thanks to Ray and Pryor for your input to my internal mic problem! R Pryor; I think you hit the nail on the head: My QSO before this problem was with my speaker/mic and I made a "long" QSO. Near the end of this QSO, it was reported to me, that my audio was starting to break up! I signed off, my radio was rather warm. The very next QSO was without the speaker/mic attached when I had the this subject problem. Question now is; if I were to attend to this jack, how do I disassemble the radio to get to it? It appears that I would have to remove the metal back using a Torx driver. Any thoughts on this? Thanks again, 73, de KO8S, Karl

On Wednesday, December 11, 2013 4:22 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...> wrote:

Karl,

I discovered an additional problem along with the cleaning solution to fix the problem you had. The problem you had was most likely due to a glue residue that is used on the very top of the jack as a dust/water veneer seal. If that glue seeps it will fall into the contact (scratchy audio) or cause the contact to stick open. I found this would happen during temperature changes where if the radio was too hot or in the sun it would cause the glue to seep. Replacements had no seal on them and worked well.

Another recent problem seems to be with low quality metal used in the jack that will not flex back or the jack loosens and slightly catches on the plastic housing. These problems should require jack replacement or sent back to the dealer.

This problem seems to show up if reprogramming or switching to ext mics and the cleaning procedure does not work.

If this keeps happening, hopefully it won’t, you might have to replace something. 8 times out of 10 the alcohol clean/flush works.

Baofeng and sister companies already have gotten an earful from me concerning the jack issues which seem to have been fixed with their new models that utilize a metal jack ring and beefier jack contacts and housing.

From: Karl Schwab
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2013 11:13 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5RA+ Internal Mic Quit Working!

Yesterday, I was carrying my UV5RA+ with me while my wife (she too is a radio amateur) had the use of my base station, had sent me to the store for some last minute cooking items. I had her list, but in case she needed anything else, she could call me. As it turned out, she did and I gave here a call back. She called again, I

again called her, and she called me again! So what could be wrong. I ended up coming home (I don't own a cell phone) and I discovered, that the internal mic on my radio was not working; I verified this by using my external mic, which then, worked fine. My radio had worked fine a few days before when I was at a local ham fest without the external speaker/mic.

I remembered reading on this
User Group, to try and clean out the radios external speaker/mic jack (the larger of the two) with a "Q" tip and rubbing alcohol. I did it and now internal mic works perfectly again! So, it is important to have a optional speaker/mic to be able to diagnose this problem! Thanks guys for this tip. 73, de KO8S

37857. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5RA only receiving on NOAA?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 14:41:13 UTC
From: William J Zaspel
Topic: 37846 Message: 37857

This is me, too
On Dec 11, 2013 10:45 PM, "penna176th@..." <penna176th@...> wrote:

>
>
> Totally new to radios, just got a UV-5RA. After reading the "manual" and
> some of the guides online, I am still only receiving anything on the local
> NOAA frequency.
>
> Everything else is all static, even local frequencies I know are very
> active. Can anyone offer some basic help to a new user?
>
>
>

37858. How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 15:08:29 UTC
From: w8du_arnie
Topic: 37858 Message: 37858

Can someone tell me how to combine data from 2 different CSV files and upload that to my UV-5RA so they do not overlap/overwrite each other?
Tnx es 73 de Arnie W8DU

37859. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 15:39:12 UTC
From: Greg Ames
Topic: 37858 Message: 37859

Open both with Excel, copy the rows from one to the other, creating one large one. Sort the rows however you wish, eliminate duplicates of necessary, then save as a new csv file. Import into Chirp and upload.

On Dec 12, 2013 10:08 AM, "w8du_arnie" <w8du@...> wrote:

>
>
> Can someone tell me how to combine data from 2 different CSV files and
> upload that to my UV-5RA so they do not overlap/overwrite each other?
> Tnx es 73 de Arnie W8DU
>
>
>

37860. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 15:43:30 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 37858 Message: 37860

What software are you using?

With CHIRP you can have several files open and cut/copy/paste memory channels between them. You will have to move memories around to make room for whatever you paste into the new file. I don't think there is a way to magically combine two or more files.

Tom ND5Y

On 12/12/2013 09:08, w8du_arnie wrote:
> Can someone tell me how to combine data from 2 different CSV files and upload that to my UV-5RA so they do not overlap/overwrite each other?
> Tnx es 73 de Arnie W8DU

37861. UV-5R - Firmware upgradeable?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 15:48:22 UTC
From: w8du_arnie
Topic: 37861 Message: 37861

Does anyone know the procedure, if it is even possible, to update/upgrade the firmware on the UV-5R series radios?
Tnx de Arnie W8DU

37862. Re: How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 15:50:14 UTC
From: w8du_arnie
Topic: 37858 Message: 37862

Thanks. Yes, I am using the latest daily release for CHIRP. What is the maximum number of memories that can be programmed?
73 de Arnie W8DU

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:
>
> What software are you using?
>
> With CHIRP you can have several files open and cut/copy/paste memory
> channels between them. You will have to move memories around to make
> room for whatever you paste into the new file. I don't think there is a
> way to magically combine two or more files.
>
> Tom ND5Y
>
> On 12/12/2013 09:08, w8du_arnie wrote:
> > Can someone tell me how to combine data from 2 different CSV files and upload that to my UV-5RA so they do not overlap/overwrite each other?
> > Tnx es 73 de Arnie W8DU
>

37863. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R - Firmware upgradeable?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 15:51:46 UTC
From: Greg Ames
Topic: 37861 Message: 37863

Not possible. The firmware is stored in a non writable ROM
On Dec 12, 2013 10:48 AM, "w8du_arnie" <w8du@...> wrote:

>
>
> Does anyone know the procedure, if it is even possible, to update/upgrade
> the firmware on the UV-5R series radios?
> Tnx de Arnie W8DU
>
>
>

37864. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 16:02:31 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 37858 Message: 37864

There are 128 memories numbered 0-127.

Tom ND5Y

On 12/12/2013 09:50, w8du_arnie wrote:
> Thanks. Yes, I am using the latest daily release for CHIRP. What is the maximum number of memories that can be programmed?
> 73 de Arnie W8DU

37865. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 16:13:34 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37858 Message: 37865

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 10:08 AM, w8du_arnie <w8du@...> wrote:

>
>
> Can someone tell me how to combine data from 2 different CSV files and
> upload that to my UV-5RA so they do not overlap/overwrite each other?
> Tnx es 73 de Arnie W8DU
>
>
> Part of the CHIRP import process requires you to specify which rows are
imported and which memory slot each row is imported to.

Jim KC9HI

37866. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 16:15:00 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37858 Message: 37866

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 10:50 AM, w8du_arnie <w8du@...> wrote:

>
>
> Thanks. Yes, I am using the latest daily release for CHIRP. What is the
> maximum number of memories that can be programmed?
> 73 de Arnie W8DU
>
>
The UV-5R family of radios has 128 memory channels numbered from 000 to 127.

Jim KC9HI

37868. Re: How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 16:32:18 UTC
From: w8du_arnie
Topic: 37858 Message: 37868

Thanks for the info. One more question....
How do I program scanning of a range of frequencies?

Tnx de Arnie W8DU

--- In baofeng_uv5r@...m, Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...> wrote:
>
> On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 10:50 AM, w8du_arnie <w8du@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Thanks. Yes, I am using the latest daily release for CHIRP. What is the
> > maximum number of memories that can be programmed?
> > 73 de Arnie W8DU
> >
> >
> The UV-5R family of radios has 128 memory channels numbered from 000 to 127.
>
> Jim KC9HI
>

37869. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 17:28:49 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37858 Message: 37869

You scan channels..not frequencies.

With CHIRP you set the Skip column to "S" if you don't want it scanned.

All channels without the S are scanned.

As many have noted the UV-5R series makes for a lousy scanner due to its slowness.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of w8du_arnie
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 10:32 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: How to combine/merge freq data?

Thanks for the info. One more question....
How do I program scanning of a range of frequencies?

Tnx de Arnie W8DU

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...> wrote:
>
> On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 10:50 AM, w8du_arnie <w8du@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Thanks. Yes, I am using the latest daily release for CHIRP. What is the
> > maximum number of memories that can be programmed?
> > 73 de Arnie W8DU
> >
> >
> The UV-5R family of radios has 128 memory channels numbered from 000 to 127.
>
> Jim KC9HI
>

37870. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5RA+ Internal Mic Quit Working!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 17:37:59 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37839 Message: 37870

To disassemble Miklor has some good links and some pictures in the group folders. You will need to remove all the body screws and also the antenna jack coupler ring.

It is a hassle to take apart some have probs with the LCD portion especially getting it to line back up. You also want to unsolder the two speaker wires as they will break easily.

You will see the jack and it is possible to just peel off the sticky veneer that covers the 3.5 mm jack and clean out all the residue from the top. See if that veneer has recessed into the jack body.

Once peeled off and cleaned, you will notice the contacts sit right on the top of the spot where the sticky veneer was. That is what is causing it to hang or stick open, use a plug to see if it shifts or hangs or is deformed.

If you see this happen then the jack needs replaced here is where I got them
http://www.409shop.com/409shop_product.php?id=104634

Try everything possible before you need to disassemble or desolder the jack for replacement.

Most the time the alcohol clean works, if it comes back just disassemble and remove the sticky jack veneer seal, if that fails jack replacement is needed.

It is not fun trying to take apart the small radio and getting it to line up properly , patience is needed.

Good luck.
Baofeng seems to have corrected this issue with better jacks on their latest new models but continue to use this jack for the uv5R series.

Lately some of the Uv5R’s are coming with lower quality parts and cheaper materials.

From: Karl Schwab
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 6:18 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5RA+ Internal Mic Quit Working!

Thanks to Ray and Pryor for your input to my internal mic problem! R Pryor; I think you hit the nail on the head: My QSO before this problem was with my speaker/mic and I made a "long" QSO. Near the end of this QSO, it was reported to me, that my audio was starting to break up! I signed off, my radio was rather warm. The very next QSO was without the speaker/mic attached when I had the this subject problem. Question now is; if I were to attend to this jack, how do I disassemble the radio to get to it? It appears that I would have to remove the metal back using a Torx driver. Any thoughts on this? Thanks again, 73, de KO8S, Karl

On Wednesday, December 11, 2013 4:22 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...> wrote:

Karl,

I discovered an additional problem along with the cleaning solution to fix the problem you had. The problem you had was most likely due to a glue residue that is used on the very top of the jack as a dust/water veneer seal. If that glue seeps it will fall into the contact (scratchy audio) or cause the contact to stick open. I found this would happen during temperature changes where if the radio was too hot or in the sun it would cause the glue to seep. Replacements had no seal on them and worked well.

Another recent problem seems to be with low quality metal used in the jack that will not flex back or the jack loosens and slightly catches on the plastic housing. These problems should require jack replacement or sent back to the dealer.

This problem seems to show up if reprogramming or switching to ext mics and the cleaning procedure does not work.

If this keeps happening, hopefully it won’t, you might have to replace something. 8 times out of 10 the alcohol clean/flush works.

Baofeng and sister companies already have gotten an earful from me concerning the jack issues which seem to have been fixed with their new models that utilize a metal jack ring and beefier jack contacts and housing.

From: Karl Schwab
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2013 11:13 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5RA+ Internal Mic Quit Working!

Yesterday, I was carrying my UV5RA+ with me while my wife (she too is a radio amateur) had the use of my base station, had sent me to the store for some last minute cooking items. I had her list, but in case she needed anything else, she could call me. As it turned out, she did and I gave here a call back. She called again, I again called her, and she called me again! So what could be wrong. I ended up coming home (I don't own a cell phone) and I discovered, that the internal mic on my radio was not working; I verified this by using my external mic, which then, worked fine. My radio had worked fine a few days before when I was at a local ham fest without the external speaker/mic.

I remembered reading on this User Group, to try and clean out the radios external speaker/mic jack (the larger of the two) with a "Q" tip and rubbing alcohol. I did it and now internal mic works perfectly again! So, it is important to have a optional speaker/mic to be able to diagnose this problem! Thanks guys for this tip. 73, de KO8S

37871. Latest Firmware?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 17:49:12 UTC
From: Tony D
Topic: 37871 Message: 37871

Hi all. I have just purchased one of these and was wondering what is the latest version firmware so when it arrives I can see how old it is.

Thanks

Tony M0MTD

37872. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Chirp question

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 17:49:25 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37842 Message: 37872

Jim and others have this right,

All that is missing is your name that you entered into Chirp when the Firmware error message pops up, everything else you did is stored.

The quick work around is to do these steps.

1. File is uploaded to radio
2. chirp displays Firmware error message they do not match
3 Download again from the radio, Chirp will open an “untitled” tab
4 In this tab, reenter the name in the settings page to display your power up message
5 Upload back to your radio

Now the radio will accept everything with no error message and the power on message will display

6 Save the untitled file you just loaded to something that can ID its firmware example “BFBS311 LA County” or “BFB 307 LA County” whatever so you know the firmware being used

Next time check the radio firmware and load the correct template for that firmware to stop the error message.

KK6CRP

From: Jim Unroe
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 3:44 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Chirp question

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 12:12 AM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

From: Jandjam@...

> I've got 6 of UV-5R's coming for the family (yes we all have our tickets).
> When programming with chirp is it possible to save the configuration
> (don't know if that's the correct word) so that once I get one radio
> loaded I can just plug in the next and have the same setup?

IF they are all the same firmware version, then you definitely can load the
same image file into all 6 radios.

You should download and save the original image file from each radio and
check the version number as it shows up in CHIRP. If they are all the same,
you only need to make one edited file which you can then load to program all
the radios -- if some are different you ought to make a separate image file
for each firmware version just to be 100% safe.

Or you can use the BaoFeng software which works a little differently and will let you use a single file to program all the radios that have any recent firmware version.

Xaun,

You bring up a good point here. Just because 6 radios are purchased at the same time does not guarantee that they will all have the same firmware version in all of them. They will probably all be the same, but it would be a good idea to know if they aren't.

I agree, the Baofeng software doesn't worry about the firmware version, because the normal read/write process does not cover the radio's "other" memory area (the area of memory that can affected by the firmware version). When writing a CHIRP image to a radio with a differing firmware version than it was saved from, the firmware difference is detected and writing to "other" memory area is skipped making the CHIRP behavior the same as the Baofeng software's behavior.

The bad news with CHIRP is that when the software stops to tell you that the "other" memory is being skipped because of the firmware difference, the notification looks too much like warning that the process failed even though text in the notification is telling you that writing the channels and settings was successful.

Jim KC9HI

37873. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AnyTone AT-5888UV

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 17:50:50 UTC
From: DRJVM@...
Topic: 37873 Message: 37873

A little odd topic....Does anybody know what software you can program the AnyTone AT-5888 UV? I don't see it listed under Chirp.

Joe

37874. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 17:51:07 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 37858 Message: 37874

If you mean an upper/lower limit band scan from say 442-445 MHz in 25 kHz steps then you can't do that. The radios are not capable of doing it.

Tom ND5Y

>
> Thanks for the info. One more question....
> How do I program scanning of a range of frequencies?

37875. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 18:08:44 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37858 Message: 37875

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 11:32 AM, w8du_arnie <w8du@...> wrote:

>
>
> Thanks for the info. One more question....
> How do I program scanning of a range of frequencies?
>
> Tnx de Arnie W8DU
>
> In Frequency (VFO) mode, to scan from 144.000 to 148.000 MHz, use CHIRP or the OEM VIP software to set the VHF low band limit to 144 and the VHF high band limit to 147. This will scan from 144.0000 to 147.9975. .

In Channel (MR) mode, use the CHIRP or OEM software to set which channels need to be skipped.

Jim KC9HI

37876. Re: How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 18:10:33 UTC
From: w8du_arnie
Topic: 37858 Message: 37876

Thanks. I appreciate the help with this.
73 de Arnie W8DU

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:
>
> If you mean an upper/lower limit band scan from say 442-445 MHz in 25
> kHz steps then you can't do that. The radios are not capable of doing it.
>
> Tom ND5Y
>
> >
> > Thanks for the info. One more question....
> > How do I program scanning of a range of frequencies?
>

37878. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AnyTone AT-5888UV

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 18:36:25 UTC
From: Marino Duregon
Topic: 37873 Message: 37878

There is a yahoo group for the AnyTone. You should ask your Anytone questions there .

see FAQ on the Chirp web site

http://chirp.danplanet.com/projects/chirp/wiki/FAQ#Is-my-radio-supported

"""
Is my radio supported?

If it's listed on the front page, then it is. If it is not listed, then it is not supported. If you're interested in getting support added, please see How can I get my radio supported by CHIRP.
===

The front page says it is supported under the 'daily' builds, not merely under the latest 'release' version.

Marino

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 12:50:50PM -0500, DRJVM@... wrote:
> A little odd topic....Does anybody know what software you can program the
> AnyTone AT-5888 UV? I don't see it listed under Chirp.
>
> Joe
>
>

37879. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 18:37:55 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 37858 Message: 37879

Jim, if you do that then what happens if you go to VFO mode and try to input a frequency outside of the band limts?

Tom ND5Y

On 12/12/2013 12:08, Jim Unroe wrote:
> In Frequency (VFO) mode, to scan from 144.000 to 148.000 MHz, use CHIRP
> or the OEM VIP software to set the VHF low band limit to 144 and the VHF
> high band limit to 147. This will scan from 144.0000 to 147.9975. .

37880. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5RA only receiving on NOAA?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 18:42:00 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37846 Message: 37880

Try getting a better antenna and see if that works. The stock antenna is not the best to use if you live in a rural area away from repeaters. Also insure you have no RX CTCS or DCS codes programmed in.

From: penna176th@epix.net
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2013 7:44 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5RA only receiving on NOAA?

Totally new to radios, just got a UV-5RA. After reading the "manual" and some of the guides online, I am still only receiving anything on the local NOAA frequency.

Everything else is all static, even local frequencies I know are very active. Can anyone offer some basic help to a new user?

37881. priority channel

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 18:42:23 UTC
From: <bfdemtmike@...>
Topic: 37881 Message: 37881

Is there a way to make one channel my priority channel? If the radio is scanning and I key the PPT I want it to go to my priority channel. Can this be done and how?

37883. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5RA only receiving on NOAA?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 19:31:47 UTC
From: Chris Horry
Topic: 37846 Message: 37883

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

On 12/11/2013 10:44 PM, penna176th@... wrote:
>
>
> Totally new to radios, just got a UV-5RA. After reading the "manual"
and some of the guides online, I am still only receiving anything on the
local NOAA frequency.
>

Check with your local Ham groups what the repeaters are in your area.
The stock antenna is pretty weak, especially if you're indoors. Go
outside and away from buildings and see if it works. Plenty of threads
in the forums with recommendations :)

Chris

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.14 (GNU/Linux)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://www.enigmail.net/

iEYEARECAAYFAlKqDx0ACgkQnAAeGCtMZU784gCdG6vVh16l7e8WjBYN+EHqYMie
XVAAnA4q2pfeY1PZwoqUzpy8QznM0HU4
=7CFn
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

37884. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] priority channel

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 19:34:00 UTC
From: Chris Horry
Topic: 37881 Message: 37884

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

On 12/12/2013 01:42 PM, bfdemtmike@... wrote:
>
>
> Is there a way to make one channel my priority channel? If the radio
is scanning and I key the PPT I want it to go to my priority channel.
Can this be done and how?
>
Not exactly what you asked for, but I typically tune the closest
repeater to my B frequency and then set the TDR option (Menu-7) so it'll
switch over if someone checks in. YMMV.

Chris KG4TSM.

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.14 (GNU/Linux)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://www.enigmail.net/

iEYEARECAAYFAlKqD6gACgkQnAAeGCtMZU58EgCcD1/MQFbnpDZcqIJKhaM0me0H
20AAoMOPlINJydBBGjLZvBWr/59NIn8T
=RaPz
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

37885. Frequency

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 21:48:53 UTC
From: <luv2cmwork@...>
Topic: 3682 Message: 37885

I'm very new to radios and a new Baofeng owner. Very new. I'm studying for my ham license.

Obviously I have not transmitted on my radio yet, but I'm using Chirp to program it and listen. I'm also manually entering frequencies.

Here is my question: Our State Law Enforcement is using a frequency of 155,565. When I try to enter that manually, it actually comes back with 155.550 and when I try to do 155.685 it comes back with 155.675.

I figure it's doing this because of the "steps"? Is that by design?

Thanks for your anticipated reply

37886. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 22:04:04 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 3682 Message: 37886

Yes, change the step size to 5 KHz.

From: "luv2cmwork@..." <luv2cmwork@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 4:48 PM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency

I'm very new to radios and a new Baofeng owner. Very new. I'm studying for my ham license.

Obviously I have not transmitted on my radio yet, but I'm using Chirp to program it and listen. I'm also manually entering frequencies.

Here is my question: Our State Law Enforcement is using a frequency of 155,565. When I try to enter that manually, it actually comes back with 155.550 and when I try to do 155.685 it comes back with 155.675.

I figure it's doing this because of the "steps"? Is that by design?

Thanks for your anticipated reply

37887. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 22:10:57 UTC
From: Roger Waters
Topic: 3682 Message: 37887

That worked perfect. Will that effect everything now? Or just that particular instance? I guess I'm asking if that is a global setting? Any disadvantage to leaving it at that, if it is?

Thanks again!

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 4:04 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

>
>
> Yes, change the step size to 5 KHz.
>
>
> ----------
> *From:* "luv2cmwork@..." <luv2cmwork@...>
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Thursday, December 12, 2013 4:48 PM
> *Subject:* [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency
>
>
>
> I'm very new to radios and a new Baofeng owner. Very new. I'm studying
> for my ham license.
>
> Obviously I have not transmitted on my radio yet, but I'm using Chirp to
> program it and listen. I'm also manually entering frequencies.
>
> Here is my question: Our State Law Enforcement is using a frequency of
> 155,565. When I try to enter that manually, it actually comes back with
> 155.550 and when I try to do 155.685 it comes back with 155.675.
>
> I figure it's doing this because of the "steps"? Is that by design?
>
> Thanks for your anticipated reply
>
>
>
>
>
>

37890. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AnyTone AT-5888UV

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 22:41:39 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 37873 Message: 37890

They have their own software. You should have gotten a copy when you purchased the AnyTone.
----- Original Message -----
From: DRJVM@...
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 12:50 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AnyTone AT-5888UV

A little odd topic....Does anybody know what software you can program the AnyTone AT-5888 UV? I don't see it listed under Chirp.

Joe

37891. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 22:47:03 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 3682 Message: 37891

```
--------------------------------
From: Roger Waters <luv2cmwork@...>

Thanks!
You're welcome.

So how many people think you're the guy from Pink Floyd?
```

37892. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 23:00:07 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 3682 Message: 37892

Change your step to 2.5 or 5.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 12, 2013, at 13:48, <luv2cmwork@...> wrote:
>
> I'm very new to radios and a new Baofeng owner. Very new. I'm studying for my ham license.
>
>
> Obviously I have not transmitted on my radio yet, but I'm using Chirp to program it and listen. I'm also manually entering frequencies.
>
> Here is my question: Our State Law Enforcement is using a frequency of 155,565. When I try to enter that manually, it actually comes back with 155.550 and when I try to do 155.685 it comes back with 155.675.
>
> I figure it's doing this because of the "steps"? Is that by design?
>
> Thanks for your anticipated reply
>

37893. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 23:02:15 UTC
From: John LaMartina
Topic: 3682 Message: 37893

Many here are Comfortably Numb, so you fit right in . . . :-)

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 5:47 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency

From: Roger Waters <luv2cmwork@...>

Thanks!

You're welcome.

So how many people think you're the guy from Pink Floyd? *;) winking
<http://mail.yimg.com/ok/u/assets/img/emoticons/emo3.gif>

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2014.0.4259 / Virus Database: 3658/6914 - Release Date: 12/12/13

37894. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 23:05:49 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37858 Message: 37894

Tom,

You can't enter a frequency outside the band limits. That what makes scanning a range of frequencies work (which was the original question).

Don't forget that this is a sub $50 two-way radio that happens to scan. It isn't a scanner that also happens to be a two-way radio.

Jim KC9HI

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 1:37 PM, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:

>
>
> Jim, if you do that then what happens if you go to VFO mode and try to
> input a frequency outside of the band limts?
>
> Tom ND5Y
>
>
> On 12/12/2013 12:08, Jim Unroe wrote:
> > In Frequency (VFO) mode, to scan from 144.000 to 148.000 MHz, use CHIRP
> > or the OEM VIP software to set the VHF low band limit to 144 and the VHF
> > high band limit to 147. This will scan from 144.0000 to 147.9975. .
>
>
>

37895. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 23:18:32 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 3682 Message: 37895

From: John LaMartina <johnla@...>

Many here are Comfortably Numb, so you fit right in . . . :-)

You are only coming through in [radio] waves . . .

37896. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 23:19:15 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37858 Message: 37896

The UV-5R, F-11, UV-82 and variants are all capable of this.

Using software (CHIRP, Baoclone (BFB291 and up) or VIP (not CPS)) set the lower UHF band limit to 442 and set the upper UHF band limit to 444. Upload the band limit changes to the radio.

Now using the radio switch to either VFO. Set the BAND of the selected VFO to UHF. Set STEP of the selected VFO to 25.0 KHz. Press the [*SCAN] key until scanning begins. The radio will scan from 442.0000 to 444.9750 MHz in 25.0 KHz increments.

Jim KC9HI

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 12:51 PM, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:

>
>
> If you mean an upper/lower limit band scan from say 442-445 MHz in 25
> kHz steps then you can't do that. The radios are not capable of doing it.
>
> Tom ND5Y
>
>
> >
> > Thanks for the info. One more question....
> > How do I program scanning of a range of frequencies?
>
>
>

37897. UV-5B programing

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 23:34:58 UTC
From: <johnpnorth@...>
Topic: 37897 Message: 37897

Has anyone tried to program the UV-5B with current UV-5R+ software/driver? I can not connect to the 5B but no problem with 5R.

Comments?
John kf5umc

37898. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] priority channel

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 23:37:25 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37881 Message: 37898

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 1:42 PM, <bfdemtmike@...> wrote:

>
>
> Is there a way to make one channel my priority channel? If the radio is
> scanning and I key the PPT I want it to go to my priority channel. Can this
> be done and how?
>
>
>
There is no priority channel feature in the UV-5R/UV-82 family of radios.
There are several ways to improvise one.

Like Chris mentioned, set display [B] to your "priority" channel. Select
and scan the [A] display. Tap the [A/B] key to exit scanning with your
"priority" channel selected.

Similar to the above, if you plan on scanning the [A] display, then set the
[A] VFO up as your priority channel. Then just tap the [VFO/MR] key to exit
scanning with your "priority" VFO selected.

Program your "priority" channel as CH-000 (or CH-111). Then to exit
scanning a land on your priority press 0 (or 1) four times.

Jim KC9HI

37899. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 23:38:11 UTC
From: John LaMartina
Topic: 3682 Message: 37899

If you run into The Wall with the UV5R, there's always Miklor.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 6:19 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency

From: John LaMartina <johnla@...>

Many here are Comfortably Numb, so you fit right in . . . :-)

You are only coming through in [radio] waves . . .

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2014.0.4259 / Virus Database: 3658/6914 - Release Date: 12/12/13

37901. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: How to combine/merge freq data?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 23:44:05 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 37858 Message: 37901

Poeple should just be aware that when you do that you then lose the ability to directly enter frequencies in VFO mode that are outside the band lmits.

Tom ND5Y

On 12/12/2013 17:19, Jim Unroe wrote:
>
>
> The UV-5R, F-11, UV-82 and variants are all capable of this.
>
> Using software (CHIRP, Baoclone (BFB291 and up) or VIP (not CPS)) set
> the lower UHF band limit to 442 and set the upper UHF band limit to 444.
> Upload the band limit changes to the radio.
>
> Now using the radio switch to either VFO. Set the BAND of the selected
> VFO to UHF. Set STEP of the selected VFO to 25.0 KHz. Press the [*SCAN]
> key until scanning begins. The radio will scan from 442.0000 to 444.9750
> MHz in 25.0 KHz increments.
>
> Jim KC9HI

37902. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5B programing

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 23:50:41 UTC
From: <johnpnorth@...>
Topic: 37897 Message: 37902

John,
Thanks Much for the info!
John

37903. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 23:57:47 UTC
From: Matthew Pitts
Topic: 3682 Message: 37903

"Daddy's gone across the ocean,
leaving just a memory,
a snapshot in the family album,
Daddy what else did you leave for me?
Daddy what'd you leave behind for me"

(Another Brick in the Wall Part one)
(yes, I'm a Pink Floyd fan :D)
Matthew Pitts
N8OHU

>_____
> From: John LaMartina <johnla@...>
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 6:38 PM
>Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency
>
>
>
>
>If you run into The Wall with the UV5R, there’s always Miklor.
>
>
>From:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Scott, KB3JQQ
>Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 6:19 PM
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency
>
>
>
>
>
>_____
>
>From:John LaMartina <johnla@...>
>
>
>Many here are Comfortably Numb, so you fit right in . . . :-)
>
>
>You are only coming through in [radio] waves . . .
>No virus found in this message.
>Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
>Version: 2014.0.4259 / Virus Database: 3658/6914 - Release Date: 12/12/13

>
>
>

37904. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AnyTone AT-5888UV

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 23:58:44 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37873 Message: 37904

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 12:50 PM, <DRJVM@...> wrote:

>
>
> A little odd topic....Does anybody know what software you can program
> the AnyTone AT-5888 UV? I don't see it listed under Chirp.
>
> Joe
>
>
Joe,

The AT-5888UV is supported by CHIRP. You must have installed the v0.3.1 stable release (April 2013). Like many other new radio models, the AnyTone support was added after that time so you have to use a daily build.

Jim KC9HI

37905. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5B programing

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 00:06:43 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37897 Message: 37905

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 6:34 PM, <johnpnorth@...> wrote:

>
>
> Has anyone tried to program the UV-5B with current UV-5R+ software/driver?
> I can not connect to the 5B but no problem with 5R.
>
>
> Comments?
>
> John kf5umc
>
>
>
John,

The UV-5R and UV-B5 are different radios and require different OEM software. Links to each radio's OEM programming software is available on the Miklor.com website.

I you want to program both radios with the same software use the latest daily build of CHIRP.

Jim KC9HI

37906. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5B programing

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 00:16:38 UTC
From: <johnpnorth@...>
Topic: 37897 Message: 37906

Ok I got the software and now connects. I tried to upload a channel file I created with my other UV-E5 software but the 5B software only finds the file in All programs. I tried to load the file into the sw but doesn't fill in the boxes and doesn't load. I renamed the file to .pgm...no joy.

Looks like I have to plug all the freqs, tone by hand???

John

37907. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AnyTone AT-5888UV

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 00:37:36 UTC
From: mhoover406@...
Topic: 37873 Message: 37907

You have to download from the radio first and then it will be available for use.

Sent from my iPhone

37908. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 00:38:26 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 3682 Message: 37908

Roger,

Visit the Miklor.com website home page for the UV-5R and look for "Menu Detailed Reference". There will be links for the "Reference for UV-5R Menus" (.PDF and .HTML). This reference attempts to show which settings are global, per-channel, read only, etc.

In this case there are 2 places that STEP is used; VFO A and VFO B. The STEP setting in Frequency Mode (MR), although it gets saved, has no useful purpose that I am aware of. None of these STEP settings interact with each other.

The purpose of STEP is to mask the VFO frequencies so that only frequencies matching the STEP "mask" can be entered or scanned. Useful if you plan to scan a section of frequency spectrum where the channels are set at a spacing of 6.25 KHz, Set STEP to 6.25 KHz and only the frequencies on this spacing will be scanned.

Jim KC9HI

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 5:10 PM, Roger Waters <luv2cmwork@...> wrote:

>
>
> That worked perfect. Will that effect everything now? Or just that
> particular instance? I guess I'm asking if that is a global setting? Any
> disadvantage to leaving it at that, if it is?
>
> Thanks again!
>
>
> On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 4:04 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Yes, change the step size to 5 KHz.
>>
>>
>> ----------
>> *From:* "luv2cmwork@..." <luv2cmwork@...>
>> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> *Sent:* Thursday, December 12, 2013 4:48 PM
>> *Subject:* [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency
>>
>>
>>

>> I'm very new to radios and a new Baofeng owner. Very new. I'm studying
>> for my ham license.
>>
>> Obviously I have not transmitted on my radio yet, but I'm using Chirp to
>> program it and listen. I'm also manually entering frequencies.
>>
>> Here is my question: Our State Law Enforcement is using a frequency of
>> 155,565. When I try to enter that manually, it actually comes back with
>> 155.550 and when I try to do 155.685 it comes back with 155.675.
>>
>> I figure it's doing this because of the "steps"? Is that by design?
>>
>> Thanks for your anticipated reply
>>
>>

37909. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5B programing

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 00:50:34 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37897 Message: 37909

John,

The UV-E5 is a custom branded UV-5R. It uses UV-5R software. You cannot read and write the UV-B5 data files with the UV-5R software. The reverse is also true.

If you want to program both radios with 1 software package, then you must use CHIRP. You still won't be able to load a saved .img file from a UV-5R into a UV-B5, but you will be able to load both radios memory images into CHIRP at the same time and cut-and-paste between them or use CHIRP's export/import capability. Also, if you rename a UV-B5 data file's extension from .pgm to .img, CHIRP can directly read it.

And by-the-way, it is B-5, not 5-B.

Jim KC9HI

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 7:16 PM, <johnpnorth@...> wrote:

>
>
> Ok I got the software and now connects. I tried to upload a channel file I
> created with my other UV-E5 software but the 5B software only finds the
> file in All programs. I tried to load the file into the sw but doesn't fill
> in the boxes and doesn't load. I renamed the file to .pgm...no joy.
>
>
> Looks like I have to plug all the freqs, tone by hand???
>
>
> John
>
>
>

37910. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] priority channel

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 00:06:43 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37881 Message: 37910

From: Jim Unroe
> On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 1:42 PM, <bfdemtmike@...> wrote:
>> Is there a way to make one channel my priority channel?
>> If the radio is scanning and I key the PPT I want it to go
>> to my priority channel. Can this be done and how?
>
> There is no priority channel feature in the UV-5R/UV-82
> family of radios. There are several ways to improvise one.
>
> Like Chris mentioned, set display [B] to your "priority" channel.
> Select and scan the [A] display. Tap the [A/B] key to exit scanning
> with your "priority" channel selected.
>
> Similar to the above, if you plan on scanning the [A] display,
> then set the [A] VFO up as your priority channel. Then just tap
> the [VFO/MR] key to exit scanning with your "priority" VFO selected.
>
> Program your "priority" channel as CH-000 (or CH-111). Then to exit
> scanning a land on your priority press 0 (or 1) four times.

One thing we do need to note is that these radios cannot both scan and dual-receive (TDR) at the same time. If you have the radio in Dual Receive, it will automatically scan back and forth between the channels shown in the two displays - and you can use Menu 34 to choose how the radio chooses which display to use when you press PTT -- but the TDR function is disabled if you put either display into scan mode.

This is fine for what the original poster specifically asked but it does not accomplish what most people think of when we talk about a priority channel during scanning -- because the radio does NOT monitor the "priority" channel while it is scanning the channels in the other display.

Most modern radios that have a Priority channel function do two things:
- While scanning they check the priority channel between checking each of the other channels being scanned; and,
- While stopped on an active channel, they still check the priority channel very briefly at short intervals so that if the priority channel becomes active the radio will switch to it.

You can almost duplicate what most modern radios do for a "priority" channel, but you need to give up half your memory slots to accomplish it. By programming your "priority" frequency into channel 0 and all the even numbered channels, you ALMOST duplicate what most modern radios do by scanning the priority channel between each other channel that you scan. The one thing that it won't (CAN'T!) do is that it won't check the priority channel while it is stopped on another channel.

37911. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] priority channel

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-12 19:10:37 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37881 Message: 37911

It cannot be done.

From: bfdemtmike@...
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 13:42 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] priority channel

Is there a way to make one channel my priority channel? If the radio is scanning and I key the PPT I want it to go to my priority channel. Can this be done and how?

37912. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] priority channel

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 01:14:41 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37881 Message: 37912

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 7:06 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

> One thing we do need to note is that these radios cannot both scan and
> dual-receive (TDR) at the same time. If you have the radio in Dual
> Receive,
> it will automatically scan back and forth between the channels shown in
> the
> two displays - and you can use Menu 34 to choose how the radio chooses
> which
> display to use when you press PTT -- but the TDR function is disabled if
> you
> put either display into scan mode.
>

Good point, Xaun. I didn't mention TDR and TDR-AB because when both are enabled they pretty much inhibit the ability to initiate a channel scan in the opposite (non-priority) display. But I shouldn't have assumed that by not mentioning these menus that the OP would understand this.

However, it can be useful to scan channels while TDR set to ON and TDR-AB is set to OFF. While not scanning channels in the A or B display, TDR will scan between the selected A and B channels as usual. When a channel scan is initiated in either the A or B display, the channels with the SCAN_ADD bit set will be scanned but the TDR feature (ie: scanning between A and B) will be inhibited. Once the scanning function is exited, then the TDR function between the selected A and B channels will resume.

Jim KC9HI

37913. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-11 20:55:05 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 37795 Message: 37913

At 07:23 PM 11/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>At 09:56 PM 12/10/2013, you wrote:
> >At 09:48 AM 10/12/2013, you wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >Not to mention the simple fact that the range of
> > >2.4 GHz equipment is actually quite limited
> > >spread spectrum and frequency hopping workk
> > >quite well to keep local transmitters from
> > >interfering with each other, but the 2.4 GHz
> > >band is shared with everything from Bluetooth
> > >and wi-fi to microwave ovens and video
> > >relays. With power measured in mW, you canâ□□t
> > >expect to maintain control over any significant
> > >distance. Which is fine for most RC hobbyists
> > >because they generally want to watch their RC
> > >planes flying right over the field.
> > >
> > >At 72/76 MHz the power goes up to 3/4 W which is
> > >pretty good □ especially since analog TV is no
> > >longer cluttering up the band. With quality
> > >gear you ought to be able to maintain control at
> > >any distance where you can see the model plane,
> > >car, or boat. Generally the only problem is
> > >that there could be another RC transmitter on
> > >the same channel, but with 80 channels available
> > >accidental interference is seldom a real problem.
> >
> >There is also 29.7 to 30 Mhz.
>
>If you have an amateur radio license.

```
29.7 to 30 Mhz is not part of an amateur band.
Amateur band is from 28 to 29.7.

>Mike WA6ILQ
```

37915. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 01:32:35 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37795 Message: 37915

Give me the link that proves your point. You never back up your claims.

From: Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>

Gary, GMRS has changed over the years and the way you see it is wrong. You kept arguing with me about the UV5R being GMRS legal and it actually IS GMRS legal. The FCC does NOT specify radio brands nor any part certs for use. There is no specific GMRS radio anymore. If a radio carries GMRS freqs and has a detachable antenna and the power, It's 100% LEGAL.

37916. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Latest Firmware?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 01:34:12 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37871 Message: 37916

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 12:49 PM, Tony D <bigyun2000@...> wrote:

>
>
> Hi all. I have just purchased one of these and was wondering what is the
> latest version firmware so when it arrives I can see how old it is.
>
>
>
> Thanks
>
> Tony M0MTD
>
>
>
According to the Baofeng website, the latest UV-5R firmware version is BFB297. You check this by pressing and holding the [3] key while turning on the radio.

However, there have been additional firmware version updates that do not using the "power-on" method. The easiest way to see what these version these firmware updates are is by using a recent daily build of CHIRP. After downloading the channels and settings to CHIRP, access the Settings -> Other Settings menu and they will be displayed in the Firmware Message 1 and Firmware Message 2 fields.

As far as I know, the VHF/UHF firmware versions after BFB297 range from BFB301 to BFS311 (all odd numbered. The even numbered firmware version from BFB296 have been for the VHF/220 radio models).

Jim KC9HI

37917. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Latest Firmware?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 02:37:29 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37871 Message: 37917

BFS311 as I just got a few from China today.

From: Jim Unroe
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 5:34 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Latest Firmware?

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 12:49 PM, Tony D <bigyun2000@...> wrote:

Hi all. I have just purchased one of these and was wondering what is the latest version firmware so when it arrives I can see how old it is.

Thanks

Tony M0MTD

According to the Baofeng website, the latest UV-5R firmware version is BFB297. You check this by pressing and holding the [3] key while turning on the radio.

However, there have been additional firmware version updates that do not using the "power-on" method. The easiest way to see what these version these firmware updates are is by using a recent daily build of CHIRP. After downloading the channels and settings to CHIRP, access the Settings -> Other Settings menu and they will be displayed in the Firmware Message 1 and Firmware Message 2 fields.

As far as I know, the VHF/UHF firmware versions after BFB297 range from BFB301 to BFS311 (all odd numbered. The even numbered firmware version from BFB296 have been for the VHF/220 radio models).

Jim KC9HI

37918. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AnyTone AT-5888UV

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 02:47:03 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37873 Message: 37918
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Santa, will send the Chirp team a present if it can get a build for the MP900 Zastone version

Hint Hint

From: Jim Unroe
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 3:58 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AnyTone AT-5888UV

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 12:50 PM, <DRJVM@...m> wrote:

A little odd topic....Does anybody know what software you can program the AnyTone AT-5888 UV? I don't see it listed under Chirp.

Joe

Joe,

The AT-5888UV is supported by CHIRP. You must have installed the v0.3.1 stable release (April 2013). Like many other new radio models, the AnyTone support was added after that time so you have to use a daily build.

Jim KC9HI

37919. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AnyTone AT-5888UV

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 04:10:25 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37873 Message: 37919
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 9:47 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...>wrote:

>
>
> Santa, will send the Chirp team a present if it can get a build for the
> MP900 Zastone version
>
> Hint Hint [image: Smile]
>
>
Actually the CHIRP developers recently discussed the list for new radio support where several owners have offered to loan their radio to get their radio supported. I don't know if I have the expertise to do a radio from scratch.

I believe that I have been doing fairly well taking a radio that already has the basic download/upload and spreadsheet done. I that my own radio and map its memory to flesh out most of the remaining settings. The UV-5R, UV-B5 and KG-UV6D are examples of radios that I have either already owned or bought for myself to use for CHIRP development.

Recently I have been working long distance as a team with the radio's owner to add settings support without me ever touching the actual radio. The UV-3R+ and KG-UV3D are the most recent examples.

I actually had an exchange the other day with someone regarding the MP900. I've already downloaded the manual and programming software to see what I can learn about this radio. I would even entertain buying one. I would just like to see a few reviews (and maybe part 90 certification) before I would do that. The MP900 is quite a bit more money so I would like to make sure I winding up with another radio like my UV-B5.

Jim KC9HI

37920. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AnyTone AT-5888UV

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 04:14:26 UTC
From: DRJVM@...
Topic: 37873 Message: 37920

Thanks Jim

In a message dated 12/12/2013 6:59:31 P.M. Eastern Standard Time,
rock.unroe@... writes:

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 12:50 PM, <_DRJVM@..._ (mailto:DRJVM@...) >
wrote:

A little odd topic....Does anybody know what software you can program the
AnyTone AT-5888 UV? I don't see it listed under Chirp.

Joe

Joe,

The AT-5888UV is supported by CHIRP. You must have installed the v0.3.1
stable release (April 2013). Like many other new radio models, the AnyTone
support was added after that time so you have to use a daily build.

Jim KC9HI

37921. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AnyTone AT-5888UV

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 04:47:51 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 37873 Message: 37921

I understand the Powerwerx DB-750x is a remake of the Anytone AT-5888UV. Is CHIRP compatible with the Powerwerx?

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 12, 2013, at 20:10, Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...> wrote:
>
>> On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 9:47 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...> wrote:
>>
>> Santa, will send the Chirp team a present if it can get a build for the MP900 Zastone version
>>
>> Hint Hint <wlEmoticon-smile[1].png>
>
> Actually the CHIRP developers recently discussed the list for new radio support where several owners have offered to loan their radio to get their radio supported. I don't know if I have the expertise to do a radio from scratch.
>
> I believe that I have been doing fairly well taking a radio that already has the basic download/upload and spreadsheet done. I that my own radio and map its memory to flesh out most of the remaining settings. The UV-5R, UV-B5 and KG-UV6D are examples of radios that I have either already owned or bought for myself to use for CHIRP development.
>
> Recently I have been working long distance as a team with the radio's owner to add settings support without me ever touching the actual radio. The UV-3R+ and KG-UV3D are the most recent examples.
>
> I actually had an exchange the other day with someone regarding the MP900. I've already downloaded the manual and programming software to see what I can learn about this radio. I would even entertain buying one. I would just like to see a few reviews (and maybe part 90 certification) before I would do that. The MP900 is quite a bit more money so I would like to make sure I winding up with another radio like my UV-B5.
>
> Jim KC9HI
>
>

37922. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AnyTone AT-5888UV

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 05:34:57 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 37873 Message: 37922

The Mp900 is a copy of the Baojie which is a copy of the Yaesu FT8800, a copy of a copy of the copy.

Not sure about the Anytone, but would not doubt it.

FYI Yaesu moved there production to Shenzen area and Fujian province a few years back to save money on labor costs. What happened was the Chinese companies sprung up as competitors copying both Icom and Yaesu products. The Zastone ZT2R is exactly the same as the Yaesu VX2R . $275 vs. $100. Many examples of this can be found.

Rumor has it, some of these copies and companies have the backing of the CCP to try to unseat the Japanese dominance over the radio hi tech markets. I was told the UV5R was being sold at or BELOW production costs and subsidized by the CCP.

The same policies for Free shipping from HK which is subsidized by the government to dominate the sellers markets. It is almost cheaper for a dealer to buy single radios from some HK dealer with free shipping than to order using DHL with a large shipment and deal with MSDS forms, customs fees and delivery . The problem is the quality of some of the discount radios so a reputable source must be found.

Speaking of reputable, I highly suggest that you do not use alibaba or ali-express for sources of products as many scammers and cheats have fictitious companies to gyp you out of your money. Better yet stay away from any of the Chinese Ebay/Amazon knock off sites. We actually have to go in person or send a person to the actual physical address of the company before we order anything. It is that bad dealing with some of them over there when looking for new products or accessories.

I am careful and been gypped a few times already luckily for only a small amount. NEVER send $ via Western Union either.

From: Jardy Dawson
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 8:47 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] AnyTone AT-5888UV

I understand the Powerwerx DB-750x is a remake of the Anytone AT-5888UV. Is CHIRP compatible with the Powerwerx?

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

On Dec 12, 2013, at 20:10, Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...> wrote:

On Thu, Dec 12, 2013 at 9:47 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...> wrote:

Santa, will send the Chirp team a present if it can get a build for the MP900 Zastone version

Hint Hint <wlEmoticon-smile[1].png>

Actually the CHIRP developers recently discussed the list for new radio support where several owners have offered to loan their radio to get their radio supported. I don't know if I have the expertise to do a radio from scratch.

I believe that I have been doing fairly well taking a radio that already has the basic download/upload and spreadsheet done. I that my own radio and map its memory to flesh out most of the remaining settings. The UV-5R, UV-B5 and KG-UV6D are examples of radios that I have either already owned or bought for myself to use for CHIRP development.

Recently I have been working long distance as a team with the radio's owner to add settings support without me ever touching the actual radio. The UV-3R+ and KG-UV3D are the most recent examples.

I actually had an exchange the other day with someone regarding the MP900. I've already downloaded the manual and programming software to see what I can learn about this radio. I would even entertain buying one. I would just like to see a few reviews (and maybe part 90 certification) before I would do that. The MP900 is quite a bit more money so I would like to make sure I winding up with another radio like my UV-B5.

Jim KC9HI

37923. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 12:41:13 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37795 Message: 37923

I☐ll quote the FCC regs for you. Unless there☐s some other Part 95 paragraph which completely contradicts this one.

§95.129 Station equipment.

Every station in a GMRS system must use transmitters the FCC has certificated for use in the GMRS. Write to any FCC Field Office to find out if a particular transmitter has been certificated for the GMRS. All station equipment in a GMRS system must comply with the technical rules in part 95.

And there is no GMRS certification that anyone has ever found/heard on the UV-5R and variants (or any Baofeng radio for that matter).

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 7:33 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Give me the link that proves your point. You never back up your claims.

From: Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>

Gary, GMRS has changed over the years and the way you see it is wrong. You kept arguing with me about the UV5R being GMRS legal and it actually IS GMRS legal. The FCC does NOT specify radio brands nor any part certs for use. There is no specific GMRS radio anymore. If a radio carries GMRS freqs and has a detachable antenna and the power, It's 100% LEGAL.

37924. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 04:58:06 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37795 Message: 37924

Actually, Scott, the simplest check is the most reliable. If Emmett posted it you can be sure it is false (not simply wrong, but deliberately false)

From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2013 20:32 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Give me the link that proves your point. You never back up your claims.

From: Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@gmail.com>

Gary, GMRS has changed over the years and the way you see it is wrong. You kept arguing with me about the UV5R being GMRS legal and it actually IS GMRS legal. The FCC does NOT specify radio brands nor any part certs for use. There is no specific GMRS radio anymore. If a radio carries GMRS freqs and has a detachable antenna and the power, It's 100% LEGAL.

37925. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Poll results for baofeng_uv5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 08:40:09 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 20172 Message: 37925

At 08:01 PM 12/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>
>The following baofeng_uv5r poll is now closed. Here are the
>final results:
>
>POLL QUESTION: i have 2 uv5r do you?

That doesn't make sense.

37926. [baofeng_uv5r] New Poll for baofeng_uv5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 15:21:46 UTC
From: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Topic: 36643 Message: 37926

Enter your vote today! A new poll has been created for the
baofeng_uv5r group:

Which software do you use to program your UV-5R {and equivalent radios} (select all that apply)?

- RT Systems BAO-F11
- Baofeng BF5R_VIP
- Baoclone
- CHIRP Daily
- Baofeng BF5R_VIP for BFB291
- RT Systems BAO-E5
- Baofeng BF5R
- RT Systems BAO-5R
- Baofeng BF5R_ALL
- None (I program it using the keypad)
- Other
- CHIRP Stable
- CHIRP Live

To vote, please visit the following web page:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/surveys?id=2799744

Note: Please do not reply to this message. Poll votes are
not collected via email. To vote, you must go to the Yahoo! Groups
web site listed above.

Thanks!

37927. RE: Fw: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 17:29:14 UTC
From: <allonclark@...>
Topic: 37795 Message: 37927

I'm not on here enough to agree with that, but I do know that you (Xaun) know what you are talking about. In support of your understanding that these radios are not GMRS acceptable I submit this statement by Miklor to which I link below. If there was any way to reasonably interpret otherwise they would find it.

Note: This radio is not certified for FRS, GMRS or MURS operation in the US.
Consult your country's regulations regarding proper use and licensing.
http://www.miklor.com/uv5r/UV5R-FAQ.php#general
http://www.miklor.com/uv5r/UV5R-FAQ.php#general

~Al

37928. RE: Fw: [baofeng_uv5r] (OFF TOPIC) Radio Control

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 17:36:35 UTC
From: <allonclark@...>
Topic: 37795 Message: 37928

If anyone lacks the ability to scroll up, here is an easier link to the same page.
http://www.miklor.com/uv5r/UV5R-FAQ.php#certify
http://www.miklor.com/uv5r/UV5R-FAQ.php#certify

~Al

37929. Channel NAME programing

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 17:50:34 UTC
From: <zvisegal@...>
Topic: 37929 Message: 37929

How to program or modify channel name manually, without USB cable and S/W ?

37930. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Channel NAME programing

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 17:52:39 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37929 Message: 37930

On Fri, Dec 13, 2013 at 12:50 PM, <zvisegal@...> wrote:

>
>
> How to program or modify channel name manually, without USB cable and S/W ?
>
>
>
Can't be done. Programming software is required to do this.

Jim KC9HI

37931. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Channel NAME programing

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 17:53:10 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 37929 Message: 37931

I don't think it can be done without software. Check FAQs to be sure.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 13, 2013, at 9:50, <zvisegal@...> wrote:
>
> How to program or modify channel name manually, without USB cable and S/W ?
>
>

37932. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] New Poll for baofeng_uv5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-13 18:05:54 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 36643 Message: 37932

I love how Yahoo! completely scrambled the order of the items in this poll.

On Fri, Dec 13, 2013 at 10:21 AM, <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Enter your vote today! A new poll has been created for the
> baofeng_uv5r group:
>
> Which software do you use to program your UV-5R {and equivalent radios}
> (select all that apply)?
>
> - RT Systems BAO-F11
> - Baofeng BF5R_VIP
> - Baoclone
> - CHIRP Daily
> - Baofeng BF5R_VIP for BFB291
> - RT Systems BAO-E5
> - Baofeng BF5R
> - RT Systems BAO-5R
> - Baofeng BF5R_ALL
> - None (I program it using the keypad)
> - Other
> - CHIRP Stable
> - CHIRP Live
>
> To vote, please visit the following web page:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baofeng_uv5r/surveys?id=2799744
>
> Note: Please do not reply to this message. Poll votes are
> not collected via email. To vote, you must go to the Yahoo! Groups
> web site listed above.
>
> Thanks!
>
>

37935. Help - Unable to Read or Write to Radio after doing a Reset

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 03:41:17 UTC
From: <chad_walin@...>
Topic: 37935 Message: 37935

I just got my UV-5R a couple of days ago and have been playing with it. Today I setup the programming cable and Chirp. I was able to read and save the data from the radio with the changes I made while playing around. I wanted to get back to the factory defaults so I did a Rest All (menu 40). Now I get an error when trying to read or write with Chirp ("Radio did not respond").
Any ideas how to restore communication between the computer and the radio?
Thanks for any help.

37936. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 03:48:45 UTC
From: <plaisaeb@...>
Topic: 37795 Message: 37936

I guess this user group is a lot smarter today than it was months ago when I first started following the messages.

Since that time every misstatement regarding UV-5Rs and GMRS in this message has been debunked, discredited, and disproved several times over.

The fact that it has been generally ignored speaks volumes.

Ed
kb3tmi

37937. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Are there any Baofeng radios Part 95 type accepted?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 04:00:31 UTC
From: Ed Plaisance
Topic: 37795 Message: 37937

My message below was directed at Emmet Gulley's recent message...somehow
it did not get attached properly

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/13/2013 10:48 PM, plaisaeb@... wrote:
>
> I guess this user group is a lot smarter today than it was months ago
> when I first started following the messages.
>
>
> Since that time every misstatement regarding UV-5Rs and GMRS in this
> message has been debunked, discredited, and disproved several times over.
>
>
> The fact that it has been generally ignored speaks volumes.
>
>
> Ed
>
> kb3tmi
>
>

37938. RE: Help - Unable to Read or Write to Radio after doing a Reset

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 12:26:30 UTC
From: <jerryt0916@...>
Topic: 37935 Message: 37938

Check and make sure the com port is correct. some time it changes com port number when it is plugged back in to the radio. and make sure plug is fully seated into radio. Hope that helps. KB0VYA Jerry

37941. RE: Help - Unable to Read or Write to Radio after doing a Reset

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 15:02:49 UTC
From: <chad_walin@...>
Topic: 37935 Message: 37941

Thank you, Jerry. I did check that the plug was fully seated and the correct com port was selected. I even tried using another computer. Any other suggestions?
-Chad

37942. Any significant changes in the past 18 months?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 15:28:13 UTC
From: <rob@...>
Topic: 37942 Message: 37942

I dropped the good 'ol UV-5R and am thinking it may need to be replaced. Having purchased my UV 18 months ago I am wondering if there are new or "enhancements" or "undocumented features" that have cropped up. Also, has anyone had any problems using CHIRP to program the newer version radios? Thanks. Rob

37943. RE: Any significant changes in the past 18 months?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 15:40:31 UTC
From: <WU6X@...>
Topic: 37942 Message: 37943

Yes, the PLUS version is out with extended frequency coverage. $38 delivered!!! I don't use Chirp, but the new UV-5R ALL versions works perfectly.
Dennis - WU6X

37944. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5RA only receiving on NOAA?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 15:50:57 UTC
From: Gary D. Schwartz
Topic: 37846 Message: 37944

As mentioned, many repeaters are not active 24/7, but have bursts of activity.

Besides club and other scheduled nets, many are more active during commuting hours (one local repeater group calls this "the going in show" and "the going home show"). Otherwise, evenings from 7-9 local is likely to be more productive.

If you meet hams from a local club, you could set up a scheduled contact on a specific repeater with others. That was my first actual radio contact with the assistance of two members of my primary radio club; one with me explaining normal protocol, and the other at his home on the repeater.

If you do not have your license yet, you could practice transmitting with a licensed ham in the room acting as control operator.

If the original posters specify the areas they are in, more local advice could be forthcoming.

Gary
N1ZCE

At 09:41 AM 12/12/2013, William J Zaspel wrote:
>
>
>This is me, too
>On Dec 11, 2013 10:45 PM,
>"<mailto:penna176th@...>penna176th@..."
><<mailto:penna176th@...>penna176th@...> wrote:
>
>
>Totally new to radios, just got a UV-5RA. After reading the "manual"
>and some of the guides online, I am still only receiving anything on
>the local NOAA frequency.
>
>Everything else is all static, even local frequencies I know are
>very active. Can anyone offer some basic help to a new user?
>
>_

Gary D. Schwartz, N1ZCE
Needham, MA
Please reply to: n1zce@... OR n1zce@...

37945. RE: Help - Unable to Read or Write to Radio after doing a Reset

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 15:54:45 UTC
From: <WU6X@...>
Topic: 37935 Message: 37945

What operating system do you have on your computer? And, have you checked what COM port the computer has assigned? Note that USB ports are all assigned individually, so if you plug the radio into a different USB port it will likely NOT work unless re-configured. Check the assignment of the port in the Device Manager on the computer.
Dennis - WU6X

37948. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Any significant changes in the past 18 months?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 16:19:16 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37942 Message: 37948

What extended frequency range? I was under the impression the PLUS version was identical since it’s all the same hardware.

They use the same firmware too, same keyboard, slightly different case.

Main difference being the PLUS can’t take the extended battery without some modification.

Or is there more I’m not aware of?

There’s several of us on here due to get a GT-3 in the snail mail this week.

http://www.amazon.com/BaoFeng-GT-3-Transceiver-Dual-Band-Two-Way/dp/B00GCZVXPI

These are coming out of Hong Kong and won’t be Part 90 certified since they changed hardware. The weather resistance and the upgraded chips is what interested me.

I’ll be testing it some on selectivity and also the antenna that comes with it.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of WU6X@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2013 9:41 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Any significant changes in the past 18 months?

Yes, the PLUS version is out with extended frequency coverage. $38 delivered!!! I don't use Chirp, but the new UV-5R ALL versions works perfectly.

Dennis - WU6X

37949. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Any significant changes in the past 18 months?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 16:51:47 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37942 Message: 37949

On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 11:19 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

>
>
> What extended frequency range? I was under the impression the PLUS
> version was identical since it’s all the same hardware.
>
> They use the same firmware too, same keyboard, slightly different case.
>
> Main difference being the PLUS can’t take the extended battery without
> some modification.
>
>
>
> Or is there more I’m not aware of?
>
>
>
You are right Mike. All of these radios, back to the very oldest shipped in the beginning of 2012, have always had the 400-520 MHz UHF range capability even though they were labeled as and had the UHF band limits set to 400-480 MHz. Anyone can use the Baofeng VIP software or CHIRP software to easily expand the UHF range 400-520 MHz. Other than the case style, the + model is no different than any other UV-5R{something} that was shipping at the same time and containing the same firmware.

Jim KC9HI

37950. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Any significant changes in the past 18 months?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 17:43:37 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 37942 Message: 37950

Tom ND5Y

I just bought ones this week.
It has BFS311 firmware and the DTMF encoder is broken.
The [EXIT] key transmits "0" instead of "D".

Menus 36 RP-STE and 37 RPT-RL appear to do nothing at all.
I don't know if they had any functionality in previous versions.

On 12/14/2013 09:28, rob@... wrote:
> > I am wondering if there are new or "enhancements" or "undocumented features" that have cropped up.

37951. RE: Help - Unable to Read or Write to Radio after doing a Reset

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 17:56:40 UTC
From: <chad_walin@...>
Topic: 37935 Message: 37951

One computer is running Vista, using Prolific driver ver. 3.2.0.0. It will run Chirp.
The other computer is running XP SP3, using driver ver. 2.0.2.1. It will run Chirp and VIP.
I've been using Device Manager to verify the COM port in use.
I've shaved down the radio side connector to make sure that it is able to fully seat.
Using VIP under Other I'm able to do a Read and a Write to adjust the frequency range, but can not Read from radio under Program.
-Chad

37952. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Any significant changes in the past 18 months?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 18:05:28 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37942 Message: 37952

On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 12:43 PM, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:

>
>
>
> Tom ND5Y
>
> I just bought ones this week.
> It has BFS311 firmware and the DTMF encoder is broken.
> The [EXIT] key transmits "0" instead of "D".
>
The UV-5R typically has had an issue when transmitting the DTMF "D" by pressing the [EXIT] key while the radio is transmitting, the sidetone heard in the speaker (if it is enabled) has always been DTMF "0". This never affected functionality of the radios DTMF functionality, though.

It appears that Baofeng attempted to "fix" that anomaly and instead of changing the sidetone from "0" to "D" they got it backwards and changed the the correctly transmitted DTMF "D" to "0".

>
> Menus 36 RP-STE and 37 RPT-RL appear to do nothing at all.
> I don't know if they had any functionality in previous versions.
>
I don't think you will notice anything from these two settings unless the repeater you are using transmits a "reverse burst" which needed to trigger the STE function.

http://www.repeater-builder.com/micor/reverseburst.html

Jim KC9HI

37953. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Help - Unable to Read or Write to Radio after doing a Reset

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 18:34:16 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37935 Message: 37953

On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 12:56 PM, <chad_walin@...> wrote:

>
>
> One computer is running Vista, using Prolific driver ver. 3.2.0.0. It
> will run Chirp.
>
> The other computer is running XP SP3, using driver ver. 2.0.2.1. It will
> run Chirp and VIP.
>
> I've been using Device Manager to verify the COM port in use.
>
> I've shaved down the radio side connector to make sure that it is able to
> fully seat.
>
> Using VIP under Other I'm able to do a Read and a Write to adjust the
> frequency range, but can not Read from radio under Program.
>
> -Chad
>
>
>
The Other menu reads and writes a smaller section of memory. The
read/wright routines may even be different. This makes the process less
susceptible to noise.

When reading and writing from the Program menu, it helps to have the radio
tuned to a VFO or Channel with no activity or other interference. It is
also not unusual to have to initiate a read or write multiple times before
it will actually start.

If CHIRP works for you, then I wouldn't worry too much about the OEM
software (of course I'm biased).

Jim KC9HI

37954. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Help - Unable to Read or Write to Radio after doing a Reset

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 18:51:45 UTC
From: Chad Walin
Topic: 37935 Message: 37954

I have the radio tuned to a quiet freq. and have tried repeated reads, always getting a "Radio did not respond".

CHIRP and the OEM software both are not working for me. CHIRP worked once to allow me to do a download but has not worked since doing a Reset All.

On Saturday, December 14, 2013 1:34 PM, Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...> wrote:

On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 12:56 PM, <chad_walin@...> wrote:

>
>One computer is running Vista, using Prolific driver ver. 3.2.0.0. It will run Chirp.
>The other computer is running XP SP3, using driver ver. 2.0.2.1. It will run Chirp and VIP.
>I've been using Device Manager to verify the COM port in use.
>I've shaved down the radio side connector to make sure that it is able to fully seat.
>Using VIP under Other I'm able to do a Read and a Write to adjust the frequency range, but can not Read from radio under Program.
>-Chad
>
>

The Other menu reads and writes a smaller section of memory. The read/wright routines may even be different. This makes the process less susceptible to noise.

When reading and writing from the Program menu, it helps to have the radio tuned to a VFO or Channel with no activity or other interference. It is also not unusual to have to initiate a read or write multiple times before it will actually start.

If CHIRP works for you, then I wouldn't worry too much about the OEM software (of course I'm biased).

Jim KC9HI

37955. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Any significant changes in the past 18 months?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 18:56:22 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 37942 Message: 37955

There are several amateur and commercial repeaters around here that either have real Motorola or Kenwood phase shift reverse burst or drop the tone a few seconds before the hang time ends.

My UV-5R will not mute on phase shift reverse burst. Menus 36 and 37 have no effect on that.

It will mute on the 134.4 Hz turnoff code in DPL mode.
It will mute on the "Chinese reverse burst" 55 Hz tone sent at the end of transmissions by other Wouxun and Baofeng radios in PL mode.

If it is receiving a station with PL or DPL and the tone stops, The squelch remains open for about 2 seconds. Menus 36 and 37 have no effect. On my Wouxun (and most real commecial radios) when the PL stops the receiver mutes in less than 1/2 second.

Menu 35 enables or disables the 134.4 Hz DPL turnoff code and the 55 Hz Chinese reverst burst. Interestingly with menu 35 ON, a 55 Hz tone is there when you unkey even when the tones are all set to OFF. My Wouxun also does that.

Can you tell me exactly what menus 36 and 37 are intended to do? I have not see them documented or explained anywhere.

Tom ND5Y

On 12/14/2013 12:05, Jim Unroe wrote:
>
> I don't think you will notice anything from these two settings unless
> the repeater you are using transmits a "reverse burst" which needed to
> trigger the STE function.

37956. Keypad wear - solutions ?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 20:15:57 UTC
From: <andyfoad@...>
Topic: 37956 Message: 37956

I've had my UV5R for almost a year now.

I noticed that the keypad BAND button is prone to wear with the black coming off.

I've tried several solutions, conformal coating, nail laquer, clear auto laquer and silicone but nothing seems to be able to attach itself properly to the keypad surface.

As such, nothing lasts more than a day !

Has anyone come up with any long term solution yet ?

It's a minor point, but then I'm a perfectionist ;-)

73 de Andy G0FTD

37957. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Keypad wear - solutions ?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 20:35:28 UTC
From: Mike Black
Topic: 37957 Message: 37957
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

37958. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Keypad wear - solutions ?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 20:48:37 UTC
From: <zorbkzorb@...>
Topic: 37957 Message: 37958

Dip it in cider! :)

37960. How many channels?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 21:13:56 UTC
From: Jeff Newton
Topic: 37960 Message: 37960

Someone once told me how many channels that the Baofeng UV-5R can hold, but my memory has faded and could someone enlighten my memory on how many channels the radio can hold? Thanks.

73's -

Jeff - KE7OUR

37962. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 21:22:40 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37960 Message: 37962

On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 4:13 PM, Jeff Newton <saanpregion@...> wrote:

>
>
> Someone once told me how many channels that the Baofeng UV-5R can hold,
> but my memory has faded and could someone enlighten my memory on how many
> channels the radio can hold? Thanks.
>
> 73's -
>
> Jeff - KE7OUR
>
>
>
There is 1 group of 128 channels numbered from 000 to 127.

Jim KC9HI

37964. RE: UV-5RA only receiving on NOAA?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 22:13:40 UTC
From: <ke4hcq@...>
Topic: 37846 Message: 37964

If you know you have programed the local repeaters correctly, select one and monitor for awhile. You should hear the repeater ID squawk. Or, check it on the hour. The repeater may have an hourly ID & voice time check.

73, Ray
WX4PBC

37965. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 22:45:02 UTC
From: Jeff Newton
Topic: 37960 Message: 37965

Jim are both the A and B slots the same number of channel from 0 to 127?

Jeff - KE7OUR

On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 1:22 PM, Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>
> On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 4:13 PM, Jeff Newton <saanpregion@...>wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Someone once told me how many channels that the Baofeng UV-5R can hold,
>> but my memory has faded and could someone enlighten my memory on how many
>> channels the radio can hold? Thanks.
>>
>> 73's -
>>
>> Jeff - KE7OUR
>>
>>
>>
> There is 1 group of 128 channels numbered from 000 to 127.
>
> Jim KC9HI
>
>
>

37966. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 22:47:26 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 37960 Message: 37966

There is one bank of 128 memories that is shared between A and B.

From: Jeff Newton <saanpregion@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2013 5:45 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?

Jim are both the A and B slots the same number of channel from 0 to 127?

Jeff - KE7OUR

37967. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 22:52:18 UTC
From: Jeff Newton
Topic: 37960 Message: 37967

So, than it's a SINGLE bank of 128 channels between the A and B slots, right?

Jeff - KE7OUR

On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 2:47 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>
> There is one bank of 128 memories that is shared between A and B.
>
>
> ----------
> *From:* Jeff Newton <saanpregion@...>
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Saturday, December 14, 2013 5:45 PM
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?
>
>
>
> Jim are both the A and B slots the same number of channel from 0 to 127?
>
> Jeff - KE7OUR
>
>
>

37968. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 23:21:00 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37960 Message: 37968

Yes

On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 5:52 PM, Jeff Newton <saanpregion@...> wrote:

>
>
> So, than it's a SINGLE bank of 128 channels between the A and B slots,
> right?
>
> Jeff - KE7OUR
>
>
> On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 2:47 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> There is one bank of 128 memories that is shared between A and B.
>>
>>
>> ----------
>> *From:* Jeff Newton <saanpregion@...>
>> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> *Sent:* Saturday, December 14, 2013 5:45 PM
>> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?
>>
>>
>>
>> Jim are both the A and B slots the same number of channel from 0 to 127?
>>
>> Jeff - KE7OUR
>>
>>
>
>

37969. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Any significant changes in the past 18 months?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-14 23:23:24 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37942 Message: 37969

On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 5:03 PM, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:

>
>
> I have already seen those. They do not go into detail.
> They don't explain what the menu 36 and 37 actually do, or in other
> words each menu item has 10 settings and off. What does each setting
> actually change or make the radio do?
>
I would also be interested in hearing from anyone that could explain these settings. The only thing I can add is that looking at the OEM software, the menu 36 settings should be times 100 (ie: OFF, 100, 200, 300, ..., 1000). The menu 37 settings are also times 100 and in units of milliseconds.

>
> The programming manual and data sheet for the RDA1846 chip say that it
> is capable of CTCSS 120, 180, and 240 degree phase shift but apparently
> the radio manufacturer has not implemented this.
>
This I would not be surprised with. There are several menu settings in the Baofeng software that appear to be unimplemented in the radio.

Another thing to consider is which firmware version do you have. I remember distinctly in the past how someone was praising how the menu 36/37 STE function worked on a ham repeater that was built from a commercial repeater. It could very well be that this function has been broken on a later firmware.

One other possibility is that the radio that you are using has one or more corrupt bits that causes this function to not work. There have been many instances of this occurring this year that have caused unusual radio behavior.

After skimming through several other radio manuals, it appears that this is not a common feature for a Chinese radio, so I am leaning toward menu 36 and 37 are not implemented.

>
> Tom ND5Y
>
> Jim KC9HI

37970. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Any significant changes in the past 18 months?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 00:00:35 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 37942 Message: 37970

Mine is a BFS311 130903N. I measured the time that the the 55 Hz "Chinese reverse burst" tone is sent to be about 280 mS.

If a particlular repeater receiver mutes the audio within 280 mS or less if the received PL tone disappears and a carrier is still present, then the users might think it was decoding a real reverse burst phase shift when it really isn't.

Tom ND5Y

On 12/14/2013 17:23, Jim Unroe wrote:
> This I would not be surprised with. There are several menu settings in
> the Baofeng software that appear to be unimplemented in the radio.
>
> Another thing to consider is which firmware version do you have. I
> remember distinctly in the past how someone was praising how the menu
> 36/37 STE function worked on a ham repeater that was built from a
> commercial repeater. It could very well be that this function has been
> broken on a later firmware.
>
> One other possibility is that the radio that you are using has one or
> more corrupt bits that causes this function to not work. There have been
> many instances of this occurring this year that have caused unusual
> radio behavior.
>
> After skimming through several other radio manuals, it appears that this
> is not a common feature for a Chinese radio, so I am leaning toward menu
> 36 and 37 are not implemented.

37971. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 00:36:39 UTC
From: Jeff Newton
Topic: 37960 Message: 37971

Ok, that gives me the solid anwers! Thanks Jim, Ray and all...

73's -

Jeff KE7OUR

On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 3:21 PM, Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...> wrote:

>
>
> Yes
>
>
> On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 5:52 PM, Jeff Newton <saanpregion@...>wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> So, than it's a SINGLE bank of 128 channels between the A and B slots,
>> right?
>>
>> Jeff - KE7OUR
>>
>>
>> On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 2:47 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> There is one bank of 128 memories that is shared between A and B.
>>>
>>>
>>> ---
>>> *From:* Jeff Newton <saanpregion@...>
>>> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>>> *Sent:* Saturday, December 14, 2013 5:45 PM
>>> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Jim are both the A and B slots the same number of channel from 0 to 127?
>>>
>>> Jeff - KE7OUR
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

37972. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 05:03:17 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 37960 Message: 37972

On 12/14/2013 01:13 PM, Jeff Newton wrote:

Someone once told me how many channels that the Baofeng UV-5R can hold, but my memory has faded and could someone enlighten my memory on how many channels the radio can hold? Thanks.

2^7 = 128

37973. (no subject)

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 07:38:42 UTC
From: n9sxs
Topic: 37973 Message: 37973

I have a Baofeng UV5R HT. The programming software will only go to port 1. The cable requires a port 4 for it to work.

Port 4 is in my device manager/and that's what the cable needs.I am using a windows 7laptop.

How do I get the software to move to port 4? I have tried some obvious solutions like clicking on communications and changing the port. I have re-booted the computer so that the port 4 is recognized on start up.

There is something I am missing.

Thanks for any help.

n9sxs

37974. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Keypad wear - solutions ?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 09:46:23 UTC
From: <andyfoad@...>
Topic: 37956 Message: 37974

>The last time I checked, a replacement keypad membrane was $2 shipped. A list of UV-5R spare parts >and links to them is on the miklor.com http://miklor.com/ website.

>Jim KC9HI

Thanks Jim, but I have a bunch of them already ;-)
The problem is that I'd soon use them all up in a week if I wanted perfection.

73 de Andy G0FTD
https://sites.goo https://sites.google.com/site/g0ftdradio/gle.com/site/g0ftdradio/
https://sites.google.com/site/g0ftdradio/

37975. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 10:49:33 UTC
From: James PapawTommie Hinman
Topic: 37960 Message: 37975

A total of 128 channels combined between a&b

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
From: rock.unroe@...
Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2013 18:21:00 -0500
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?

Yes

On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 5:52 PM, Jeff Newton <saanpregion@...> wrote:

So, than it's a SINGLE bank of 128 channels between the A and B slots, right?
Jeff - KE7OUR

On Sat, Dec 14, 2013 at 2:47 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:

There is one bank of 128 memories that is shared between A and B.

From: Jeff Newton <saanpregion@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2013 5:45 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?

Jim are both the A and B slots the same number of channel from 0 to 127?Jeff - KE7OUR

37976. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 05:26:07 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37960 Message: 37976

Both displays show the same one bank of 128 channels (numbered 000 to 127). There is no splitting, combining, or whatever. The two displays themselves are totally independent but each one shows a channel from the one bank of memory channels. The two displays can show the same channel or different channels. You can choose either display to be active at any time, but only one display can actually control the radio at a time and only that same one display can be controlled by the keypad at any time. One display is active and the other display is simply sitting there doing nothing. The normal function of the two displays is that you can switch between them with a single button press to quickly change channels.

There is one special situation where the radio acts like both displays are being used. That is when you turn TDR (Dual Receive) on. When dual receive is on, the radio scans back and forth between the two displays, but still only one display is actually active at any time.

From: Jeff Newton
Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2013 17:45 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] How many channels?

Jim are both the A and B slots the same number of channel from 0 to 127?

Jeff - KE7OUR

37978. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (unknown)

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 13:58:09 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37973 Message: 37978

On Sun, Dec 15, 2013 at 2:38 AM, n9sxs <n9sxs@...> wrote:

>
>
> I have a Baofeng UV5R HT. The programming software will only go to port 1.
> The cable requires a port 4 for it to work.
>
> Port 4 is in my device manager/and that's what the cable needs.I am using
> a windows 7laptop.
>
> How do I get the software to move to port 4? I have tried some obvious
> solutions like clicking on communications and changing the port. I have
> re-booted the computer so that the port 4 is recognized on start up.
>
> There is something I am missing.
>
> Thanks for any help.
>
> n9sxs
>
Which software are you using?

Baofeng software

The following are the 3 latest Baofeng programs that I am aware of that would be needed to program all UV-5R type radios. I have all 3 installed here and use them on COM5 for my programming cables.

CPS v12.10.25 (non-VIP for all firmware versions)
VIP v12.07.06 (for BFB290 and older firmware)
VIP v12.07.25 (for BFB291 and newer firmware).

All three of the above support COM1 through COM16. Both the v12.10.25 and v12.07.25 versions attempt to detect the available COM port. The v12.07.06 version defaults to COM1. If you are using the v12.07.06 version and your programming cable requires a port other than COM1, you have to select it from the Communications menu every time you launch the software (in other words, the COM port number is not remembered between sessions). If you are using either of the other two and the wrong port is automatically selected, you would change it the same way in the Communications menu. I would expect them to choose wrong every time so you would have to do this every time the software was launched.

CHIRP software

I also use CHIRP here to program my UV-5R radio. The following is the latest version to have a change affecting the UV-5R family of radios.

CHIRP daily-20131025 (or later)

Under Windows, CHIRP detects and displays the available COM ports from COM1 to COM256. Once you select the appropriate COM port, it is remembered between sessions.

Baoclone software

This is a command line software that I have used with my UV-5R radio.

Baoclone v1.3

Baoclone will work with COM ports from COM1 to COM9 by specifying the port on the command line.

That's it for the FREE software available to program the UV-5R family of radios. So I don't quite understand why you think you are stuck on COM1. If the above doesn't help you sort out your issue, provide some additional details regarding you setup and we will try to assist your further.

Jim KC9HI

37980. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Keypad wear - solutions ?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 15:45:28 UTC
From: <opie_2_be@...>
Topic: 37956 Message: 37980

Jim Unroe you understand????

37981. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Keypad wear - solutions ?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 15:52:54 UTC
From: <andyfoad@...>
Topic: 37956 Message: 37981

Hi Jim,

I use mine almost daily.
I tend to find that it's only the BAND key that ever seems to suffer some wear, the other keys
are still like new,

At first I though maybe I'd just got a crappy keypad and I bough some spares.

Now I can see that they all seem to suffer the same issue. I've got a myriad of other HT's here
and none have ever suffered from this problem.

But it's minor, and the UV5 is such a great little rig that this issue doesn't make it a problem.

These little rigs are almost professional ;-)

73 de Andy G0FTD

OK. Now I understand. You can tell my 5R is mainly used for development work and doesn't get much use in-the-field. After 18 months of button pushing, my keypad buttons are still like new. I hope you come up with a solution.

Jim KC9HI

37982. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Keypad wear - solutions ?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 16:07:50 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37956 Message: 37982

On Sun, Dec 15, 2013 at 10:45 AM, <opie_2_be@...> wrote:

>
>
> Jim Unroe you understand????
>
>
>
Yes. I thought Andy was looking for a replacement keypad. He's not. He already knows about them and knows how to replace them. What he is looking for is a "tip" from someone on how to extend the life of these keypads because, for him, they wear out too fast.

Jim KC9HI

37983. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Keypad wear - solutions ?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 16:32:41 UTC
From: Michael DesChenes
Topic: 37956 Message: 37983

I've seen complete replacement keypads for sale on ebay.
On Dec 14, 2013 3:16 PM, <andyfoad@...> wrote:

>
>
> I've had my UV5R for almost a year now.
>
>
> I noticed that the keypad BAND button is prone to wear with the black
> coming off.
>
> I've tried several solutions, conformal coating, nail laquer, clear auto
> laquer
> and silicone but nothing seems to be able to attach itself properly to the
> keypad surface.
>
> As such, nothing lasts more than a day !
>
> Has anyone come up with any long term solution yet ?
>
> It's a minor point, but then I'm a perfectionist ;-)
>
> 73 de Andy G0FTD
>
>

37984. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Keypad wear - solutions ?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 19:16:11 UTC
From: <k4lja@...>
Topic: 37956 Message: 37984

Hey Jim -- Andy ..

Somewhere on this site I read a posting about applying a couple of thin coats of clear nail polish.

As soon as my UV-5R arrived months ago I coated all the buttons with the clear polish.

So far -- it's still working fine.

Thanks to all of you and Merry Christmas ---

Randy K4LJA in Monroe, Louisiana

37985. Baofeng Software Problem/Fail to Connect With Transciever

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 20:03:57 UTC
From: n9sxs
Topic: 37985 Message: 37985

I am unable to get the Baofeng programming software to find the UV5R radio.

Fail connect with transceiver is the error message.

The radio will transmit when I plug the program cable to the radio and the usb on the computer. it will go off briefly when I try to read from the radio. It fails and a few moments later the radio begins to transmit again.

I am able to use Chirp to program frequencies. I want to use the alpha numeric functions from the Baofeng software.

I solved the problems with the comport. That was fun.

Thank you in advance for any assistance.

Marv

37986. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Baofeng Software Problem/Fail to Connect With Transciever

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 20:08:23 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 37985 Message: 37986

What do you mean alpha numeric functions?

If you mean channel names you can do that in CHIRP too. It's the "Name" column.

If you don't see a "Name" column then check View/Columns to enable it.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of n9sxs
Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2013 2:04 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Baofeng Software Problem/Fail to Connect With Transciever

I am unable to get the Baofeng programming software to find the UV5R radio.

Fail connect with transceiver is the error message.

The radio will transmit when I plug the program cable to the radio and the usb on the computer. it will go off briefly when I try to read from the radio. It fails and a few moments later the radio begins to transmit again.

I am able to use Chirp to program frequencies. I want to use the alpha numeric functions from the Baofeng software.

I solved the problems with the comport. That was fun.

Thank you in advance for any assistance.

Marv

37988. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Keypad wear - solutions ?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 20:21:24 UTC
From: <andyfoad@...>
Topic: 37956 Message: 37988

That nail varnish idea was probably my message some time back.

I thought I'd cracked it but it soon wore off after hardly any use.

Maybe you get better nail varnish in the USA ? ;-)

73 de Andy G0FTD

37989. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Baofeng Software Problem/Fail to Connect With Transciever

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 20:44:00 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37985 Message: 37989

On Sun, Dec 15, 2013 at 3:03 PM, n9sxs <n9sxs@...> wrote:

>
>
> I am unable to get the Baofeng programming software to find the UV5R
> radio.
>
> Fail connect with transceiver is the error message.
>
> The radio will transmit when I plug the program cable to the radio and the
> usb on the computer. it will go off briefly when I try to read from the
> radio. It fails and a few moments later the radio begins to transmit again.
>
> I am able to use Chirp to program frequencies. I want to use the alpha
> numeric functions from the Baofeng software.
>
> I solved the problems with the comport. That was fun.
>
> Thank you in advance for any assistance.
>
> Marv
>

Marv,

When I did my testing earlier today to make sure that I gave the correct info about each software's range of com ports, when I change the programming cable's assigned com port number, my Windows 7 decided to update my driver to the latest available. When that happened, I get the code 10 error _AND_ my radio started transmitting. In Device Manager I had to choose the v3.2.0.0 driver again. I'd say your driver also got updated and you will have to downgrade it to v3.2.0.0 like I did.

Actually there are more "alpha numeric" functions in CHIRP for the UV-5R than there are for the Baofeng software.

For example, the channel names can be 7 characters instead of 6. CHIRP let's you choose from a wider range of alpha, numeric and symbol characters.

In addition to the Power-on Message, CHIRP allows you to edit the 6+Power-on message. And both can have a wider range of alpha, numeric and symbol characters.

Except for being able to preset CTCSS tones and DCS codes into the VFO, there really isn't anything that the Baofeng software can do that CHIRP

can't.

Don't forget that once you edit the channels name and power-on messages, you have to enable them using the proper menus. This is no different when using the Baofeng software.

Jim KC9HI

37990. uv5r software comport problem

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-15 23:02:44 UTC
From: n9sxs
Topic: 37973 Message: 37990

This problem is solved.

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "n9sxs" <n9sxs@...> wrote:
>
> I have a Baofeng UV5R HT. The programming software will only go to port 1. The cable requires a port 4 for it to work.
>
> Port 4 is in my device manager/and that's what the cable needs.I am using a windows 7laptop.
>
> How do I get the software to move to port 4? I have tried some obvious solutions like clicking on communications and changing the port. I have re-booted the computer so that the port 4 is recognized on start up.
>
> There is something I am missing.
>
> Thanks for any help.
>
>
> n9sxs
>

37991. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Keypad wear - solutions ?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-16 03:53:15 UTC
From: William Croghan
Topic: 37956 Message: 37991

I haven't had my uv5r that long, but some other radios I have get wear from the cases I carry them in on my belt. You might try putting some smooth plastic inside the Case on the side the keypad rubs. Don't know if that is the case here.

Bill

WB0KSW

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2013 8:08 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Keypad wear - solutions ?

On Sun, Dec 15, 2013 at 10:45 AM, <opie_2_be@...> wrote:

Jim Unroe you understand????

Yes. I thought Andy was looking for a replacement keypad. He's not. He already knows about them and knows how to replace them. What he is looking for is a "tip" from someone on how to extend the life of these keypads because, for him, they wear out too fast.

Jim KC9HI

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2014.0.4259 / Virus Database: 3658/6922 - Release Date: 12/15/13

37992. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Keypad wear - solutions ?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-16 04:30:57 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 37956 Message: 37992

I’ve had two UV-5R HTs for about a year and neither one shows any sign of keypad wear – perhaps because I DON’T stuff them into a case.
BUT if I were having problems with keypad wear I think I would take a replacement keypad membrane and coat it with several THIN layers of matte spray fixative which is available at any well stocked art supply store – it is a matte finish clear spray intended for use over charcoal drawings.

37993. Re: uv5r software comport problem

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-16 15:15:59 UTC
From: Roger Waters
Topic: 37973 Message: 37993

I've been using the CHIRP Live CD and have had some good luck. It doesn't always work perfectly, but has been pretty reliable. I've been able to download from, and upload to the radio pretty regularly. Sometimes I have to restart for the cloning process to work, which is a little frustrating. But it works well enough to keep using the Live CD.

37994. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Keypad wear - solutions ?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-16 17:39:16 UTC
From: Ed Cifelli
Topic: 37956 Message: 37994

I can appreciate where he is coming from. My band key is also starting to wear and I don't put it in a case. Actually I have been carrying it in a cargo pocket of my pants since before Thanksgiving. I can monitor for problems and go to even if I don't transmit. Here in the states we have a product called Saran Wrap, either that of a small piece of the material that is used to keep items together when they are stacked on a pallet. I believe it is called 'shrink wrap'.
EdC

On Sun, Dec 15, 2013 at 9:30 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

>
>
> I’ve had two UV-5R HTs for about a year and neither one shows any sign
> of keypad wear – perhaps because I DON’T stuff them into a case.
>
> BUT if I were having problems with keypad wear I think I would take a
> replacement keypad membrane and coat it with several THIN layers of matte
> spray fixative which is available at any well stocked art supply store – it
> is a matte finish clear spray intended for use over charcoal drawings.
>
>
>
>

37995. "Communication Fault" or "Radio Did Not Respond" Error !!!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-16 17:51:26 UTC
From: <zicobaby@...>
Topic: 37995 Message: 37995
Attachments: 4 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Hie,
I've recently purchased a Baofeng UV-5R handset and a USB Programming Cable.
Just wanted to program the device.

My Device Firmware Version: BFB297
OS: Win 7 32 Bit
Prolific Version: 3.4.62.293 (Tried with 3.2.0.0 version earlier)
<Screenshot Attached>

I tried to program it using CHIRP (Latest Build).
COM port was perfectly recognized.
When it try to "clone" the radio, it gives "Radio Did Not Respond" Error.
<Screenshot Attached>

I tried to program the device with Baofeng UV_5R_VIP Software.
It recognizes the COM port.
But, whenever I try to "Read from Radio", it gives "Communication Fault".
<Screenshot Attached>

I've browsed through this group, tried http://www.miklor.com/HT/HT-ErrorMess.php too. But couldn't solve the issue.

My USB cable goes into the radio perfectly and smoothly.
But still, I'm unable to solve the issue.

Please help me to solve it.

73's

Zico | S21RN

38003. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] "Communication Fault" or "Radio Did Not Respond" Error !!!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-16 20:43:34 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 37995 Message: 38003

Finally someone else who has the USB Port problem instead of the plug at the radio. Now and than I have to pull the plug part of the way out of the computer and also wiggle it some. A little strange side note. With a Baofeng BF-658 I recently acquired, the software always loads with the incorrect Com Port selected with thee correct one grayed out. After wiggling the USB plug my UHF base station will chirp a bit of static and now I can select the proper Com Port. But only happens with this one model.

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Michael DesChenes [mikedes156@gmail.com]
Date: Mon, Dec 16, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] "Communication Fault" or "Radio Did Not Respond" Error !!!

That error happens every now and then with me. I usually keep the cable plugged into the radio, but unplug it from the computer and replug it in, the try again, then it seems to work.

38005. So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-16 23:14:21 UTC
From: <TMarinello@...>
Topic: 38005 Message: 38005

My wife and I went out Saturday night, and I loaded a bunch of stuff into the back seat. Took the antenna off the car since I'd be going into a parking garage. Took off for the freeway, and after the turn onto the ramp, I thought I heard something on the roof. Was the mag mount secure? OK, fine, no more noises. Got up to 65 on the ramp and as I merged, I thought I heard something fall off the car. Or did I? Bothered me for hours - what did I hear? Looked in the back seat before heading for home. Nothing seemed missing. Halfway home, still playing back the events and noises, I thought, "My radio?"

Got home, wasn't in the house. A real, "Ah, sh*t" moment. Damn, damn, damn for the rest of the night.

Got up Sunday, walked the dogs, convinced my wife to be a lookout as I drove back onto the freeway at 40mph, with blinkers on. Fortunately, little traffic on Sunday morning in LA. After merging on, a few seconds later she says, I think I saw it. Got off, went back around, merged back on and when she said, that's it, I pulled over. I had an extension pole in the back, in case it was near some K-rail (no shoulder). Got out, dragged the black thing off the road and into the car.

Scratched and gouged, even the 771 antenna had some rough spots on it, but when I turned it on, all seemed OK. Worst of the damage seems to be 6 small gouges across the front and a small crack in the corner of the display. No edge seems smooth anymore. Haven't yakked a lot since yesterday, but all seems well. Getting ready to hook it to my outdoor 5/8 over 5/8 and give it a run.

Rugged little SOB. For those of you who said, I'll get this $40 HT, so I won't feel bad when I drop it and it breaks, HA! Mine survived a 65MPH fall off my car. As far as I'm concerned, I'm talking on a FREE radio.

38006. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] (unknown)

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-16 20:59:37 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 37973 Message: 38006

At 06:38 PM 15/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>I have a Baofeng UV5R HT. The programming software will only go to
>port 1. The cable requires a port 4 for it to work.
>
>Port 4 is in my device manager/and that's what the cable needs.I am
>using a windows 7laptop.
>
>How do I get the software to move to port 4? I have tried some
>obvious solutions like clicking on communications and changing the
>port. I have re-booted the computer so that the port 4 is recognized
>on start up.
>
>There is something I am missing.
>
>Thanks for any help.

I recommend CHIRP.

>n9sxs

38007. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: uv5r software comport problem

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 00:05:20 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 37973 Message: 38007

On Mon, Dec 16, 2013 at 10:15 AM, Roger Waters <luv2cmwork@...> wrote:

>
>
> I've been using the CHIRP Live CD and have had some good luck. It doesn't
> always work perfectly, but has been pretty reliable. I've been able to
> download from, and upload to the radio pretty regularly. Sometimes I have
> to restart for the cloning process to work, which is a little frustrating.
> But it works well enough to keep using the Live CD.
>
>
>
I think is it normal to have to restart the cloning process once-in-awhile
no matter what software you are using. I've been lucky, my programming
cables have always been very reliable. But while doing development, I will
upload to the radio and then download from the radio to see if the uploaded
settings were successful. If I jump the gun, the read will fail and I will
have to do it again.

Jim KC9HI

38008. Re: "Communication Fault" or "Radio Did Not Respond" Error !!!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 01:54:48 UTC
From: Jim DeArras
Topic: 37995 Message: 38008

I have the same problem with the Baofeng software. But CHIRP works perfectly.

Jim

38009. UV-5R OEM Drop-In Charger Base DC Input

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 02:56:01 UTC
From: <donmay2@...>
Topic: 38009 Message: 38009

Will the UV-5R OEM drop-in charger base accept 12vdc at its input DC connector? I know the supplied OEM wall wart provides 10vdc to the charger base. Is it necessary to buy the 12vdc auto charger cable to do what I want to do?

38010. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R OEM Drop-In Charger Base DC Input

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 03:37:05 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38009 Message: 38010

On Mon, Dec 16, 2013 at 9:56 PM, <donmay2@...> wrote:

>
>
> Will the UV-5R OEM drop-in charger base accept 12vdc at its input DC
> connector? I know the supplied OEM wall wart provides 10vdc to the charger
> base. Is it necessary to buy the 12vdc auto charger cable to do what I want
> to do?
>
>
If you are thinking about do this to charge batteries in your car, DON'T.

This will only work when the engine is not running. When the engine is running, the voltage will be closer to 14v. The Baofeng charger will shut itself down once the voltage gets just above 13v. This is because the IC in the charger does not have a heat sink and overheats.

You should either buy a 12v car charger cable with a 10v output similar to the one sold by Three Old Crows General Store or purchase the TYT BCG-F8 charger (which is compatible with the UV-5R battery) which is designed to operate at 13.8v.

If you already have a 12v in/12v out car charger cable, there has been much discussion in the group regarding dropping the voltage to an acceptable level. I seem to recall that diodes, 3-terminal regulators and buck converters (among other things) were discussed. You might try searching the group with each of them for search terms to see if you can locate the discussion.

Jim KC9HI

38011. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R OEM Drop-In Charger Base DC Input

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 04:14:43 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38009 Message: 38011

Very soon a 3 socket styled Car to Baofeng adapter will be out. The socket plugs into the car accessory jack, the three sockets are

1. straight 12 vdc for GPS or any normal car socket
2. A socket regulated with a 10 vdc socket to run to the uv5 base 13.8-12vdc input 10 vdc output at 1.5 A
3 A socket at 8.4-8.6 volts to adapt the voltage to charge the Uv5R extended 3800 mah side charger socket batteries

This unit will also include adapters to solar charge the radio running through a solar panel to a solar regulator ranged from 12 to 40 volts.

will advise and post a picture of them in the future.

From: donmay2@...
Sent: Monday, December 16, 2013 6:56 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R OEM Drop-In Charger Base DC Input

Will the UV-5R OEM drop-in charger base accept 12vdc at its input DC connector? I know the supplied OEM wall wart provides 10vdc to the charger base. Is it necessary to buy the 12vdc auto charger cable to do what I want to do?

38012. Re: So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 06:54:56 UTC
From: J. Zee
Topic: 38005 Message: 38012

we are anxiously awaiting the pics! ;-)

John - KE4HGR

38013. RE: "Communication Fault" or "Radio Did Not Respond" Error !!!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 08:57:27 UTC
From: <zicobaby@...>
Topic: 37995 Message: 38013

@R Pryor
Now I'm using driver version 3.2. But it didn't work yet.

@Mike W9MDB
I've bought this cable from ebay and they offered intl. shipping.
But none of the other seller you mentioned offer intl. shipping.

@Michael
Tried your method too.
But didn't work either.

@Jim
I'm considering the pin issue too.
Not sure, whether it is an issue with the cable or the radio.
But as I'm not in a position to get an alternate cable yet. Maybe I've deal with the programming cable.

If anyone has a spare working cable and want to give it away,
I'd request to consider my name first.
I'm from Bangladesh, where we can't get can't a device and spare parts/accessories without any hassle;
as there is no vendor who's selling ham radio and spare parts/accessories :(

73's

Zico | S21RN

38014. Re: So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 14:52:14 UTC
From: Marc & Julie
Topic: 38005 Message: 38014

Well. I used mine one day on a search & rescue mission in the winter. Getting out if a UTC in a parking lot my radio fell about 4 or 5 feet onto the tarmac and slid about 5 feet on the face side of the radio. Minor scratches but otherwise hasn't missed a beat. Just wish it were waterproof.

38015. Squelch issue

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 15:54:46 UTC
From: <maylandmanta@...>
Topic: 38015 Message: 38015

Hi all - I recently purchased a UV5RE which is working fine apart from a minor issue with the squelch.I have it programed with marine channels as well as others including the coastguard channel 156.000Mhz (Channel 0) and have it set for receive only on this frequency,however the squelch will never close on this frequency whatever setting it is programmed to.I know there were issues with the squelch on some earlier versions of the firmware but I have checked it and have the latest version available ,ie BFS311.If I program it with the step set to 6.25K so that it is slightly off frequency it is fine but obviously the voice audio is a bit distorted.Is this an inherent issue with these radios or am I missing something ?

Many thanks - Steve

38016. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R OEM Drop-In Charger Base DC Input

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 15:59:53 UTC
From: <donmay2@...>
Topic: 38009 Message: 38016

Thanks Jim. I've researched the thread and it's easiest to just buy the regulated car charging cable...Regards to the group. Don / N5DM

38017. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R OEM Drop-In Charger Base DC Input

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 17:11:04 UTC
From: <tenorman1952@...>
Topic: 38009 Message: 38017

Actually, I have one charging base that is marked 10 vdc, and that is what the wall wart puts out. Two others are marked 12 vdc, and that is what those wall warts put out.

Paul - AE5JU

38018. GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 18:08:46 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38018 Message: 38018

Cross posting this to the GT-3 group too.

Since this is a UV-5R compatible unit seems to apply here too.

My observations so far.

#1 Same poor performing antenna as what people refer to as the UV-5R "stock" antenna (it's the Baofeng UV-5R Logo antenna)

#2 Battery/charger is not compatible with UV-5R batteries at all - no modification possible that I see

#3 Speaker sounds a lot better to my ear (I have some high frequency hearing loss and I hear more low freq on the GT-3)

#4 Had to trim my FTDI cable jack as the radio case around the jack is a touch deeper than the UV-5R so the top pin wasn't seating fully

#5 CHIRP speaks to it as a UV-5R

#6 Firmware is BFS311 and reports as BFB297 on power up so should be fully compatible with UV-5R

#7 The GT-3 software has one more menu than the UV-5R for setting freq range otherwise it's identical that I see.

#8 The specifications in the manual are identical to the UV-5R.

#9 I'm seeing better FM performance on my UV-5R then the GT-3. Stations coming in weaker on the GT-3 with both radios using identical Diamond RH771 antennas. My experience contradicts Jerry's review.YMMV apparently.

#10 I'm questioning the weather resistance. I don't see a lot of difference from the UV-5R. The speaker grill is quite open and you can see the LED light through the microphone hole. The keys don't look like they are sealed to the case. I suppose they might've coated the board (haven't taken it apart yet to see)..that would be the only thing I can think of that might make it more water resistant than the UV-5R.manual/box says nothing about water resistant.

Software available here if you want to try the Baofeng VIP software

http://www.radioddity.com/downloads

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng-gt-3@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng-gt-3@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jerryt0916@...
Sent: Monday, December 16, 2013 5:13 PM

To: baofeng-gt-3@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng-gt-3] First review of the Baofeng GT-3 posted on youtube

A good review of the Baofeng GT-3 Here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Zap9N4XN6gc

38019. UV-5R PLUS vs UV-5RA

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 18:42:02 UTC
From: Al Manhan
Topic: 38019 Message: 38019

Hello all,

What is the difference between the UV-5R PLUS and the UV-5RA?

Also trying to check the Comm ports is not feasable with Vista and Win7
because they don't list Ports (COM & LPT) under Device Manager.
How does one do it?

Al

This email is free from viruses and malware because avast! Antivirus protection is active.
http://www.avast.com

38020. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R PLUS vs UV-5RA

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 18:48:26 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38019 Message: 38020

Don't' know about Vista.but in Windows 7 do:

#1 Start menu

#2 Devices and Printers (some cables list the COM port right here like the Prolific I think)

#3 Right-click device and select Properties

#4 Hardware tab

#5 Should see the COM port listed or you can double-click the Serial Port, Port Settings, Advanced, and see/select the COM port

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Al Manhan
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 12:42 PM
To: UV-5R PLUS group
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R PLUS vs UV-5RA

Hello all,

What is the difference between the UV-5R PLUS and the UV-5RA?

Also trying to check the Comm ports is not feasable with Vista and Win7 because they don't list Ports (COM & LPT) under Device Manager.
How does one do it?

Al

This email is free from viruses and malware because avast! Antivirus protection is active.
http://www.avast.com

38021. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R PLUS vs UV-5RA

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 19:09:11 UTC
From: John
Topic: 38019 Message: 38021

Hi,

In Win 7 look under "devices and printers in your start menu.

KK6FAD
On 12/17/2013 10:42 AM, Al Manhan wrote:
>
> Hello all,
>
> What is the difference between the UV-5R PLUS and the UV-5RA?
>
> Also trying to check the Comm ports is not feasable with Vista and Win7
> because they don't list Ports (COM & LPT) under Device Manager.
> How does one do it?
>
> Al
>
> ---
> This email is free from viruses and malware because avast! Antivirus
> protection is active.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>

38023. Chirp site overloaded?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 22:24:54 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38023 Message: 38023

I tried to check http://trac.chirp.danplanet.com/chirp_daily/LATEST/ for the latest daily build today, and saw:

HTTP Error 503: The requested service is unavailable

The service you requested is temporarily unavailable
The service may be unavailable because the server has reached the limit of the number of requests it is willing to serve in parallel. This number depends on the type of request you were submitting. If the document you requested was a dynamic document it may be that the application generating these documents is currently not running.

38024. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Chirp site overloaded?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 22:27:39 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38023 Message: 38024

Just tried it and it works for me. Might've been momentary.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gary Dunn
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 4:25 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Chirp site overloaded?

I tried to check http://trac.chirp.danplanet.com/chirp_daily/LATEST/ for the latest daily build today, and saw:

HTTP Error 503: The requested service is unavailable

The service you requested is temporarily unavailable

The service may be unavailable because the server has reached the limit of the number of requests it is willing to serve in parallel. This number depends on the type of request you were submitting. If the document you requested was a dynamic document it may be that the application generating these documents is currently not running.

38025. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 22:41:24 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 38005 Message: 38025

A true "waterproof" radio would add many dollars to the cost. A far easier method is to simply put the UV-5R in a Pelican case, which is available for less than $25.00 all over.

On Tue, Dec 17, 2013 at 7:52 AM, Marc & Julie <the_hutchins@...>wrote:

>
>
> Well. I used mine one day on a search & rescue mission in the winter.
> Getting out if a UTC in a parking lot my radio fell about 4 or 5 feet onto
> the tarmac and slid about 5 feet on the face side of the radio. Minor
> scratches but otherwise hasn't missed a beat. Just wish it were waterproof.
>
>
>

38027. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Chirp site overloaded?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 23:51:29 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38023 Message: 38027

Glad it's working for you and others. It's a persistent problem here, so maybe an ISP proxy issue.

On 12/17/2013 02:27 PM, Michael Black wrote:

Just tried it and it works for me. Might’ve been momentary.

Mike W9MDB

From: Gary Dunn

I tried to check http://trac.chirp.danplanet.com/chirp_daily/LATEST/ for the latest daily build today, and saw:

HTTP Error 503: The requested service is unavailable

The service you requested is temporarily unavailable

The service may be unavailable because the server has reached the limit of the number of requests it is willing to serve in parallel. This number depends on the type of request you were submitting. If the document you requested was a dynamic document it may be that the application generating these documents is currently not running.

38028. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Chirp site overloaded?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 23:56:44 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38023 Message: 38028

What if you try accessing it from this page:

http://chirp.danplanet.com/projects/chirp/wiki/Download

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gary Dunn
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 5:51 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Chirp site overloaded?

Glad it's working for you and others. It's a persistent problem here, so maybe an ISP proxy issue.

On 12/17/2013 02:27 PM, Michael Black wrote:

Just tried it and it works for me. Might've been momentary.

Mike W9MDB

From: Gary Dunn

I tried to check http://trac.chirp.danplanet.com/chirp_daily/LATEST/ for the latest daily build today, and saw:

HTTP Error 503: The requested service is unavailable

The service you requested is temporarily unavailable

The service may be unavailable because the server has reached the limit of the number of requests it is willing to serve in parallel. This number depends on the type of request you were submitting. If the document you requested was a dynamic document it may be that the application generating these documents is currently not running.

38029. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 01:00:36 UTC
From: Gary D. Schwartz
Topic: 38005 Message: 38029

Boating and paddling stores often carry a soft waterproof case for
VHF marine radios.

Antenna length could be an issue, but you should find something that fits.

Gary

At 05:41 PM 12/17/2013, Craig Carnahan wrote:
>
>
>A true "waterproof" radio would add many dollars to the cost. A far
>easier method is to simply put the UV-5R in a Pelican case, which is
>available for less than $25.00 all over.
>
>
>On Tue, Dec 17, 2013 at 7:52 AM, Marc & Julie
><<mailto:the_hutchins@...>the_hutchins@...> wrote:
>

Gary D. Schwartz, N1ZCE
Needham, MA
Please reply to: n1zce@... OR n1zce@...

38030. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 01:14:05 UTC
From: wombatsone
Topic: 38005 Message: 38030

My uv-5r has survived 12 months in a work environment. Dropped dozens of times, left in the rain, lives on a belt clip.

Only problem is numeric key pad is wearing.
For the 40 bucks I spent on this radio is money well spent.

Lyndsay

-------- Original message --------
From: "Gary D. Schwartz" <gary-yg@pobox.com>
Date: 18/12/2013 9:00 AM (GMT+08:00)
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

Boating and paddling stores often carry a soft waterproof case for VHF marine radios.

Antenna length could be an issue, but you should find something that fits.

Gary

At 05:41 PM 12/17/2013, Craig Carnahan wrote:

A true "waterproof" radio would add many dollars to the cost. A far easier method is to simply put the UV-5R in a Pelican case, which is available for less than $25.00 all over.

On Tue, Dec 17, 2013 at 7:52 AM, Marc & Julie < the_hutchins@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

Gary D. Schwartz, N1ZCE
Needham, MA
Please reply to: n1zce@arrl.net OR n1zce@averagebear.us

38031. charging the radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 01:55:02 UTC
From: <kg4lqz@...>
Topic: 38031 Message: 38031

When I plug the charger into my outlet. the charger at the outlet flashes red and flashes red at location to insert battery but when I put battery in, the red led stops but the wall part keeps flashing red. I see green color anywhere and now the battery is dead in the radio. I cannot locate anything so far that has helped me. Any help appreciated.

Chris KG4LQZ

38032. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] charging the radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 02:13:23 UTC
From: Stan Labinsky Jr.
Topic: 38031 Message: 38032

Sounds like the wall-wart, the wall transformer is bad.

Actually it’s too light to be a transformer based linear supply, more likely a switching supply, the flashing red light may be a fail indication.

Probably cheaper to buy a whole new charger, IIRC they go for about five bucks.

Stan

From: kg4lqz@...
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:55 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] charging the radio

When I plug the charger into my outlet. the charger at the outlet flashes red and flashes red at location to insert battery but when I put battery in, the red led stops but the wall part keeps flashing red. I see green color anywhere and now the battery is dead in the radio. I cannot locate anything so far that has helped me. Any help appreciated.

Chris KG4LQZ

38033. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] charging the radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 02:16:52 UTC
From: <kg4lqz@...>
Topic: 38031 Message: 38033

Thanks Stan,
Its a brand new unit maybe two weeks old or so. I will look at a new charger

38034. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] charging the radio

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 02:49:01 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38031 Message: 38034

On Tue, Dec 17, 2013 at 8:55 PM, <kg4lqz@...> wrote:

>
>
> When I plug the charger into my outlet. the charger at the outlet flashes
> red and flashes red at location to insert battery but when I put battery
> in, the red led stops but the wall part keeps flashing red. I see green
> color anywhere and now the battery is dead in the radio. I cannot locate
> anything so far that has helped me. Any help appreciated.
>
>
> Chris KG4LQZ
>
>
>

Take a volt meter and measure the output of the wall transformer. It should be ~10 volts or ~12 volts depending on how the the wall transformer is labeled. It it is below 10v, get another wall transformer. If it is OK, proceed to the next step.

Now plug the wall transformer into the charger base and measure the voltage between the left and right battery contacts. It should be ~8.4 volts. If it is more than a few tenths of a volt less than 8.4v, then there is probably a poor solder (or no solder) joint inside the charging cradle.

It slowed down quite a bit lately, but when the UV-5R first came out, the charging cradle was known for having a poor internal solder job from the factory. It is easy to take apart to inspect. If you have a soldering iron it is pretty easy to fix any cold solder joints. Sometimes the jumper wires between the board and the battery contacts are missing solder so you might need to solder them too.

If you don't think you can repair the charger base yourself, you could try to get it replaced. Just keep in mind that you can bury an OEM replacment for $5-$10 including shipping. Or you can get a TYT BCG-F8 which is compatible with the UV-5R battery (and will operate from 13.8v) for about $13.

Jim KC9HI

38035. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 03:19:44 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38018 Message: 38035

On Tue, Dec 17, 2013 at 1:08 PM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

>
>
> Cross posting this to the GT-3 group too.
>
> Since this is a UV-5R compatible unit seems to apply here too.
>
>
>
> My observations so far.
>
>
>
> #1 Same poor performing antenna as what people refer to as the UV-5R
> “stock” antenna (it’s the Baofeng UV-5R Logo antenna)
>
> #2 Battery/charger is not compatible with UV-5R batteries at all – no
> modification possible that I see
>
> #3 Speaker sounds a lot better to my ear (I have some high frequency
> hearing loss and I hear more low freq on the GT-3)
>
> #4 Had to trim my FTDI cable jack as the radio case around the jack is a
> touch deeper than the UV-5R so the top pin wasn’t seating fully
>
> #5 CHIRP speaks to it as a UV-5R
>
> #6 Firmware is BFS311 and reports as BFB297 on power up so should be fully
> compatible with UV-5R
>
> #7 The GT-3 software has one more menu than the UV-5R for setting freq
> range otherwise it’s identical that I see.
>
> #8 The specifications in the manual are identical to the UV-5R.
>
> #9 I’m seeing better FM performance on my UV-5R then the GT-3. Stations
> coming in weaker on the GT-3 with both radios using identical Diamond RH771
> antennas. My experience contradicts Jerry’s review…YMMV apparently.
>
> #10 I’m questioning the weather resistance. I don’t see a lot of
> difference from the UV-5R. The speaker grill is quite open and you can see
> the LED light through the microphone hole. The keys don’t look like they
> are sealed to the case. I suppose they might’ve coated the board (haven’t
> taken it apart yet to see)….that would be the only thing I can think of

> that might make it more water resistant than the UV-5R…manual/box says
> nothing about water resistant.
>
>
>
> Software available here if you want to try the Baofeng VIP software
>
> http://www.radioddity.com/downloads
>
>
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
>

For #7 you must be comparing the GT-3 VIP software with the UV-5R CPS software. When comparing the GT-3 VIP to the UV-5R VIP for BFB291 and up, the available menus and their settings are the same.

In any case, that for the observations. I'm glad to hear that CHIRP works as-is.

Jim KC9HI

38036. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-17 20:58:03 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 38018 Message: 38036

LOL! I love it when I am RIGHT! this is a uv5r clone

On Tue, Dec 17, 2013 at 1:08 PM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

>
>
> Cross posting this to the GT-3 group too.
>
> Since this is a UV-5R compatible unit seems to apply here too.
>
>
>
> My observations so far.
>
>
>
> #1 Same poor performing antenna as what people refer to as the UV-5R
> “stock” antenna (it’s the Baofeng UV-5R Logo antenna)
>
> #2 Battery/charger is not compatible with UV-5R batteries at all – no
> modification possible that I see
>
> #3 Speaker sounds a lot better to my ear (I have some high frequency
> hearing loss and I hear more low freq on the GT-3)
>
> #4 Had to trim my FTDI cable jack as the radio case around the jack is a
> touch deeper than the UV-5R so the top pin wasn’t seating fully
>
> #5 CHIRP speaks to it as a UV-5R
>
> #6 Firmware is BFS311 and reports as BFB297 on power up so should be fully
> compatible with UV-5R
>
> #7 The GT-3 software has one more menu than the UV-5R for setting freq
> range otherwise it’s identical that I see.
>
> #8 The specifications in the manual are identical to the UV-5R.
>
> #9 I’m seeing better FM performance on my UV-5R then the GT-3. Stations
> coming in weaker on the GT-3 with both radios using identical Diamond RH771
> antennas. My experience contradicts Jerry’s review…YMMV apparently.
>
> #10 I’m questioning the weather resistance. I don’t see a lot of
> difference from the UV-5R. The speaker grill is quite open and you can see
> the LED light through the microphone hole. The keys don’t look like they

> are sealed to the case. I suppose they might’ve coated the board (haven’t
> taken it apart yet to see)….that would be the only thing I can think of
> that might make it more water resistant than the UV-5R…manual/box says
> nothing about water resistant.
>
>
>
> Software available here if you want to try the Baofeng VIP software
>
> http://www.radioddity.com/downloads
>
>
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
> *From:* baofeng-gt-3@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng-gt-3@yahoogroups.com]
> *On Behalf Of *jerryt0916@...
> *Sent:* Monday, December 16, 2013 5:13 PM
> *To:* baofeng-gt-3@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* [baofeng-gt-3] First review of the Baofeng GT-3 posted on
> youtube
>
>
>
>
>
> A good review of the Baofeng GT-3 Here:
>
>
> https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Zap9N4XN6gc
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38037. Re: UV-5R OEM Drop-In Charger Base DC Input

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 06:58:07 UTC
From: pitblitt
Topic: 38009 Message: 38037

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <donmay2@...> wrote:
>
> Will the UV-5R OEM drop-in charger base accept 12vdc at its input DC connector? I know the supplied OEM wall wart provides 10vdc to the charger base. Is it necessary to buy the 12vdc auto charger cable to do what I want to do?
>
One more solution besides the TYT BCG-F8 charging base and the three old crows power cable for a car, is a step down power module. I found on e-bay a number of 12vdc step down modules to any number of DC voltages. I think I used 12vdc to 8.6 or so. I don't remember exactly but it works with a generic 12vDC power plug and the Baofeng base. It was only a few bucks but then you can use the Baofeng charger base. I think these modules are used in automobiles. It just may be easier to get the other options above.
Dennis WD6DSG

38038. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 05:03:26 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38005 Message: 38038

From: Craig Carnahan

> A true "waterproof" radio would add many dollars to the cost.

True

> A far easier method is to simply put the UV-5R
> in a Pelican case, which is available for less than
> $25.00 all over.

Three problems with this:

1) You can't use the radio in a Pelican case
2) You can't buy the sticker with the Pelican name for "less than $25.00 all over" -- Well maybe you could buy the sticker for that price, but certainly not a genuine Pelican case suitable for holding the radio.
3) Pelican doesn't make a waterproof case in a size really suitable for this radio. Their "micro" cases are specifically not waterproof and their "small" cases are much larger than the radio.

BUT you can get a waterproof flexible case designed to hold a VHF handheld radio so you can use it for about $15-30 retail (or $6-10 on eBay and other discount sellers)

38039. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 12:20:23 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38018 Message: 38039
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

>For #7 you must be comparing the GT-3 VIP software with the UV-5R CPS software. When comparing the GT-3 VIP to the UV-5R VIP for BFB291 and >up, the available menus and their settings are the same.

Actually Jim, I'm comparing the two software packages from radiooddity. The UV-5 series and the GT3.

The menus are different. The GT-3 package has an "Other" menu item which the UV-5 software does not:

But since it's UV-5R compatible with BFB297 should apply there too.

I imagine it's something SainSonic asked Baofeng to put in their software.

Mike W9MDB

38040. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 12:23:57 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38018 Message: 38040

Well.not quite a clone.a sibling maybe.since there are hardware changes it's genetically similar but not a clone or even an identical twin.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Emmett Gulley
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 2:58 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

LOL! I love it when I am RIGHT! this is a uv5r clone

38041. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 16:16:44 UTC
From: Kurt
Topic: 38018 Message: 38041

So, just a question. Do you really think that BaoFeng changed their entire production line assembly to produce a limited run of these new boards with a few updated ic's, or is it more likely that they just updated the production on all of their production line from that point forward to use the newer ic's?

Only time will tell.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael Black
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 6:24 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Well.not quite a clone.a sibling maybe.since there are hardware changes it's genetically similar but not a clone or even an identical twin.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Emmett Gulley
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 2:58 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

LOL! I love it when I am RIGHT! this is a uv5r clone

38042. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 16:53:10 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 38018 Message: 38042

It depends on several things. Do they actually make the boards, plastic and mechanical parts themselves or outsource them and just assemble and test the radios? How many lines do they have? How many other products do they make?

They could change stuff several times a day or week for different products. They could use the same pick & place robot for several different boards.

If all they did was swap out two ICs with drop-in replacements then all you have to do is put a different reel of parts in the pick & place machine, just like if it ran out of that part and nothing else would need to be changed.

Tom ND5Y

On 12/18/2013 10:16, Kurt wrote:
>
>
> So, just a question? Do you really think that BaoFeng changed their
> entire production line assembly to produce a limited run of these new
> boards with a few updated ic?s, or is it more likely that they just
> updated the production on all of their production line from that point
> forward to use the newer ic?s?

38043. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 16:39:13 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38018 Message: 38043

From: Kurt
> So, just a question… Do you really think that BaoFeng changed their
> entire production line assembly to produce a limited run of these
> new boards with a few updated ic’s, or is it more likely that they
> just updated the production on all of their production line from
> that point forward to use the newer ic’s?

Good question. But are you sure it would require a change of the entire production line? From the looks of the photos I've seen, the two "new" ICs look like they are drop-in replacements for the originals. Perhaps with a couple of component changes if they wanted to get the best performance out of the new chips.

> Only time will tell…

Exactly.

38044. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 16:59:28 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38018 Message: 38044

Since it should just be a matter of what parts they put in the bins during assembly I imagine they'll be doing whatever sells. Seems to be their main motivation.

So, for right now, if you need Part 90 or extra battery power you get the UV-5R.

If you don't need those things get a GT-3 if you want to spend the extra $$.

Not a world of difference that I can see so. Minor improvements and may behave better in crowed frequency space (purportedly better selectivity but the specs don't show it).

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Kurt
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 10:17 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

So, just a question. Do you really think that BaoFeng changed their entire production line assembly to produce a limited run of these new boards with a few updated ic's, or is it more likely that they just updated the production on all of their production line from that point forward to use the newer ic's?

Only time will tell.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael Black
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 6:24 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Well.not quite a clone.a sibling maybe.since there are hardware changes it's genetically similar but not a clone or even an identical twin.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Emmett Gulley
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 2:58 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

LOL! I love it when I am RIGHT! this is a uv5r clone

38045. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 17:45:15 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38018 Message: 38045

Just checked the specs on the new Baofeng website.

The GT-3 is claiming 400-520MHz instead of the UV5R's 400-480MHz

But I was able to use the GT-3 software to open up both the GT-3 and the
UV5R to 520MHz and the radios could still talk to each other.

So they appear to be the same on frequency range.

Mike W9MDB

38048. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 19:58:12 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38005 Message: 38048

We have the flex cases and you can hear and even transmit (reduced sound) without opening the bag.

Costs are around $15, the boaters love them.

The only problem is that the 771 will not fit, so you need a medium sized antenna we suggest using the SRH 519 ultra-flex from Surmen.

Very good package and it can also be carried by divers, if separated they can use the radio on the surface for emergency calls. We are looking at a modified version that has a water sealed plug and can be used with a water proof throat mic and sonic earpiece for 360 emergency use.

-----Original Message-----
From: Xaun Loc
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 9:03 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

From: Craig Carnahan

> A true "waterproof" radio would add many dollars to the cost.

True

> A far easier method is to simply put the UV-5R
> in a Pelican case, which is available for less than
> $25.00 all over.

Three problems with this:

1) You can't use the radio in a Pelican case
2) You can't buy the sticker with the Pelican name for "less than $25.00 all over" -- Well maybe you could buy the sticker for that price, but certainly not a genuine Pelican case suitable for holding the radio.
3) Pelican doesn't make a waterproof case in a size really suitable for this radio. Their "micro" cases are specifically not waterproof and their "small" cases are much larger than the radio.

BUT you can get a waterproof flexible case designed to hold a VHF handheld radio so you can use it for about $15-30 retail (or $6-10 on eBay and other discount sellers)

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.

UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

38050. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 20:12:16 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38018 Message: 38050

Mike,

The only thing I could see looking at the data sheets for the chipsets were some better FM radio specs (FM means the broadcast radio part on music/commercial bands receiver)

Some lower power consumption claims

That is about it actually, oh the LED is better.

Therefore, most are cosmetic changes with a few under the hood changes but basically the same thing. It is worth getting for those that collect these radios but for those thinking they will get something really improved, they will not really see a difference in performance.

I do like the two-tone look.

We take some of ours and paint them to change the look, which others can do too. We paint ours with military patterns, which can easily be done by Baofeng owners too.
So you can make the two-tone look with these radios if desired.

From: Michael Black
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 8:59 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Since it should just be a matter of what parts they put in the bins during assembly I imagine they'll be doing whatever sells. Seems to be their main motivation.

So, for right now, if you need Part 90 or extra battery power you get the UV-5R.

If you don't need those things get a GT-3 if you want to spend the extra $$.

Not a world of difference that I can see so. Minor improvements and may behave better in crowed frequency space (purportedly better selectivity but the specs don't show it).

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Kurt
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 10:17 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

So, just a question… Do you really think that BaoFeng changed their entire production line assembly to produce a limited run of these new boards with a few updated ic's, or is it more like ly that they just updated the production on all of their production line from that point forward to use the newer ic's?

Only time will tell…

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael Black
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 6:24 AM
To: mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoo groups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Well…not quite a clone…a sibling maybe…since there are hardware changes it’s genetically similar but not a clone or even an identical twin.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Emmett Gulley
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 2:58 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

LOL! I love it when I am RIGHT! this is a uv5r clone

38051. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 20:17:09 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38018 Message: 38051

No production line changes, exactly as you mentioned they just insure the latest chipset version is used and replace the LED with a better version/shape to prevent breakage and better light pattern. I guess the company wanted the best to go in their radios and looked into where changes could be made but utilizing the exact same production equipment. Only the parts where changed on the line. Complete retool of the line would cost too much which would be passed down to the buyers so simple component changes was a better choice.

From: Xaun Loc
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 8:39 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

From: Kurt
> So, just a question… Do you really think that BaoFeng changed their
> entire production line assembly to produce a limited run of these
> new boards with a few updated ic’s, or is it more likely that they
> just updated the production on all of their production line from
> that point forward to use the newer ic’s?

Good question. But are you sure it would require a change of the entire production line? From the looks of the photos I've seen, the two "new" ICs look like they are drop-in replacements for the originals. Perhaps with a couple of component changes if they wanted to get the best performance out of the new chips.

> Only time will tell…

Exactly.

38052. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 20:51:26 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 38005 Message: 38052

I disagree, my mobile repeater (two mobiles and a duplexer) originally came mounted in a Pelican case with an external antenna connection. Add antenna and speaker mic plugs to the case and you certainly could use the radio while sealed in the case. Probably not very practical but doable.

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Craig Carnahan [craig.n7lb@gmail.com]
Date: Wed, Dec 18, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

You are correct that you can't operate the UV-5R while inside the Pelican case,

38053. LED Light

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 21:10:01 UTC
From: Wolf Windshadow
Topic: 38053 Message: 38053

The LED light on my UV-5R quit working like only 3 or 4 weeks after buying it.... now flash forward to now, 7 going on 8 months later, and out for a walk in the cold night air of Wisconsin in December..... bumpped the button while stuffing the radio in my jacket after talking to someone, and it beeped as usual, but no light... I left it as I was almost home and would be shutting it off in a few minutes anyway.... someone else called for me and I took it back out, and when the cold air hit it the light flickered a few times then stayed on... it now turns on and off as it was intended..... maybe the cold did something?? maybe it was just luck???

Chad

"It is by caffeine alone I set my mind in motion. It is by the beans of Java that thoughts acquire speed, the hands acquire shakes, the shakes become a warning. It is by caffeine alone I set my mind in motion...."

38055. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 21:54:49 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38018 Message: 38055

This is exactly what they do, just load components on the same lines the uv5R is made. The difference is the plastics depart has new molds for the case changes

The robots on the production line do not need changing as the components are exactly the same just updated. No dimension changes or PLC reprogramming either.

I would bet that the new updated components will be standard on all future uv5R's when their older JIT inventory runs out. So it is a matter of time before they are utilized. I want to know though if the GT3 has better jacks in it? That is a huge reliability issue and a problem with the uv5R

I was a Process Engineer for North American Philips Display Components until they decided to move production overseas.

Generally, this effort requires only a parts bin swap for the components affected with nothing else required except possibly a updated Q/A bench test program at the final assembly and test line.

-----Original Message-----
From: Tom ND5Y
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 8:53 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

It depends on several things. Do they actually make the boards, plastic and mechanical parts themselves or outsource them and just assemble and test the radios? How many lines do they have? How many other products do they make?

They could change stuff several times a day or week for different products. They could use the same pick & place robot for several different boards.

If all they did was swap out two ICs with drop-in replacements then all you have to do is put a different reel of parts in the pick & place machine, just like if it ran out of that part and nothing else would need to be changed.

Tom ND5Y

On 12/18/2013 10:16, Kurt wrote:
>
>
> So, just a question? Do you really think that BaoFeng changed their
> entire production line assembly to produce a limited run of these new

> boards with a few updated ic?s, or is it more likely that they just
> updated the production on all of their production line from that point
> forward to use the newer ic?s?

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

38056. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 22:18:58 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38018 Message: 38056
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Kurt,

I think they were going to change components to the new versions when old stock is exhausted. The GT3 designers wanted strictly their radios to all come with the improved versions. I bet you standard uv5R will start coming mixed with new and old components as they exhaust them or/unless they can get discounted supplies of old chips on reorder until those are exhausted.

That means in the future all uv5 will have the exact same type components as the Gt3 minus the case changes

Also today a brand new version of the uv5R came out called ZT-5R I am trying find out if the chipsets are newer or not. This has no difference in case style or cosmetics only the name change. Price of them is pending just got called last night from China about them.

Also my mother-in law will be visiting and I am going to get her involved in this so it is possible some new things might be coming out. I just received the first prototypes of the Uv5R roll up solar charging mats 7W output portable units. (They can be used for other units too ipads, iphones)

These just say Uv5R on them I am still waiting for the 3 way Car adapters to come in that will interface with a car accessory socket, or the solar matts providing
these outputs

1. 12vdc
2. 10 vdc (uv5R base)
3. 8.4 vdc (uv5R extended battery 3800 sockets)

You will then see a 100% off the grid capability for the uv5R (or other radios)

Users/owners can charge AA AAA iphones/ipads (any cell phone) and all uv5R batteries with bases or no bases using this setup so technically as long as there is sunlight the radios will be charged and operational.

More details coming as I just got them yesterday so only looked them over, will test next but waiting on the car accessory item, which I will probably just make one for testing. Heat and current regulation along with charge times are my concern.

pictures pending.

.

From: Kurt
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 8:16 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

So, just a question… Do you really think that BaoFeng changed their entire production line assembly to produce a limited run of these new boards with a few updated ic’s, or is it more likely that they just updated the production on all of their production line from that point forward to use the newer ic’s?

Only time will tell…

From: baofeng_uv5r@yaho ogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael Black
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 6:24 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Well…not quite a clone…a sibling maybe…since there are hardware changes it’s genetically similar but not a clone or even an identical twin.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Emmett Gulley
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 2:58 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

LOL! I love it when I am RIGHT! this is a uv5r clone

38057. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 20:16:33 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 38018 Message: 38057

If it's got Uv5r capabilities, it's a clone. there are no hardware changes
except for the outside case.

On Wed, Dec 18, 2013 at 7:23 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

>
>
> Well…not quite a clone…a sibling maybe…since there are hardware changes
> it’s genetically similar but not a clone or even an identical twin.
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> *On Behalf Of *Emmett Gulley
> *Sent:* Tuesday, December 17, 2013 2:58 PM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3
>
>
>
>
>
> LOL! I love it when I am RIGHT! this is a uv5r clone
>
>
>
>
>

38058. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-18 23:17:01 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 38018 Message: 38058
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

If these radios were co-produced by sain sonic, my guess is that this is not a perm change at all. Radioditty is the exclusive and only seller for the gt 3's

On Wed, Dec 18, 2013 at 5:18 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@cros.net>wrote:

>
>
> Kurt,
>
> I think they were going to change components to the new versions when old
> stock is exhausted. The GT3 designers wanted strictly their radios to all
> come with the improved versions. I bet you standard uv5R will start coming
> mixed with new and old components as they exhaust them or/unless they can
> get discounted supplies of old chips on reorder until those are exhausted.
>
> That means in the future all uv5 will have the exact same type components
> as the Gt3 minus the case changes
>
> Also today a brand new version of the uv5R came out called ZT-5R I am
> trying find out if the chipsets are newer or not. This has no difference
> in case style or cosmetics only the name change. Price of them is pending
> just got called last night from China about them.
>
> Also my mother-in law will be visiting and I am going to get her involved
> in this so it is possible some new things might be coming out. I just
> received the first prototypes of the Uv5R roll up solar charging mats 7W
> output portable units. (They can be used for other units too ipads,
> iphones)
>
> These just say Uv5R on them [image: Smile] I am still waiting for the
> 3 way Car adapters to come in that will interface with a car accessory
> socket, or the solar matts providing
> these outputs
>
> 1. 12vdc
> 2. 10 vdc (uv5R base)
> 3. 8.4 vdc (uv5R extended battery 3800 sockets)
>
> You will then see a 100% off the grid capability for the uv5R (or other
> radios)
>
> Users/owners can charge AA AAA iphones/ipads (any cell phone) and all
> uv5R batteries with bases or no bases using this setup so technically as

> long as there is sunlight the radios will be charged and operational.
>
> More details coming as I just got them yesterday so only looked them over,
> will test next but waiting on the car accessory item, which I will probably
> just make one for testing. Heat and current regulation along with charge
> times are my concern.
>
> pictures pending.
>
>
>
>
>
> .
>
>
>
> *From:* Kurt <mooneyjockey@...>
> *Sent:* Wednesday, December 18, 2013 8:16 AM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3
>
>
>
> So, just a question… Do you really think that BaoFeng changed their
> entire production line assembly to produce a limited run of these new
> boards with a few updated ic’s, or is it more likely that they just updated
> the production on all of their production line from that point forward to
> use the newer ic’s?
>
> Only time will tell…
>
> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@yaho ogroups.com [mailto:
> baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] *On Behalf Of *Michael Black
>
> *Sent:* Wednesday, December 18, 2013 6:24 AM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3
>
>
>
> Well…not quite a clone…a sibling maybe…since there are hardware changes
> it’s genetically similar but not a clone or even an identical twin.
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@...m [
> mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>] *On
> Behalf Of *Emmett Gulley
> *Sent:* Tuesday, December 17, 2013 2:58 PM

> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3
>
>
>
> LOL! I love it when I am RIGHT! this is a uv5r clone
>
>
>

38059. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 01:11:55 UTC
From: Bob Holmes
Topic: 38018 Message: 38059

Will the GT3 use the same programming cable as the UV-5R and can it be programmed via CHIRP? Thank you very much!

73
Bob ~ N7SGM

On Wednesday, December 18, 2013 4:20 PM, Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...> wrote:

If these radios were co-produced by sain sonic, my guess is that this is not a perm change at all. Radioditty is the exclusive and only seller for the gt 3's

On Wed, Dec 18, 2013 at 5:18 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...> wrote:

>
>Kurt,
>
>I think they were going to change components to the new versions when old
stock is exhausted. The GT3 designers wanted strictly their radios
to all come with the improved versions. I bet you standard uv5R will start
coming mixed with new and old components as they exhaust them or/unless they can
get discounted supplies of old chips on reorder until those are exhausted.
>
>That means in the future all uv5 will have the exact same type components
as the Gt3 minus the case changes
>
>Also today a brand new version of the uv5R came out called ZT-5R I am
trying find out if the chipsets are newer or not. This has no difference
in case style or cosmetics only the name change. Price of them is pending
just got called last night from China about them.
>
>Also my mother-in law will be visiting and I am going to get her involved
in this so it is possible some new things might be coming out. I just
received the first prototypes of the Uv5R roll up solar charging
mats 7W output portable units. (They can be used for other units too
ipads, iphones)
>
>These just say Uv5R on them
I am still waiting for the 3 way Car adapters to come in that will interface
with a car accessory socket, or the solar matts providing
>these outputs
>
>1. 12vdc
>2. 10 vdc (uv5R base)
>3. 8.4 vdc (uv5R extended battery 3800 sockets)
>
>You will then see a 100% off the grid capability for the uv5R (or other

radios)
>
>Users/owners can charge AA AAA iphones/ipads (any cell
phone) and all uv5R batteries with bases or no bases using this setup so
technically as long as there is sunlight the radios will be charged and
operational.
>
>More details coming as I just got them yesterday so only looked them over,
will test next but waiting on the car accessory item, which I will probably just
make one for testing. Heat and current regulation along with charge times
are my concern.
>
>pictures pending.
>
>
>
>
>
>.
>
>
>
>
>From: Kurt
>Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 8:16 AM
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3
>
>
>So, just a question… Do you
really think that BaoFeng changed their entire production line assembly to
produce a limited run of these new boards with a few updated ic’s, or is it more
likely that they just updated the production on all of their production line
from that point forward to use the newer ic’s?
>Only time will
tell…
>From:baofeng_uv5r@yaho ogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Michael
Black
>
>Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 6:24 AM
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r]
GT-3
>
>
>Well…not quite
a clone…a sibling maybe…since there are hardware changes it’s genetically
similar but not a clone or even an identical twin.
>Mike

W9MDB
>From:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Emmett Gulley
>Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013
2:58 PM
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3
>
>LOL! I love it when I am RIGHT! this is a uv5r
clone

38060. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] LED Light

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 01:43:01 UTC
From: David Cole
Topic: 38053 Message: 38060

The Chinese fixed it remotely... They "knew" it had an issue...
--
Thanks and 73's,
For equipment, and software setups and reviews see:
www.nk7z.net
for MixW support see;
http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/mixw/info
for Dopplergram information see:
http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/dopplergram/info
for MM-SSTV see:
http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/MM-SSTV/info

On Wed, 2013-12-18 at 16:33 -0500, John LaMartina wrote:
>
> The uv5r always proves to be an adventure. :-)
> John . . . www.miklor.com/UV5R
>
> On Dec 18, 2013, at 4:10 PM, Wolf Windshadow
> <WolfWindshadow@...> wrote:
>
>
> >
> > The LED light on my UV-5R quit working like only 3 or 4 weeks after
> > buying it.... now flash forward to now, 7 going on 8 months later,
> > and out for a walk in the cold night air of Wisconsin in
> > December..... bumpped the button while stuffing the radio in my
> > jacket after talking to someone, and it beeped as usual, but no
> > light... I left it as I was almost home and would be shutting it off
> > in a few minutes anyway.... someone else called for me and I took
> > it back out, and when the cold air hit it the light flickered a few
> > times then stayed on... it now turns on and off as it was
> > intended..... maybe the cold did something?? maybe it was just
> > luck???
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Chad
> >
> > "It is by caffeine alone I set my mind in motion. It is by the beans
> > of Java that thoughts acquire speed, the hands acquire shakes, the
> > shakes become a warning. It is by caffeine alone I set my mind in
> > motion...."
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

38061. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 05:36:32 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38005 Message: 38061

From: Craig Carnahan
>
> Xuan,
>
> You are correct

Of course

> According to the genuine Pelican tag on the case,
> it's water resistant, dustproof, crushproof, and
> comes with a lifetime warranty from Pelican.

But Pelican "micro" cases are NOT water proof

Some vendors make misleading claims such as "Micro Cases are excellent for trips or outdoor activities when you need to protect your valuables from water, falls, knocks, sun, atmospheric changes, etc. and they are small enough to fit into luggage, bags, glove compartments, other Pelican Protector Cases."

BUT Pelican themselves is quite clear: "NOT FOR SWIMMING OR SUBMERGING"

> As to your last point, yes you can buy what amounts to a
> clear see though "baggie" to hold your radio. That would
> certainly be suitable to use while outside in the rain, I just
> chose to use something far more sturdy to protect the
> radio than a "baggie".

You can store your radio inside a metal ammo can (the size that the Army uses to hold 200 rounds of linked 7.62mm machinegun ammo works great - and it really is waterproof) but just like the overpriced Pelican cases, you can't USE the radio inside such a box.

The bottom line is that there are a myriad of secure boxes that you can STORE a radio inside to protect it -- but none of them let you use the radio while it is protected. If you want to protect the radio while using it, your choices are the good flexible bags or the cheaper thinner flexible bags.

38062. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: So, just how rugged is a Baofeng radio?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 05:40:59 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38005 Message: 38062

From: Kurt
> Try this link http://www.bhphotovideo.com/c/product/257859-REG/Pelican_1030_025_110_1030_Micro_Case_Solid.html

That would be:
1030 Micro Case
Interior Dimensions:
6.37" x 2.62" x 2.06" (16.2 x 6.7 x 5.2 cm)
GREAT FOR RUGGED SPORTS - NOT FOR SWIMMING OR SUBMERGING
Available in clear with color liner or solid
Easy open latch
Rubber liner for extra protection doubles as o-ring seal
Automatic Pressure Equalization Valve - balances interior pressure, keeps water out
Stainless steel hardware
Carabiner
Lifetime Guarantee of Excellence
Water resistant, crushproof, and dust proof

38063. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 13:16:55 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38018 Message: 38063

Well Emmet, sorry to say there are hardware changes. That's why the new model# and not just a UV-5RXXX.

UV-5R has:

1846 RFIC

5802E Power Amp

TDA822 Freq-Modulated Rx (? Not sure of this chip#)

GT-3 has:

1846S RF IC

TDA2822L Freq-Modulated Rx

5802N Power Amp

It may be software compatible but it isn't hardware identical. So no Part 90 for this puppy yet.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Emmett Gulley
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 2:17 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

If it's got Uv5r capabilities, it's a clone. there are no hardware changes except for the outside case.

On Wed, Dec 18, 2013 at 7:23 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

Well.not quite a clone.a sibling maybe.since there are hardware changes it's genetically similar but not a clone or even an identical twin.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Emmett Gulley
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 2:58 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

LOL! I love it when I am RIGHT! this is a uv5r clone

38064. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 13:24:53 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38018 Message: 38064

Yes…identical firmware (as stated by Baofeng reporting BFB297) so fully software compatible with the UV-5R.

And the programming cables work with any Kenwood-style connector which covers all Baofeng radios that I know of.

The cable has nothing to do with the radio itself (other than the connector being compatible).

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob Holmes
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 7:12 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Will the GT3 use the same programming cable as the UV-5R and can it be programmed via CHIRP? Thank you very much!

73
Bob ~ N7SGM

On Wednesday, December 18, 2013 4:20 PM, Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...> wrote:

If these radios were co-produced by sain sonic, my guess is that this is not a perm change at all. Radioditty is the exclusive and only seller for the gt 3's

On Wed, Dec 18, 2013 at 5:18 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...> wrote:

Kurt,

I think they were going to change components to the new versions when old stock is exhausted. The GT3 designers wanted strictly their radios to all come with the improved versions. I bet you standard uv5R will start coming mixed with new and old components as they exhaust them or/unless they can get discounted supplies of old chips on reorder until those are exhausted.

That means in the future all uv5 will have the exact same type components as the Gt3 minus the case changes

Also today a brand new version of the uv5R came out called ZT-5R I am trying find out if the chipsets are newer or not. This has no difference in case style or cosmetics only the name change. Price of them is pending just got called last night from China about them.

Also my mother-in law will be visiting and I am going to get her involved in this so it is possible some new things might be coming out. I just received the first prototypes of the Uv5R roll up solar charging mats 7W output portable units. (They can be used for other

units too ipads, iphones)

These just say Uv5R on them I am still waiting for the 3 way Car adapters to come in that will interface with a car accessory socket, or the solar matts providing

these outputs

1. 12vdc

2. 10 vdc (uv5R base)

3. 8.4 vdc (uv5R extended battery 3800 sockets)

You will then see a 100% off the grid capability for the uv5R (or other radios)

Users/owners can charge AA AAA iphones/ipads (any cell phone) and all uv5R batteries with bases or no bases using this setup so technically as long as there is sunlight the radios will be charged and operational.

More details coming as I just got them yesterday so only looked them over, will test next but waiting on the car accessory item, which I will probably just make one for testing. Heat and current regulation along with charge times are my concern.

pictures pending.

.

From: Kurt <mailto:mooneyjockey@...>

Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 8:16 AM

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

So, just a question… Do you really think that BaoFeng changed their entire production line assembly to produce a limited run of these new boards with a few updated ic’s, or is it more likely that they just updated the production on all of their production line from that point forward to use the newer ic’s?

Only time will tell…

From: baofeng_uv5r@yaho ogroups.com <http://ogroups.com/>
[mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael Black

Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 6:24 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

Well…not quite a clone…a sibling maybe…since there are hardware changes it’s genetically similar but not a clone or even an identical twin.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Emmett Gulley

Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 2:58 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] GT-3

LOL! I love it when I am RIGHT! this is a uv5r clone

38065. UV5R going on lightening deal today

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 13:56:00 UTC
From: Bob Larson
Topic: 38065 Message: 38065

The inexpensive is even less expensive

10:50AM ET

38066. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 13:59:49 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38065 Message: 38066

And where would this be?

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob Larson
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 7:56 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

The inexpensive is even less expensive

10:50AM ET

38067. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 14:05:24 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 38065 Message: 38067

Here is the link:

<http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B0097252UK/ref=gb1h_img_c-2_6822_0974f97b?pf_rd_m=ATVPDKIKX0DER&pf_rd_t=701&pf_rd_s=center-new-2&pf_rd_r=0X7JV88XFAYHSREK24YT&pf_rd_i=20&pf_rd_p=1690286822
>

I bought mine from Sain Store... also shown on that page. It was a
few months ago and the price was $32.. with free shipping. Since
then, I've added accessories from Etekcity.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 19, 2013, at 8:59 AM, Michael Black wrote:

>
>
> And where would this be?
> Mike W9MDB
>
> From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>] On Behalf Of Bob Larson
> Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 7:56 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today
>
>
> The inexpensive is even less expensive
>
> 10:50AM ET
>
>
>

38068. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 14:44:22 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38065 Message: 38068

Hmmm.since that's SainSonic selling those are they clearing out stock and maybe pursuing FCC part 90 certs?

Or is the Part 90 demand so small that they are just letting Part 90 go away and getting rid of their UV-5R+ stock?

And they're going through Amazon no doubt because hams know nothing about SainSonic (this is a bit out their baileywick).

SainSonic is touting the ham market:

http://www.sainsonic.com/gt-3

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ray Sills
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 8:05 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

Here is the link:

<http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B0097252UK/ref=gb1h_img_c-2_6822_0974f97b?pf_rd_m=ATVPDKIKX0DER
<http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B0097252UK/ref=gb1h_img_c-2_6822_0974f97b?pf_rd_m=ATVPDKIKX0DER&pf_rd_t=701&pf_rd_s=center-new-2&pf_rd_r=0X7JV88XFAYHSREK24YT&pf_rd_i=20&pf_rd_p=1690286822%20%0b>
&pf_rd_t=701&pf_rd_s=center-new-2&pf_rd_r=0X7JV88XFAYHSREK24YT&pf_rd_i=20&pf_rd_p=1690286822
>

I bought mine from Sain Store... also shown on that page. It was a few months ago and the price was $32.. with free shipping. Since then, I've added accessories from Etekcity.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 19, 2013, at 8:59 AM, Michael Black wrote:

>
>
> And where would this be?
> Mike W9MDB
>
> From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com%20%0b%3e%20>

>] On Behalf Of Bob Larson
> Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 7:56 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today
>
>
> The inexpensive is even less expensive
>
> 10:50AM ET
>
>
>

38069. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 15:00:04 UTC
From: Bob Larson
Topic: 38065 Message: 38069

Oops. Amazon
On Dec 19, 2013 8:59 AM, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...> wrote:

>
>
> And where would this be?
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> *On Behalf Of *Bob Larson
> *Sent:* Thursday, December 19, 2013 7:56 AM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today
>
>
>
>
>
> The inexpensive is even less expensive
>
>
>
> 10:50AM ET
>
>
>

38070. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 15:01:25 UTC
From: Trinity
Topic: 38065 Message: 38070

hehe i can get them cheeper than that :P

On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 9:00 AM, Bob Larson <larson.bob@...> wrote:

>
>
> Oops. Amazon
> On Dec 19, 2013 8:59 AM, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> And where would this be?
>>
>> Mike W9MDB
>>
>>
>>
>> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
>> *On Behalf Of *Bob Larson
>> *Sent:* Thursday, December 19, 2013 7:56 AM
>> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> *Subject:* [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> The inexpensive is even less expensive
>>
>>
>>
>> 10:50AM ET
>>
>>
>

38071. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 15:07:06 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38065 Message: 38071

From a known source? Or from some EBay seller that nobody knows?

Gotta' watch out for those off-the-wall EBay sellers.a lot of them buy rejects.

If you can't return it then it may be too risky.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Trinity
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 9:01 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

hehe i can get them cheeper than that :P

On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 9:00 AM, Bob Larson <larson.bob@...> wrote:

Oops. Amazon

On Dec 19, 2013 8:59 AM, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...> wrote:

And where would this be?

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob Larson
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 7:56 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

The inexpensive is even less expensive

10:50AM ET

38072. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 15:17:30 UTC
From: Trinity
Topic: 38065 Message: 38072

na im a reseller for sainstore ;)

On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 9:07 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

>
>
> From a known source? Or from some EBay seller that nobody knows?
>
> Gotta’ watch out for those off-the-wall EBay sellers…a lot of them buy
> rejects…
>
> If you can’t return it then it may be too risky.
>
>
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
>
>
> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> *On Behalf Of *Trinity
> *Sent:* Thursday, December 19, 2013 9:01 AM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today
>
>
>
>
>
> hehe i can get them cheeper than that :P
>
>
>
> On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 9:00 AM, Bob Larson <larson.bob@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Oops. Amazon
>
> On Dec 19, 2013 8:59 AM, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...> wrote:
>
>
>

> And where would this be?
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> *On Behalf Of *Bob Larson
> *Sent:* Thursday, December 19, 2013 7:56 AM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today
>
>
>
>
>
> The inexpensive is even less expensive
>
>
>
> 10:50AM ET
>
>
>
>
>

38073. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 16:02:57 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 38065 Message: 38073

OK... for another 3 hours or so... it's $31. But, of course, for free shipping now, your Amazon order must be over $35. Add an accessory, and you should be OK.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 19, 2013, at 8:56 AM, Bob Larson wrote:

>
>
> The inexpensive is even less expensive
>
> 10:50AM ET
>
>
>

38074. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 16:54:52 UTC
From: David Cole
Topic: 38065 Message: 38074

Amazon is selling them for 29 bucks now...
--
Thanks and 73's,
For equipment, and software setups and reviews see:
www.nk7z.net
for MixW support see;
http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/mixw/info
for Dopplergram information see:
http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/dopplergram/info
for MM-SSTV see:
http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/MM-SSTV/info

On Thu, 2013-12-19 at 09:07 -0600, Michael Black wrote:
>
> From a known source? Or from some EBay seller that nobody knows?
>
> Gotta’ watch out for those off-the-wall EBay sellers…a lot of them buy
> rejects…
>
> If you can’t return it then it may be too risky.
>
>
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
>
>
> From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Trinity
> Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 9:01 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today
>
>
>
>
>
>
> hehe i can get them cheeper than that :P
>
>
>
>

> On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 9:00 AM, Bob Larson <larson.bob@...>
> wrote:
>
>
>
> Oops. Amazon
>
> On Dec 19, 2013 8:59 AM, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> And where would this be?
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
> From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob Larson
> Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 7:56 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today
>
>
>
>
>
>
> The inexpensive is even less expensive
>
>
>
>
>
> 10:50AM ET
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38075. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 17:55:48 UTC
From: Bob Larson
Topic: 38065 Message: 38075

The plus is 30.99.

http://www.amazon.com/BaoFeng-UV-5R-Dual-Band-136-174-400-480/dp/B0097252UK/ref=sr_1_1?s=electronics&ie=UTF8&qid=1387475714&sr=1-1&keywords=baofeng+uv-5r%2B

On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 11:54 AM, David Cole <dave@...> wrote:

>
>
> Amazon is selling them for 29 bucks now...
> --
> Thanks and 73's,
> For equipment, and software setups and reviews see:
> www.nk7z.net
> for MixW support see;
> http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/mixw/info
> for Dopplergram information see:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/dopplergram/info
> for MM-SSTV see:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/MM-SSTV/info
>
> On Thu, 2013-12-19 at 09:07 -0600, Michael Black wrote:
> >
> > From a known source? Or from some EBay seller that nobody knows?
> >
> > Gotta’ watch out for those off-the-wall EBay sellers…a lot of them buy
> > rejects…
> >
> > If you can’t return it then it may be too risky.
> >
> >
> >
> > Mike W9MDB
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Trinity
> > Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 9:01 AM
> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> > hehe i can get them cheeper than that :P
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 9:00 AM, Bob Larson <larson.bob@...>
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > Oops. Amazon
> >
> > On Dec 19, 2013 8:59 AM, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > And where would this be?
> >
> > Mike W9MDB
> >
> >
> >
> > From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob Larson
> > Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 7:56 AM
> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > The inexpensive is even less expensive
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > 10:50AM ET
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

>
>
>

38076. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 18:06:39 UTC
From: John
Topic: 38065 Message: 38076

http://www.amazon.com/BaoFeng-UV-5R-136-174-400-480-Dual-Band/dp/B007H4VT7A/ref=sr_1_1?s=electronics&ie=UTF8&qid=1387475802&sr=1-1&keywords=Uv5r+baofeng

$29.95. Free ship with prime... It's been this price a while

Sent from my iPad

> On Dec 19, 2013, at 11:55 AM, Bob Larson <larson.bob@...> wrote:
>
> The plus is 30.99.
>
> http://www.amazon.com/BaoFeng-UV-5R-Dual-Band-136-174-400-480/dp/B0097252UK/ref=sr_1_1?s=electronics&ie=UTF8&qid=1387475714&sr=1-1&keywords=baofeng+uv-5r%2B
>
>
>> On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 11:54 AM, David Cole <dave@...> wrote:
>>
>> Amazon is selling them for 29 bucks now...
>> --
>> Thanks and 73's,
>> For equipment, and software setups and reviews see:
>> www.nk7z.net
>> for MixW support see;
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/mixw/info
>> for Dopplergram information see:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/dopplergram/info
>> for MM-SSTV see:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/MM-SSTV/info
>>
>> On Thu, 2013-12-19 at 09:07 -0600, Michael Black wrote:
>> >
>> > From a known source? Or from some EBay seller that nobody knows?
>> >
>> > Gotta’ watch out for those off-the-wall EBay sellers…a lot of them buy
>> > rejects…
>> >
>> > If you can’t return it then it may be too risky.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Mike W9MDB
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >

>> > From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> > [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Trinity
>> > Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 9:01 AM
>> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> > Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > hehe i can get them cheeper than that :P
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 9:00 AM, Bob Larson <larson.bob@gmail.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Oops. Amazon
>> >
>> > On Dec 19, 2013 8:59 AM, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...> wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > And where would this be?
>> >
>> > Mike W9MDB
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> > [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob Larson
>> > Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 7:56 AM
>> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5R going on lightening deal today
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > The inexpensive is even less expensive
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >

>> > 10:50AM ET
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

38077. Frequency step limited to 2.5 kHz?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 21:47:29 UTC
From: True North
Topic: 38077 Message: 38077

Folks, I want to tune into a repeater at 145.710, but my radio keeps going to 145.725

Is there a way to alter the frequency step to lower that 2.5 kHz?

Or is there another way for me to tune in to frequencies that have steps of less than 2.5 kHz?

Thanks in advance!

By the way, I'm brand new to HAM as well :)

38079. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency step limited to 2.5 kHz?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-19 22:09:26 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38077 Message: 38079

On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 4:47 PM, True North <hugh.long@...>wrote:

>
>
> Folks, I want to tune into a repeater at 145.710, but my radio keeps going
> to 145.725
>
> Is there a way to alter the frequency step to lower that 2.5 kHz?
>
> Or is there another way for me to tune in to frequencies that have steps
> of less than 2.5 kHz?
>
> Thanks in advance!
>
> By the way, I'm brand new to HAM as well :)
>
It sounds like you are close to figuring this out yourself.

My guess is that you have the menu 1 (STEP) setting of the VFO that you are using set to 25.0 KHz. You need to set it to 2.5 KHz, 5.0 KHz or 10.0 KHz to be able to resolve to the frequency that you wich to tune.

Jim KC9HI

38080. UV5Rplus programming software problem HELP

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 01:08:42 UTC
From: <k6kff@...>
Topic: 38080 Message: 38080

I have followed all of the links and loaded software and drivers several times. My Dell Win 7 machine does not like the UV_5R_NEWOLD software. Upon launching, the application appears on the desktop and instantly starts flashing on and off at about a 20 Hz rate. nothing can be done until Win7 shuts it down do to a "Problem". No other info is given.

I have tried the different rivers too.

Help! What am i doing wrong?

73 K6KFF
l.dobbins@sbcglobal.net
K6KFF@yahoo.com

38082. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5Rplus programming software problem HELP

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 01:43:48 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38080 Message: 38082

Can you give CHIRP a try?

http://trac.chirp.danplanet.com/chirp_daily/LATEST/

Is your Windows 7 64-bit ? Somebody else was having similar problems on 64-bit.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of k6kff@...
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 7:09 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5Rplus programming software problem HELP

I have followed all of the links and loaded software and drivers several times. My Dell Win 7 machine does not like the UV_5R_NEWOLD software. Upon launching, the application appears on the desktop and instantly starts flashing on and off at about a 20 Hz rate. nothing can be done until Win7 shuts it down do to a "Problem". No other info is given.

I have tried the different rivers too.

Help! What am i doing wrong?

73 K6KFF
l.dobbins@...
K6KFF@yahoo.com

38083. CHIRP Live

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 02:31:00 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 38083 Message: 38083

Hi Gang:

Does anyone know if the CHIRP Live (Linux) version uses the older USB driver? You know, the one that supposedly will also work with adapters that are sporting the counterfeit chip inside. Just curious. I burned the Live version to a CD, and it boots just fine on my iMac.
But, it does not communicate with my UV-5R. I'm trying determine if it's a software or hardware issue.

I discovered, BTW, that the Live version also has additional apps... like FLDIGI, for example.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

38084. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] CHIRP Live

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 02:39:21 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38083 Message: 38084

On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 9:31 PM, Ray Sills <raysills3@...> wrote:

>
>
> Hi Gang:
>
> Does anyone know if the CHIRP Live (Linux) version uses the older USB
> driver? You know, the one that supposedly will also work with
> adapters that are sporting the counterfeit chip inside. Just
> curious. I burned the Live version to a CD, and it boots just fine on
> my iMac.
> But, it does not communicate with my UV-5R. I'm trying determine if
> it's a software or hardware issue.
>
> I discovered, BTW, that the Live version also has additional apps...
> like FLDIGI, for example.
>
> 73 de Ray
> K2ULR
>

The linux drivers that do not care if the chip is unauthorized or genuine.

Plug in your programming cable and issue the following command in terminal:

dmesg | grep tty

Look at the output for the port your cable is plugged into. You will see
something like the following:

: pl2303 converter now attached to ttyUSB0

Jim KC9HI

38086. RE: LED Light

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 07:14:33 UTC
From: <andyfoad@...>
Topic: 38053 Message: 38086

This sounds like a simple broken joint on the LED connection to the PCB.

I have seen this happen several times on Baofengs.

It;s a 2 minute job with a soldering iron, so don;t worry too much.

73 de Andy

38087. I knwo this was covered before but I can not find the information Receive modification

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 20:43:03 UTC
From: <william.hile@...>
Topic: 38087 Message: 38087

I cant find the right thred or even location of teh doc.. I have a UV5R+ and I want to receive outside the current limits of the unmodified HT. There was a pdf that explained the CHIRP mod but I cant find it. Can someone please point me to this?

Thanks

73

38090. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] I knwo this was covered before but I can not find the information Receive modification

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 20:59:49 UTC
From: <william.hile@...>
Topic: 38087 Message: 38090

understood but I was sure there was somethign that would allow you to receive outside the range... but thanks for the clearification.

38091. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] I knwo this was covered before but I can not find the information Receive modification

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 21:26:33 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38087 Message: 38091

On Fri, Dec 20, 2013 at 3:59 PM, <william.hile@...> wrote:

>
>
> understood but I was sure there was somethign that would allow you to
> receive outside the range... but thanks for the clearification.
>
>
No. The hack lets you key in and display any frequency that you want. There has been no proof that any "out-of'-band" signals received were actually being transmitted on the displayed frequency.

The hack has never been needed because CHIRP has always allowed setting the band limits to be from 1 MHz to 1000 MHz. Nearly any frequency between the band limits can be keyed into the VFO, just don't expect any of them very far outside of the radio's specifications to work.

Regardless of the band limits, the CHIRP Spreadsheet Memories Editor will still be limited to the standard 136.000-174.000 MHz and 400.000-520.000 MHz (or 220.000-260.000 MHz for the UV-5RX/UV-82X) limits.

Jim KC9HI

38092. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] I know this was covered before but I can not find the information Receive modification

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 21:45:38 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38087 Message: 38092
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

That something is called a scanner, however you will have to
buy Uniden now because all of these are almost unobtanium.

From: "william.hile@..." <william.hile@...>

understood, but I was sure there was something that would allow you to receive outside the range... but thanks for the clarification. <spelling corrected>

38093. OT - Capital and Lower Case On Apple Touchscreen

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 22:50:39 UTC
From: Bob Martin
Topic: 38093 Message: 38093

Hi, Groups:

We are attempting to set up my wife's Apple device with a touch screen so that it will be ready for her to use Christmas Day. Part of the set-up requires having the device recognize and connect to our MODEM. Device sees it but needs us to enter our password which includes upper and lower case letters. There is a caps key indicator but we have not figured out to work it. With my Braille handheld, tapping that key twice set to all caps and holding it while pressing a single letter makes only that letter capitalized. We don't want to open the manual unless necessary.

Help will be appreciated.
Bob Martin

38094. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency step limited to 2.5 kHz?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 10:22:53 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38077 Message: 38094

At 08:47 AM 20/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>Folks, I want to tune into a repeater at 145.710, but my radio keeps
>going to 145.725
>
>Is there a way to alter the frequency step to lower that 2.5 kHz?
>
>Or is there another way for me to tune in to frequencies that have
>steps of less than 2.5 kHz?

You could use 5 Khz or 10 Khz steps, or even 2.5 Khz steps.

>Thanks in advance!
>
>By the way, I'm brand new to HAM as well :)

Are they giving them away now?

38095. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] UV5Rplus programming software problem HELP

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 10:25:37 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38080 Message: 38095

At 12:43 PM 20/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>Can you give CHIRP a try?A
>
><http://trac.chirp.danplanet.com/chirp_daily/LATEST/>http://trac.chirp.danplanet.com/chirp_daily/LATEST/
>
>
>
>Is your Windows 7 64-bit ?A

What is the significance of the ?A version?

> Somebody else was having similar problems on 64-bit.
>
>
>
>Mike W9MDB

38096. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] I knwo this was covered before but I can not find the information Receive modification

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 23:04:27 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38087 Message: 38096

At 07:43 AM 21/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>I cant find the right thred or even location of teh doc.. I have a
>UV5R+ and I want to receive outside the current limits of the
>unmodified HT. There was a pdf that explained the CHIRP mod but I
>cant find it. Can someone please point me to this?

There is no thred or teh doc and the UV-R can't receive outside its range.

>Thanks
>
>73

10-4?

38097. RE: Frequency step limited to 2.5 kHz?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-20 23:27:37 UTC
From: <tenorman1952@...>
Topic: 38077 Message: 38097

In this order, press

Menu

1

Menu

Up or Down scroll buttons to select 5.0 k (or 2.5 k)

Menu

Exit

73,
Paul - AE5JU

38098. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency step limited to 2.5 kHz?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-21 01:23:27 UTC
From: Graham Johnson
Topic: 38077 Message: 38098

There is absolutely no need to be so superior and snaky!!!! We all need to start somewhere.

Graham VK7GD.
On 2013/12/20 21:22, Barry OGrady wrote:
>
> At 08:47 AM 20/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >Folks, I want to tune into a repeater at 145.710, but my radio keeps
> >going to 145.725
> >
> >Is there a way to alter the frequency step to lower that 2.5 kHz?
> >
> >Or is there another way for me to tune in to frequencies that have
> >steps of less than 2.5 kHz?
>
> You could use 5 Khz or 10 Khz steps, or even 2.5 Khz steps.
>
> >Thanks in advance!
> >
> >By the way, I'm brand new to HAM as well :)
>
> Are they giving them away now?
>
>

38099. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] OT - Capital and Lower Case On Apple Touchscreen

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-21 01:30:58 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 38093 Message: 38099

On an Ipad or Ipod Touch, tap the shift key and the next will be the capital or shifted character. After a period, the next letter will be capitalized. Rapidly tapping the shift key twice is the same as caps locked.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 20, 2013, at 14:50, "Bob Martin" <kc3fi@verizon.net> wrote:
>
> Hi, Groups:
>
> We are attempting to set up my wife's Apple device with a touch screen so that it will be ready for her to use Christmas Day. Part of the set-up requires having the device recognize and connect to our MODEM. Device sees it but needs us to enter our password which includes upper and lower case letters. There is a caps key indicator but we have not figured out to work it. With my Braille handheld, tapping that key twice set to all caps and holding it while pressing a single letter makes only that letter capitalized. We don't want to open the manual unless necessary.
>
> Help will be appreciated.
> Bob Martin
>

38100. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Frequency step limited to 2.5 kHz?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-21 04:45:18 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38077 Message: 38100

And once upon a time we could expect that even a 7 year old Novice would be able to do enough arithmetic to understand the difference between a 25 KHz step and a 2.5 KHz step - but clearly those days are long gone

From: Graham Johnson

There is absolutely no need to be so superior and snaky!!!! We all need to start somewhere.

38101. I would like to use an arduino uno to control Baofeng uv-5r for t-hunting

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-21 18:43:40 UTC
From: <grumpyguard2000@...>
Topic: 38101 Message: 38101

I need some help. I want my arduino uno to control my Baofeng UV5R. I have found some information on the web, but I don't know how to wire the radio to the arduino. I see the Kenwood manual shows a 10k resistor on the top barrel of the pin and a 10 micro farad capacitor on the middle barrel, with 3.5 volts going to the last barrel. Is it necessary to have the capacitor and 3.5 volts?

Any and all help would be greatly appriciated.

Thanks,

Matt
KG6YLJ

38102. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] I would like to use an arduino uno to control Baofeng uv-5r for t-hunting

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-21 18:49:55 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38101 Message: 38102

All you can do is program the radio. You can't remote control it.

From: "grumpyguard2000@..." <grumpyguard2000@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2013 1:43 PM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] I would like to use an arduino uno to control Baofeng uv-5r for t-hunting

I need some help. I want my arduino uno to control my Baofeng UV5R. I have found some information on the web, but I don't know how to wire the radio to the arduino. I see the Kenwood manual shows a 10k resistor on the top barrel of the pin and a 10 micro farad capacitor on the middle barrel, with 3.5 volts going to the last barrel. Is it necessary to have the capacitor and 3.5 volts?

Any and all help would be greatly appriciated.

Thanks,

Matt
KG6YLJ

38103. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] I would like to use an arduino uno to control Baofeng uv-5r for t-hunting

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-21 19:08:44 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38101 Message: 38103

Matt didn’t go into much detail about what he is trying to accomplish, but from his subject line that he wants to control the radio for transmitter hunting, I suspect that he would be using the UV-5R (hopefully on low power) as the transmitter that is being hunted. In that role, he would need the controller to key the radio for a controlled period at controlled intervals and provide audio on the mic input (probably audio CW) – that much ought to be well within the capabilities of the arduino uno.

I would be reasonably confident that the circuit diagram for a Kenwood HT would work with the UV-5R, but his question is whether or not the capacitor and the listed voltage as shown in the Kenwood diagram are needed. Personally I don’t have a clue whether or not he could cut a few corners by omitting those. I can’t imagine that trying it without the 3.5v applied would hurt anything so it would seem safe enough for him to give it a try, but there might be someone here who can give a more expert opinion.

From: Scott, KB3JQQ

All you can do is program the radio. You can't remote control it.

From: "grumpyguard2000@..." <grumpyguard2000@...>

I need some help. I want my arduino uno to control my Baofeng UV5R. I have found some information on the web, but I don't know how to wire the radio to the arduino. I see the Kenwood manual shows a 10k resistor on the top barrel of the pin and a 10 micro farad capacitor on the middle barrel, with 3.5 volts going to the last barrel. Is it necessary to have the capacitor and 3.5 volts?

Any and all help would be greatly appriciated.

Thanks,

Matt
KG6YLJ

38104. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] I would like to use an arduino uno to control Baofeng uv-5r for t-hunting

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-21 20:11:36 UTC
From: <grumpyguard2000@...>
Topic: 38101 Message: 38104

I am sorry, I should have given more information. I want to use the radio as the fox transmitter. I have seen some plans using this or the Wouxun radios, but they don't go into detail. I am new to using micro controllers and wanted to give this a try. I was planing on purchasing the 3.5mm plug from Radio shack and connecting it to the digital pin 13 for the code and using the analog pins for power and PTT. I have found several sketchs to send cw to the radio, so that part is not a problem. So I am seeking advice on the best way to make this project work.

38106. satellite usage, anyone?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-21 20:57:01 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38106 Message: 38106

I was reading http://www.work-sat.com/Work-Sat/Home_files/satbr28_1.pdf
and got to wondering if anyone is using a UV-5R variant to communicate
with any satellites.

If so, what cellphone app might you be using using for satellite
time-of-passage, what antenna and tracking mechanism/technique, etc.

38107. satellite usage, anyone?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-21 20:57:52 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38106 Message: 38107

I was reading http://www.work-sat.com/Work-Sat/Home_files/satbr28_1.pdf and got to wondering if anyone is using a UV-5R variant to communicate with any satellites.

If so, what cellphone app might you be using using for satellite time-of-passage and track, what antenna and tracking mechanism/technique, etc.

38108. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] I would like to use an arduino uno to control Baofeng uv-5r for t-hunting

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-21 21:12:00 UTC
From: Black Wolfe
Topic: 38101 Message: 38108

My thinking is to set the radio to VOX and connect a MP3 player with a suitable looped file to the audio input of the radio.
Bruce W. - KV4OE
==========

----- Original Message -----
From: <grumpyguard2000@...>

>I am sorry, I should have given more information. I want to use the radio
>as the fox transmitter. I have seen some plans using this or the Wouxun
>radios, but they don't go into detail. I am new to using micro controllers
>and wanted to give this a try. I was planing on purchasing the 3.5mm plug
>from Radio shack and connecting it to the digital pin 13 for the code and
>using the analog pins for power and PTT. I have found several sketchs to
>send cw to the radio, so that part is not a problem. So I am seeking advice
>on the best way to make this project work.
>

38109. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] I would like to use an arduino uno to control Baofeng uv-5r for t-hunting

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-21 21:55:22 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 38101 Message: 38109

Yes... you would want to use MCW. The Auduio should be able to generate a string of keyed tones that are morse characters. And VOX would take care of keying the HT. You probably could program in time delays between the ID intervals of your choosing. And, I suspect an ambitious programmer could make the delay interval change over time... starting with a long interval, and gradually decreasing it, making it a bit easier to find the hidden HT as the hours go by. That would reward someone who could spot the direction early on, but start making it easier if no one finds it right away.

Also, you will have to make sure that any audio tones generated by the Arduino (the MCW) are at the proper level. The HT expects audio to be at microphone level, which is -very- low. Best to make an attenuator that includes a potentiometer to adjust the audio to the proper level.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 21, 2013, at 4:12 PM, Black Wolfe wrote:

> My thinking is to set the radio to VOX and connect a MP3 player with a
> suitable looped file to the audio input of the radio.
> Bruce W. - KV4OE
> ==========

38110. Anyone know of a decent cordura holster for this unit?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-21 23:39:02 UTC
From: <gottac71@...>
Topic: 38110 Message: 38110

Just wondering if anyone has a make/model of a decent holster that is made out of cordura or other type of fabric (not looking for a leather holster) that fits this unit? I know there are tons out there but I am hoping someone can point me to something that is a good fit so I do not have to keep ordering and returning holsters.

Thanks a lot.

38111. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Anyone know of a decent cordura holster for this unit?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 00:06:56 UTC
From: KB3VEW
Topic: 38110 Message: 38111

I use the C5 Large PDA / Phone Holster made by made by 5.11. If you go to LA Police GEar they actually have them on close out for $4.99. Buy Two top offset the shipping cost.

Wish you luck,
Ron
KB3VEW

From: gottac71@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2013 6:39 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Anyone know of a decent cordura holster for this unit?

Just wondering if anyone has a make/model of a decent holster that is made out of cordura or other type of fabric (not looking for a leather holster) that fits this unit? I know there are tons out there but I am hoping someone can point me to something that is a good fit so I do not have to keep ordering and returning holsters.

Thanks a lot.

38112. Good morning, please help

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 07:42:08 UTC
From: <tecnico_italia@...>
Topic: 38112 Message: 38112
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Good morning, please help I ask members to send a file reference. I've scans all channels I use a program UV_5R_VIP / I NEED BACKUP Frequency

Programmer r Baofeng UV-5RA Frequency

38113. RE: Good morning, please help

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 07:43:19 UTC
From: <tecnico_italia@...>
Topic: 38112 Message: 38113

TECNICO_ITALIA@YAHOO.IT

Good morning, please help I ask members to send a file reference. I've scans all channels I use a program UV_5R_VIP / I NEED BACKUP Frequency

Programmer r Baofeng UV-5RA Frequency

38114. Default Voice

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 11:52:39 UTC
From: <lowcountrytrooper@...>
Topic: 38114 Message: 38114

Is there ANY WAY to turn off the voice command that announces everything you do on this radio? I know you can change it to English, but if being covert is what you need...this radio is not going to allow it. Anyone figured out how out to turn her voice off? Thanks in advance

38117. RE: Channel NAME programing

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 13:36:26 UTC
From: <zvisegal@...>
Topic: 37929 Message: 38117

What you do if you need to add a channel or modify in the field ?

38119. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Good morning, please help [1 Attachment]

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 13:50:50 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38112 Message: 38119

On Sun, Dec 22, 2013 at 2:42 AM, <tecnico_italia@...> wrote:

>
>
> Good morning, please help I ask members to send a file reference. I've
> scans all channels I use a program UV_5R_VIP / I NEED BACKUP Frequency
>
>
>
> Programmer r Baofeng UV-5RA Frequency
>
>
>
>
I'm not exactly sure what you are asking for. There are Baofeng software compatible .dat files in the this group's files area if that is what you want.

Jim KC9HI

38120. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Channel NAME programing

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 13:45:19 UTC
From: ham.4x1uf
Topic: 37929 Message: 38120
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

use "chirp"

[image: http://www.cwops.org/images/cqcani.gif]
73,
Izzy 4X1UF

*Extra Class, Active ham since October 1964, QCWA # 35058, member of SKCC, *
mail: ham.4x1uf@... <ham.4x1uf@...>, Skype: ham4x1uf

On Sun, Dec 22, 2013 at 3:36 PM, <zvisegal@...> wrote:

>
>
> What you do if you need to add a channel or modify in the field ?
>
>
>

38121. MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 14:38:38 UTC
From: <lowcountrytrooper@...>
Topic: 38121 Message: 38121

I will have my new radio on Tuesday, Christmas Eve and won't have allot of time to program. Does anyone have a chirp file they could email me with GMRS and MURS Frequencies already in it? We won't to use the radios Christmas morning!

Thanks in advance
lowcountrytrooper@yahoo.com

38122. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 14:40:55 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38121 Message: 38122

You can find that in the files section, but remember, it's not legal to transmit on those frequencies with this radio.

From: "lowcountrytrooper@..." <lowcountrytrooper@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 22, 2013 9:38 AM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

I will have my new radio on Tuesday, Christmas Eve and won't have allot of time to program. Does anyone have a chirp file they could email me with GMRS and MURS Frequencies already in it? We won't to use the radios Christmas morning!

Thanks in advance
lowcountrytrooper@...

38127. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Channel NAME programing

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 17:38:46 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 37929 Message: 38127
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

If "in the field" includes modifying the name of the channel, take a small laptop with you, as that's the only way to accomplish that. Most folks simply delete the memory that needs changed and re-enter the info (less the new name) from the front keypad. Once you get back home you can then update that memory with a given name.

On Sun, Dec 22, 2013 at 6:45 AM, ham.4x1uf <ham.4x1uf@...> wrote:

>
>
> use "chirp"
>
> *[image: http://www.cwops.org/images/cqcani.gif]*
> *73,*
> *Izzy 4X1UF*
>
>
> *Extra Class, Active ham since October 1964, QCWA # 35058, member of SKCC,
> *
> *mail: ham.4x1uf@... <ham.4x1uf@...>, Skype: ham4x1uf*
>
>
>
> On Sun, Dec 22, 2013 at 3:36 PM, <zvisegal@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> What you do if you need to add a channel or modify in the field ?
>>
>>
>
>

38128. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Anyone know of a decent cordura holster for this unit?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 18:13:37 UTC
From: <gottac71@...>
Topic: 38110 Message: 38128

Excellent, thanks! I ordered that one and the Maxpedition CP-L since I can adjust it to fit all my radios (UV-82 / UV-5R+ / Uniden FRS/GMRS). The Maxpedition was more then I wanted to spend but since it will adjust from:
Min: 4.5 in x 2.5 in x 1 in to Max: 8 in x 3.5 in x 1.5 in I can justify the extra!

Thanks again.

38129. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 19:32:52 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38121 Message: 38129

At 01:38 AM 23/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>I will have my new radio on Tuesday, Christmas Eve and won't have
>allot of time to program.

You can't allot a lot of time?

> Does anyone have a chirp file they could email me with GMRS and
> MURS Frequencies already in it? We won't to use the radios Christmas morning!

When will you want to use them?
Don't forget to set the GMRS and MURS channels to inhibit transmit.

>Thanks in advance

38130. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 19:51:03 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38121 Message: 38130

On Sun, Dec 22, 2013 at 2:32 PM, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...>wrote:

>
> > Does anyone have a chirp file they could email me with GMRS and
> > MURS Frequencies already in it? We won't to use the radios Christmas
> morning!
>
> When will you want to use them?
> Don't forget to set the GMRS and MURS channels to inhibit transmit.
>
>
Maybe there will be a Technician class license study guide under the tree
with the radios. If not, check out the "- I Want to be a HAM" folder in
this group's files area.

Jim KC9HI

38131. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 20:07:32 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38121 Message: 38131

Maybe there will be a Technician class license study guide under the tree with the radios. If not, check out the "- I Want to be a HAM" folder in this group's files area.

Why go for just tech when general is so doable?

38132. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 20:34:26 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38121 Message: 38132

On 12/22/2013 12:07 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ wrote:

> Maybe there will be a Technician class license study guide under the tree with the radios. If not, check out the "- I Want to be a HAM" folder in this group's files area.

Why go for just tech when general is so doable?

True, but General Class adds no additional capability to these radios' usage on 2m/220/440.

38133. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 20:34:40 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 38121 Message: 38133

And, you can take as many tests as you choose to in a single testing session, for just one test fee. And, the tests are not difficult. If a person has enough "smarts" to drive a car, bake a cake, program a VCR, follow team standings, etc.. that person would be able to pass the examination, after using a study guide.

The amateur license is simply not that hard to obtain, and offers a lot of benefits for the license holder. Besides, it's a great hobby.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 22, 2013, at 3:07 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ wrote:

>
>
>
> Maybe there will be a Technician class license study guide under the
> tree with the radios. If not, check out the "- I Want to be a HAM"
> folder in this group's files area.
>
>
> Why go for just tech when general is so doable?
>

38134. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 20:39:09 UTC
From: Peter Linss
Topic: 38121 Message: 38134

On Dec 22, 2013, at 12:34 PM, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:

>
>
> On 12/22/2013 12:07 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ wrote:
>> > Maybe there will be a Technician class license study guide under the tree with the radios. If not, check out the "- I Want to be a HAM" folder in this group's files area.
>>
>> Why go for just tech when general is so doable?
>
> True, but General Class adds no additional capability to these radios' usage on 2m/220/440.

The one thing that a General Class does add for these radios is the ability to use them outside the US. If you travel, it can be worth it.

Peter

38135. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 20:49:24 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38121 Message: 38135

From: Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...m>

On 12/22/2013 12:07 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ wrote:

>> Maybe there will be a Technician class license study guide under the tree with the radios. If not, check out the "- I Want to be a HAM" folder in this group's files area.
>
>
>Why go for just tech when general is so
doable?
>
True, but General Class adds no additional capability to these
radios' usage on 2m/220/440.

And when the SrHTF, all the repeaters won't work long, so HF will be needed. Besides, VHF/UHF is just like the CB I left here, a bunch of locals sticking their nose in each others business.

38136. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 21:02:13 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38121 Message: 38136

And when the SrHTF, all the repeaters won't work long, so HF will be needed.

The last big snowstorm we had, every repeater was taken over by the local RACES net for each county. It was simplex or HF, or your cell phone.

Be prepared. An HT with a rubber ducky quickly becomes a paperweight.

38137. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 21:10:35 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38121 Message: 38137

On 12/22/2013 01:02 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ wrote:

And when the SrHTF, all the repeaters won't work long, so HF will be needed.

The last big snowstorm we had, every repeater was taken over by the local
RACES net for each county. It was simplex or HF, or your cell phone.

Be prepared. An HT with a rubber ducky quickly becomes a paperweight.

Very well-put, sir.

38138. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels (Free HAM Licence Study Guides)

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 21:23:53 UTC
From: KB3VEW
Topic: 38121 Message: 38138

If you would like a quality (AND FREE) Tech and /or General Class Study guide you can get Dan Romanchik KB6NU guides. The PDF files are free but he also has them available for KINDLE.
Go to http://www.kb6nu.com/tech-manual/

I passed both my Tech Class and my General Class solely using Dans Guides and the FREE Tests at qrz.com . I passed Tech with 100% Correct and missed only 2 on my General.

I'm a believer and the price is right!!

HE has also released a EXTRA Class but that is $7.99 for the PDF File.

Good Luck,
Ron P
KB3VEW

NOTE: I have no affiliation or relationship with Dan or his company. I found a product that worked for me and simply like to spread the word!

From: Gary Dunn
Sent: Sunday, December 22, 2013 3:34 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

On 12/22/2013 12:07 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ wrote:

> Maybe there will be a Technician class license study guide under the tree with the radios. If not, check out the "- I Want to be a HAM" folder in this group's files area.

Why go for just tech when general is so doable?

True, but General Class adds no additional capability to these radios' usage on 2m/220/440.

38139. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels (Free HAM Licence Study Guides)

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-22 23:34:27 UTC
From: <allonclark@...>
Topic: 38121 Message: 38139

I learned the Tech and General exams free in one weekend at HomeExam.org. I really liked that subsequent practice tests focused on what I missed on previous ones. My actual test results were similar.

~Al

38140. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 00:10:16 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 38121 Message: 38140

Well correct me if I'm wrong, but don't you have to pass the Tech test BEFORE you take General?
----- Original Message -----
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 22, 2013 3:07 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Maybe there will be a Technician class license study guide under the tree with the radios. If not, check out the "- I Want to be a HAM" folder in this group's files area.

Why go for just tech when general is so doable?

38141. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 00:18:58 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 38121 Message: 38141

Hi Doug:

You can take any test in a testing session. Most people do take a tech test first, but it's possible to start at the top and work down. Sometimes people get lucky, and pass a grade that they did not expect that they could pass. But, what ever level test you pass, you are entitled to that license.

Years ago, when the FCC administered tests, it was almost always the case that you took the lower class license test first, and usually just one test at the time of examination. In fact, there once was a requirement that you had to wait 60 days to retake a failed exam, so that it would be assured that you were taking a different test. The FCC changed the tests monthly. That once almost snagged me, because I went in to retake a test but discovered that I had not waited the required 60 days. However, the FCC examiner waived the requirement, because he saw that the exam had already been changed, and I'd be taking a different test. That 2nd time, I did pass. Whew!

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 22, 2013, at 7:10 PM, Doug McClure wrote:

>
>
> Well correct me if I'm wrong, but don't you have to pass the Tech
> test BEFORE you take General?

38143. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Digest Number 2728

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 00:56:17 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38142 Message: 38143

```
--------------------------------
 Scott Mckee <sbmckee@...> wrote:

<a reposted digest>

WHY? WHY? WHY? DID YOU QUOTE AN ENTIRE DIGEST!!!

We've already read the messages and I see anything new that you added.
```

38144. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 01:41:01 UTC
From: Black Wolfe
Topic: 38121 Message: 38144

No, and yes. You can take any test at any time and get a certificate good for 1 year stating you passed that element. However before you can transmit on any frequency you need a Technician license with its call sign which will not be issued with the certificates for the higher elements. So you could be certified as passing the General and/or Extra elements, but you will not be allowed to transmit until you pass the Technician element which is linked to your first Call Sign.

Bruce W. - KV4OE

==========

----- Original Message -----

From: "Doug McClure"

Well correct me if I'm wrong, but don't you have to pass the Tech test BEFORE you take General?
----- Original Message -----

38145. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 01:58:48 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38121 Message: 38145

On 12/22/2013 05:41 PM, Black Wolfe wrote:

No, and yes. You can take any test at any time and get a certificate good for 1 year stating you passed that element. However before you can transmit on any frequency you need a Technician license with its call sign which will not be issued with the certificates for the higher elements. So you could be certified as passing the General and/or Extra elements, but you will not be allowed to transmit until you pass the Technician element which is linked to your first Call Sign.

§97.501 Qualifying for an amateur operator license.

Each applicant must pass an examination for a new amateur operator license grant and for each change in operator class. Each applicant for the class of operator license grant specified below must pass, or otherwise receive examination credit for, the following examination elements:

(a) Amateur Extra Class operator: Elements 2, 3, and 4;
(b) General Class operator: Elements 2 and 3;
(c) Technician Class operator: Element 2.

[65 FR 6550, Feb. 10, 2000, as amended at 72 FR 3082, Jan. 24, 2007]

38146. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels (Free HAM Licence Study Guides)

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 02:02:49 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38121 Message: 38146

Did you listen to this week's Rain Report?

Ham instructor Dan Romanchik/KB6NU speaks about his 1-day 'hamcram' tech class.

From: KB3VEW <kb3vew@...>

If you would like a quality (AND FREE) Tech and /or General Class
Study guide you can get Dan Romanchik KB6NU
guides. The PDF files are free but he also has them available for KINDLE.
Go to http://www.kb6nu.com/tech-manual/

I passed both my Tech Class and my General Class solely using Dans Guides
and the FREE Tests at qrz.com . I passed Tech with 100% Correct and missed only
2 on my General.

I'm a believer and the price is right!!

HE has also released a EXTRA Class but that is $7.99 for the PDF
File.

Good Luck,
Ron P
KB3VEW

NOTE: I have no affiliation or relationship with Dan or his company. I
found a product that worked for me and simply like to spread the word!

From: Gary Dunn
Sent: Sunday, December 22, 2013 3:34 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

On 12/22/2013 12:07 PM, Scott, KB3JQQ
wrote:

>> Maybe there will be a Technician class license study guide under the tree with the
radios. If not, check out the "- I Want to be a HAM" folder in this group's files area.
>
>
>Why go for just tech when general is
so
doable?
>
True,
but General Class adds no additional capability to these radios' usage on

2m/220/440.

38147. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 03:05:17 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 38121 Message: 38147

You can take whatever tests you want, but cannot use any privileges until you pass the tech, then general.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 22, 2013, at 16:18, Ray Sills <raysills3@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Doug:
>
> You can take any test in a testing session. Most people do take a
> tech test first, but it's possible to start at the top and work down.
> Sometimes people get lucky, and pass a grade that they did not expect
> that they could pass. But, what ever level test you pass, you are
> entitled to that license.
>
> Years ago, when the FCC administered tests, it was almost always the
> case that you took the lower class license test first, and usually
> just one test at the time of examination. In fact, there once was a
> requirement that you had to wait 60 days to retake a failed exam, so
> that it would be assured that you were taking a different test. The
> FCC changed the tests monthly. That once almost snagged me, because I
> went in to retake a test but discovered that I had not waited the
> required 60 days. However, the FCC examiner waived the requirement,
> because he saw that the exam had already been changed, and I'd be
> taking a different test. That 2nd time, I did pass. Whew!
>
> 73 de Ray
> K2ULR
>
> On Dec 22, 2013, at 7:10 PM, Doug McClure wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Well correct me if I'm wrong, but don't you have to pass the Tech
> > test BEFORE you take General?
>
>

38148. Re: Dont try this at home!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 03:27:22 UTC
From: kennethdkerr
Topic: 1943 Message: 38148

I have successfully programmed and used the UV-5R on the 220 MHz band using CHIRP software to program it. The UV-5R I programmed originally came with 2 meters and 235-260 MHz and did not let me manually program it in the U.S. Amateur band on 220 MHz. Thanks to a helpful ham in this user group informing me about CHIRP I was able to successfully program it. I now have a great working $30 dual bander that works on 220 MHz. I got it from China: http://www.446shop.com/views.asp?hw_id=3#

73,
Ken
W4KDK

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "wb8grs" <ssedgwick@...> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Hi Dan,
>
> Regarding the "Band Limits", I'll provide the best information I have.
>
> There are two components (the DSP and MCU chips) in the UV-5R that determine the band limits.
>
> 1. The RDA1846 DSP chip is the chip that generates all the transmit and receive functions and it specifies the band limit as follows.
>
> 134 MHz to 174 MHz
> 200 MHz to 260 MHz
> 400 MHz to 500 MHz
>
> This information is provided in the RDA1846 documentation in the file area. Many have

reported the UV-5R will operate outside of these band limit on the 134 to 174 and 400 to 500 MHz bands (no one has reported any success using the UV-5R on the 222 MHz band), so my guess is the above band limits are not "hard coded" in the DSP chip, but are just guaranteed band limits and in fact some chips may operate outside these band limits to some degree.
>
> 2. The MCU which I believe is a custom version of the EM78P568-44 microprocessor tells the DRA1846 DSP chip what frequency to tune. If the MCU does not send the correct commands to the DSP chip the right frequency will not be received or transmitted. If for example you load in a 222 MHz frequency, the DSP chip is capable of receiving and transmitting on 222 MHz, but only if the MCU provides the correct commands to the DSP chip. If the MCU does not provide the correct commands, the display may should the correct frequency, but the DSP chip is doing nothing. Even if the MCU send the correct commands to the DSP chip, the RF pre-amplifiers and RF amplifiers external to the DSP chip are not designed to operate on the 222 MHz band so even if the DSP chip was commands correctly by the MCU, the power output and receiver sensitivity would likely be very bad.
>
> Hope this helps a bit...
> 73
> Steve - WB8GRS
>
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "kf4lne" <dan.buchanan@> wrote:
> >
> > So I tried this at home, and it worked well but as already stated there are limits to what will actually work. Does anyone know what the band limits are? 222MHz doesn't work. The radio will indicate it is transmitting anywhere it is programmed, but I found that unless you are close to its previous band limits it doesn't actually transmit.
> >
> > --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "b1gslacker" <b1gslacker@> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > For those of you who would like to attempt to destroy your brand new shiny UV-5R, here are the instructions for "slightly modifying" CHIRP to accept frequencies WAY outside of the manufacturers recommended operating boundaries. These instructions are for windows XP only (but will probably work for others also)
> > >
> > > 1) Download the UV5R.pyc file in the files section.
> > > 2) Using your favourite zip utility (I recommend winrar) open the library.zip file located in your C:\Program Files\CHRIP\ directory (location may slightly differ based on your flavour of windows, but you get the idea).
> > > 3) Delete the UV5R.pyo file from the zip
> > > 4) Add the UV5R.pyc file
> > > 5) Save the modified library.zip
> > >
> > > I know that your thinking, but if you don't understand the instructions, you should definitely not be trying this, so don't even ask.
> > >
> > > Now that you have installed the "ever so slightly enhanced" version of the UV5R CHIRP module you should be able to program frequencies between 10MHz-999MHz in the CHIRP

application.
> > >
> > > NOTE: Even if the radio accepts the frequency and displays it on the LCD display, this does not mean that the radio will actually work on that frequency (in fact we do know that there are definitely limits)
> > >
> > >
> > > *** WARNING ***
> > > The instructions above are not for consumption by the faint of heart, children or pregnant women. In no way does the author think that this is a good idea, and neither should you. If your local radio spectrum management or FCC enforcement unit catches you, they may not let you back on the mothership, so always wear your tinfoil hat! If you do make smoke come out of your radio, congratulations, you are now a real hacker. May contain traces of nuts.
> > >
> >
>

38149. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Dont try this at home!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 03:41:48 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 1943 Message: 38149

Shipping Fee:$22
Ouch!

From: kennethdkerr <kdkerr@...>

I have successfully programmed and used the UV-5R on the 220 MHz band using CHIRP software to program it. The UV-5R I programmed originally came with 2 meters and 235-260 MHz and did not let me manually program it in the U.S. Amateur band on 220 MHz. Thanks to a helpful ham in this user group informing me about CHIRP I was able to successfully program it. I now have a great working $30 dual bander that works on 220 MHz. I got it from China: http://www.446shop.com/views.asp?hw_id=3#

73,
Ken
W4KDK

38150. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 04:01:06 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 38121 Message: 38150

Hi Jardy:

Well, it is possible to go directly to General Class. You have to pass the two elements of that exam. IF, you failed on element 3, but passed element 2, you would be entitled to a tech license. But if you pass both elements, you are entitled to a General Class license. You still would have to await a callsign being generated (by the FCC) before you could operate. If you already had a Technician Class license (and hence, a callsign) you would be able to immediately operate with higher class privileges, upon passing the General Class element (3) by using the proper suffix to your callsign. The same scenario would apply if you want to take all three elements (2, 3, and 4). Element 1 used to be the morse code test, but of course, that's no longer a requirement.

So, yes, if you take element 2 first... as most people do.. then you've passed the element for a Technician Class license. But, you could also plan to take additional elements, and claim the license level for the successfully passed elements. I suppose one could pass element 2, fail element 3, and pass element 4, but that would leave you with being only able to claim a Technician Class license plus credit for element 4.
Once you are able to pass element 3, you would be able to claim the Extra Class license. I've never heard of that happening, but it's possible.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 22, 2013, at 10:05 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:

>
>
> You can take whatever tests you want, but cannot use any privileges
> until you pass the tech, then general.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

38151. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Dont try this at home!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 04:25:17 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 1943 Message: 38151

Do you actually make any contacts on 220?

Generally, the filters would need to be modified on a std UHF/VHF radio to allow proper coupling and full power output.

Also I noticed a new version on the 409 shop page, almost like the GT3 but something different looking like another case style change.

From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Sunday, December 22, 2013 7:41 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Dont try this at home!

Shipping Fee:$22
Ouch!

From: kennethdkerr <kdkerr@charter.net>

I have successfully programmed and used the UV-5R on the 220 MHz band using CHIRP software to program it. The UV-5R I programmed originally came with 2 meters and 235-260 MHz and did not let me manually program it in the U.S. Amateur band on 220 MHz. Thanks to a helpful ham in this user group informing me about CHIRP I was able to successfully program it. I now have a great working $30 dual bander that works on 220 MHz. I got it from China:
http://www.446shop.com/views.asp?hw_id=3#

73,
Ken
W4KDK

38152. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 04:37:15 UTC
From: Gary N9PTC
Topic: 38121 Message: 38152

I'm a new ham so I could be off base but what I have heard is that, here in the USA, you have to take the Technician test first, pass it and than you can take the General test and if you pass it, than you could take the Extra.

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Ray Sills [raysills3@verizon.net]
Date: Sun, Dec 22, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

  Hi Jardy:

Well, it is possible to go directly to General Class. You have to pass the two elements of that exam. IF, you failed on element 3, but passed element 2, you would be entitled to a tech license. But if you pass both elements, you are entitled to a General Class license. You still would have to await a callsign being generated (by the FCC) before you could operate. If you already had a Technician Class license (and hence, a callsign) you would be able to immediately operate with higher class privileges, upon passing the General Class element (3) by using the proper suffix to your callsign. The same scenario would apply if you want to take all three elements (2, 3, and 4). Element 1 used to be the morse code test, but of course, that's no longer a requirement.

So, yes, if you take element 2 first... as most people do.. then you've passed the element for a Technician Class license. But, you could also plan to take additional elements, and claim the license level for the successfully passed elements. I suppose one could pass element 2, fail element 3, and pass element 4, but that would leave you with being only able to claim a Technician Class license plus credit for element 4.
Once you are able to pass element 3, you would be able to claim the Extra Class license. I've never heard of that happening, but it's possible.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 22, 2013, at 10:05 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:

>
>
> You can take whatever tests you want, but cannot use any privileges

> until you pass the tech, then general.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

38153. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Dont try this at home!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 05:17:11 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 1943 Message: 38153

What, a 220MHz UV-5R that's not "exclusive" to RadioMart?? Oh, say it isn't so (insert chuckle here), but I agree that $22 in shipping (per radio) is steep (considering how freight costs from China are normally artificially low to support export shipments).

On Sun, Dec 22, 2013 at 9:25 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...>wrote:

>
>
> Do you actually make any contacts on 220?
>
> Generally, the filters would need to be modified on a std UHF/VHF radio to
> allow proper coupling and full power output.
>
> Also I noticed a new version on the 409 shop page, almost like the GT3 but
> something different looking like another case style change.
>
>
>
> *From:* Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...>
> *Sent:* Sunday, December 22, 2013 7:41 PM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Dont try this at home!
>
>
>
> Shipping Fee:$22
> Ouch!
>
>
> ---
> *From:* kennethdkerr <kdkerr@...>
>
> I have successfully programmed and used the UV-5R on the 220 MHz band
> using CHIRP software to program it. The UV-5R I programmed originally came
> with 2 meters and 235-260 MHz and did not let me manually program it in the
> U.S. Amateur band on 220 MHz. Thanks to a helpful ham in this user group
> informing me about CHIRP I was able to successfully program it. I now have
> a great working $30 dual bander that works on 220 MHz. I got it from
> China: http://www.446shop.com/views.asp?hw_id=3#
>
> 73,
> Ken
> W4KDK
>

>
>

38154. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 05:20:45 UTC
From: <n7kuw@...>
Topic: 38121 Message: 38154

I am a VE for both W5YI and ARRL. The FCC rules do not require elements to be taken in order. The W5YI instructions do require elements to be administered in order. The ARRL instructions recommend they be administered in order but allow the VE team to make exceptions if it is warranted to do so.

Regardless, you cannot qualify for a license without passing all applicable exams. In other words, If you take, and pass, the Extra exam first, you do not get an Extra class license until after you also pass the Technician and General tests. You have to pass element 2 (Technician) to get any amateur license. You have to pass both element 2 and element 3 to get a General license, regardless of what order you take the tests.

38155. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 05:21:04 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 38121 Message: 38155

I know of several people that went in with no license and came out an extra. You just can't use the higher priveleges until you pass the lower elements. If you took and passed element 4 without passing 2 or 3, you can not operate at all. If you then pass element 2, like it was pointed out, you are now just a tech. As it was also pointed out, most people start at element 2, and upon passing, have the option to continue testing. I passed my tech with flying colors, tried for general and failed big time. When I passed my general, I tried for extra and also failed big time. I don't do hf very often, hardly at all, so I decided not to go for extra.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent by hurling dead pigeons over the wall by trebuche.

>_____
> From: Ray Sills <raysills3@...>
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Sunday, December 22, 2013 8:01 PM
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels
>
>
>
>
>Hi Jardy:
>
>Well, it is possible to go directly to General Class. You have to
>pass the two elements of that exam. IF, you failed on element 3, but
>passed element 2, you would be entitled to a tech license. But if you
>pass both elements, you are entitled to a General Class license. You
>still would have to await a callsign being generated (by the FCC)
>before you could operate. If you already had a Technician Class
>license (and hence, a callsign) you would be able to immediately
>operate with higher class privileges, upon passing the General Class
>element (3) by using the proper suffix to your callsign. The same
>scenario would apply if you want to take all three elements (2, 3, and
>4). Element 1 used to be the morse code test, but of course, that's
>no longer a requirement.
>
>So, yes, if you take element 2 first... as most people do.. then
>you've passed the element for a Technician Class license. But, you
>could also plan to take additional elements, and claim the license
>level for the successfully passed elements. I suppose one could pass
>element 2, fail element 3, and pass element 4, but that would leave
>you with being only able to claim a Technician Class license plus
>credit for element 4.
>Once you are able to pass element 3, you would be able to claim the

>Extra Class license. I've never heard of that happening, but it's
>possible.
>
>73 de Ray
>K2ULR
>
>On Dec 22, 2013, at 10:05 PM, Jardy Dawson wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> You can take whatever tests you want, but cannot use any privileges
>> until you pass the tech, then general.
>>
>> Jardy Dawson
>> WA7JRD Ham Radio-General
>>
>> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
>
>
>
>

38156. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ham Tests

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 04:52:57 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38121 Message: 38156

----Original Message-----
From: Gary N9PTC
>
> I'm a new ham so I could be off base but what I have heard
> is that, here in the USA, you have to take the Technician test
> first, pass it and than you can take the General test and if
> you pass it, than you could take the Extra.

Yes and no.

You do not need to hold a Tech class license to take the test for General and you do not need to hold a General class license to take the test for Extra, but you must pass Element Two (the written test for Tech) before you can take Element Three (the written test for General), and you must pass Element Three before you can take Element Four (the written test for Extra).

You can take all three elements in one sitting -- but you must take them in order and you must pass each element prior to taking the next element.

The vast majority of people take Element Two and stop right there. But there certainly are people who do take all three elements in one sitting (and, by the way, you only pay one test fee for the session no matter how many elements you actually take).

38157. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 05:06:17 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38121 Message: 38157

From: Scott, KB3JQQ
> And when the SHTF, all the repeaters won't work long, so HF will be
> needed.
> The last big snowstorm we had, every repeater was taken over by the local
> RACES net for each county. It was simplex or HF, or your cell phone.

NONSENSE! (In case you are wondering, "Nonsense" is polite for a phrase consisting of two four-letter words that initially came to mind)

You are telling us that RACES nets took over ALL FORTY repeaters in the Baltimore metro area?!?!?

38158. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 06:26:05 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38121 Message: 38158

With all of this good info along with all the study materials and Q/A posted online (along with a whopping $15 fee) there is NO excuse for anyone not getting the proper license. It is not that hard at all.

Not like the old days of a code test or my GROL which required closed testing at the FCC exam headquarters with no published question pool guides.

Studying for the test helps all potential new operators learn the basics to use their radios properly. Still I know a few that want to buck the system and hide out on GMRS unlicensed.

That is the same as someone shooting their 50 BMG at the .22lr 100 yard range. They will never know the real capability of their rifle (analogy compared to knowing their radio on Ham bands)

From: n7kuw@n7kuw.com
Sent: Sunday, December 22, 2013 9:20 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

I am a VE for both W5YI and ARRL. The FCC rules do not require elements to be taken in order. The W5YI instructions do require elements to be administered in order. The ARRL instructions recommend they be administered in order but allow the VE team to make exceptions if it is warranted to do so.

Regardless, you cannot qualify for a license without passing all applicable exams. In other words, If you take, and pass, the Extra exam first, you do not get an Extra class license until after you also pass the Technician and General tests. You have to pass element 2 (Technician) to get any amateur license. You have to pass both element 2 and element 3 to get a General license, regardless of what order you take the tests.

38159. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 12:34:15 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38121 Message: 38159

On 12/23/2013 12:26 AM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr wrote:
>
> With all of this good info along with all the study materials and Q/A
> posted online (along with a whopping $15 fee) there is NO excuse for
> anyone not getting the proper license. It is not that hard at all.
> Not like the old days of a code test or my GROL which required closed
> testing at the FCC exam headquarters with no published question pool
> guides.
> Studying for the test helps all potential new operators learn the
> basics to use their radios properly. Still I know a few that want to
> buck the system and hide out on GMRS unlicensed.
> That is the same as someone shooting their 50 BMG at the .22lr 100
> yard range. They will never know the real capability of their rifle
> (analogy compared to knowing their radio on Ham bands)
>

You also have the option to find a Laurel VEC in your area and take the
tests for free.
http://www.larcmd.org/

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38160. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 14:44:10 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 38121 Message: 38160

That's my understanding. The Extra Class exam is elements 2, 3, and 4. If you only take and pass element 4... you still have not done the complete test. You get de-facto credit for having passed element 2 or 3 if you already have a license that is granted by passing those elements.

It used to be that the FCC could -re-test- you "on the spot" if they chose to. They probably still have that authority. There were some cases in the past where some individuals would "sit in" for a person seeking a license and take the test on their behalf. Clearly cheating. So the FCC had the right to re-test suspected cheaters. If that person could not pass the re-test, the license was cancelled.

I guess some of the confusion is that for most hams, the exam elements are taken one at a time. So, in a sense, element 2 "is" the Technician Class exam, element 3, "is" the General Class exam. However, technically, -that- exam includes both elements 2 and 3. If you have a Technician Class license, you simply do not have to retake that element, since credit is granted based on the existing license.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 23, 2013, at 12:20 AM, <n7kuw@...> <n7kuw@...> wrote:

>
>
> I am a VE for both W5YI and ARRL. The FCC rules do not require
> elements to be taken in order. The W5YI instructions do require
> elements to be administered in order. The ARRL instructions
> recommend they be administered in order but allow the VE team to
> make exceptions if it is warranted to do so.
>
> Regardless, you cannot qualify for a license without passing all
> applicable exams. In other words, If you take, and pass, the Extra
> exam first, you do not get an Extra class license until after you
> also pass the Technician and General tests. You have to pass element
> 2 (Technician) to get any amateur license. You have to pass both
> element 2 and element 3 to get a General license, regardless of what
> order you take the tests.
>

38161. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 14:51:33 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38121 Message: 38161

Most are paper repeaters. Any repeater that had any coverage
was taken over by a RACES directed net.

I flung the bull back at you...

From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 23, 2013 12:06 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

From: Scott, KB3JQQ
> And when the SrHTF, all the repeaters won't work long, so HF will be

> needed.
> The last big snowstorm we had, every repeater was taken over by the local
> RACES net for each county. It was simplex or HF, or your cell phone.

NONSENSE! (In case you are wondering, "Nonsense" is polite for a phrase
consisting of two four-letter words that initially came to mind)

You are telling us that RACES nets took over ALL FORTY repeaters in the
Baltimore metro area?!?!?

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

    http://info.yahoo.com/legal/us/yahoo/utos/terms/

38162. Amateur exams was: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 14:59:48 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 38121 Message: 38162

That's a good point! Volunteer Examiners are -permitted- to charge a fee (the maximum is set by the FCC) to recover the costs that they bear by administering the exams. So, obviously there are groups or clubs whose financial resources are such that they are willing to administer the exams without charging the fee. Now, -there- is a group that is willing to go the extra mile to help newcomers to the hobby!
Kudos to the Laurel VEC!

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 23, 2013, at 7:34 AM, Dale Miller wrote:

>
> You also have the option to find a Laurel VEC in your area and take
> the tests for free.
> http://www.larcmd.org/
>
> --
> All the Best & 73's
> Dale Miller, KC2CBD
> Tennessee
> Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
> Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

38166. Ham Testing before an FCC examiner

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 18:54:30 UTC
From: Duane Vincent
Topic: 38121 Message: 38166

It has been 33 years this month since I took and passed my Technician class license before an FCC examiner - that was how it was done back then - and the Technician license was the General test with 5 words per minute Morse code as part of the Novice license. The instructor had done such an excellent job that I completed the test, checked it three times for possible errors, and was still the first to present my test to the instructor. I walked out of the exam room with a FCC issued certificate that allowed me an instant upgrade when Morse was eliminated as a requirement for General.

Duane KA7JEX

38169. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ham Testing before an FCC examiner (OT)

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 20:06:26 UTC
From: Mike Morrow
Topic: 38169 Message: 38169

> It has been 33 years this month since I took and passed my
> Technician class license before an FCC examiner - that was
> how it was done back then - and the Technician license was
> the General test with 5 words per minute Morse code as part
> of the Novice license.

So...that was 1980, then. That's a little different from my experience.

The FCC normally would NOT administer *ANY* Technician or Novice license examinations. Those were almost always administered by a volunteer examiner (an individual ham...not a club or a group) except under rare conditions such as I describe in the next paragraph. A Technician license obtained from a volunteer was shown as "Technician(C)" on the license form. The "C" indicated that it was "conditional" by reason of the non-FCC examiner. Even though the written exams for the Technician and the General were identical, no credit would be given for ANYTHING when one showed up later for upgrade at an FCC-administered exam.

I licensed Novice in 1968 and Technician(C) in 1969 using local volunteer examiners. In late 1969 I went to an FCC-administered exam session for Advanced. However, I did not pass the 13-wpm code exam (requiring one-minute perfect copy from a five-minute text). The examiner looked at my 13-wpm copy and gave me credit for 5-wpm, then allowed me to take the General/Technician written exam. I was issued a "Technician" (no conditional C notation...commonly called a "supervised Technician") license. It was normally not permitted to take the Technician exam *before the FCC*, except under this sort of unusual set of events. Although I did NOT get any more privileges at that point, at a FCC exam session a few months later I was given credit for the General written exam because I held a non-conditional Technician license. After passing the 13-wpm code I was allowed to take the Advanced written test.

In 1980 I completed the exam process for Amateur Extra, Commercial Second Class RadioTELEGRAPH with Ship Radar Endorsement, and Commercial First Class RadioTELEPHONE, all of which required exams administered before the FCC. The commercial radiotelegraph exam was by far the most difficult, both Morse and written parts.

The exam process used the past 25 years or so is so very different.

73,
Mike / KK5F

38170. RE: Good morning, please help

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 20:45:42 UTC
From: <tecnico_italia@...>
Topic: 38112 Message: 38170

il problema
Ho annullato tutti Frequency originale memorizzato all'interno
Voglio memorizzare nella memoria di Nuova
io Voglio una copia del Frequency original - Frequency.dat
Grazie per l'aiuto

the problem

I canceled all original frequency stored within
I want store in the memory of New

I want a copy of the original frequency file Frequency.dat

Thanks for the help

****
BRONDI FX-3TWIN Frequency: 446-446.1 MHz
BAOFENG UV-5RA

brondi 1 *TX 446.005
brondi 2 *TX 446.015
brondi 3 *TX 446.027
brondi 4 * TX 446.042
brondi 5 * TX 446.042 RX 446.052
brondi 6 * TX 446.060 RX 446.067 / TX 446.067
brondi 7 * TX 446.080 RX 446.080
brondi 8 * TX 446.092 RX 446.092

38171. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Good morning, please help

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 21:03:41 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38112 Message: 38171
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Hopefully this is what you are looking for.
Jim KC9HI

2013/12/23 <tecnico_italia@...>

>
>
> il problema
>
> Ho annullato tutti Frequency originale memorizzato all'interno
>
> Voglio memorizzare nella memoria di Nuova
>
> io Voglio una copia del Frequency original - Frequency.dat
>
> Grazie per l'aiuto
>
>
> the problem
>
> I canceled all original frequency stored within
>
> I want store in the memory of New
>
> I want a copy of the original frequency file Frequency.dat
>
> Thanks for the help
>
>
> **********
>
> BRONDI FX-3TWIN Frequency: 446-446.1 MHz
> BAOFENG UV-5RA
>
> brondi 1 *TX 446.005
> brondi 2 *TX 446.015
> brondi 3 *TX 446.027
> brondi 4 * TX 446.042
> brondi 5 * TX 446.042 RX 446.052
> brondi 6 * TX 446.060 RX 446.067 / TX 446.067
> brondi 7 * TX 446.080 RX 446.080
> brondi 8 * TX 446.092 RX 446.092
>
>

>

38172. hellp Ho annullato tutti Frequency originale memorizzato all'interno

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 21:32:14 UTC
From: <tecnico_italia@...>
Topic: 38172 Message: 38172

il problema
Ho annullato tutti Frequency originale memorizzato all'interno
Voglio memorizzare nella memoria di Nuova
io Voglio una copia del Frequency original - Frequency.dat
Grazie per l'aiuto

the problem

I canceled all original frequency stored within
I want store in the memory of New

I want a copy of the original frequency file Frequency.dat

Thanks for the help
facebook/ruma84

38173. Re: satellite usage, anyone?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 21:45:19 UTC
From: John
Topic: 38106 Message: 38173

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:
>
> I was reading http://www.work-sat.com/Work-Sat/Home_files/satbr28_1.pdf
> and got to wondering if anyone is using a UV-5R variant to communicate
> with any satellites.
>
> If so, what cellphone app might you be using using for satellite
> time-of-passage, what antenna and tracking mechanism/technique, etc.
>

I've used my UV-5R to communicate with sats.... as a matter of fact, an ht is ALL I use to work sats. The challenge is a ball :)

I use my home computer/laptop to get my pass info, then run outside to get to work.

38174. Re: satellite usage, anyone?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 21:47:30 UTC
From: <zorbkzorb@...>
Topic: 38106 Message: 38174

What tracking software? What's a pass? Details please.

38175. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: satellite usage, anyone?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 21:53:51 UTC
From: John W.
Topic: 38106 Message: 38175

Orbitron software. A pass is when a satellite "passes" over your area.

From: "zorbkzorb@..." <zorbkzorb@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 23, 2013 4:47 PM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: satellite usage, anyone?

What tracking software? What's a pass? Details please.

38176. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ham Testing before an FCC examiner (OT)

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 21:56:05 UTC
From: <wa4sca@...>
Topic: 38169 Message: 38176

Mike,

In 1964 I went over to a local Amateur Extra and took both the Novice and Technian exams, becoming what at the time was often called a "NoviTech." I signed WN4SCA/WA4SCA depending on what I was doing. Fortunately I passed the General the following year in Tampa,and finally the Advanced and Amateur Extra. I am a VE these days, and it is truly better to give than receive. :)

73s,
Alan
WA4SCA

38177. Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 22:48:07 UTC
From: jdhite1
Topic: 38177 Message: 38177

I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad speaker-mic are:

1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.

2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.

3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the normal way. However.....

4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the one speaker-mic.

I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?

John

38178. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 23:12:06 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38177 Message: 38178
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

We had some bad mics that were actually out of spec end connectors. Look very carefully at the ends if you have a micrometer check them. The bad ones were a little off and we could not use them.

Another problem is the mic hole not properly drilled out leaving the hole blocked with mold plastic. Check that too if you bang the speaker/mic do you hear any noise which is an indication of a blocked hole.

FYI the best speaker/mics cost around $15-20 these are heavy molded plastic , rain/water resistant, have heavy duty cords, and a really sturdy PTT. You will see a big difference between these and the under $10 versions.

The best mic is one that has Night vision, sound and video recording, GPS tracking with software that will retrieve your complete daily activities from the mic memory card. You then can hear and watch all contacts with a map of the locations of your activities.

These are a favorite of LEO which need to keep good records of public contacts and interactions. Yes they will work great with the Baofeng and run from $400 to $1000 each

From: jdhite1
Sent: Monday, December 23, 2013 2:48 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Speaker-Mic Oddity

I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad speaker-mic are:

1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.

2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.

3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the normal way. However.....

4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the one speaker-mic.

I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?

John

38179. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-23 23:30:50 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 38177 Message: 38179

I am taking a guess there won't be too many people spending $400-$1000 for a special mic on a $30-$60 radio.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 23, 2013, at 15:12, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@...> wrote:
>
> We had some bad mics that were actually out of spec end connectors. Look very carefully at the ends if you have a micrometer check them. The bad ones were a little off and we could not use them.
>
> Another problem is the mic hole not properly drilled out leaving the hole blocked with mold plastic. Check that too if you bang the speaker/mic do you hear any noise which is an indication of a blocked hole.
>
> FYI the best speaker/mics cost around $15-20 these are heavy molded plastic , rain/water resistant, have heavy duty cords, and a really sturdy PTT. You will see a big difference between these and the under $10 versions.
>
> The best mic is one that has Night vision, sound and video recording, GPS tracking with software that will retrieve your complete daily activities from the mic memory card. You then can hear and watch all contacts with a map of the locations of your activities.
>
> These are a favorite of LEO which need to keep good records of public contacts and interactions. Yes they will work great with the Baofeng and run from $400 to $1000 each <wlEmoticon-smile[1].png>
>
>
>
> From: jdhite1
> Sent: Monday, December 23, 2013 2:48 PM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Speaker-Mic Oddity
>
>
> I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad speaker-mic are:
>
> 1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
>
> 2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.

>
> 3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the normal way. However.....
>
> 4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the one speaker-mic.
>
> I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?
>
> John
>
>
>

38181. Re: Ham Testing before an FCC examiner (OT)

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 00:05:42 UTC
From: Duane Vincent
Topic: 38169 Message: 38181

There was an FCC field office in Seattle, and the exams were administered there; that is where my Technician test was administered by a FCC examiner. If you couldn't appear before the FCC, then there was the possibility of qualifying for the conditional license in the manner that you describe.

Duane KA7JEX

38182. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: satellite usage, anyone?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 00:13:32 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38106 Message: 38182

On 12/23/2013 01:45 PM, John wrote:

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, I wrote:

> I was reading http://www.work-sat.com/Work-Sat/Home_files/satbr28_1.pdf
> and got to wondering if anyone is using a UV-5R variant to communicate
> with any satellites.
>
> If so, what cellphone app might you be using using for satellite
> time-of-passage, what antenna and tracking mechanism/technique, etc.
>

I've used my UV-5R to communicate with sats.... as a matter of fact, an ht is ALL I use to work sats. The challenge is a ball :)

I use my home computer/laptop to get my pass info, then run outside to get to work.

Thanks for the response. More detail on your techniques (e.g. what antenna for what frequencies) would be welcomed. Recently I've been investigating the OSCAR (Orbiting Satellite Carrying Amateur Radio) android cellphone app for tracking, and the author indicates future inclusion of frequencies, offsets, modes (only FM with these UV-5R variants) etc.

38183. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: satellite usage, anyone?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 00:14:50 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38106 Message: 38183

AMSAT.ORG

From: Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 23, 2013 7:13 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: satellite usage, anyone?

On 12/23/2013 01:45 PM, John wrote:

>--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, I wrote:
>
>> I was reading http://www.work-sat.com/Work-Sat/Home_files/satbr28_1.pdf
>> and got to wondering if anyone is using a UV-5R
variant to communicate
>> with any satellites.
>>
>> If so, what cellphone app might you be using using
for satellite
>> time-of-passage, what antenna and tracking
mechanism/technique, etc.
>>
>
>I've used my UV-5R to communicate with sats.... as a
matter of fact, an ht is ALL I use to work sats. The
challenge is a ball :)
>
>I use my home computer/laptop to get my pass info, then
run outside to get to work.
>
Thanks for the response. More detail on your techniques (e.g. what
antenna for what frequencies) would be welcomed. Recently I've been
investigating the OSCAR (Orbiting Satellite Carrying Amateur Radio)
android cellphone app for tracking, and the author indicates future
inclusion of frequencies, offsets, modes (only FM with these UV-5R
variants) etc.

38184. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 01:54:02 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38177 Message: 38184

Yes, that is for sure but those mics are pretty cool. Most buy to use with department issue Motorola’s

Just like our Kevlar throat mics at $300 each, only those being deployed to the Sand Box buy them.

Most uv5R owners complain that a carry case cost $10 or spare ext battery is $20 they want something for free.

I have had some tell me that they can get a Uv5R online for $25 with 2 spare ext batteries, a carry case and better antenna. They then demand the same deal.

HAHAHAHAHAHA

From: Jardy Dawson
Sent: Monday, December 23, 2013 3:30 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Speaker-Mic Oddity

I am taking a guess there won't be too many people spending $400-$1000 for a special mic on a $30-$60 radio.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

On Dec 23, 2013, at 15:12, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@...> wrote:

We had some bad mics that were actually out of spec end connectors. Look very carefully at the ends if you have a micrometer check them. The bad ones were a little off and we could not use them.

Another problem is the mic hole not properly drilled out leaving the hole blocked with mold plastic. Check that too if you bang the speaker/mic do you hear any noise which is an indication of a blocked hole.

FYI the best speaker/mics cost around $15-20 these are heavy molded plastic , rain/water resistant, have heavy duty cords, and a really sturdy PTT. You will see a big difference between these and the under $10 versions.

The best mic is one that has Night vision, sound and video recording, GPS tracking with software that will retrieve your complete daily activities from the mic memory card. You then can hear and watch all contacts with a map of the locations of your activities.

These are a favorite of LEO which need to keep good records of public contacts and interactions. Yes they will work great with the Baofeng and run from $400 to $1000 each <wlEmoticon-smile[1].png>

From: jdhite1
Sent: Monday, December 23, 2013 2:48 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Speaker-Mic Oddity

I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad speaker-mic are:

1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.

2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.

3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the normal way. However.....

4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the one speaker-mic.

I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?

John

38185. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 06:45:36 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38121 Message: 38185

Perhaps you should read more carefully – I did not refer to either RACES or ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott’s claim that RACES had taken over EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a snowstorm – which was just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five repeaters in the entire metro area.

And to answer your silly question,actually Jeff, yes, I completed all three levels of the ARRL course during the first year they were available – and there are several corrections that were made in each of those courses based on errors in the original course materials the contractor had put together that I pointed out to Dan Miller at League Hqs. But those courses aren’t something I would expect a relatively new Tech to be very familiar with (Yes, I know, you think you have held your Tech ticket for a long time – but come back when you are on your fourth renewal and we’ll talk about it). I would guess that I’ve probably got nearly as much time actually on the air in emergency communications as you have held your ticket with over three decades as an active RACES member and as a Communications Officer for the local Red Cross.

From: Jeff Newton

So Xaun, tell me something are you calling RACES and ARES BS, total crap and et al? Have you ever taken an Emergency Communications Course, participated in drills and Emergency Nets, passed traffic in the forms Health and Welfare, Emergency or Priority traffic during a disaster or emergency situation? Something tells me that you've never done neither, zip nadda.

73's -

Jeff KE7OUR

On Mon, Dec 23, 2013 at 6:51 AM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

Most are paper repeaters. Any repeater that had any coverage
was taken over by a RACES directed net.

I flung the bull back at you...

From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 23, 2013 12:06 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

From: Scott, KB3JQQ
> And when the SrHTF, all the repeaters won't work long, so HF will be

> needed.
> The last big snowstorm we had, every repeater was taken over by the local
> RACES net for each county. It was simplex or HF, or your cell phone.

NONSENSE! (In case you are wondering, "Nonsense" is polite for a phrase consisting of two four-letter words that initially came to mind)

You are telling us that RACES nets took over ALL FORTY repeaters in the Baltimore metro area?!?!?

38186. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 07:05:21 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38121 Message: 38186

At 01:51 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>Most are paper repeaters. Any repeater that had any coverage
>was taken over by a RACES directed net.

Hopefully ham operators protested by continuing to use the repeaters
for general chat.

38187. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 07:11:24 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38121 Message: 38187

At 05:04 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>So Xaun, tell me something are you calling RACES and ARES BS, total
>crap and et al? Have you ever taken an Emergency Communications
>Course, participated in drills and Emergency Nets, passed traffic in
>the forms Health and Welfare, Emergency or Priority traffic during a
>disaster or emergency situation? Something tells me that you've
>never done neither, zip nadda.

What happens when lives are lost due to the operators being unqualified?
Most likely the real emergency operators see them as a nuisance.

>73's -

10 -4 good buddy.

>Jeff KE7OUR

38188. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 07:15:06 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38121 Message: 38188

At 06:09 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>I'm a Skywarn spotter and were kicked off
>the repeater in that snowstorm by RACES.
>40 repeaters, on paper. About 5 that work
>and 5 counties plus a city. We were gone....

How can they stop you using the repeater?
They need a dose of reality.

38189. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 07:13:33 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38121 Message: 38189

At 05:39 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>one word for you bickering idiots looking for your precious repeater
>time.... SKYWARN.... yes, we take over repeaters in bad weather....
>but when the fecal matter makes contact with the air handler, you
>will be happy that our getting word to the weather service caused
>the warning that put your behind in the basement... then you will be
>happy we where there...

Conversely they will be unhappy when you get it wrong due to being unqualified.

38190. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 07:20:55 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38177 Message: 38190

At 09:48 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion
>speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either
>radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when
>this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad
>speaker-mic are:
>
>1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
>
>2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.
>
>3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the
>normal way. However.....
>
>4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the
>speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works
>fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used
>in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the
>one speaker-mic.
>
>I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given
>on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone
>have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?

It used to be that capacitor microphones were used and they require power.
So the external microphone had the PTT wired in series with the mic.

>John

38191. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 08:58:43 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38121 Message: 38191

On 12/24/2013 12:45 AM, Xaun Loc wrote:
>
> Perhaps you should read more carefully ? I did not refer to either
> RACES or ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott?s claim that
> RACES had taken over EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area
> during a snowstorm ? which was just as ridiculous as his later claim
> that there are only five repeaters in the entire metro area.
> And to answer your silly question,actually Jeff, yes, I completed all
> three levels of the ARRL course during the first year they were
> available ? and there are several corrections that were made in each
> of those courses based on errors in the original course materials the
> contractor had put together that I pointed out to Dan Miller at League
> Hqs. But those courses aren?t something I would expect a relatively
> new Tech to be very familiar with (Yes, I know, you think you have
> held your Tech ticket for a long time ? but come back when you are on
> your fourth renewal and we?ll talk about it). I would guess that I?ve
> probably got nearly as much time actually on the air in emergency
> communications as you have held your ticket with over three decades as
> an active RACES member and as a Communications Officer for the local
> Red Cross.
So Xaun Loc are you afraid for anyone to know your call-sign?
You never sign any of your emails I find that rude no matter where your
corresponding.

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38192. HELLP I can not add some frequencies

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 11:32:40 UTC
From: <tecnico_italia@...>
Topic: 38192 Message: 38192
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

I can not add some frequencies FOR BAOFENG UV-5RA

software UV-R5

BRONDI Frequency: 446-446.1 MHz
BAOFENG UV-5RA

brondi 1 *RX 446.005
brondi 2 *RX 446.015
brondi 3 *RX 446.027
brondi 4 * TX 446.042
brondi 5 * RX 446.042 TX 446.052
brondi 6 * RX 446.060 TX 446.067 / TX 446.067
brondi 7 * RX 446.080 TX 446.080
brondi 8 * RX 446.092 TX 446.092

38193. RE: HELLP I can not add some frequencies

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 12:16:33 UTC
From: <tecnico_italia@...>
Topic: 38192 Message: 38193

grazie Andrea

mio Skype: TECNICO_ITALIA
FACEBOOK : RUMA
0039 3270961441

38194. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] HELLP I can not add some frequencies [1 Attachment]

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 12:20:17 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38192 Message: 38194

Do you get an error message?

Have you successfully loaded ANY frequencies?

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of tecnico_italia@...
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 5:33 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] HELLP I can not add some frequencies [1 Attachment]

I can not add some frequencies FOR BAOFENG UV-5RA

software UV-R5

BRONDI Frequency: 446-446.1 MHz
BAOFENG UV-5RA

brondi 1 *RX 446.005
brondi 2 *RX 446.015
brondi 3 *RX 446.027
brondi 4 * TX 446.042
brondi 5 * RX 446.042 TX 446.052
brondi 6 * RX 446.060 TX 446.067 / TX 446.067
brondi 7 * RX 446.080 TX 446.080
brondi 8 * RX 446.092 TX 446.092

38196. Re: satellite usage, anyone?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 12:44:29 UTC
From: adrianhay@btinternet.com
Topic: 38106 Message: 38196

Hi Gary, a very interesting article. Thanks for sharing it with us. I have only recently come back on air so have not yet tried my UV-5R to access satellites. However I definitely will do having read that article.
The only HT experience I do have to share was back in the mid 1990's when I managed to get a two way packet radio connect to the MIR space station. I was using an HT with about 4W going into a 5 element beam in the loft. The beam was vertical and in a fixed position so no opportunity to track MIR.
It was great fun and made me realise what an HT with an indoor antenna can do...

73 de Adrian

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:
>
> I was reading http://www.work-sat.com/Work-Sat/Home_files/satbr28_1.pdf
> and got to wondering if anyone is using a UV-5R variant to communicate
> with any satellites.
>
> If so, what cellphone app might you be using using for satellite
> time-of-passage, what antenna and tracking mechanism/technique, etc.
>

38197. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 12:48:23 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38121 Message: 38197

From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>

Perhaps you should read more carefully – I did not refer to either RACES or ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott’s claim that RACES had taken over EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a snowstorm – which was just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five repeaters in the entire metro area.
So, just where are you? If you were here, you'd know how few good repeaters there are around here. Many are listed, but only a few work. Since you're not here, you are unqualified to call my claims BS.

38198. CHIRP HELLP

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 13:19:27 UTC
From: <tecnico_italia@...>
Topic: 38198 Message: 38198
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

I can not add some frequencies FOR BAOFENG UV-5RA

38199. Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 13:59:38 UTC
From: jdhite1
Topic: 38177 Message: 38199

The key symptom has been that the only thing which makes the mic work is using the HT's internal PTT switch, not the one on the speaker-mic itself (an odd thing indeed). However when you mentioned the possible plug diameter problem, I realized that when I use the internal PTT switch, I might be squeezing it with some fingers on the connector side as well. If so, that might accidentally make an otherwise bad plug connection momentarily good. I just did some tests and found out that this is exactly what's been happening! So all my signal tracing, PCB inspection, schematic rendering, etc. -- have all been a waste! Thanks for this tip!

I don't know Radioddity's procedures, but given shipping costs, etc., an RMA swap might not make sense. Still, I went ahead and asked them for an RMA -- so far they have not replied.

John

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@...> wrote:
>
> We had some bad mics that were actually out of spec end connectors. Look very carefully at the ends if you have a micrometer check them. The bad ones were a little off and we could not use them.
>
> Another problem is the mic hole not properly drilled out leaving the hole blocked with mold plastic. Check that too if you bang the speaker/mic do you hear any noise which is an indication of a blocked hole.
>
> FYI the best speaker/mics cost around $15-20 these are heavy molded plastic , rain/water resistant, have heavy duty cords, and a really sturdy PTT. You will see a big difference between these and the under $10 versions.
>
> The best mic is one that has Night vision, sound and video recording, GPS tracking with software that will retrieve your complete daily activities from the mic memory card. You then can hear and watch all contacts with a map of the locations of your activities.
>
> These are a favorite of LEO which need to keep good records of public contacts and interactions. Yes they will work great with the Baofeng and run from $400 to $1000 each
>
>
>
> From: jdhite1
> Sent: Monday, December 23, 2013 2:48 PM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Speaker-Mic Oddity
>
>
> I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad

speaker-mic are:
>
> 1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
>
> 2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.
>
> 3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the normal way. However.....
>
> 4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the one speaker-mic.
>
> I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?
>
> John
>

38200. Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 14:04:19 UTC
From: <tecnico_italia@...>
Topic: 38200 Message: 38200
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

```
BRONDI 8 canali Frequency: 446-446.1 MHz
brondi canali 1 *RX 446.005
brondi canali 2 *RX 446.015
brondi canali 3 *RX 446.027
brondi canali 4 * TX 446.042
brondi canali 5 * RX 446.042 TX 446.052
brondi canali 6 * RX 446.060 TX 446.067 / TX 446.067
brondi canali 7 * RX 446.080 TX 446.080
brondi canali 8 * RX 446.092 TX 446.092
```

```
Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA
```

38201. group

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 14:08:26 UTC
From: <dezertjeep@...>
Topic: 38201 Message: 38201

How do I discontinue the auto emails from the group

38202. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] group

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 14:09:31 UTC
From: Steve Spence
Topic: 38201 Message: 38202

Change your subscription settings on the yahoo group page.

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 9:08 AM, <dezertjeep@...> wrote:

>
>
> How do I discontinue the auto emails from the group
>
>

--
Steve Spence
Director, Green Trust
http://www.green-trust.org

38203. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] CHIRP HELLP [2 Attachments]

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 14:11:20 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38198 Message: 38203

A CHIRP .img file is not a screen capture (or picture) of the CHIRP screen.
It is the data file that CHIRP saves containing the radios channels and
settings. {SomeFileName}.img

Jim KC9HI

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 8:19 AM, <tecnico_italia@...> wrote:

>
>
> I can not add some frequencies FOR BAOFENG UV-5RA
>
>

38205. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA [1 Attachment]

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 14:14:09 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38200 Message: 38205

Are you using Windows 7? If you send me a remote help request I will look at your setup and help you out.

o “Help” and look up “remote help”. It will email me and I can then log on to your computer.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of tecnico_italia@...
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 8:04 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA [1 Attachment]

BRONDI 8 canali Frequency: 446-446.1 MHz

brondi canali 1 *RX 446.005
brondi canali 2 *RX 446.015
brondi canali 3 *RX 446.027
brondi canali 4 * TX 446.042
brondi canali 5 * RX 446.042 TX 446.052
brondi canali 6 * RX 446.060 TX 446.067 / TX 446.067
brondi canali 7 * RX 446.080 TX 446.080
brondi canali 8 * RX 446.092 TX 446.092

Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA

38206. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 14:17:04 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38177 Message: 38206

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 8:59 AM, jdhite1 <jdhite1@...> wrote:

>
>
>
>
> The key symptom has been that the only thing which makes the mic work is
> using the HT's internal PTT switch, not the one on the speaker-mic itself
> (an odd thing indeed). However when you mentioned the possible plug
> diameter problem, I realized that when I use the internal PTT switch, I
> might be squeezing it with some fingers on the connector side as well. If
> so, that might accidentally make an otherwise bad plug connection
> momentarily good. I just did some tests and found out that this is exactly
> what's been happening! So all my signal tracing, PCB inspection, schematic
> rendering, etc. -- have all been a waste! Thanks for this tip!
>
> I don't know Radioddity's procedures, but given shipping costs, etc., an
> RMA swap might not make sense. Still, I went ahead and asked them for an
> RMA -- so far they have not replied.
>
> John
>
This is a common problem with the UV-5R, especially with programming
cables. And the blame cannot be fully placed on the external device. I
believe that variations of the depth of the contacts inside the radio along
with variations in the length of the pins cause certain combinations to
have more problems than others.

Jim KC9HI

38207. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] group

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 14:19:20 UTC
From: Jim Laning
Topic: 38201 Message: 38207

Or scroll to the bottom of each and every message you receive from this group and click on "unsubscribe"

;D

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Steve Spence
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 8:10 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] group

Change your subscription settings on the yahoo group page.

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 9:08 AM, <dezertjeep@...
<mailto:dezertjeep@...> > wrote:

How do I discontinue the auto emails from the group

--
Steve Spence
Director, Green Trust
http://www.green-trust.org

38208. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] group

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 14:23:47 UTC
From: Steve Spence
Topic: 38201 Message: 38208

Maybe he doesn't want to unsubscribe, but just go digest or web only.

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 9:19 AM, Jim Laning <Jim_Laning@...> wrote:

>
>
> Or scroll to the bottom of each and every message you receive from this
> group and click on “unsubscribe”
>
>
>
> ;D
>
>
>
> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> *On Behalf Of *Steve Spence
> *Sent:* Tuesday, December 24, 2013 8:10 AM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] group
>
>
>
>
>
> Change your subscription settings on the yahoo group page.
>
>
>
> On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 9:08 AM, <dezertjeep@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> How do I discontinue the auto emails from the group
>
>
>
>
> --
> Steve Spence
> Director, Green Trust
> http://www.green-trust.org
>
>
>

--
Steve Spence
Director, Green Trust
http://www.green-trust.org

38209. Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 14:24:15 UTC
From: jdhite1
Topic: 38177 Message: 38209

The problem turned out to be an out of tolerance 3.5mm plug. I can't easily fix this, but at least the mystery is solved!

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...> wrote:
>
> At 09:48 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion
> >speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either
> >radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when
> >this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad
> >speaker-mic are:
> >
> >1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
> >
> >2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.
> >
> >3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the
> >normal way. However.....
> >
> >4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the
> >speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works
> >fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used
> >in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the
> >one speaker-mic.
> >
> >I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given
> >on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone
> >have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?
>
> It used to be that capacitor microphones were used and they require power.
> So the external microphone had the PTT wired in series with the mic.
>
>
> >John
>

38210. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] group

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 14:29:32 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38201 Message: 38210

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 9:08 AM, <dezertjeep@...> wrote:

>
>
> How do I discontinue the auto emails from the group
>
>
Login to your Yahoo Groups account.
Click on "Manage My Groups".
Change the Mail Subscription for this group to "No Email"

Jim KC9HI

38211. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 15:07:40 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38200 Message: 38211

Figured out his problem….CHIRP behaves quite strangely if you try and enter a frequency that’s not a 2.5KHz step. And he has a bunch of those.

Take a frequency that has 0 in it and try to add “446.027” – In both his CHIRP and mine it puts in another completely irrelevant frequency (appears to be the frequency of the prior channel). Quite confusing. Put it in as “446.0275” and it’s OK. Put 146.027 in the next channel and it will stick 446.0275 in there.

IMHO CHIRP should do the “right” thing and round the number to the nearest 2.5KHz.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of tecnico_italia@...
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 8:04 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA [1 Attachment]

BRONDI 8 canali Frequency: 446-446.1 MHz

brondi canali 1 *RX 446.005
brondi canali 2 *RX 446.015
brondi canali 3 *RX 446.027
brondi canali 4 * TX 446.042
brondi canali 5 * RX 446.042 TX 446.052
brondi canali 6 * RX 446.060 TX 446.067 / TX 446.067
brondi canali 7 * RX 446.080 TX 446.080
brondi canali 8 * RX 446.092 TX 446.092

Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA

38212. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 15:27:08 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38200 Message: 38212

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 10:07 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

>
>
> IMHO CHIRP should do the “right” thing and round the number to the nearest
> 2.5KHz.
>
>
Except that wouldn't be the "right" thing if the target frequency was meant
to be for a 6.25 KHz step (ending in .25 KHz or .75 KHz).

Jim KC9HI

38213. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 15:50:03 UTC
From: <chad_walin@...>
Topic: 38200 Message: 38213

The VFO is probably limited to the 2.5KHz step, changing the least significant bit changes the frequency by 2.5KHz.

38214. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 16:36:13 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38200 Message: 38214

Picking a completely WRONG frequency is bad behavior.

Though why the internal step size should restrict what you can input via the software makes no sense to me.

Step size, to me, only seems convenient for scanning to limit the time taken. Why would you care when programming it via CHIRP?

And, if you use the VIP software there is no such restriction. You can enter 406.27 even if the step size is 25Hz on the radio and it comes up as 406.25.the nearest 2.5Khz

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 9:27 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 10:07 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

IMHO CHIRP should do the "right" thing and round the number to the nearest 2.5KHz.

Except that wouldn't be the "right" thing if the target frequency was meant to be for a 6.25 KHz step (ending in .25 KHz or .75 KHz).

Jim KC9HI

38215. Can't Find BF-U8 Software

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 16:41:55 UTC
From: <pshea@...>
Topic: 38215 Message: 38215

Anyone know what software to use to program the BF-U8 radio. I have search and tried what little I can find on the internet. Baofeng Site does not even list the radio.

Thanks

38217. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 16:53:39 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38121 Message: 38217

If you are not registered in RACES you won't need to worry about them taking it over. Most repeaters have their own rules and defer to any emergency. That's usually the whole idea behind them. The rest of the time is practice and play time.

http://www.w5yi.org/page.php?id=165

Though if somebody uses your repeater for an emergency it would be considered bad form to override them. Again something you probably never have to worry about.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Henry
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 10:45 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Pardon me for not knowing, as I'm a new Ham, but if I installed a repeater as I am considering (my property is up on high ground about 200 ft above the surrounding area) then ARES or RACES would have some kind of legal authority to take it over in an emergency?
Even if it was a GMRS repeater?
Thanks.

Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
jhenry@... jameshenry@...
www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
"Accept change and survive. EMBRACE change and you will THRIVE."

On Tue Dec 24 06:48:23 CST 2013, "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>

Perhaps you should read more carefully ? I did not refer to either RACES or
ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott?s claim that RACES had taken over
EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a snowstorm ? which was
just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five

repeaters in the
entire metro area.
So, just where are you? If you were here, you'd know
how few good repeaters there are around here. Many
are listed, but only a few work. Since you're not here,
you are unqualified to call my claims BS.

38218. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] group

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 18:11:28 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 38201 Message: 38218

Look at the very bottom line of the emails.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 24, 2013, at 6:08, <dezertjeep@...> wrote:
>
> How do I discontinue the auto emails from the group
>
>

38219. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 18:14:58 UTC
From: John W.
Topic: 38121 Message: 38219

Your repeater is your property. You have exclusive say on who can or can not use it. You have every right to deny them.

38220. RE: Can't Find BF-U8 Software

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 18:24:28 UTC
From: <wakobe@...>
Topic: 38215 Message: 38220

The Christ Child has uploaded what .....

http://www.linkfile.de/download-a4e1d10a7a8bc2dae8f3d9936475502f.php

Walter

38221. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 18:29:13 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 38121 Message: 38221

Our ARES group does not use GMRS, but has agreements with some but not all local repeater owners and the local radio club to use their repeaters when necessary. The repeater owners do have the right to set limits on who can and cannot use their equipment, just like you have the right to say who can and cannot use your station in you car or home. I have never run across a repeater owner who denied use use (shared) during search and rescue missions,, either.

Www.ccareswa.org. Www.w7aia.org. Www.silverstarsar.org

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 24, 2013, at 8:44, Jim Henry <jhenry@airpower.com> wrote:
>
> Pardon me for not knowing, as I'm a new Ham, but if I installed a
> repeater as I am considering (my property is up on high ground
> about 200 ft above the surrounding area) then ARES or RACES would
> have some kind of legal authority to take it over in an
> emergency?
> Even if it was a GMRS repeater?
> Thanks.
>
> ---
> Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
> jhenry@... jameshenry@...
> www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
> GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
> "Accept change and survive. EMBRACE change and you will THRIVE."
>
> On Tue Dec 24 06:48:23 CST 2013, "Scott, KB3JQQ"
> <kb3jqq@...> wrote:
>
> _____
> From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>
>
> Perhaps you should read more carefully ? I did not refer to either
> RACES or
> ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott?s claim that RACES had
> taken over
> EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a
> snowstorm ? which was
> just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five
> repeaters in the
> entire metro area.

> So, just where are you? If you were here, you'd know
> how few good repeaters there are around here. Many
> are listed, but only a few work. Since you're not here,
> you are unqualified to call my claims BS.
>
>

38223. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 18:53:15 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 38121 Message: 38223

and although a repeater owner has a right to deny use of it to anyone,
and I have seen the FCC take Hams to task for violating directives to
stay off of a repeater, I can not imagine them sanctioning anyone for
use of a repeater in an emergency--even a closed repeater

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 12:29 PM, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:

>
>
> Our ARES group does not use GMRS, but has agreements with some but not all
> local repeater owners and the local radio club to use their repeaters when
> necessary. The repeater owners do have the right to set limits on who can
> and cannot use their equipment, just like you have the right to say who can
> and cannot use your station in you car or home. I have never run across a
> repeater owner who denied use use (shared) during search and rescue
> missions,, either.
>
> Www.ccareswa.org. Www.w7aia.org. Www.silverstarsar.org
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
> On Dec 24, 2013, at 8:44, Jim Henry <jhenry@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Pardon me for not knowing, as I'm a new Ham, but if I installed a
> repeater as I am considering (my property is up on high ground
> about 200 ft above the surrounding area) then ARES or RACES would
> have some kind of legal authority to take it over in an
> emergency?
> Even if it was a GMRS repeater?
> Thanks.
>
> ---
> Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
> jhenry@... jameshenry@...
> www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
> GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
> "Accept change and survive. EMBRACE change and you will THRIVE."
>

> On Tue Dec 24 06:48:23 CST 2013, "Scott, KB3JQQ"
> <kb3jqq@...> wrote:
>
> _____
> From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>
>
> Perhaps you should read more carefully ? I did not refer to either
> RACES or
> ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott?s claim that RACES had
> taken over
> EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a
> snowstorm ? which was
> just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five
> repeaters in the
> entire metro area.
> So, just where are you? If you were here, you'd know
> how few good repeaters there are around here. Many
> are listed, but only a few work. Since you're not here,
> you are unqualified to call my claims BS.
>
>
>

38224. RE: Can't Find BF-U8 Software

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 19:17:02 UTC
From: <pshea@...>
Topic: 38215 Message: 38224

The software is for the UV-8 and I have the BF-U8. I downloaded the software and it does not work. I tested my programming cable after on another radio and also tried a second BF-U8. So I am fairly sure this is the wrong software for this radio.

Thanks

38225. RE: Can't Find BF-U8 Software

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 19:38:29 UTC
From: <wakobe@...>
Topic: 38215 Message: 38225

Since the Christ Child was not paying attention ...
Well here is the right software ..
http://www.yaesu.hk/pic/download/BF-480_490_3U_888.rar
Also fits the U8!
Walter

38226. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 20:48:59 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38177 Message: 38226

Yes, we had 10 of these to deal with and that is how we found out what the problem was. Some are easy to spot after you get a few bad ones by the end shape.

Just another lesson that there are a lot of low quality out of spec accessories out there for these products.

From: jdhite1
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 6:24 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

The problem turned out to be an out of tolerance 3.5mm plug. I can't easily fix this, but at least the mystery is solved!

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...> wrote:
>
> At 09:48 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion
> >speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either
> >radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when
> >this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad
> >speaker-mic are:
> >
> >1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
> >
> >2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.
> >
> >3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the
> >normal way. However.....
> >
> >4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the
> >speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works
> >fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used
> >in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the
> >one speaker-mic.
> >
> >I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given
> >on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone
> >have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?
>
> It used to be that capacitor microphones were used and they require power.
> So the external microphone had the PTT wired in series with the mic.
>
>

> >John
>

38227. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 20:57:29 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38177 Message: 38227

I also suspect some of these bad cables might be also oversized and be part of the “defective internal mic issue” problem.

This problem surfaces when programming certain radios , after programming the internal mic is inop due to the oversized pin on the cable to push the jack contacts to far into the uv5R jacks. The contact then sticks in the jack either against the sticky veneer jack strip or some veneer residue.

(Note other mic issues surface possibly due to heat (leaving in a car in summer) or long transmission times (heats up) letting the glue seep into the jacks.)

This requires the alcohol Q-tip (or pipe cleaner) fix. Using the same cable causes the problem to resurface. I generally toss the USB cable because of this. Some shapes are worse than others on the end. A large number of cables that were going to be used to build digi interfaces were all found to be out of spec (20 of them)

You never know what you are getting from China

From: Jim Unroe
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 6:17 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 8:59 AM, jdhite1 <jdhite1@...> wrote:

The key symptom has been that the only thing which makes the mic work is using the HT's internal PTT switch, not the one on the speaker-mic itself (an odd thing indeed). However when you mentioned the possible plug diameter problem, I realized that when I use the internal PTT switch, I might be squeezing it with some fingers on the connector side as well. If so, that might accidentally make an otherwise bad plug connection momentarily good. I just did some tests and found out that this is exactly what's been happening! So all my signal tracing, PCB inspection, schematic rendering, etc. -- have all been a waste! Thanks for this tip!

I don't know Radioddity's procedures, but given shipping costs, etc., an RMA swap might not make sense. Still, I went ahead and asked them for an RMA -- so far they have not replied.

John

This is a common problem with the UV-5R, especially with programming cables. And the blame cannot be fully placed on the external device. I believe that variations of the depth of the contacts inside the radio along with variations in the length of the pins cause certain combinations to have more problems than others.

Jim KC9HI

38228. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 21:00:56 UTC
From: John W.
Topic: 38121 Message: 38228

Well, in the face of a REAL emergency, I would expect the group needing its use would contact the owner(s) and ask, instead of hijacking the machine. I'm sure most owners would be happy to assist.

From: Timothy-Allen Albertson <kd0oia@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 1:51 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

true--but Good Amateur Practice would be, it would seem to me, to acquiescence
to the requests made by RACES, ARES and similar organizations in the event
of an actual emergency---just because one does not have a legal obligation does

not mean that one does have a moral obligation

38229. RE: Can't Find BF-U8 Software

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 21:17:21 UTC
From: <pshea@...>
Topic: 38215 Message: 38229

Thanks, I have tried this one but it only list the BF-480 model and will not communicate to the radio. I have a real serial port, serial cable, and I have tested it talking to my ft-60 and vx-3r using other software and chirp. I think the .rar file may be missing the software for the BF-U8 despite the naming of the .rar file.

38230. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 21:30:49 UTC
From: <gkearns@...>
Topic: 38121 Message: 38230

In Canada the Queen owns you and your station in the case of an emergency and you must comply.

Gord VA3GLK

From: John W.
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 4:00 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Well, in the face of a REAL emergency, I would expect the group needing its use would contact the owner(s) and ask, instead of hijacking the machine. I'm sure most owners would be happy to assist.

From: Timothy-Allen Albertson <kd0oia@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 1:51 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

true--but Good Amateur Practice would be, it would seem to me, to acquiescence
to the requests made by RACES, ARES and similar organizations in the event
of an actual emergency---just because one does not have a legal obligation does

not mean that one does have a moral obligation

38231. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 21:41:12 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38200 Message: 38231

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 11:36 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

>
>
> Picking a completely WRONG frequency is bad behavior.
>
> Though why the internal step size should restrict what you can input via
> the software makes no sense to me.
>
> Step size, to me, only seems convenient for scanning to limit the time
> taken. Why would you care when programming it via CHIRP?
>
>
>
> And, if you use the VIP software there is no such restriction. You can
> enter 406.27 even if the step size is 25Hz on the radio and it comes up as
> 406.25…the nearest 2.5Khz
>
>
>
>
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
Mike,

In your example, the VIP software rounded down to the nearest 25 KHz, not 2.5 KHz.

25.0 KHz = .025 MHz
2.5 KHz = .0025 MHz

I see what you mean though. CHIRP refuses to let you key in a frequency that doesn't fit a valid step pattern (at least in the spreadsheet memory editor). If you haven't keyed in any previous valid frequencies and then key in an invalid frequency, the frequency is rejected and it looks as though it is replaced with a valid frequency from thin air (I think I got 146.010, so that frequency must have been cached somewhere). If you have previously keyed in a valid frequency and key in an invallid frequency, the previously accepted frequency appears to replace it. But in both cases the value is greyed out and will disappear if you press the [Go] button, upload to the radio or save to a file. I agree, this probably isn't the best behavior.

Keying in a frequency via the right-click memory editor apparently bypasses the check to make sure the frequency matches a valid step pattern and allows you to key in nearly anything.

Back to the original post. It looks like the OP is attempting to enter the analog PMR446 frequencies. According to wikipedia, none of the frequencies that were listed are correct. Here is what they should be.

PMR Channel Frequency (MHz) 1 446.00625 2 446.01875 3 446.03125 4 446.04375 5 446.05625 6 446.06875 7 446.08125 8 446.09375
I keyed every one into CHIRP and every one took the first time. Not one is on a 2.5 KHz step. They would all require that the radio be set to a step of 6.25 KHz to be keyed in from the radio's keypad.

73,
Jim KC9HI

38232. RE: Can't Find BF-U8 Software

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 19:37:53 UTC
From: <wakobe@...>
Topic: 38215 Message: 38232

Since the Christ Child was not paying attention ...
Well here is the right software ..
http://www.yaesu.hk/pic/download/BF-480_490_3U_888.rar
Also fits the U8!
Walter

38233. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Can't Find BF-U8 Software

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 19:20:40 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38215 Message: 38233

409shop shows this software for the BF-U8

http://www.yaesu.hk/pic/download/BF-480_490_3U_888.rar

From this page:

http://www.409shop.com/shop_displaynews.php?id=292

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of pshea@...
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 1:17 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Can't Find BF-U8 Software

The software is for the UV-8 and I have the BF-U8. I downloaded the software and it does not work. I tested my programming cable after on another radio and also tried a second BF-U8. So I am fairly sure this is the wrong software for this radio.

Thanks

38234. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Can't Find BF-U8 Software

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 20:48:47 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38215 Message: 38234

I don’t know if this was spam or just general stupidity because I don’t follow random links posted by idiots, but regardless of the intent Walter’s post is both off topic and offensive

From: wakobe@web.de
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 13:24 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Can't Find BF-U8 Software

The Christ Child has uploaded what .....

http://www.linkfile.de/download-a4e1d10a7a8bc2dae8f3d9936475502f.php

Walter

38235. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 21:50:23 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 38121 Message: 38235

true--i didnt mean to imply that they wouldnt---but any Ham worth his or
her gear
is going to assist emergency traffic

72/73 TIMOTHY-ALLEN ALBERTSON
KD∅OIA/(ex KG6IRH)

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 3:00 PM, John W. <jl_wilkerson@...> wrote:

>
>
> Well, in the face of a REAL emergency, I would expect the group needing
> its use would contact the owner(s) and ask, instead of hijacking the
> machine. I'm sure most owners would be happy to assist.
>
>
> ----------
> *From:* Timothy-Allen Albertson <kd0oia@...>
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Tuesday, December 24, 2013 1:51 PM
>
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense
>
>
>
> true--but Good Amateur Practice would be, it would seem to me, to
> acquiescence
> to the requests made by RACES, ARES and similar organizations in the event
> of an actual emergency---just because one does not have a legal obligation
> does
> not mean that one does have a moral obligation
>
>
>
>

38236. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 22:05:37 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 38121 Message: 38236

My local repeater is owned by a club. There is a subgroup of the club that is ARES and Skywarn. If there is a weather warning, we activate Skywarn and essentially take over the repeater. Any traffic wanting to use the repeater is told that Skywarn is in operation and not to use the repeater. The owners of a repeater can limit who uses the repeater at any time one way or another.
----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Henry
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 11:44 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Pardon me for not knowing, as I'm a new Ham, but if I installed a
repeater as I am considering (my property is up on high ground
about 200 ft above the surrounding area) then ARES or RACES would
have some kind of legal authority to take it over in an
emergency?
Even if it was a GMRS repeater?
Thanks.

Jim Henry - jimhenry2000 - Skype and Yahoo
jhenry@airpower.com jameshenry@...
www.linkedin.com/in/jameshenry/
GMRS WQRR751 ARS KC3BFN
"Accept change and survive. EMBRACE change and you will THRIVE."

On Tue Dec 24 06:48:23 CST 2013, "Scott, KB3JQQ"
<kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:

_____
From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>

Perhaps you should read more carefully ? I did not refer to either
RACES or
ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott?s claim that RACES had
taken over
EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a
snowstorm ? which was
just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five
repeaters in the
entire metro area.
So, just where are you? If you were here, you'd know
how few good repeaters there are around here. Many
are listed, but only a few work. Since you're not here,
you are unqualified to call my claims BS.

38237. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 22:38:58 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38121 Message: 38237

-----Original Message-----
From: Jim Henry

> Pardon me for not knowing, as I'm a new Ham, but if I installed
> a repeater as I am considering (my property is up on high ground
> about 200 ft above the surrounding area) then ARES or RACES
> would have some kind of legal authority to take it over in an
> emergency?
> Even if it was a GMRS repeater?

You have already had several replies - most of which are reasonably accurate as far as they went, but I will add a few thoughts:

To answer your last question first, neither RACES nor ARES have any authority to operate on any GMRS frequency - EVER - including in so-called emergencies. Any individual wanting to use any GMRS frequency must be covered by a GMRS license (yes, even in an emergency!)

To respond to the first part of your question, neither RACES nor ARES have any authority to "take over" any amateur repeater under any circumstances.

A repeater owner has both the right and the responsibility to control how his repeater is used, including the right to control who uses the repeater.

There is no rule specifically requiring you to allow RACES, ARES, or anyone else to use your repeater under any circumstances; conceivably the FCC could cite you for a violation of "good amateur practice" if you refused to allow actual emergency communications through your repeater.

"ARES" is a registered trademark of the American Radio Relay League (ARRL). ARES is a private organization of licensed amateur radio operators. It has no legal authority beyond that of its individual members as licensed amateurs. The structure of ARES varies widely from place to place under the loose guidance of the ARRL. Only ARRL members may be appointed to leadership positions in the ARES structure, but any licensed amateur may be an ARES member depending on the local policies. Most (but certainly not all) ARES units fall into one of two general structures: 1 - just a list of names and callsigns on a roster maintained by the local ARES Emergency Coordinator; or 2 - the members of some local amateur radio club that considers emergency communications one of their activities. In many areas, ARES is effectively a blend of these two structures where a particular club forms the core but other local amateurs may be considered ARES members without being members of that club.

While ARES itself has no authority to take over any repeater, if the local ARES unit consists entirely or mostly of members of a particular club, and if that club happens to sponsor its own repeater, then you have a situation

where the repeater owner (the club) might say that only ARES can use the repeater. Likewise, if just an individual amateur owns a repeater, that individual repeater owner can limit use of his repeater to ARES if he wants to.

"RACES" legally is not an organization at all. Legally speaking RACES (the Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service) is a radio service, just like the Amateur RADIO SERVICE, or the General Mobile RADIO SERVICE, the Family RADIO SERVICE or the Citizens RADIO SERVICE. Legally, RACES exists separately from the Amateur Radio Service so that the government can shut down the Amateur Radio Service but still allow RACES to operate. Historically, RACES had its own rules - which were quite complex - that allowed for both amateurs and non-amateurs to be registered to operate in RACES. I won't try to go into the long history of RACES, but the simple fact is that many local government Civil Defense departments significantly abused their authorization to operate in RACES and eventually the FCC changed the rules so that only licensed amateurs could register as part of RACES and the FCC stopped issuing and renewing RACES Station Licenses (which used to have WC#xxx callsigns). For example, you can do an ULS search for callsign WC4AAB and find the expired RACES Station License that had been issued to the Louisville and Jefferson County Department of Disaster and Emergency Services.

The name "RACES" is frequently applied to the organization of licensed amateur radio operators who are registered with their local emergency management agency. As an organization, RACES is part of state or local government under the control of emergency management. There is no federal level of RACES (despite one misguided draft publication produced by a couple of FEMA employees as CPG 1-15 which tried to describe how FEMA expected to manage RACES). In most states, RACES exists entirely at the local (county or city) level; a few states (such as California) do (or did) manage RACES at the state level. In a few areas, the local emergency management agency does actually own an amateur repeater, although they have to have a licensed amateur as the trustee and control operator. Exactly like in the case of club sponsored repeaters being dedicated to ARES, the same situation would apply where a repeater is operated by a club or an individual ham who chooses to limit use of that repeater to "RACES" at certain times. It is not a case of ARES or RACES taking over a repeater, but rather an instance of the repeater owner choosing to limit the use of his repeater.

There are a lot of misconceptions about (and within) RACES. The most common misconceptions center around 97.407(c), 97.407(d), and 97.407(d)(4) -- which sharply limit who can operate in RACES (the radio service), what they can say, and when they can say it. These misconceptions come from confusing RACES (the radio service) with the organization calling itself "RACES." If believed, these misconceptions would lead you to assume that by joining the organization calling itself RACES that licensed amateur radio operators somehow give up or lose their regular amateur radio privileges. These misconceptions are frequently encouraged by ARES members. The simple fact is that 97.407 applies only to RACES as a radio service which really exists only when the Amateur Radio Service is shut down "In the event of an

emergency which necessitates invoking the President's War Emergency Powers under the provisions of section 706 of the Communications Act of 1934." If the president did order the Amateur Radio Service to shut down, then yes, RACES would "take over" any ham repeaters that remained on the air, not because they have a special right to take over anything but just as a result of the fact that being RACES would be the only way that a formerly ham repeater would be able to stay on the air. I would point out that the Amateur Radio Service was last shut down during World War II (before there was specific legal authority for the shutdown) and with modern technology there is simply no reason to believe that there will be any need to shut down the Amateur Radio Service to prevent enemy bombers from homing in on hams chatting on the air as their way to find our cities. As long as the Amateur Radio Service is not shut down, then RACES members are all licensed hams who are perfectly free to do anything that any other ham of the same license class can do.

As for Scott's nonsense complaint that ARES and RACES somehow "took over" every single repeater within range of the Baltimore metro area, let's pretend that we believe him that there really are only five repeaters in the entire area and that each of those repeaters was "taken over" by a local city or county ARES or RACES net. So what?? No ARES or RACES net has ever refused to accept any emergency message - so exactly what is this "take over" that Scott is whining about? That the repeaters were busy with people talking about the snowstorm and he was unable to chat with some friend when he wanted to?

38238. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] group

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 23:29:50 UTC
From: <tenorman1952@...>
Topic: 38201 Message: 38238

It is NOT necessary to unsubscribe or remove oneself from the group to stop receiving emails.

If the member will go into his settings for the group he will find that he may:

(1) Receive each post as a separate email. This is what most complaints are about.

(2) Receive a number of posts together in a single email known as a "Daily Digest".

or

(3) Web Only. With this option the member still can access the posts, files, everything here on the web, but without receiving emails.

I have my personal settings on my favorite Yahoo Groups coming to me as "Daily Digest". For others that I may want to read only on occasion, I have them set for "Web Only."

73,
Paul - AE5JU

38239. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Can't Find BF-U8 Software

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 23:43:33 UTC
From: <wakobe@...>
Topic: 38215 Message: 38239

Man what you have problems here.
This is not a spam but Baofeng software from a handheld radio!!

Look Picture!!

[URL=http://s14.directupload.net/file/d/3482/pd76tqvh_jpg.htm][IMG]http://s14.directupload.net/images/131225/temp/pd76tqvh.jpg[/IMG][/URL]

Then I save my work, invite any file more highly .....
Who needs the software??

In addition, I have the software in the File section uploaded!

Walter

38240. RE: Can't Find BF-U8 Software

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 23:48:18 UTC
From: <wakobe@...>
Topic: 38215 Message: 38240

Man what you have problems here.
This is not a spam but Baofeng software from a handheld radio!!

Look Picture!!

http://s14.directupload.net/file/d/3482/pd76tqvh_jpg.htm

Then I save my work, invite any file more highly .....
Who needs the software??

In addition, I have the software in the File section uploaded!

Walter

38242. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 00:25:33 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38121 Message: 38242

Our situation here is different then most. Each county and the city of
Baltimore have taken up the repeater in the last snow emergencies.
Since there are so few wide coverage repeaters here, that's why
experience here has taught me to not be reliant on local systems.
Also remember that Baltimore has already been under Martial Law
once in history, and being so close to DC, well those who don't know history...

Anyway Merry Christmas from the Jewish corner of town. Only New
York has more synagogues per square mile then here.

From: Jim Henry <jhenry@airpower.com>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 7:18 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Thanks to everyone for all the responses. I cannot imagine a true
emergency situation where I would deny use of my repeater to valid
emergency organizations. My initial question was in response to
the email where someone stated that RACES and ARES had "taken
over" all the repeaters, leading me to think there might have been
an FCC rule, or a federal or state statute requiring this.
Thanks and Merry Christmas to all.
Jim

38243. Chirp LiveCD

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 00:48:19 UTC
From: <bgiff158@...>
Topic: 20490 Message: 38243

The Chirp LiveCD is now one year old and I have just returned from yet another trip to Afghanistan. I should now be able to update it more often than I have in the past.

A new version is now available for download. This version has the latest Chrip-daily (18 Dec 2013) and the interface has been updated. I still recommend using the LiveCD as a LiveUSB. This allows you to easily update to the newest Chirp-daily and save your files. If you have been using previous versions as a LiveUSB there is no need to update to this version, however you may want to consider doing so because of the cleaner look.

sourceforge.net/projects/chirplivecd/

Merry Christmas

Bob

38244. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Chirp LiveCD

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 01:44:54 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 20490 Message: 38244

Thanks Bob. Welcome back.

Jim KC9HI

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 7:48 PM, <bgiff158@...> wrote:

>
>
> The Chirp LiveCD is now one year old and I have just returned from yet
> another trip to Afghanistan. I should now be able to update it more often
> than I have in the past.
>
> A new version is now available for download. This version has the latest
> Chrip-daily (18 Dec 2013) and the interface has been updated. I still
> recommend using the LiveCD as a LiveUSB. This allows you to easily update
> to the newest Chirp-daily and save your files. If you have been using
> previous versions as a LiveUSB there is no need to update to this version,
> however you may want to consider doing so because of the cleaner look.
>
> sourceforge.net/projects/chirplivecd/
>
> Merry Christmas
>
> Bob
>
>

38245. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] group

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-24 23:44:32 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38201 Message: 38245

From: tenorman1952@...

> It is NOT necessary to unsubscribe or remove
> oneself from the group to stop receiving emails.
>
> If the member will go into his settings for the
> group he will find that he may:
> (1) Receive each post as a separate email.
> This is what most complaints are about.
> (2) Receive a number of posts together in a
> single email known as a "Daily Digest".
> or
> (3) Web Only. With this option the member still
> can access the posts, files, everything here on the
> web, but without receiving emails.

Not only are those choices all available via the group website, these choices can also be made directly from email without ever visiting the website

The following commands are available for managing your subscription to mail lists on YahooGroups in e-mail without going to the group web site on Yahoo. These are also the only way you can manage your subscription if you didn't establish a Yahoo ID or didn't link the subscription to your Yahoo ID.

Subscribe: ListName-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

Unsubscribe: ListName-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Using the subscribe command always joins you to the list in "Normal" or individual e-mail mode where you receive each message sent to the list as its own separate message.

The Unsubscribe command removes you completely from the list.

Switch to Digest mail: ListName-digest@yahoogroups.com

Once you are subscribed to a YahooGroups e-mail list, you can use this command to switch from your current mode to Digest mode. In digest mode, instead of receiving each individual e-mail as it is sent to the list, you will normally receive all the messages for a day as a single message. If there are no messages that day, you will not receive anything. If there are 1 to 24 messages you will receive them all together in a single message sent by the server each 24 hours (different groups are processed at different times, but each group is normally processed at about the same time each day). If there are 25 or more messages in the group in one day, the server will automatically send out a digest as soon as it contains 25 messages. Then at the regular time it will send out any remaining messages that arrived since the last digest was sent. No digest ever contains more than 25 messages.

Note also that digests do not include any attachments or graphics that may have been included with the original e-mails.

Switch to No Mail mode: ListName-nomail@yahoogroups.com

This command allows you to remain a member of a YahooGroups mail list while not receiving any mail from that list. This can be useful if you are going on an assignment or on vacation and won't be checking your mail. You can use one of the other commands to turn your mail back on when you return.

Note that when you turn your mail back on, Yahoo does not send you any messages you missed while you were gone. The only way to read any messages that were sent while you were gone is by going to the group web site to read the message archives.

Switch to Normal mail: ListName-normal@yahoogroups.com

You can use this command to switch from Digest mode or No Mail mode to Normal mode. Normal mode is to receive each message on the group mail list as an individual e-mail message.

In all these commands you replace ListName with the name of the list you where you want the command to apply. The list name is separated from the command word by a hyphen. Be careful with lists that have hyphens or underscores in the list name. The format is always the list name followed by a hyphen followed by the command word then the "at" sign followed by yahoogroups dot com

For example, the list name for the baofeng_uv5r group is baofeng_uv5r, so to set your subscription to normal mode you would send an e-mail message to the address baofeng_uv5r-normal@yahoogroups.com

Once you send a command to YahooGroups in e-mail you should get a confirmation message back from Yahoo and you must reply to the confirmation message before the command will take effect.

For all of these commands, you must send the message from the exact e-mail address you want the command to effect.

In each of these commands, only the address counts -- it does not matter what you put in the subject line or in the body of the message. You can leave the subject and body blank, or you can put anything at all in them. The part of the Yahoo Groups server that reads these commands reads only the address you are sending from and the address you sent the message to.

Note that all changes are processed by Yahoo and may take up to 24 hours to take effect. You may continue to receive mail based on the previous setting for up to 48 hours after changing a setting.

To write to the Owner of the List: ListName-owner@yahoogroups.com

This isn't a "command" but you can always use this address to send a message to the owner of any YahooGroup even if you don't know who owns the group and even if you are not a member of that group. This is the one case where the subject line and body text are needed.

Note that you can only send mail to ONE group owner at a time. If you try to send a message to more than one group owner using this method Yahoo will refuse the message.

38246. Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 08:52:17 UTC
From: <tylercoc@...>
Topic: 38246 Message: 38246

So first off I often travel in convoys of 5 or more trucks and even with some larger antennas we often loose connections if distance is over two or so miles on base. I want to set up a repeater in my truck that would be able to extend the range of the handhelds. I heard of cross band repeating and that it is much cheaper than a normal repeater. Would this work for what I want it to do? (Example: YAESU FT-8800R) I do not want to connect to an external repeater, just use my truck only.
The handhelds are baofeng and icom.

Some background information. I have spent about ten hours today trying to figure this out. Simplex will not work because of the amount of people in the convoys (20+ radios at a time if not more) I can not use any outside towers, only the one truck as a repeater. I am not even sure how I would run this set-up on a baofeng as they need to be able to send on one freq and receive on another but the radios can not be touched and will most often be in back packs during operations. If there is some way using chirp? Lastly I see all this about cross band but when I see the manufactures site they all say the repeaters are not to be used as a stand alone, which I need it to be.

In addition I found this, what looks like a dedicated repeater.
http://www.kightradio.com/BFDX-BF-3000-HAM-RADIO-REPEATER...
http://www.kightradio.com/BFDX-BF-3000-HAM-RADIO-REPEATER_p_47.html Would this product work better than cross band repeating? As it appears to be a dedicated repeater.

38247. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 10:11:30 UTC
From: William Croghan
Topic: 38246 Message: 38247

It is a dedicated repeater. If you wanted to change frequencies, it would require retuning of the duplexer, transmitter and receiver. Some of that is not for the inexerienced. The individual radios would of course need to all be programmed for that single frequency pair and offset.

Bill

WB0KSW

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of tylercoc@...
Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 12:52 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

So first off I often travel in convoys of 5 or more trucks and even with some larger antennas we often loose connections if distance is over two or so miles on base. I want to set up a repeater in my truck that would be able to extend the range of the handhelds. I heard of cross band repeating and that it is much cheaper than a normal repeater. Would this work for what I want it to do? (Example: YAESU FT-8800R) I do not want to connect to an external repeater, just use my truck only.
The handhelds are baofeng and icom.

Some background information. I have spent about ten hours today trying to figure this out. Simplex will not work because of the amount of people in the convoys (20+ radios at a time if not more) I can not use any outside towers, only the one truck as a repeater. I am not even sure how I would run this set-up on a baofeng as they need to be able to send on one freq and receive on another but the radios can not be touched and will most often be in back packs during operations. If there is some way using chirp? Lastly I see all this about cross band but when I see the manufactures site they all say the repeaters are not to be used as a stand alone, which I need it to be.

In addition I found this, what looks like a dedicated repeater.
http://www.kightradio.com/BFDX-BF-3000-HAM-RADIO-REPEATER...
<http://www.kightradio.com/BFDX-BF-3000-HAM-RADIO-REPEATER_p_47.html> Would this product work better than cross band repeating? As it appears to be a dedicated repeater.

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2014.0.4259 / Virus Database: 3658/6948 - Release Date: 12/24/13

38248. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Can't Find BF-U8 Software

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 12:53:27 UTC
From: <pshea@...>
Topic: 38215 Message: 38248

Again this software is for the UV-3 and NOT the BF-U8 and does not work for my BF-U8.

38249. Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 14:11:50 UTC
From: jdhite1
Topic: 38177 Message: 38249

Sorry, but I need to retract some of what I've said. After very carefully measuring the plugs on the two speaker-mics I own, it turned out that the diameters of the plugs on the good one and the bad one are identical to within a tolerance of 0.0005" (good quality digital caliper). I also checked the plug lengths and although this is a bit harder to do, the lengths seem to be the same also. The same goes for the shape of the plug tips -- the two look the same.

So while the symptoms implied that the plug size(s) must have been at fault, this appears not to be the case. Next I tried wiggling and flexing the cable and connector on the bad speaker-mic with it plugged into the HT, and this does occasionally allow the mic to work. The flexing/wiggling revealed no pattern -- whatever is wrong, it is subtle. However the problem is quite repeatable since this same speaker-mic acts exactly the same with both HTs.

I contacted Radioddity about an RMA, and they are willing. However I wish the problem acted less like an intermittent. Whatever is wrong is slight, so this makes me wonder if they will report "no problem found" and send back the same speaker-mic!

Thanks for your (and everyone else's) thoughts and help.

Happy Holidays to all....!!!

John

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@...> wrote:
>
> Yes, we had 10 of these to deal with and that is how we found out what the problem was. Some are easy to spot after you get a few bad ones by the end shape.
>
> Just another lesson that there are a lot of low quality out of spec accessories out there for these products.
>
>
>
> From: jdhite1
> Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 6:24 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
>
>
> The problem turned out to be an out of tolerance 3.5mm plug. I can't easily fix this, but at least the mystery is solved!
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@> wrote:
> >
> > At 09:48 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:

> > >
> > >
> > >I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion
> > >speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either
> > >radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when
> > >this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad
> > >speaker-mic are:
> > >
> > >1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
> > >
> > >2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.
> > >
> > >3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the
> > >normal way. However.....
> > >
> > >4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the
> > >speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works
> > >fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used
> > >in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the
> > >one speaker-mic.
> > >
> > >I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given
> > >on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone
> > >have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?
> >
> > It used to be that capacitor microphones were used and they require power.
> > So the external microphone had the PTT wired in series with the mic.
> >
> >
> > >John
> >
>

38250. RE: Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 14:56:06 UTC
From: <fqo63ta@...>
Topic: 38246 Message: 38250

Hello

If you want to increase range between trucks in your convoy, I suggest installing 2 meter amplifiers. They would give you about 100 watts output and the flexibility of operating some radios on one frequency and some radios on a different frequency.
You could use one frequency as a "Calling" frequency and then switch off to a different frequency for conservation.
Of course, the antenna is the most important component in any radio system, so a high quality, external antenna would be a must.

HTH.

Merry Christmas & 73.. Tad WA1FQO

38251. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 15:30:59 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 38246 Message: 38251

You would probably do just as well, if not better, to use good external antennas. Of course, they would each probably cost more than the radio. But, it would be less expensive than adding a repeater and/or using amplifiers. The HTs are capable of 4 watts output, and that should give you 10 miles or so of range. If the vehicles are outside that radius.... it's not a convoy anymore.

FWIW, I once used FRS transceivers for a 3-vehicle convoy from Colorado to Pennsylvania. It worked just fine.. 100% copy over the entire trip. And, FRS radios have a fixed stubby antenna and run 1/2 watt.

Again, I say a nice external antenna... mag-mount perhaps, would do the job for a convoy.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 25, 2013, at 3:52 AM, <tylercoc@...> <tylercoc@...>
wrote:

>
>
> So first off I often travel in convoys of 5 or more trucks and even
> with some larger antennas we often loose connections if distance is
> over two or so miles on base. I want to set up a repeater in my
> truck that would be able to extend the range of the handhelds. I
> heard of cross band repeating and that it is much cheaper than a
> normal repeater. Would this work for what I want it to do? (Example:
> YAESU FT-8800R) I do not want to connect to an external repeater,
> just use my truck only.
> The handhelds are baofeng and icom.
>
>
> Some background information. I have spent about ten hours today
> trying to figure this out. Simplex will not work because of the
> amount of people in the convoys (20+ radios at a time if not more) I
> can not use any outside towers, only the one truck as a repeater. I
> am not even sure how I would run this set-up on a baofeng as they
> need to be able to send on one freq and receive on another but the
> radios can not be touched and will most often be in back packs
> during operations. If there is some way using chirp? Lastly I see
> all this about cross band but when I see the manufactures site they
> all say the repeaters are not to be used as a stand alone, which I
> need it to be.

>
> In addition I found this, what looks like a dedicated repeater.
http://www.kightradio.com/BFDX-BF-3000-HAM-RADIO-REPEATER
> ... Would this product work better than cross band repeating? As it
> appears to be a dedicated repeater.

38252. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 15:35:23 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38200 Message: 38252

OK..so the solution is intelligent rounding maybe? Nearest 2.5 or 6.25 - whichever is nearer?

Is this a restriction on what the radio can accept? Is the radio chip restricted to certain frequencies in those multiples?

The Baofeng software rounds down to the nearest 2.5 or 6.25. That's close and a much better solution than CHIRP has which just puts in the frequency of another channel.

So if you enter 460.007 you get 460.0625. Enter 460.006 and you get 460.005.

I would maintain 460.006 is nearer 460.0625 so should be rounded up, not down..to the nearest.

I may be missing an example where this is wrong but I can't seem to find one where it doesn't work.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 9:27 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA

On Tue, Dec 24, 2013 at 10:07 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

IMHO CHIRP should do the "right" thing and round the number to the nearest 2.5KHz.

Except that wouldn't be the "right" thing if the target frequency was meant to be for a 6.25 KHz step (ending in .25 KHz or .75 KHz).

Jim KC9HI

38253. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 16:04:59 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38246 Message: 38253

If I had to extend my HT to a convoy using 2 meters, I would cross-band the 2 meter freq to a 440 simplex freq, and use my HT on 440. Anyone else near you could also use the cross-band unit on 440 if they wanted.

From: Ray Sills <raysills3@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 10:30 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

You would probably do just as well, if not better, to use good external antennas. Of course, they would each probably cost more than the radio. But, it would be less expensive than adding a repeater and/or using amplifiers. The HTs are capable of 4 watts output, and that should give you 10 miles or so of range. If the vehicles are outside that radius.... it's not a convoy anymore.

FWIW, I once used FRS transceivers for a 3-vehicle convoy from Colorado to Pennsylvania. It worked just fine.. 100% copy over the entire trip. And, FRS radios have a fixed stubby antenna and run 1/2 watt.

Again, I say a nice external antenna... mag-mount perhaps, would do the job for a convoy.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

On Dec 25, 2013, at 3:52 AM, <tylercoc@...> <tylercoc@...>
wrote:

>
>
> So first off I often travel in convoys of 5 or more trucks and even
> with some larger antennas we often loose connections if distance is
> over two or so miles on base. I want to set up a repeater in my
> truck that would be able to extend the range of the handhelds. I
> heard of cross band repeating and that it is much cheaper than a
> normal repeater. Would this work for what I want it to do? (Example:
> YAESU FT-8800R) I do not want to connect to an external repeater,
> just use my truck only.
> The handhelds are baofeng and icom.
>
>

> Some background information. I have spent about ten hours today
> trying to figure this out. Simplex will not work because of the
> amount of people in the convoys (20+ radios at a time if not more) I
> can not use any outside towers, only the one truck as a repeater. I
> am not even sure how I would run this set-up on a baofeng as they
> need to be able to send on one freq and receive on another but the
> radios can not be touched and will most often be in back packs
> during operations. If there is some way using chirp? Lastly I see
> all this about cross band but when I see the manufactures site they
> all say the repeaters are not to be used as a stand alone, which I
> need it to be.
>
> In addition I found this, what looks like a dedicated repeater.
http://www.kightradio.com/BFDX-BF-3000-HAM-RADIO-REPEATER
> ... Would this product work better than cross band repeating? As it
> appears to be a dedicated repeater.

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

(Yahoo! ID required)

http://info.yahoo.com/legal/us/yahoo/utos/terms/

38254. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 16:23:15 UTC
From: Ed Plaisance
Topic: 38246 Message: 38254

For what it is worth, I bought a Browning BR-180 mag mount antenna for my minivan...excellent performance. The antenna cost more than the radio. :-)

In the Annapolis, Columbia, Baltimore area I regularly hit repeaters that are 20-30 miles away.

I have not tried simplex over these distances, but since I can hit the repeaters (granted they are usually in ideal locations) at such distances, you might want to try it out with couple of these mag mounts.

Ed
kb3tmi

On 12/25/2013 3:52 AM, tylercoc@... wrote:
>
> So first off I often travel in convoys of 5 or more trucks and even
> with some larger antennas we often loose connections if distance is
> over two or so miles on base. I want to set up a repeater in my truck
> that would be able to extend the range of the handhelds. I heard of
> cross band repeating and that it is much cheaper than a normal
> repeater. Would this work for what I want it to do? (Example: YAESU
> FT-8800R) I do not want to connect to an external repeater, just use
> my truck only.
> The handhelds are baofeng and icom.
>
>
> Some background information. I have spent about ten hours today trying
> to figure this out. Simplex will not work because of the amount of
> people in the convoys (20+ radios at a time if not more) I can not use
> any outside towers, only the one truck as a repeater. I am not even
> sure how I would run this set-up on a baofeng as they need to be able
> to send on one freq and receive on another but the radios can not be
> touched and will most often be in back packs during operations. If
> there is some way using chirp? Lastly I see all this about cross band
> but when I see the manufactures site they all say the repeaters are
> not to be used as a stand alone, which I need it to be.
>
> In addition I found this, what looks like a dedicated repeater.
> http://www.kightradio.com/BFDX-BF-3000-HAM-RADIO-REPEATER...
> <http://www.kightradio.com/BFDX-BF-3000-HAM-RADIO-REPEATER_p_47.html> Would
> this product work better than cross band repeating? As it appears to
> be a dedicated repeater.
>

38256. RE: Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 17:21:34 UTC
From: <n7kuw@...>
Topic: 38246 Message: 38256

Many questions come to mind reading your request, which makes it very difficult to suggest solutions. The more information you can provide, the better we can offer suggestions.

You mention convoys of 5 or more trucks, but then you mention 20 or more radios in backpacks, and the radios themselves cannot be touched. Is the need for communications between the drivers of vehicles (in which case, having the radios outside of backpacks would be the far better solution), or is the need for communications between people carrying backpacks, who happen to be inside these vehicles (with the radios inside their backpacks)? The first situation is easily solved by using higher power mobile radios and external antennas. The latter situation may be all but impossible to solve.

If all of the backpacks need to be able to talk to/listen to all of the other backpacks, then cross band repeating is not the solution. If they only need to be able to talk to/listen to one master station (we'll call that a net control station), then a cross band repeat might be a solution. Put your repeater vehicle in the center of your overall convoy, with a good outside antenna. The control operator would be on UHF and all of your backpacks would be on VHF. You might succeed with that, as the farthest distance to any radio is only half the convoy length rather than the entire convoy length. But you could accomplish almost exactly the same thing by just putting the net control station on VHF in that center vehicle and skipping the cross band operation.

If it is necessary for every backpack to be able to talk to every other backpack, then you need an in-band repeater that they are all within range of. Putting that in the center vehicle may serve your purpose. But with handheld radios inside backpacks, with assuming rubber duck antennas, inside vehicles, you are not likely to get more than a mile or so range to a mobile repeater while the convoy is moving even under the best of conditions.

Of course, you mention nothing about licensing - is everyone a ham? Are you doing this on commercial/business frequencies with a business license? Is everyone a licensed GMRS operator? Selection of frequencies and repeater equipment would be dependent on answers to those questions also.

38257. UV-5R SOFTWARE

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 17:39:58 UTC
From: <bigyun2000@...>
Topic: 38257 Message: 38257

Hi all and a very merry christmas to you all. I have just received the UV-5R and downloaded the software ready to program it. However the software i am using just shows lots of ??. Is this normal?

I would appreciate any help please.
Thanks
Tony

38258. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R SOFTWARE

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 17:45:49 UTC
From: Patrick lavallee
Topic: 38257 Message: 38258

On the line where the word file would be, click on the second ?? from the right. Then click on english.
Patrick Lavallee
Sent on the TELUS Mobility network with BlackBerry

-----Original Message-----
From: bigyun2000@yahoo.co.uk
Date: Wed, 25 Dec 2013 17:39:58
To: <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R SOFTWARE

Hi all and a very merry christmas to you all. I have just received the UV-5R and downloaded the software ready to program it. However the software i am using just shows lots of ??. Is this normal?

I would appreciate any help please.
Thanks
Tony

38259. UV-5R Programming Software

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 17:48:54 UTC
From: <cda.waters@...>
Topic: 38259 Message: 38259

Hi all,

Just got one of these radios and I'm looking for software. I've seen a lot of topics highlighting programming issues but can't seem to locate one with links to software that works. Any assistance will be appreciated.

Thanks
Chis

38260. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 17:57:20 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 38246 Message: 38260

SO you are all licensed amateurs right?
----- Original Message -----
From: tylercoc@...
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 3:52 AM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

So first off I often travel in convoys of 5 or more trucks and even with some larger antennas we often loose connections if distance is over two or so miles on base. I want to set up a repeater in my truck that would be able to extend the range of the handhelds. I heard of cross band repeating and that it is much cheaper than a normal repeater. Would this work for what I want it to do? (Example: YAESU FT-8800R) I do not want to connect to an external repeater, just use my truck only.
The handhelds are baofeng and icom.

Some background information. I have spent about ten hours today trying to figure this out. Simplex will not work because of the amount of people in the convoys (20+ radios at a time if not more) I can not use any outside towers, only the one truck as a repeater. I am not even sure how I would run this set-up on a baofeng as they need to be able to send on one freq and receive on another but the radios can not be touched and will most often be in back packs during operations. If there is some way using chirp? Lastly I see all this about cross band but when I see the manufactures site they all say the repeaters are not to be used as a stand alone, which I need it to be.

In addition I found this, what looks like a dedicated repeater.
http://www.kightradio.com/BFDX-BF-3000-HAM-RADIO-REPEATER... Would this product work better than cross band repeating? As it appears to be a dedicated repeater.

38261. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R Programming Software

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 17:58:20 UTC
From: David Shrum
Topic: 38259 Message: 38261

I have had good luck with this software.

http://chirp.danplanet.com/projects/chirp/wiki/Home

Dave N8PU

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of cda.waters@...
Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 12:49 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R Programming Software

Hi all,

Just got one of these radios and I'm looking for software. I've seen a lot of topics highlighting programming issues but can't seem to locate one with links to software that works. Any assistance will be appreciated.

Thanks

Chis

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2013.0.3426 / Virus Database: 3658/6948 - Release Date: 12/24/13

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2013.0.3426 / Virus Database: 3658/6948 - Release Date: 12/24/13

38262. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 17:57:34 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38246 Message: 38262

If he wants to extend the range of these radios the first steps that I might suggest would be having someone in the group get a ham radio license and bother learning something about radios. That way at least someone in the group would have an idea of what laws they were violating and what it was going to cost them when they get caught - and from the kind of operation he describes, the WILL get caught even though it may take several months.

38263. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R SOFTWARE

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 18:02:13 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 38257 Message: 38263

First you have to download from the radio to get an original master file. Save this as is, then go back and modify it by adding the frequencies you want then uploading that back to the radio
----- Original Message -----
From: bigyun2000@...
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 12:39 PM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R SOFTWARE

Hi all and a very merry christmas to you all. I have just received the UV-5R and downloaded the software ready to program it. However the software i am using just shows lots of ??. Is this normal?

I would appreciate any help please.
Thanks
Tony

38264. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 18:04:26 UTC
From: Stan Labinsky Jr.
Topic: 38177 Message: 38264

Should not happen John, especially if you are careful to emphasize that the problem is intermittent and sensitive to the cable at the plug end (assuming that’s where it’s failing) being flexed.

Considering the cost, I’d expect they’ll just trash it and send out a new one.

I’ve done a cut-away of similar failures and you’d be shocked at the less than workmanship assembly techniques used.

Stan

From: jdhite1
Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 9:11 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Sorry, but I need to retract some of what I've said. After very carefully measuring the plugs on the two speaker-mics I own, it turned out that the diameters of the plugs on the good one and the bad one are identical to within a tolerance of 0.0005" (good quality digital caliper). I also checked the plug lengths and although this is a bit harder to do, the lengths seem to be the same also. The same goes for the shape of the plug tips -- the two look the same.

So while the symptoms implied that the plug size(s) must have been at fault, this appears not to be the case. Next I tried wiggling and flexing the cable and connector on the bad speaker-mic with it plugged into the HT, and this does occasionally allow the mic to work. The flexing/wiggling revealed no pattern -- whatever is wrong, it is subtle. However the problem is quite repeatable since this same speaker-mic acts exactly the same with both HTs.

I contacted Radioddity about an RMA, and they are willing. However I wish the problem acted less like an intermittent. Whatever is wrong is slight, so this makes me wonder if they will report "no problem found" and send back the same speaker-mic!

Thanks for your (and everyone else's) thoughts and help.

Happy Holidays to all....!!!

John

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@...> wrote:
>
> Yes, we had 10 of these to deal with and that is how we found out what the problem was. Some are easy to spot after you get a few bad ones by the end shape.
>
> Just another lesson that there are a lot of low quality out of spec accessories out there for these products.
>

>
>
> From: jdhite1
> Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 6:24 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
>
>
> The problem turned out to be an out of tolerance 3.5mm plug. I can't easily fix this, but at least the mystery is solved!
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@> wrote:
> >
> > At 09:48 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion
> > >speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either
> > >radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when
> > >this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad
> > >speaker-mic are:
> > >
> > >1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
> > >
> > >2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.
> > >
> > >3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the
> > >normal way. However.....
> > >
> > >4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the
> > >speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works
> > >fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used
> > >in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the
> > >one speaker-mic.
> > >
> > >I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given
> > >on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone
> > >have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?
> >
> > It used to be that capacitor microphones were used and they require power.
> > So the external microphone had the PTT wired in series with the mic.
> >
> >
> > >John
> >
>

38265. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 20:02:21 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38177 Message: 38265

Take one apart and let us know where the problem might be. if you have time as I am sure someone else will get these bad mics in the future.

From: jdhite1
Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 6:11 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Sorry, but I need to retract some of what I've said. After very carefully measuring the plugs on the two speaker-mics I own, it turned out that the diameters of the plugs on the good one and the bad one are identical to within a tolerance of 0.0005" (good quality digital caliper). I also checked the plug lengths and although this is a bit harder to do, the lengths seem to be the same also. The same goes for the shape of the plug tips -- the two look the same.

So while the symptoms implied that the plug size(s) must have been at fault, this appears not to be the case. Next I tried wiggling and flexing the cable and connector on the bad speaker-mic with it plugged into the HT, and this does occasionally allow the mic to work. The flexing/wiggling revealed no pattern -- whatever is wrong, it is subtle. However the problem is quite repeatable since this same speaker-mic acts exactly the same with both HTs.

I contacted Radioddity about an RMA, and they are willing. However I wish the problem acted less like an intermittent. Whatever is wrong is slight, so this makes me wonder if they will report "no problem found" and send back the same speaker-mic!

Thanks for your (and everyone else's) thoughts and help.

Happy Holidays to all....!!!

John

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@...> wrote:
>
> Yes, we had 10 of these to deal with and that is how we found out what the problem was. Some are easy to spot after you get a few bad ones by the end shape.
>
> Just another lesson that there are a lot of low quality out of spec accessories out there for these products.
>
>
>
> From: jdhite1
> Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 6:24 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
>

>
> The problem turned out to be an out of tolerance 3.5mm plug. I can't easily fix this, but at least the mystery is solved!
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@> wrote:
> >
> > At 09:48 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion
> > >speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either
> > >radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when
> > >this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad
> > >speaker-mic are:
> > >
> > >1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
> > >
> > >2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.
> > >
> > >3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the
> > >normal way. However.....
> > >
> > >4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the
> > >speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works
> > >fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used
> > >in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the
> > >one speaker-mic.
> > >
> > >I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given
> > >on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone
> > >have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?
> >
> > It used to be that capacitor microphones were used and they require power.
> > So the external microphone had the PTT wired in series with the mic.
> >
> >
> > >John
> >
>

38266. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 20:12:03 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38246 Message: 38266

Here is what I would do:

Get some Baojie UV dual band amps. Connect them if needed to amp the HT's with pigtails.

Get the Zastone mp900 crossband mobile repeater.

Config you own cross band repeater (if licensed and legal to do in your area)

For simplex run the Baojie into the HT's (with the Baojie end to a roof external antenna) flip on if extra power is needed in simplex if not, run via feed thru. During foot comms just disconnecte the HT and use normally from the pigtail.

Cross band setup with a dedicated unit centralized run in simplex pairs. You should get at least 50 miles range with this config. Best is to put all Mp900's in the trucks and dedicate one truck as the crossband.

BTW The MP900 is the Zhong Guo version of the Yaesu FT8800R at a much lower price.

From: tylercoc@...
Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 12:52 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

So first off I often travel in convoys of 5 or more trucks and even with some larger antennas we often loose connections if distance is over two or so miles on base. I want to set up a repeater in my truck that would be able to extend the range of the handhelds. I heard of cross band repeating and that it is much cheaper than a normal repeater. Would this work for what I want it to do? (Example: YAESU FT-8800R) I do not want to connect to an external repeater, just use my truck only.
The handhelds are baofeng and icom.

Some background info rmation. I have spent about ten hours today trying to figure this out. Simplex will not work because of the amount of people in the convoys (20+ radios at a time if not more) I can not use any outside towers, only the one truck as a repeater. I am not even sure how I would run this set-up on a baofeng as they need to be able to send on one freq and receive on another but the radios can not be touched and will most often be in back packs during operations. If there is some way using chirp? Lastly I see all this about cross band but when I see the manufactures site they all say the repeaters are not to be used as a stand alone, which I need it to be.

In addition I found this, what looks like a dedicated repeater.
http://www.kightradio.com/BFDX-BF-3000-HAM-RADIO-REPEATER... Would this product work better than cross band repeating? As it appears to be a dedicated repeater.

38268. RE: Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 20:52:21 UTC
From: <tylercoc@...>
Topic: 38246 Message: 38268

I don't know how I would run that all off of a truck nor do I really have any knowledge with amps.

38270. UK Repeater dat file

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-25 23:24:34 UTC
From: Tony D
Topic: 38270 Message: 38270

Hi all

Just wondering if anyone has a UK VHF/UHF repeater dat file I could have please.

Thanks

Tony M0MTD

38272. java update

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 04:02:16 UTC
From: <opie_2_be@...>
Topic: 38272 Message: 38272

you radio and milcare will work update java try that

38273. Weird problem with memory mode

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 05:53:35 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38273 Message: 38273

Ok we got my step-son a UV-5R for Christmas.
I seems to work right but we were trying to set a simplex frequency so
we could communicate when we are out of ear shot. I set his radio with
the same simplex frequency as my UV-82 and his radio won't receive and
when his is keyed up it doesn't work. Now if I switch is 5R to vfo mode
it works just fine.
It seems to work on one of the local repeaters just fine in memory mode.
Anyone have any idea what is going on?

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38274. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Weird problem with memory mode

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 09:59:54 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38273 Message: 38274

Dale,

How are you programming the radio (keypad, software)?
If software, would you supply the data file from both radios for us to look at?

Just from what you describe, it sounds like the receive issue in the UV-5R is because it has been programmed to require either a receive DCS code or receive CTCSS tone. It should require none unless you are going to program the UV-82 to transmit the matching code/tone.

Keypad: both R-DCS and R-CTCSS should be set to OFF
Baofeng CPS or VIP software: the cell for CTCSS/DCS Dec should be empty
CHIRP software: Tone Mode should be set to "(None)"

What do you mean by "when his is keyed up it doesn't work"? Does is emit a short beep to indicate that TX is inhibited? If yes, this would indicate...

Baofeng CPS or VIP software: the TX Frequency cell is empty
CHIRP software: the Duplex cell is set to "off" (use "(None)" for simplex, "off" is TX inhibit)

In any case, if you are using one of the free programming software packages for the UV-82 and UV-5R, I would be happy to look at them to help determine what the issue is. If you wish, you can email them directly to me: uv5r [at] kc9hi [dot] net

Jim KC9HI

38275. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Weird problem with memory mode

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 10:41:58 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38273 Message: 38275

On 12/26/2013 3:59 AM, Jim Unroe wrote:
>
> Dale,
>
> How are you programming the radio (keypad, software)?
> If software, would you supply the data file from both radios for us to
> look at?

Software. Tried using Baofeng software off of miklor site and daily
build of chirp.

>
> Just from what you describe, it sounds like the receive issue in the
> UV-5R is because it has been programmed to require either a receive
> DCS code or receive CTCSS tone. It should require none unless you are
> going to program the UV-82 to transmit the matching code/tone.
>
> Keypad: both R-DCS and R-CTCSS should be set to OFF
> Baofeng CPS or VIP software: the cell for CTCSS/DCS Dec should be empty
> CHIRP software: Tone Mode should be set to "(None)"

Yep that is how the memory is programmed into both. If I transmit with
either the using my Alinco mobile setup as my base radio, the 5R doesn't
transmit in memory mode but in vfo mode it does. My UV-82 works fine in
all respects so no problems there.

>
> What do you mean by "when his is keyed up it doesn't work"? Does is
> emit a short beep to indicate that TX is inhibited? If yes, this would
> indicate...
>
> Baofeng CPS or VIP software: the TX Frequency cell is empty
> CHIRP software: the Duplex cell is set to "off" (use "(None)" for
> simplex, "off" is TX inhibit)

Yep Duplex is set to (None)

>
> In any case, if you are using one of the free programming software
> packages for the UV-82 and UV-5R, I would be happy to look at them to
> help determine what the issue is. If you wish, you can email them
> directly to me: uv5r [at] kc9hi [dot] net
>
> Jim KC9HI

I do prefer Chirp but I was trying to see if there wasn't something I
was missing so I tried the Baofeng software.

So thus the reason I'm posting this query.
I will post the img files if no one has any other ideas.

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38277. BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 12:42:37 UTC
From: <pshea@...>
Topic: 38277 Message: 38277

I am still looking for programming software. The only thing I find on the Baofeng site is not for the BF-U8.

38278. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 12:48:18 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38277 Message: 38278

Can you list what you've tried?

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of pshea@access.mountain.net
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 6:43 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

I am still looking for programming software. The only thing I find on the Baofeng site is not for the BF-U8.

38279. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 12:49:37 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38277 Message: 38279

Also…where did you buy if from and have you asked them?

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@...m] On Behalf Of pshea@...
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 6:43 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

I am still looking for programming software. The only thing I find on the Baofeng site is not for the BF-U8.

38281. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 13:01:39 UTC
From: Gary N9PTC
Topic: 38277 Message: 38281

409Shop shows software for your radio. Look at:
http://www.409shop.com/shop_displaynews.php?id=292

I found this doing a Google search.

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: [pshea@access.mountain.net]
Date: Thu, Dec 26, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

I am still looking for programming software. The only thing I find on the Baofeng site is not for the BF-U8.

38282. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 13:17:32 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38277 Message: 38282

Gary,
He claimed in another post that this software didn't work. But the 409shop picture for the software link includes the BF-U8 radio. I'm thinking this is the correct software and the problem is the programming cable and/or the driver.
Jim KC9HI

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 8:01 AM, Gary N9PTC <n9ptc@...> wrote:

> 409Shop shows software for your radio. Look at:
> http://www.409shop.com/shop_displaynews.php?id=292
>
> I found this doing a Google search.
>
> Gary N9PTC
>
> -------Original Message-------
> From: [pshea@...]
> Date: Thu, Dec 26, 2013
> To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?
>
> I am still looking for programming software. The only thing I find on
> the Baofeng site is not for the BF-U8.
>

38283. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 13:53:22 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38277 Message: 38283

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 7:42 AM, <pshea@...> wrote:

>
>
> I am still looking for programming software. The only thing I find on the
> Baofeng site is not for the BF-U8.
>
>
Are you sure the BF-480 software is not what you need? What are you using for a programming cable? I don't think the Yaesu TRRS programming cable is wired the same as the TRRS programming cable needed for a Baofeng radio (same as used for the earlier UV-3R radio). I know the 6-in-1 programming cable kits, the 1-pin 4-conductor (TRRS) is for Yaesu radios and won't work with my Icom IC-Q7a which takes a TRRS plug. I don't think it will work on the Baofeng either.

http://uv3r.com/images/UV-3R_Interface.jpeg

Also if your programming cable has the unauthorized copy of the prolific chip, then you have to use an older driver (v3.2.0.0 for Vista, 7 & 8 or v2.0.2.1 for XP) or it will not work.

Jim KC9HI

38284. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 14:06:14 UTC
From: Gary N9PTC
Topic: 38277 Message: 38284

Sorry, not being a moderator or expert, I sometimes skip some messages. The earlier one must have been one.

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Jim Unroe [rock.unroe@gmail.com]
Date: Thu, Dec 26, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

Gary,
He claimed in another post that this software didn't work. But the 409shop picture for the software link includes the BF-U8 radio. I'm thinking this is the correct software and the problem is the programming cable and/or the driver.
Jim KC9HI

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 8:01 AM, Gary N9PTC <n9ptc@comcast.net> wrote:
409Shop shows software for your radio. Look at:
http://www.409shop.com/shop_displaynews.php?id=292

I found this doing a Google search.

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: [pshea@access.mountain.net]
Date: Thu, Dec 26, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

I am still looking for programming software. The only thing I find on the Baofeng site is not for the BF-U8.

38286. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Weird problem with memory mode

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 14:17:16 UTC
From: <luv2cmwork@...>
Topic: 38273 Message: 38286

Shouldn't Duplex be set to "None" rather than "Off"? I believe he said it was set to "Off".

If you are able to double check your Duplex setting, make sure it's set to "None" for simplex operation.

38287. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Weird problem with memory mode

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 14:41:57 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38273 Message: 38287

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 9:17 AM, <luv2cmwork@...> wrote:

>
>
> Shouldn't Duplex be set to "None" rather than "Off"? I believe he said
> it was set to "Off".
>
>
> If you are able to double check your Duplex setting, make sure it's set to
> "None" for simplex operation.
>
>
>
Dale verified that Duplex was set to "(None)" and that everything else that normally cause this type of problem was OK. That's why is suggested the "Recovery from Erratic Behavior" procedure. I've lost track of how many misbehaving UV-5R radios it has made behave.

Jim KC9HI

38288. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 14:46:34 UTC
From: <tim@...>
Topic: 38121 Message: 38288

Most ARES groups already have agreements with clubs to use their repeaters and rarely use private repeaters (at least in our area). Unfortunately when they do use a repeater, they just sit on it for hours on end and often the only traffic on the repeater is the ARES guys telling people not to use the repeater, its a waste.

38289. D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 15:41:24 UTC
From: Ron Triano KI6VEY
Topic: 38289 Message: 38289

Merry Christmas, anyone out there know if Baofeng may be planning on a D Star radio, That would be a great idea.
Ron Triano KI6VEY

38290. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 15:46:18 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38289 Message: 38290

I asked them and they said no. I don't think a more expensive radio is really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC required.

So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ron Triano KI6VEY
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 9:41 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Merry Christmas, anyone out there know if Baofeng may be planning on a D Star radio, That would be a great idea.
Ron Triano KI6VEY

38291. Re: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 15:52:29 UTC
From: Ron Triano KI6VEY
Topic: 38289 Message: 38291

Thanks Mike, just trying to save a buck
Ron

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...> wrote:
>
> I asked them and they said no. I don't think a more expensive radio is
> really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC
> required.
>
> So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.
>
>
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
> From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of Ron Triano KI6VEY
> Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 9:41 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star
>
>
>
>
>
> Merry Christmas, anyone out there know if Baofeng may be planning on a D
> Star radio, That would be a great idea.
> Ron Triano KI6VEY
>

38292. RE: I would like to use an arduino uno to control Baofeng uv-5r for t-hunting

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 16:01:33 UTC
From: <rock.unroe@...>
Topic: 38101 Message: 38292

Visit the AmateurLogic.tv website and check out episode 48. There is a segment showing how to use an Arduino Uno with a UV-5R to create a fox hunt transmitter.

Jim KC9HI

38293. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 16:26:04 UTC
From: James Hall
Topic: 38289 Message: 38293

I think Icom has a vested interest in keeping that nice and expensive so
they can reap maximum margins from the radios they sell.
Kirisun has some cheap (~$100) digital radios out. They're not compatible
with anything but themselves.
Keep an eye on the codec2 project. One of these days they'll have a GMSK
modem. Eventually maybe there'll be an embedded chip that anyone can
include in their radios. Then you'll probably see the wouxuns, baofengs,
puxings and others bring out codec2 radios and maybe the kenwoods, icoms,
yaesus will too so they can be compatible with everybody else.

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 10:52 AM, Ron Triano KI6VEY <rondefly@...>wrote:

>
>
> Thanks Mike, just trying to save a buck
> Ron
>
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...>
> wrote:
> >
> > I asked them and they said no. I don't think a more expensive radio is
> > really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC
> > required.
> >
> > So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.
> >
> >
> >
> > Mike W9MDB
> >
> >
> >
> > From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> On
> > Behalf Of Ron Triano KI6VEY
> > Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 9:41 AM
> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Merry Christmas, anyone out there know if Baofeng may be planning on a D

> > Star radio, That would be a great idea.
> > Ron Triano KI6VEY
> >
>
>
>

38294. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 16:37:52 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38289 Message: 38294

Except the Codec isn't owned by ICOM. It's owned by Digital Voice Systems Inc.

http://www.dvsinc.com/products/products.htm

ICOM is not an exclusive seller. Kenwood and Inet Labs have D-STAR products too.

ICOM appears to be the only one selling HTs though.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of James Hall
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 10:26 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: D Star

I think Icom has a vested interest in keeping that nice and expensive so they can reap maximum margins from the radios they sell.

Kirisun has some cheap (~$100) digital radios out. They're not compatible with anything but themselves.

Keep an eye on the codec2 project. One of these days they'll have a GMSK modem. Eventually maybe there'll be an embedded chip that anyone can include in their radios. Then you'll probably see the wouxuns, baofengs, puxings and others bring out codec2 radios and maybe the kenwoods, icoms, yaesus will too so they can be compatible with everybody else.

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 10:52 AM, Ron Triano KI6VEY <rondefly@...>
wrote:

Thanks Mike, just trying to save a buck
Ron

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...> wrote:
>
> I asked them and they said no. I don't think a more expensive radio is
> really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC
> required.
>
> So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.
>
>
>
> Mike W9MDB
>

>
>
> From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
On
> Behalf Of Ron Triano KI6VEY
> Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 9:41 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star
>
>
>
>
>
> Merry Christmas, anyone out there know if Baofeng may be planning on a D
> Star radio, That would be a great idea.
> Ron Triano KI6VEY
>

38295. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 17:03:13 UTC
From: James Hall
Topic: 38289 Message: 38295

Kenwood's radio is a rebranded Icom.

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 11:37 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

>
>
> Except the Codec isn’t owned by ICOM. It’s owned by Digital Voice Systems
> Inc.
>
> http://www.dvsinc.com/products/products.htm
>
> ICOM is not an exclusive seller. Kenwood and Inet Labs have D-STAR
> products too.
>
> ICOM appears to be the only one selling HTs though.
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
>
>
> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> *On Behalf Of *James Hall
> *Sent:* Thursday, December 26, 2013 10:26 AM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: D Star
>
>
>
>
>
> I think Icom has a vested interest in keeping that nice and expensive so
> they can reap maximum margins from the radios they sell.
>
> Kirisun has some cheap (~$100) digital radios out. They're not compatible
> with anything but themselves.
>
> Keep an eye on the codec2 project. One of these days they'll have a GMSK
> modem. Eventually maybe there'll be an embedded chip that anyone can
> include in their radios. Then you'll probably see the wouxuns, baofengs,
> puxings and others bring out codec2 radios and maybe the kenwoods, icoms,
> yaesus will too so they can be compatible with everybody else.
>
>

>
> On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 10:52 AM, Ron Triano KI6VEY <rondefly@pacbell.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>
> Thanks Mike, just trying to save a buck
> Ron
>
>
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...>
> wrote:
> >
> > I asked them and they said no. I don't think a more expensive radio is
> > really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC
> > required.
> >
> > So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.
> >
> >
> >
> > Mike W9MDB
> >
> >
> >
> > From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> On
> > Behalf Of Ron Triano KI6VEY
> > Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 9:41 AM
> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Merry Christmas, anyone out there know if Baofeng may be planning on a D
> > Star radio, That would be a great idea.
> > Ron Triano KI6VEY
> >
>
>
>
>
>

38296. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 17:05:07 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 38289 Message: 38296

Although end-users would love to see every manufacturer produce really cheap sub-$100 radios, it simply isn't in the best interests of the manufacturer's to do so across their entire product line. Remember when Wouxun first announced their intention to produce a dual-band mobile radio? Everybody assumed that dual band radio would also be priced around $200 (or less) to be in line with their $90 handheld radios, except it wasn't (although it certainly is still lower priced than most Japanese counterparts from Icom, Kenwood, or Yaesu).

"If" Baofeng ever makes a mobile rig, they will probably follow the same path. It will be a decent radio (if history is any indication), but it's unlikely to be at the same margin price points as their handheld radios.

As to Icom's D-Star stuff, any manufacturer is able to bring out a compatible line of D-Star capable radios. Icom did not invent D-Star, they merely implemented the JARL protocol. There is already a "compatible" D-Star product, it's called a D-Star Dongle (which isn't made by Icom). Yaesu introduced their own version of a digital standard with their WIRES system and Motorola has their Moto-Turbo standard, neither of which are compatible with anything else.

Of the main Japanese ham manufacturers left, only Alinco and Kenwood have yet to release any digital products for the U.S. market, although Kenwood is supposedly selling a D-Star compatible HT in Japan, which might work out well for them, as they already cross market the same type of digital technology with Icom on the commercial LMR side of the house

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 9:26 AM, James Hall <hall.jamesr@...m> wrote:

>
>
> I think Icom has a vested interest in keeping that nice and expensive so
> they can reap maximum margins from the radios they sell.
> Kirisun has some cheap (~$100) digital radios out. They're not compatible
> with anything but themselves.
> Keep an eye on the codec2 project. One of these days they'll have a GMSK
> modem. Eventually maybe there'll be an embedded chip that anyone can
> include in their radios. Then you'll probably see the wouxuns, baofengs,
> puxings and others bring out codec2 radios and maybe the kenwoods, icoms,
> yaesus will too so they can be compatible with everybody else.
>
>
> On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 10:52 AM, Ron Triano KI6VEY <rondefly@...>wrote:
>
>>
>>

>> Thanks Mike, just trying to save a buck
>> Ron
>>
>>
>> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...>
>> wrote:
>> >
>> > I asked them and they said no. I don't think a more expensive radio is
>> > really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC
>> > required.
>> >
>> > So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Mike W9MDB
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
>> On
>> > Behalf Of Ron Triano KI6VEY
>> > Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 9:41 AM
>> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>> > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Merry Christmas, anyone out there know if Baofeng may be planning on a D
>> > Star radio, That would be a great idea.
>> > Ron Triano KI6VEY
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

38297. RE: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 17:54:23 UTC
From: <brickolore@...>
Topic: 38289 Message: 38297

Clearly you missed the UV-7DS D-Star announcement on April 1 of this year.
http://www.brickolore.com/2013/04/baofeng-baofeng-uv-7ds-d-star.html
http://www.brickolore.com/2013/04/baofeng-baofeng-uv-7ds-d-star.html

;)

(We got SSTV about a month later -
http://www.brickolore.com/2013/05/baofeng-uv-5r-sstv.html
http://www.brickolore.com/2013/05/baofeng-uv-5r-sstv.html)

Cheers,
Brick

38298. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 17:56:46 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38289 Message: 38298

From: "brickolore@..." <brickolore@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 12:54 PM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Clearly you missed the UV-7DS D-Star announcement on April 1 of this year.

http://www.brickolore.com/2013/04/baofeng-baofeng-uv-7ds-d-star.html

;)

(We got SSTV about a month later
- http://www.brickolore.com/2013/05/baofeng-uv-5r-sstv.html)

Cheers,
Brick

38301. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 18:22:45 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38289 Message: 38301

Santa Claus brought me one. I am not sure what the NSA monitoring button is supposed to do though.

It looks like a new feature replacing the Band button.

From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 9:56 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

From: "brickolore@..." <brickolore@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 12:54 PM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Clearly you missed the UV-7DS D-Star announcement on April 1 of this year.

http://www.brickolore.com/2013/04/baofeng-baofeng-uv-7ds-d-star.html

;)

(We got SSTV about a month later -
http://www.brickolore.com/2013/05/baofeng-uv-5r-sstv.html)

Cheers,
Brick

38302. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 18:35:40 UTC
From: John LaMartina
Topic: 38289 Message: 38302

But WAIT, there’s more… Order now and we’ll double the offer. That’s right, we’ll send two for that remarkable price. Just pay separate shipping and handling.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of brickolore@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 12:54 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Clearly you missed the UV-7DS D-Star announcement on April 1 of this year.

<http://www.brickolore.com/2013/04/baofeng-baofeng-uv-7ds-d-star.html>
http://www.brickolore.com/2013/04/baofeng-baofeng-uv-7ds-d-star.html

;)

(We got SSTV about a month later -
http://www.brickolore.com/2013/05/baofeng-uv-5r-sstv.html)

Cheers,

Brick

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2014.0.4259 / Virus Database: 3658/6951 - Release Date: 12/26/13

38303. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Running repeaters and trying to set listening and receiving only channels.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 18:11:07 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38246 Message: 38303

At 07:52 PM 25/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>So first off I often travel in convoys of 5 or more trucks and even
>with some larger antennas we often loose connections if distance is
>over two or so miles on base.

What do you mean by loose connections?

> I want to set up a repeater in my truck that would be able to
> extend the range of the handhelds. I heard of cross band repeating
> and that it is much cheaper than a normal repeater. Would this work
> for what I want it to do? (Example: YAESU FT-8800R) I do not want
> to connect to an external repeater, just use my truck only.
>The handhelds are baofeng and icom.

What type of licence do they all have?

38304. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] HELLP I can not add some frequencies [1 Attachment]

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 17:45:58 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38192 Message: 38304

```
At 10:32 PM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>I can not add some frequencies FOR BAOFENG UV-5RA
>
>
>software UV-R5
>
>BRONDI Frequency: 446-446.1 MHz
>BAOFENG UV-5RA
>
>brondi 1 *RX 446.005
>brondi 2 *RX 446.015
>brondi 3 *RX 446.027
>brondi 4 * TX 446.042
>brondi 5 * RX 446.042 TX 446.052

What happens when you attempt to store those frequencies?
```

38305. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 17:59:47 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38121 Message: 38305

At 03:44 AM 25/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>Pardon me for not knowing, as I'm a new Ham, but if I installed a
>repeater as I am considering (my property is up on high ground
>about 200 ft above the surrounding area) then ARES or RACES would
>have some kind of legal authority to take it over in an
>emergency?
>Even if it was a GMRS repeater?

No.

>Thanks.

38306. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Voglio memorizzare la frequenza interno BAOFENG UV-5RA

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 17:57:13 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38200 Message: 38306

At 01:14 AM 25/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>Are you using Windows 7?Â If you send me a
>remote help request I will look at your setup and help you out.

I didn't know there was a Windows 7?A.

>o â□□Helpâ□□ and look up â□□remote helpâ□□.Â It
>will email me and I can then log on to your computer.
>

What is o a□oeHelpa□□ and look up a□cremote helpa□□.A?

>
>
>Mike W9MDB

38307. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 19:02:58 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38289 Message: 38307
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Here is the new enhanced camo uv5R, UV5R-C+

Made especially for hunters

The manual says something about a Wires styled Beer location beacon.

From: John LaMartina
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 10:35 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

But WAIT, there’s more… Order now and we’ll double the offer. That’s right, we’ll send two for that remarkable price. Just pay separate shipping and handling.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of brickolore@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 12:54 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Clearly you missed the UV-7DS D-Star announcement on April 1 of this year.

http://www.brickolore.com/2013/04/baofeng-baofeng-uv-7ds-d-star.html

;)

(We got SSTV about a month later -
http://www.brickolore.com/2013/05/baofeng-uv-5r-sstv.html)

Cheers,

Brick

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2014.0.4259 / Virus Database: 3658/6951 - Release Date: 12/26/13

38308. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] CHIRP HELLP [2 Attachments]

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 17:47:34 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38198 Message: 38308

At 12:19 AM 25/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>I can not add some frequencies FOR BAOFENG UV-5RA

What happens when you try?

38309. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 19:12:22 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38289 Message: 38309
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

This model comes with Free Ice cream coupons and is supposed to be Santa's favorite.

I guess his elves use it too (not on Part 95 freqs though)

Uv5R+ SC (SC= Santa Claus model)

Especially enhanced chipsets to operate below >30 C

From: John LaMartina
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 10:35 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

But WAIT, there's more… Order now and we'll double the offer. That's right, we'll send two for that remarkable price. Just pay separate shipping and handling.

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of brickolore@...
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 12:54 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Clearly you missed the UV-7DS D-Star announcement on April 1 of this year.

http://www.brickolore.com/2013/04/baofeng-baofeng-uv-7ds-d-star.html

;)

(We got SSTV about a month later -
http://www.brickolore.com/2013/05/baofeng-uv-5r-sstv.html)

Cheers,

Brick

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2014.0.4259 / Virus Database: 3658/6951 - Release Date: 12/26/13

38310. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 19:18:39 UTC
From: Ernest Hansen
Topic: 38289 Message: 38310

You and I have been watching too much TV!

Visit Me at my new web site:
http://erniehansen.x10.mx/index.html
THANK YOU!

From: John LaMartina <johnla@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 1:35 PM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

But WAIT, there’s more… Order now and we’ll double the offer. That’s right, we’ll send two for that remarkable price. Just pay separate shipping and handling.

From:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of brickolore@...
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 12:54 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Clearly you missed the UV-7DS D-Star announcement on April 1 of this year.

http://www.brickolore.com/2013/04/baofeng-baofeng-uv-7ds-d-star.html

;)

(We got SSTV about a month later
- http://www.brickolore.com/2013/05/baofeng-uv-5r-sstv.html)

Cheers,
Brick
No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2014.0.4259 / Virus Database: 3658/6951 - Release Date: 12/26/13

38311. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] CHIRP HELLP

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 19:19:48 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38198 Message: 38311

This problem has already been taken care of. It's in another thread. If you enter an offset frequency in CHIRP it uses the frequency from a prior channel to fill it in.

I duplicated his problem and the fix was to enter the 2.5Khz or 6.25Khz accurate frequency.

Try it yourself..start a new CHIRP file. Should come up with 146.01 in Channel 0. Enter 461.027 in Channel 1. Works just fine.

Now download from your UV-5R radio.

After your last channel add 461.027 - for me it then inserts the frequency from the channel right above it.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Barry OGrady
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 11:48 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] CHIRP HELLP

At 12:19 AM 25/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>I can not add some frequencies FOR BAOFENG UV-5RA

What happens when you try?

38312. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] CHIRP HELLP

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 19:22:20 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38198 Message: 38312

Acutally I'll have to correct that. It puts in a default freq of 146.01

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael Black
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 1:20 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] CHIRP HELLP

This problem has already been taken care of. It's in another thread. If you enter an offset frequency in CHIRP it uses the frequency from a prior channel to fill it in.

I duplicated his problem and the fix was to enter the 2.5Khz or 6.25Khz accurate frequency.

Try it yourself..start a new CHIRP file. Should come up with 146.01 in Channel 0. Enter 461.027 in Channel 1. Works just fine.

Now download from your UV-5R radio.

After your last channel add 461.027 - for me it then inserts the frequency from the channel right above it.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Barry OGrady
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 11:48 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] CHIRP HELLP

At 12:19 AM 25/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>I can not add some frequencies FOR BAOFENG UV-5RA

What happens when you try?

38313. NAMES

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 20:59:32 UTC
From: <jamesmclelland574@...>
Topic: 38313 Message: 38313

I Am using the chirp program does any one know how to make the name show is stead of the freq ??

thanks

2M0RMP

38314. Channel Display

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 21:01:08 UTC
From: <kd7rka@...>
Topic: 38314 Message: 38314

For no apparent reason my channel displays for A and B follow each other. This is just the channel number, not the set information. Example: I have channel B set on 4, then I toggle to channel to A and set it as 10. My channel display for B shows 10 just like A, but the programmed B channel frequency continues to be active. Can anyone offer a suggestion about this? I must have done something to upset it, but I cannot undo it.

38315. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] NAMES

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 21:11:28 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38313 Message: 38315

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 3:59 PM, <jamesmclelland574@...> wrote:

>
>
> I Am using the chirp program does any one know how to make the name show
> is stead of the freq ??
>
>
> thanks
>
> 2M0RMP
>
>
>
Hi James,

Locate the Settings -> Basic Settings menu
Change the Display Mode (A) and Display Mode (B) settings to Name

73,
Jim KC9HI

38316. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Channel Display

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 21:18:07 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38314 Message: 38316

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 4:01 PM, <kd7rka@...> wrote:

>
>
> For no apparent reason my channel displays for A and B follow each other.
> This is just the channel number, not the set information. Example: I have
> channel B set on 4, then I toggle to channel to A and set it as 10. My
> channel display for B shows 10 just like A, but the programmed B channel
> frequency continues to be active. Can anyone offer a suggestion about this?
> I must have done something to upset it, but I cannot undo it.
>
>
>
If you have a programming cable and use CHIRP, would you please download
the channels and settings from your radio, save them to a .img file and
email the file to me? I'd like to examine the file to see if I can locate
the possible cause.

Email it to: uv5r [at] kc9hi [dot] net

Thanks,
Jim KC9HI

38317. RE: NAMES

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 21:36:25 UTC
From: <jamesmclelland574@...>
Topic: 38313 Message: 38317

thanks Jim all sorted

38320. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Weird problem with memory mode

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 23:32:49 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38273 Message: 38320

On 12/26/2013 8:17 AM, luv2cmwork@... wrote:
>
> Shouldn't Duplex be set to "None" rather than "Off"? I believe he
> said it was set to "Off".
>
>
> If you are able to double check your Duplex setting, make sure it's
> set to "None" for simplex operation.
>
> --

It is set to (None)

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38322. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Weird problem with memory mode

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 23:40:16 UTC
From: james mclelland
Topic: 38273 Message: 38322

the only thing that is set to none is Duplex

On Thursday, December 26, 2013 11:32 PM, Dale Miller <stpatrick2@...> wrote:

On 12/26/2013 8:17 AM, luv2cmwork@... wrote:

>Shouldn't Duplex be set to "None" rather than "Off"? I believe he said it was set to "Off".
>
>
>If you are able to double check your Duplex setting, make sure it's set to "None" for simplex operation.
>__
>
It is set to (None)

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005 Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423 stpatrick3spam@... stpatrick2spam@... stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@... (cut the spam to reply) VOTE TO REBUILD! www.twintowersalliance.com
__

38323. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 23:43:53 UTC
From: <pshea@...>
Topic: 38277 Message: 38323

I am running a Yaesu Brand 9 Pin serial cable that I use for the VX-3 and a computer with a real serial port. It has the TRRS plug. Looking at the diagram for the previous message and looking at the Yaesu programming cable pinout the cables are not the same. It looks like the Yaesu uses 2 wire and the Baofeng uses 3 wires. I will order a Baofeng cable and see if it works. Thanks everyone for the help. I will post the results once I get my cable.

38324. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 23:51:10 UTC
From: james mclelland
Topic: 38277 Message: 38324

i am using a ken wood cable for the UV5RE

On Thursday, December 26, 2013 11:43 PM, "pshea@..." <pshea@...> wrote:

I am running a Yaesu Brand 9 Pin serial cable that I use for the VX-3 and a computer with a real serial port. It has the TRRS plug. Looking at the diagram for the previous message and looking at the Yaesu programming cable pinout the cables are not the same. It looks like the Yaesu uses 2 wire and the Baofeng uses 3 wires. I will order a Baofeng cable and see if it works. Thanks everyone for the help. I will post the results once I get my cable.

38325. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Weird problem with memory mode

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 23:55:23 UTC
From: james mclelland
Topic: 38273 Message: 38325

just saw you on QRZ i see you where in the Army ? i am a radio op in the Royal Engineers, i was i Iraq in 2003 with the Royal military police

On , james mclelland <jamesmclelland574@...> wrote:

the only thing that is set to none is Duplex

On Thursday, December 26, 2013 11:32 PM, Dale Miller <stpatrick2@...> wrote:

On 12/26/2013 8:17 AM, luv2cmwork@... wrote:

>Shouldn't Duplex be set to "None" rather than "Off"? I believe he said it was set to "Off".
>
>
>If you are able to double check your Duplex setting, make sure it's set to "None" for simplex operation.
>__
>
It is set to (None)

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005 Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423 stpatrick3spam@twlakes.net stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@... bdchimneysweepspam@... (cut the spam to reply) VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com __

38326. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 23:56:09 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38289 Message: 38326

On 12/26/2013 9:46 AM, Michael Black wrote:
>
> I asked them and they said no. I don't think a more expensive radio
> is really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the
> CODEC required.
>
> So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>

D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
I don't know where everyone gets this.
Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
proprietary.

http://www.eham.net/articles/24011

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38327. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Weird problem with memory mode

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 00:05:52 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38273 Message: 38327

On 12/26/2013 12:20 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr wrote:
>
> Jim,
> I have seen a few that the fault travels with each upload of the
> program. For example, EOT tones being used when the settings for this
> is off. Or something like a memory channel missing or not working
> properly. After each reprogram the fault will travel to a new
> location in the program. I suspect this is some type of memory error
> in the radio.
> We were tipped off a few months back about failed Baofeng’s being sold
> by the pallet to dealers in Asia (hopefully no dealers in the USA got
> them) on the cheap with various issues. One of the big ones was the
> memory issue, which I think they were talking about this problem. I
> suspect these are some of the batches sold under or around $30.
> The reset you mentioned works along with possibly continuous uploading
> of the program until the bad blocks are either not used or in an area
> that will not be used or will not affect the radio.
> The big problem is if the program is reloaded after a good upload
> (changed and reloaded) the whole procedure must be done again.
> Another work around was to keep some spots open in memory (do not fill
> up all 128 slots) and hope the bad block is unused. Severe cases the
> radio would not program at all and dump the programming on the fly
> with a reset done internally.
> This is my hypothesis and I have had a few people ask if we could fix
> their problem radios at our expo’s this seemed to be the common error
> and it did not matter if Chirp, RT systems or other was used as it is
> something internally with the radio.
> I too have seen some part changes, cheaper lighter plastic, less metal
> in some of them which is typical of trying to cut production costs
> while balancing quality.
> I myself would rather pay $100 for quality than $30 for something that
> will be tossed in the bin after a few weeks.
> I hope Baofeng will not go too cheap causing multiple QA issues which
> would damage the uv5R’s reputation.
>

I hope that wasn't the problem.
I just double checked his radio and some how the memory changed from
433.100 to 443.100.
Changed it back to 433.100 and now it works.
The poor kid was actually ecstatic to get his first radio after passing
his test last month.
So for now it is working so we will see.
Thanks everyone!

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38328. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 00:16:45 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38289 Message: 38328

Yeah.sorry.it's the Codec that's proprietary.and since D-Star uses it would seem it inherits some of that.

But D-Star is an open protocol. Would that mean paying ICOM a fee to use it along with having to buy the AMBE Codec?

All sounds like it makes for a notably more expensive radio.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dale Miller
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 5:56 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

On 12/26/2013 9:46 AM, Michael Black wrote:

I asked them and they said no. I don't think a more expensive radio is really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC required.

So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.

Mike W9MDB

D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
I don't know where everyone gets this.
Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it proprietary.

http://www.eham.net/articles/24011

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com

--

38329. New user.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 00:24:43 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 38329 Message: 38329

Hi, Sorry if this has all been asked before. I have two of these for Xmas, one I intend to use on my boat and the other for Amateur.

Does anybody have CHIRP files for UK repeaters and EU marine frequencies, before I re-invent the wheel?

Also what is the difference between the UV5R+plus and a standard UV5R is it just the metallic fascia or something else?

Many Thanks

Steve G1FIP.

38330. Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 00:28:41 UTC
From: jdhite1
Topic: 38177 Message: 38330

They seem okay with doing an RMA swap, but the costs aren't clear yet. It may be that shipping will make this an unreasonable thing to do. We'll see.....

John

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "Stan Labinsky Jr." <k2stn@...> wrote:
>
> Should not happen John, especially if you are careful to emphasize that the problem is intermittent and sensitive to the cable at the plug end (assuming thatâ□□s where itâ□□s failing) being flexed.
>
> Considering the cost, Iâ□□d expect theyâ□□ll just trash it and send out a new one.
>
> Iâ□□ve done a cut-away of similar failures and youâ□□d be shocked at the less than workmanship assembly techniques used.
>
> Stan
>
> From: jdhite1
> Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 9:11 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
>
>
>
>
> Sorry, but I need to retract some of what I've said. After very carefully measuring the plugs on the two speaker-mics I own, it turned out that the diameters of the plugs on the good one and the bad one are identical to within a tolerance of 0.0005" (good quality digital caliper). I also checked the plug lengths and although this is a bit harder to do, the lengths seem to be the same also. The same goes for the shape of the plug tips -- the two look the same.
>
> So while the symptoms implied that the plug size(s) must have been at fault, this appears not to be the case. Next I tried wiggling and flexing the cable and connector on the bad speaker-mic with it plugged into the HT, and this does occasionally allow the mic to work. The flexing/wiggling revealed no pattern -- whatever is wrong, it is subtle. However the problem is quite repeatable since this same speaker-mic acts exactly the same with both HTs.
>
> I contacted Radioddity about an RMA, and they are willing. However I wish the problem acted less like an intermittent. Whatever is wrong is slight, so this makes me wonder if they will report "no problem found" and send back the same speaker-mic!
>
> Thanks for your (and everyone else's) thoughts and help.
>
> Happy Holidays to all....!!!
>
> John

>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@> wrote:
> >
> > Yes, we had 10 of these to deal with and that is how we found out what the problem was. Some are easy to spot after you get a few bad ones by the end shape.
> >
> > Just another lesson that there are a lot of low quality out of spec accessories out there for these products.
> >
> >
> >
> > From: jdhite1
> > Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 6:24 AM
> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
> >
> >
> > The problem turned out to be an out of tolerance 3.5mm plug. I can't easily fix this, but at least the mystery is solved!
> >
> > --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@> wrote:
> > >
> > > At 09:48 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion
> > > >speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either
> > > >radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when
> > > >this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad
> > > >speaker-mic are:
> > > >
> > > >1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
> > > >
> > > >2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.
> > > >
> > > >3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the
> > > >normal way. However.....
> > > >
> > > >4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the
> > > >speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works
> > > >fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used
> > > >in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the
> > > >one speaker-mic.
> > > >
> > > >I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given
> > > >on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone
> > > >have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?
> > >
> > > It used to be that capacitor microphones were used and they require power.
> > > So the external microphone had the PTT wired in series with the mic.

> > >
> > >
> > > >John
> > >
> >
>

38331. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BF-U8 Anyone know how to program?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 00:30:14 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38277 Message: 38331

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 6:29 PM, Ernest Hansen <ernie_hansen@...>wrote:

>
>
> I may have missed the explanation. Is the PC software and cable for
> programming the BF-u8 the same as the UV5R?
>
>
> Visit Me at my new web site:
> http://erniehansen.x10.mx/index.html
> THANK YOU!
>
> Ernest,

Not according to my research. It uses a 1-pin 4-conductor plug connector
like the early UV-3R. Not the 2-pin Kenwood sytle connector of the UV-5R.

http://uv3r.com/images/UV-3R_Interface.jpeg

http://www.ebay.com/itm/Baofeng-USB-Programming-cable-data-lead-for-UV-100-UV-200-UV-3R-BF-U3-BF-U8-CD-/171198665195

Be sure to get a cable for the original UV-3R. Both Kenwood and Yaesu have
handi talkies that use this type of plug but they are not compatible.

Jim KC9HI

38332. Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 00:30:21 UTC
From: jdhite1
Topic: 38177 Message: 38332

I will do exactly that, or at least I will if an RMA doesn't work out.

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@...> wrote:
>
> Take one apart and let us know where the problem might be. if you have time as I am sure someone else will get these bad mics in the future.
>
>
>
> From: jdhite1
> Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 6:11 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
>
>
>
>
> Sorry, but I need to retract some of what I've said. After very carefully measuring the plugs on the two speaker-mics I own, it turned out that the diameters of the plugs on the good one and the bad one are identical to within a tolerance of 0.0005" (good quality digital caliper). I also checked the plug lengths and although this is a bit harder to do, the lengths seem to be the same also. The same goes for the shape of the plug tips -- the two look the same.
>
> So while the symptoms implied that the plug size(s) must have been at fault, this appears not to be the case. Next I tried wiggling and flexing the cable and connector on the bad speaker-mic with it plugged into the HT, and this does occasionally allow the mic to work. The flexing/wiggling revealed no pattern -- whatever is wrong, it is subtle. However the problem is quite repeatable since this same speaker-mic acts exactly the same with both HTs.
>
> I contacted Radioddity about an RMA, and they are willing. However I wish the problem acted less like an intermittent. Whatever is wrong is slight, so this makes me wonder if they will report "no problem found" and send back the same speaker-mic!
>
> Thanks for your (and everyone else's) thoughts and help.
>
> Happy Holidays to all....!!!
>
> John
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@> wrote:
> >
> > Yes, we had 10 of these to deal with and that is how we found out what the problem was. Some are easy to spot after you get a few bad ones by the end shape.

> >
> > Just another lesson that there are a lot of low quality out of spec accessories out there for these products.
> >
> >
> >
> > From: jdhite1
> > Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 6:24 AM
> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
> >
> >
> > The problem turned out to be an out of tolerance 3.5mm plug. I can't easily fix this, but at least the mystery is solved!
> >
> > --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@> wrote:
> > >
> > > At 09:48 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion
> > > >speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either
> > > >radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when
> > > >this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad
> > > >speaker-mic are:
> > > >
> > > >1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
> > > >
> > > >2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.
> > > >
> > > >3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the
> > > >normal way. However.....
> > > >
> > > >4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the
> > > >speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works
> > > >fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used
> > > >in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the
> > > >one speaker-mic.
> > > >
> > > >I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given
> > > >on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone
> > > >have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?
> > >
> > > It used to be that capacitor microphones were used and they require power.
> > > So the external microphone had the PTT wired in series with the mic.
> > >
> > >
> > > >John
> > >
> >

>

38333. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] New user.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 00:34:21 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38329 Message: 38333

Hi Steve,

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 7:24 PM, Steve <g1fip@...> wrote:

>
>
> Hi, Sorry if this has all been asked before. I have two of these for Xmas,
> one I intend to use on my boat and the other for Amateur.
>
> Does anybody have CHIRP files for UK repeaters and EU marine frequencies,
> before I re-invent the wheel?
>
Look in this group's files area.

>
> Also what is the difference between the UV5R+plus and a standard UV5R is it
> just the metallic fascia or something else?
>
You guessed it. The electronics is the same.

>
> Many Thanks
>
> Steve G1FIP.
>
Jim KC9HI

38334. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 00:42:53 UTC
From: Matthew Pitts
Topic: 38289 Message: 38334

Well, I'm not aware of any projects that have had to pay any kind of licensing fee to Icom for this, and you can buy the AMBE Vocoder chips without paying a separate licensing fee; that probably would only come into play if you wanted to use a custom DSP chip for your product, due to size constraints. There are several projects that make use of the AMBE chip that can be used to add the capability to mobile radios that have the proper 6 pin mini DIN connector, as well as being able to adapt them to just about any mobile transceiver in use with the proper modifications. And, as you know if you've been a longtime member of this group, it's conceivable that the UV-5R can be modded to do this, though it's a much harder nut to crack.

Matthew Pitts
N8OHU

From: Michael Black <mdblack98@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent:
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yeah…sorry…it’s the Codec that’s proprietary…and since D-Star uses it would seem it inherits some of that.
But D-Star is an open protocol. Would that mean paying ICOM a fee to use it along with having to buy the AMBE Codec?
All sounds like it makes for a notably more expensive radio.

Mike W9MDB

From:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dale Miller
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 5:56 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

On 12/26/2013 9:46 AM, Michael Black wrote:

>I asked them and they said no. I don’t think a more expensive radio is really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC required.
>So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.
>
>Mike W9MDB
>
>

D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
I don't know where everyone gets this.
Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it proprietary.

http://www.eham.net/articles/24011

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38335. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Weird problem with memory mode

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 00:46:27 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38273 Message: 38335

On 12/26/2013 5:55 PM, james mclelland wrote:
> just saw you on QRZ i see you where in the Army ? i am a radio op in
> the Royal Engineers, i was i Iraq in 2003 with the Royal military police
>
>
> On , james mclelland <jamesmclelland574@...> wrote:
> the only thing that is set to none is Duplex
>

Yep was a 31V (Radio Systems Repairer/Operator) in the Army stationed in
Ft. Sill, Ft. Benning then Ft. Hood.
Got out right before Desert Storm and was lucky they didn't recall me. :)

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38336. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 00:48:31 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38289 Message: 38336

On 12/26/2013 6:16 PM, Michael Black wrote:
>
> Yeah...sorry...it's the Codec that's proprietary...and since D-Star
> uses it would seem it inherits some of that.
>
> But D-Star is an open protocol. Would that mean paying ICOM a fee to
> use it along with having to buy the AMBE Codec?
>
> All sounds like it makes for a notably more expensive radio.
>
> Mike W9MDB
>

There is work on a open ambe codec for open source.
http://kb9mwr.blogspot.com/2010/03/ambe-open-source-compatible-codec.html

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38337. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 00:54:56 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38289 Message: 38337

Your reference notes that AMBE codec is patented until 2017.

So we have to hold our breath until then when the chip can be cloned by the Chinese for $1 or less J

May already be cloned and waiting for patent to expire.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dale Miller
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 6:49 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

On 12/26/2013 6:16 PM, Michael Black wrote:

Yeah.sorry.it's the Codec that's proprietary.and since D-Star uses it would seem it inherits some of that.

But D-Star is an open protocol. Would that mean paying ICOM a fee to use it along with having to buy the AMBE Codec?

All sounds like it makes for a notably more expensive radio.

Mike W9MDB

There is work on a open ambe codec for open source.
http://kb9mwr.blogspot.com/2010/03/ambe-open-source-compatible-codec.html

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38338. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 01:11:40 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 38289 Message: 38338

Probably pay the JARL.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 26, 2013, at 16:16, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...> wrote:
>
> Yeah…sorry…it’s the Codec that’s proprietary…and since D-Star uses it would seem it inherits some of that.
>
> But D-Star is an open protocol. Would that mean paying ICOM a fee to use it along with having to buy the AMBE Codec?
>
> All sounds like it makes for a notably more expensive radio.
>
>
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
>
>
> From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dale Miller
> Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 5:56 PM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star
>
>
>
>
>
> On 12/26/2013 9:46 AM, Michael Black wrote:
>
>
>
> I asked them and they said no. I don’t think a more expensive radio is really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC required.
>
> So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.
>
>
>

> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
>
>
>
> D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
> I don't know where everyone gets this.
> Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it proprietary.
>
> http://www.eham.net/articles/24011
>
>
> --
> All the Best & 73's
> Dale Miller, KC2CBD
> Tennessee
> Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
> Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005
>
> Registered Linux User: #317401
> Linux since June 2003
> Registered Ubuntu User #26423
>
> stpatrick3spam@twlakes.net
> stpatrick2spam@...
> stpatrick3spam@...
> bdchimneysweepspam@...
>
> (cut the spam to reply)
>
>
> VOTE TO REBUILD!
> www.twintowersalliance.com
> --
>
>

38339. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 01:06:46 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 38289 Message: 38339

Yes, they are thinking about it. Icom's investment is not 100% theirs as others have stated. HOWEVER, the radios will not be cheap.

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 10:41 AM, Ron Triano KI6VEY <rondefly@...>wrote:

>
>
> Merry Christmas, anyone out there know if Baofeng may be planning on a D
> Star radio, That would be a great idea.
> Ron Triano KI6VEY
>
>
>

38340. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-26 18:07:29 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38121 Message: 38340

At 11:18 AM 25/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>Thanks to everyone for all the responses. I cannot imagine a true
>emergency situation where I would deny use of my repeater to valid
>emergency organizations.

Valid emergency organisations do not use amateur radio.

38341. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 01:44:13 UTC
From: Matthew Pitts
Topic: 38121 Message: 38341

Sure they do; it's just that non-amateurs generally don't realize that the folks manning the radios in emergency shelters don't work for the organization in question. Yes, they do have their own radio gear, but in an emergency it may not be as functional as it should be.

Matthew Pitts
N8OHU

From: Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent:
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

At 11:18 AM 25/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>Thanks to everyone for all the responses. I cannot imagine a true
>emergency situation where I would deny use of my repeater to valid
>emergency organizations.

Valid emergency organisations do not use amateur radio.

38342. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 01:45:48 UTC
From: Steve Spence
Topic: 38121 Message: 38342

They sure do. We man the red cross shelters and ham radio is the primary means of comms during a hurricane.

On 12/26/2013 1:07 PM, Barry OGrady wrote:
>
> At 11:18 AM 25/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >Thanks to everyone for all the responses. I cannot imagine a true
> >emergency situation where I would deny use of my repeater to valid
> >emergency organizations.
>
> Valid emergency organisations do not use amateur radio.
>
>

--
Steve, KK4HFJ

http://www.green-trust.org

http://www.essnmag.com

http://arduinotronics.blogspot.com

38343. Programming

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 02:25:51 UTC
From: <kj4eqi@...>
Topic: 707 Message: 38343

I can read the radio, but cannot save, save as. I know I need to read the radio and then save it as a file then I can make changes and write the new file to the radio. what am I missing?

38344. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Programming

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 02:40:07 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 707 Message: 38344

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 9:25 PM, <kj4eqi@...> wrote:

>
>
> I can read the radio, but cannot save, save as. I know I need to read the
> radio and then save it as a file then I can make changes and write the new
> file to the radio. what am I missing?
>
>
>

I will guess that you are using the Baofeng software. With the OEM software, you do not have to read from the radio first. You can populate a blank spreadsheet and upload to the radio.

The "Save As" function does not work on the OEM software. Use "Save" instead. It will accomplish the same thing. Be sure to enter the full filename including the .dat extension. Example: UV5R.dat

Jim KC9HI

38345. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 03:53:17 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 38121 Message: 38345

Where did you hear that from? Red Cross uses ham, our local 911 center (CRESA) uses it, several Search and Rescue groups, there are interoperability guides out that use it. It has been widely used in several hurricanes, wildland fires, etc. would like to know your references.

Www.silverstarsar.org www.ccareswa.org Www.w7aia.org www.arrl.org
Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 26, 2013, at 10:07, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...> wrote:
>
> At 11:18 AM 25/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >Thanks to everyone for all the responses. I cannot imagine a true
> >emergency situation where I would deny use of my repeater to valid
> >emergency organizations.
>
> Valid emergency organisations do not use amateur radio.
>
>

38346. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 04:09:44 UTC
From: John W.
Topic: 38121 Message: 38346

He lies and causes trouble. Meet the class Dunce. I had to ban him from one of my groups for disruptive behavior.

From: Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...>
To: "baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com" <baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 10:53 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Where did you hear that from? Red Cross uses ham, our local 911 center (CRESA) uses it, several Search and Rescue groups, there are interoperability guides out that use it. It has been widely used in several hurricanes, wildland fires, etc. would like to know your references.

Www.silverstarsar.org www.ccareswa.org Www.w7aia.org www.arrl.org

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio
Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

On Dec 26, 2013, at 10:07, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@exemail.com.au> wrote:

>At 11:18 AM 25/12/2013, you wrote:
>>
>>
>>Thanks to everyone for all the responses. I cannot imagine a true
>>emergency situation where I would deny use of my repeater to valid
>>emergency organizations.
>
>Valid emergency organisations do not use amateur radio.
>
>

38347. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 04:11:37 UTC
From: KF5ULI
Topic: 38121 Message: 38347

Was going to say yes they do use Ham radio, as a member of ARES AND RACES we have done allot ham was used at Katrina when all other communication was wiped out, it was used after Hurricane Sandy till communications were re established and recently during this years devastating tornado outbreaks in Moore and El Rino Oklahoma, we as hams are the go to during emergency communications

Sent from my iPad

> On Dec 26, 2013, at 9:53 PM, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:
>
> Where did you hear that from? Red Cross uses ham, our local 911 center (CRESA) uses it, several Search and Rescue groups, there are interoperability guides out that use it. It has been widely used in several hurricanes, wildland fires, etc. would like to know your references.
>
> Www.silverstarsar.org www.ccareswa.org Www.w7aia.org www.arrl.org
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
>> On Dec 26, 2013, at 10:07, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> At 11:18 AM 25/12/2013, you wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >Thanks to everyone for all the responses. I cannot imagine a true
>> >emergency situation where I would deny use of my repeater to valid
>> >emergency organizations.
>>
>> Valid emergency organisations do not use amateur radio.
>
>

38348. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] New user.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 05:15:53 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 38329 Message: 38348

Thanks Jim,

The file section seems to have most of what I need, and what isn't in there can be expanded on and re-posted.

Of course the silly USB cable doesn't work as yet, and I've had more han enough experience with NMEA to know that with Prolific you are on a hiding to nowhere. So I've ordered an FTDI module which will do the job. Oddly device manager is recognising the driver and allocating a port, but the radio goes into TX when plugged in. despite me shaving about 1/8 inch off the connector with a stanley blade. Hey Ho.

Thanks for your help.

Steve G1FIP (Warwick UK)

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: 27 December 2013 00:34
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] New user.

Hi Steve,

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 7:24 PM, Steve <g1fip@...> wrote:

Hi, Sorry if this has all been asked before. I have two of these for Xmas, one I intend to use on my boat and the other for Amateur.

Does anybody have CHIRP files for UK repeaters and EU marine frequencies, before I re-invent the wheel?

Look in this group's files area.

Also what is the difference between the UV5R+plus and a standard UV5R is it just the metallic fascia or something else?

You guessed it. The electronics is the same.

Many Thanks

Steve G1FIP.

Jim KC9HI

38349. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 10:38:26 UTC
From: Jasen Stengel
Topic: 38121 Message: 38349

Scott... I live in the Baltimore metro area.. You need to relax and rethink what you are typing. If a repeater is shut down for emergency traffic, its for a good reason. And we have a lot more than 5 good repeaters. Maybe if your favorite 5 are doing emergency traffic only and you aren't participating... you can listen and learn something.

Not all Maryland hams are this way folks :)
73's DE N3HQU

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>
>
>
>From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@gmail.com>
>
>
>Perhaps you should read more carefully – I did not refer to either RACES or ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott’s claim that RACES had taken over EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a snowstorm – which was just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five repeaters in the entire metro area.
>
>
>So, just where are you? If you were here, you'd know
>how few good repeaters there are around here. Many
>are listed, but only a few work. Since you're not here,
>you are unqualified to call my claims BS.
>
>
>
><!-- #ygrp-mkp { border: 1px solid #d8d8d8; font-family: Arial; margin: 10px 0; padding: 0 10px; } #ygrp-mkp hr { border: 1px solid #d8d8d8; } #ygrp-mkp #hd { color: #628c2a; font-size: 85%; font-weight: 700; line-height: 122%; margin: 10px 0; } #ygrp-mkp #ads { margin-bottom: 10px; } #ygrp-mkp .ad { padding: 0 0; } #ygrp-mkp .ad p { margin: 0; } #ygrp-mkp .ad a { color: #0000ff; text-decoration: none; } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc { font-family: Arial; } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc #hd { margin: 10px 0px; font-weight: 700; font-size: 78%; line-height: 122%; } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc .ad { margin-bottom: 10px; padding: 0 0; } #actions { font-family: Verdana; font-size: 11px; padding: 10px 0; } #activity { background-color: #e0ecee; float: left; font-family: Verdana; font-size: 10px; padding: 10px; } #activity span { font-weight: 700; } #activity span:first-child { text-transform: uppercase; } #activity span a { color: #5085b6; text-decoration: none; } #activity span span { color: #ff7900; } #activity span .underline { text-decoration: underline; } .attach { clear: both; display: table; font-family: Arial; font-size: 12px; padding: 10px 0; width: 400px; } .attach div a { text-decoration: none; } .attach img {

border: none; padding-right: 5px; } .attach label { display: block; margin-bottom: 5px; } .attach label a { text-decoration: none; } blockquote { margin: 0 0 0 4px; } .bold { font-family: Arial; font-size: 13px; font-weight: 700; } .bold a { text-decoration: none; } dd.last p a { font-family: Verdana; font-weight: 700; } dd.last p span { margin-right: 10px; font-family: Verdana; font-weight: 700; } dd.last p span.yshortcuts { margin-right: 0; } div.attach-table div div a { text-decoration: none; } div.attach-table { width: 400px; } div.file-title a, div.file-title a:active, div.file-title a:hover, div.file-title a:visited { text-decoration: none; } div.photo-title a, div.photo-title a:active, div.photo-title a:hover, div.photo-title a:visited { text-decoration: none; } div#ygrp-mlmsg #ygrp-msg p a span.yshortcuts { font-family: Verdana; font-size: 10px; font-weight: normal; } .green { color: #628c2a; } .MsoNormal { margin: 0 0 0 0; } o { font-size: 0; } #photos div { float: left; width: 72px; } #photos div div { border: 1px solid #666666; height: 62px; overflow: hidden; width: 62px; } #photos div label { color: #666666; font-size: 10px; overflow: hidden; text-align: center; white-space: nowrap; width: 64px; } #reco-category { font-size: 77%; } #reco-desc { font-size: 77%; } .replbq { margin: 4px; } #ygrp-actbar div a:first-child { /* border-right: 0px solid #000;*/ margin-right: 2px; padding-right: 5px; } #ygrp-mlmsg { font-size: 13px; font-family: Arial, helvetica,clean, sans-serif; *font-size: small; *font: x-small; } #ygrp-mlmsg table { font-size: inherit; font: 100%; } #ygrp-mlmsg select, input, textarea { font: 99% Arial, Helvetica, clean, sans-serif; } #ygrp-mlmsg pre, code { font:115% monospace; *font-size:100%; } #ygrp-mlmsg * { line-height: 1.22em; } #ygrp-mlmsg #logo { padding-bottom: 10px; } #ygrp-msg p a { font-family: Verdana; } #ygrp-msg p#attach-count span { color: #1E66AE; font-weight: 700; } #ygrp-reco #reco-head { color: #ff7900; font-weight: 700; } #ygrp-reco { margin-bottom: 20px; padding: 0px; } #ygrp-sponsor #ov li a { font-size: 130%; text-decoration: none; } #ygrp-sponsor #ov li { font-size: 77%; list-style-type: square; padding: 6px 0; } #ygrp-sponsor #ov ul { margin: 0; padding: 0 0 0 8px; } #ygrp-text { font-family: Georgia; } #ygrp-text p { margin: 0 0 1em 0; } #ygrp-text tt { font-size: 120%; } #ygrp-vital ul li:last-child { border-right: none !important; } -->

38350. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 11:47:55 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 38121 Message: 38350

The 3 hospitals in our county are connected to the EOC (That's Emergancy Operations Center or Centre if you prefer) by amateur radio. In addition our state EOC (see previous definition) runs a statewide weekly net to make sure everything is running right
----- Original Message -----
From: Barry OGrady
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 1:07 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

At 11:18 AM 25/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>Thanks to everyone for all the responses. I cannot imagine a true
>emergency situation where I would deny use of my repeater to valid
>emergency organizations.

Valid emergency organisations do not use amateur radio.

38352. RE: MURS GMRS Channels

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 14:00:54 UTC
From: <allonclark@...>
Topic: 38121 Message: 38352

The FCC used to prohibit amateur licensed hospital employees who were getting compensated by their employer from keying a mike on a Ham frequency, but those rules have been loosened in the past few years. Here is a pretty good explanation with updates at the bottom. http://www.hdscs.org/employee.html http://www.hdscs.org/employee.html

~Al

38353. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 14:47:12 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38121 Message: 38353

You have local receive sites where you are. Come here with
your little HT and tell me how many repeaters you can hit.

This part of town has NO receive sites around and you MUST have an
outside antenna to hit any 2 meter repeaters as anything more then
a bunch of static. I'm glad you can hit a repeater or two...

From: Jasen Stengel <gorm@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2013 5:38 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Scott... I live in the Baltimore metro area.. You need to relax and rethink what you are typing. If a repeater is shut down for emergency traffic, its for a good reason. And we have a lot more than 5 good repeaters. Maybe if your favorite 5 are doing emergency traffic only and you aren't participating... you can listen and learn something.

Not all Maryland hams are this way folks :)
73's DE N3HQU

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>

Perhaps you should read more carefully – I did not refer to either RACES or
ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott’s claim that RACES had taken over
EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a snowstorm – which was
just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five repeaters in the
entire metro area.
So, just where are you? If you were here, you'd know
how few good repeaters there are around here. Many
are listed, but only a few work. Since you're not here,
you are unqualified to call my claims BS.

38354. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 15:37:57 UTC
From: John Payne
Topic: 38289 Message: 38354

But wait... order in the next 5 minutes and receive absolutely free a
Ronco Turnip Twaddler!!!

Tongue firmly in cheek & 73,

W4CWZ

On 12/26/2013 2:18 PM, Ernest Hansen wrote:
> You and I have been watching too much TV!
> Visit Me at my new web site:
> http://erniehansen.x10.mx/index.html
> THANK YOU!
>

38355. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 15:53:02 UTC
From: Jasen Stengel
Topic: 38121 Message: 38355

You talk as if repeater access is a constitutional right... the repeaters are provided by local clubs and hams at their own personal expense and shared with you as a courtesy. Rather than complaining that they are not shared every moment, why not be thankful that people let you use their stuff? Otherwise... put up your own personal repeater. We didn't always have this many repeaters... I can hit 25 with my baofeng with the stock antenna from in my basement... back in the 80's I could only hit 676 and 135 without using a quarter wave from the second story... but back then we did a lot of simplex stuff and hams were fewer...

You really should re-think your position.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>
>You have local receive sites where you are. Come here with
>your little HT and tell me how many repeaters you can hit.
>
>This part of town has NO receive sites around and you MUST have an
>outside antenna to hit any 2 meter repeaters as anything more then
>a bunch of static. I'm glad you can hit a repeater or two...
>
>
>
>From: Jasen Stengel <gorm@verizon.net>
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Friday, December 27, 2013 5:38 AM
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense
>
>
>
>
>Scott... I live in the Baltimore metro area.. You need to relax and rethink what you are typing. If a repeater is shut down for emergency traffic, its for a good reason. And we have a lot more than 5 good repeaters. Maybe if your favorite 5 are doing emergency traffic only and you aren't participating... you can listen and learn something.
>
>
>Not all Maryland hams are this way folks :)
>
>73's DE N3HQU
>
>
>Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

>
>
>
>"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@gmail.com>
>
>
>Perhaps you should read more carefully – I did not refer to either RACES or ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott’s claim that RACES had taken over EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a snowstorm – which was just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five repeaters in the entire metro area.
>
>
>So, just where are you? If you were here, you'd know
>how few good repeaters there are around here. Many
>are listed, but only a few work. Since you're not here,
>you are unqualified to call my claims BS.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
><!-- #ygrp-mkp { border: 1px solid #d8d8d8; font-family: Arial; margin: 10px 0; padding: 0 10px; } #ygrp-mkp hr { border: 1px solid #d8d8d8; } #ygrp-mkp #hd { color: #628c2a; font-size: 85%; font-weight: 700; line-height: 122%; margin: 10px 0; } #ygrp-mkp #ads { margin-bottom: 10px; } #ygrp-mkp .ad { padding: 0 0; } #ygrp-mkp .ad p { margin: 0; } #ygrp-mkp .ad a { color: #0000ff; text-decoration: none; } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc { font-family: Arial; } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc #hd { margin: 10px 0px; font-weight: 700; font-size: 78%; line-height: 122%; } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc .ad { margin-bottom: 10px; padding: 0 0; } #actions { font-family: Verdana; font-size: 11px; padding: 10px 0; } #activity { background-color: #e0ecee; float: left; font-family: Verdana; font-size: 10px; padding: 10px; } #activity span { font-weight: 700; } #activity span:first-child { text-transform: uppercase; } #activity span a { color: #5085b6; text-decoration: none; } #activity span span { color: #ff7900; } #activity span .underline { text-decoration: underline; } .attach { clear: both; display: table; font-family: Arial; font-size: 12px; padding: 10px 0; width: 400px; } .attach div a { text-decoration: none; } .attach img { border: none; padding-right: 5px; } .attach label { display: block; margin-bottom: 5px; } .attach label a { text-decoration: none; } blockquote { margin: 0 0 0 4px; } .bold { font-family: Arial; font-size: 13px; font-weight: 700; } .bold a { text-decoration: none; } dd.last p a { font-family: Verdana; font-weight: 700; } dd.last p span { margin-right: 10px; font-family: Verdana; font-weight: 700; } dd.last p span.yshortcuts { margin-right: 0; } div.attach-table div div a { text-decoration: none; } div.attach-table { width: 400px; } div.file-title a, div.file-title a:active, div.file-title a:hover, div.file-title

a:visited { text-decoration: none; } div.photo-title a, div.photo-title a:active, div.photo-title a:hover, div.photo-title a:visited { text-decoration: none; } div#ygrp-mlmsg #ygrp-msg p a span.yshortcuts { font-family: Verdana; font-size: 10px; font-weight: normal; } .green { color: #628c2a; } .MsoNormal { margin: 0 0 0 0; } o { font-size: 0; } #photos div { float: left; width: 72px; } #photos div div { border: 1px solid #666666; height: 62px; overflow: hidden; width: 62px; } #photos div label { color: #666666; font-size: 10px; overflow: hidden; text-align: center; white-space: nowrap; width: 64px; } #reco-category { font-size: 77%; } #reco-desc { font-size: 77%; } .replbq { margin: 4px; } #ygrp-actbar div a:first-child { /* border-right: 0px solid #000;*/ margin-right: 2px; padding-right: 5px; } #ygrp-mlmsg { font-size: 13px; font-family: Arial, helvetica,clean, sans-serif; *font-size: small; *font: x-small; } #ygrp-mlmsg table { font-size: inherit; font: 100%; } #ygrp-mlmsg select, input, textarea { font: 99% Arial, Helvetica, clean, sans-serif; } #ygrp-mlmsg pre, code { font:115% monospace; *font-size:100%; } #ygrp-mlmsg * { line-height: 1.22em; } #ygrp-mlmsg #logo { padding-bottom: 10px; } #ygrp-msg p a { font-family: Verdana; } #ygrp-msg p#attach-count span { color: #1E66AE; font-weight: 700; } #ygrp-reco #reco-head { color: #ff7900; font-weight: 700; } #ygrp-reco { margin-bottom: 20px; padding: 0px; } #ygrp-sponsor #ov li a { font-size: 130%; text-decoration: none; } #ygrp-sponsor #ov li { font-size: 77%; list-style-type: square; padding: 6px 0; } #ygrp-sponsor #ov ul { margin: 0; padding: 0 0 0 8px; } #ygrp-text { font-family: Georgia; } #ygrp-text p { margin: 0 0 1em 0; } #ygrp-text tt { font-size: 120%; } #ygrp-vital ul li:last-child { border-right: none !important; } -->

38356. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 16:14:13 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38121 Message: 38356

You really should try hitting repeaters from the Reisterstown Road
corridor with an HT. From below the city line all the way past
Reisterstown, everybody over here has problems hitting and
hearing repeaters. You have it good in Columbia compared to here.

Come here and try it. Walk in my shoes once. I've been playing
with radios since 1977. I know the area. It's a deaf zone.
There used to be local receive sites. The tower changed owners
over a decade ago and kicked all the ham stuff off and we've
never recovered. BARC has issues with their system. BRATS
went from first to worst and is just starting to pick up the pieces.
The other systems are in other counties and become the RACES
systems for those counties, or are very local systems not covering
this part of town. If I lived in Columbia, I'd have local receive sites,
but I don't. I'm over here in the NW shadow.

Prove or disclaim my statement, but you'll never do it from there.

From: Jasen Stengel <gorm@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2013 10:53 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

You talk as if repeater access is a constitutional right... the repeaters are provided by
local clubs and hams at their own personal expense and shared with you as a courtesy.
Rather than complaining that they are not shared every moment, why not be thankful that
people let you use their stuff? Otherwise... put up your own personal repeater. We didn't
always have this many repeaters... I can hit 25 with my baofeng with the stock antenna from
in my basement... back in the 80's I could only hit 676 and 135 without using a quarter
wave from the second story... but back then we did a lot of simplex stuff and hams were
fewer...

You really should re-think your position.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

You have local receive sites where you are. Come here with
your little HT and tell me how many repeaters you can hit.

This part of town has NO receive sites around and you MUST have an
outside antenna to hit any 2 meter repeaters as anything more then
a bunch of static. I'm glad you can hit a repeater or two...

From: Jasen Stengel <gorm@verizon.net>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2013 5:38 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Scott... I live in the Baltimore metro area.. You need to relax and rethink what you are typing. If a repeater is shut down for emergency traffic, its for a good reason. And we have a lot more than 5 good repeaters. Maybe if your favorite 5 are doing emergency traffic only and you aren't participating... you can listen and learn something.

Not all Maryland hams are this way folks :)
73's DE N3HQU

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>

Perhaps you should read more carefully – I did not refer to either RACES or ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott’s claim that RACES had taken over EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a snowstorm – which was just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five repeaters in the entire metro area.
So, just where are you? If you were here, you'd know how few good repeaters there are around here. Many are listed, but only a few work. Since you're not here, you are unqualified to call my claims BS.

38357. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 16:28:45 UTC
From: Kevin Timm
Topic: 38121 Message: 38357

And so, my first post to this group will be:

Is there any way you guys can take this offline - maybe in personal email? You're providing no added value to anyone who is interested in the baofeng uv5r.

Thanks,

KT

On Fri, Dec 27, 2013 at 10:14 AM, Scott, KB3JQQ <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

>
>
> You really should try hitting repeaters from the Reisterstown Road
> corridor with an HT. From below the city line all the way past
> Reisterstown, everybody over here has problems hitting and
> hearing repeaters. You have it good in Columbia compared to here.
>
> Come here and try it. Walk in my shoes once. I've been playing
> with radios since 1977. I know the area. It's a deaf zone.
> There used to be local receive sites. The tower changed owners
> over a decade ago and kicked all the ham stuff off and we've
> never recovered. BARC has issues with their system. BRATS
> went from first to worst and is just starting to pick up the pieces.
> The other systems are in other counties and become the RACES
> systems for those counties, or are very local systems not covering
> this part of town. If I lived in Columbia, I'd have local receive sites,
> but I don't. I'm over here in the NW shadow.
>
> Prove or disclaim my statement, but you'll never do it from there.
>
>
> ----------
> *From:* Jasen Stengel <gorm@verizon.net>
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Friday, December 27, 2013 10:53 AM
>
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense
>
>
>
> You talk as if repeater access is a constitutional right... the repeaters
> are provided by local clubs and hams at their own personal expense and
> shared with you as a courtesy. Rather than complaining that they are not
> shared every moment, why not be thankful that people let you use their

> stuff? Otherwise... put up your own personal repeater. We didn't always
> have this many repeaters... I can hit 25 with my baofeng with the stock
> antenna from in my basement... back in the 80's I could only hit 676 and
> 135 without using a quarter wave from the second story... but back then we
> did a lot of simplex stuff and hams were fewer...
>
> You really should re-think your position.
>
> *Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID*
>
>
> "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...> wrote:
>
>
> You have local receive sites where you are. Come here with
> your little HT and tell me how many repeaters you can hit.
>
> This part of town has NO receive sites around and you MUST have an
> outside antenna to hit any 2 meter repeaters as anything more then
> a bunch of static. I'm glad you can hit a repeater or two...
>
>
> ---
> *From:* Jasen Stengel <gorm@...>
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Friday, December 27, 2013 5:38 AM
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense
>
>
>
> Scott... I live in the Baltimore metro area.. You need to relax and
> rethink what you are typing. If a repeater is shut down for emergency
> traffic, its for a good reason. And we have a lot more than 5 good
> repeaters. Maybe if your favorite 5 are doing emergency traffic only and
> you aren't participating... you can listen and learn something.
>
> Not all Maryland hams are this way folks :)
> 73's DE N3HQU
>
> *Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID*
>
>
> "Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...> wrote:
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *From:* Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>
>

>
> Perhaps you should read more carefully - I did not refer to either RACES
> or ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott’s claim that RACES had taken
> over EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a snowstorm -
> which was just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five
> repeaters in the entire metro area.
>
> So, just where are you? If you were here, you'd know
> how few good repeaters there are around here. Many
> are listed, but only a few work. Since you're not here,
> you are unqualified to call my claims BS.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38358. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 16:39:41 UTC
From: Jasen Stengel
Topic: 38121 Message: 38358

You are missing the point and only reading the parts you want to. Radio repeaters are not a right... you should appreciate that you have any freqs left after the GMRS grabs... let alone repeaters shared with you by brats and barc. If you want more control... pay for and maintain a repeater of your own... then you can dictate what use it has... or join brats or barc and convince the club to put you in control.

You fail to grasp the spirit and intent of ARS and have a grandiose sense of entitlement. I feel badly for you.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>
>You really should try hitting repeaters from the Reisterstown Road
>corridor with an HT. From below the city line all the way past
>Reisterstown, everybody over here has problems hitting and
>hearing repeaters. You have it good in Columbia compared to here.
>
>Come here and try it. Walk in my shoes once. I've been playing
>with radios since 1977. I know the area. It's a deaf zone.
>There used to be local receive sites. The tower changed owners
>over a decade ago and kicked all the ham stuff off and we've
>never recovered. BARC has issues with their system. BRATS
>went from first to worst and is just starting to pick up the pieces.
>The other systems are in other counties and become the RACES
>systems for those counties, or are very local systems not covering
>this part of town. If I lived in Columbia, I'd have local receive sites,
>but I don't. I'm over here in the NW shadow.
>
>Prove or disclaim my statement, but you'll never do it from there.
>
>
>
>From: Jasen Stengel <gorm@verizon.net>
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Friday, December 27, 2013 10:53 AM
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense
>
>
>
>
>You talk as if repeater access is a constitutional right... the repeaters are provided by local clubs and hams at their own personal expense and shared with you as a courtesy. Rather than complaining that they are not shared every moment, why not be thankful that

people let you use their stuff? Otherwise... put up your own personal repeater. We didn't always have this many repeaters... I can hit 25 with my baofeng with the stock antenna from in my basement... back in the 80's I could only hit 676 and 135 without using a quarter wave from the second story... but back then we did a lot of simplex stuff and hams were fewer...
>
>
>You really should re-think your position.
>
>
>Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID
>
>
>
>"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>You have local receive sites where you are. Come here with
>your little HT and tell me how many repeaters you can hit.
>
>This part of town has NO receive sites around and you MUST have an
>outside antenna to hit any 2 meter repeaters as anything more then
>a bunch of static. I'm glad you can hit a repeater or two...
>
>
>
>From: Jasen Stengel <gorm@verizon.net>
>To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Friday, December 27, 2013 5:38 AM
>Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense
>
>
>
>
>Scott... I live in the Baltimore metro area.. You need to relax and rethink what you are typing. If a repeater is shut down for emergency traffic, its for a good reason. And we have a lot more than 5 good repeaters. Maybe if your favorite 5 are doing emergency traffic only and you aren't participating... you can listen and learn something.
>
>
>Not all Maryland hams are this way folks :)
>
>73's DE N3HQU
>
>
>Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID
>
>
>
>"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>
>
>
>
>From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@gmail.com>
>
>
>Perhaps you should read more carefully – I did not refer to either RACES or ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott’s claim that RACES had taken over EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a snowstorm – which was just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five repeaters in the entire metro area.
>
>
>So, just where are you? If you were here, you'd know
>how few good repeaters there are around here. Many
>are listed, but only a few work. Since you're not here,
>you are unqualified to call my claims BS.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
><!-- #ygrp-mkp { border: 1px solid #d8d8d8; font-family: Arial; margin: 10px 0; padding: 0 10px; } #ygrp-mkp hr { border: 1px solid #d8d8d8; } #ygrp-mkp #hd { color: #628c2a; font-size: 85%; font-weight: 700; line-height: 122%; margin: 10px 0; } #ygrp-mkp #ads { margin-bottom: 10px; } #ygrp-mkp .ad { padding: 0 0; } #ygrp-mkp .ad p { margin: 0; } #ygrp-mkp .ad a { color: #0000ff; text-decoration: none; } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc { font-family: Arial; } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc #hd { margin: 10px 0px; font-weight: 700; font-size: 78%; line-height: 122%; } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc .ad { margin-bottom: 10px; padding: 0 0; } #actions { font-family: Verdana; font-size: 11px; padding: 10px 0; } #activity { background-color: #e0ecee; float: left; font-family: Verdana; font-size: 10px; padding: 10px; } #activity span { font-weight: 700; } #activity span:first-child { text-transform: uppercase; } #activity span a { color: #5085b6; text-decoration: none; } #activity span span { color: #ff7900; } #activity span .underline { text-decoration: underline; } .attach { clear: both; display: table; font-family: Arial; font-size: 12px; padding: 10px 0; width: 400px; } .attach div a { text-decoration: none; } .attach img { border: none; padding-right: 5px; } .attach label { display: block; margin-bottom: 5px; } .attach label a { text-decoration: none; } blockquote { margin: 0 0 0 4px; } .bold { font-family: Arial; font-size: 13px; font-weight: 700; } .bold a { text-decoration: none; } dd.last p a { font-family: Verdana; font-weight: 700; } dd.last p span { margin-right: 10px; font-family: Verdana; font-weight: 700; } dd.last p span.yshortcuts { margin-right: 0; } div.attach-table div div a { text-decoration: none; } div.attach-table { width: 400px; } div.file-title a, div.file-title a:active, div.file-title a:hover, div.file-title

a:visited { text-decoration: none; } div.photo-title a, div.photo-title a:active, div.photo-title a:hover, div.photo-title a:visited { text-decoration: none; } div#ygrp-mlmsg #ygrp-msg p a span.yshortcuts { font-family: Verdana; font-size: 10px; font-weight: normal; } .green { color: #628c2a; } .MsoNormal { margin: 0 0 0 0; } o { font-size: 0; } #photos div { float: left; width: 72px; } #photos div div { border: 1px solid #666666; height: 62px; overflow: hidden; width: 62px; } #photos div label { color: #666666; font-size: 10px; overflow: hidden; text-align: center; white-space: nowrap; width: 64px; } #reco-category { font-size: 77%; } #reco-desc { font-size: 77%; } .replbq { margin: 4px; } #ygrp-actbar div a:first-child { /* border-right: 0px solid #000;*/ margin-right: 2px; padding-right: 5px; } #ygrp-mlmsg { font-size: 13px; font-family: Arial, helvetica,clean, sans-serif; *font-size: small; *font: x-small; } #ygrp-mlmsg table { font-size: inherit; font: 100%; } #ygrp-mlmsg select, input, textarea { font: 99% Arial, Helvetica, clean, sans-serif; } #ygrp-mlmsg pre, code { font:115% monospace; *font-size:100%; } #ygrp-mlmsg * { line-height: 1.22em; } #ygrp-mlmsg #logo { padding-bottom: 10px; } #ygrp-msg p a { font-family: Verdana; } #ygrp-msg p#attach-count span { color: #1E66AE; font-weight: 700; } #ygrp-reco #reco-head { color: #ff7900; font-weight: 700; } #ygrp-reco { margin-bottom: 20px; padding: 0px; } #ygrp-sponsor #ov li a { font-size: 130%; text-decoration: none; } #ygrp-sponsor #ov li { font-size: 77%; list-style-type: square; padding: 6px 0; } #ygrp-sponsor #ov ul { margin: 0; padding: 0 0 0 8px; } #ygrp-text { font-family: Georgia; } #ygrp-text p { margin: 0 0 1em 0; } #ygrp-text tt { font-size: 120%; } #ygrp-vital ul li:last-child { border-right: none !important; } -->

38359. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 16:52:27 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38121 Message: 38359

Repeaters are a very small part of amateur radio.
There is more then worrying about hitting a machine.

You seem to be the one missing the point, but you
must be happy being a technician for a very long time,
so repeaters must be your thing.

As the other poster has asked, I'm done with this thread.

From: Jasen Stengel <gorm@verizon.net>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2013 11:39 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

You are missing the point and only reading the parts you want to. Radio repeaters are not a right... you should appreciate that you have any freqs left after the GMRS grabs... let alone repeaters shared with you by brats and barc. If you want more control... pay for and maintain a repeater of your own... then you can dictate what use it has... or join brats or barc and convince the club to put you in control.

You fail to grasp the spirit and intent of ARS and have a grandiose sense of entitlement. I feel badly for you.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

You really should try hitting repeaters from the Reisterstown Road
corridor with an HT. From below the city line all the way past
Reisterstown, everybody over here has problems hitting and
hearing repeaters. You have it good in Columbia compared to here.

Come here and try it. Walk in my shoes once. I've been playing
with radios since 1977. I know the area. It's a deaf zone.
There used to be local receive sites. The tower changed owners
over a decade ago and kicked all the ham stuff off and we've
never recovered. BARC has issues with their system. BRATS
went from first to worst and is just starting to pick up the pieces.
The other systems are in other counties and become the RACES
systems for those counties, or are very local systems not covering
this part
of town. If I lived in Columbia, I'd have local receive sites,
but I don't. I'm over here in the NW shadow.

Prove or disclaim my statement, but you'll never do it from there.

From: Jasen Stengel <gorm@...t>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2013 10:53 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

You talk as if repeater access is a constitutional right... the repeaters are provided by local clubs and hams at their own personal expense and shared with you as a courtesy. Rather than complaining that they are not shared every moment, why not be thankful that people let you use their stuff? Otherwise... put up your own personal repeater. We didn't always have this many repeaters... I can hit 25 with my baofeng with the stock antenna from in my basement... back in the 80's I could only hit 676 and 135 without using a quarter wave from the second story... but back then we did a lot of simplex stuff and hams were fewer...

You really should re-think your position.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:

You have local receive sites where you are. Come here with
your little HT and tell me how many repeaters you can hit.

This part of town has NO receive sites around and you MUST have an
outside antenna to hit any 2 meter repeaters as anything more then
a bunch of static. I'm glad you can hit a repeater or two...

From: Jasen Stengel <gorm@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2013 5:38 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Scott... I live in the Baltimore metro area.. You need to relax and rethink what you are typing. If a repeater is shut down for emergency traffic, its for a good reason. And we have a lot more than 5 good repeaters. Maybe if your favorite 5 are doing emergency traffic only and you aren't participating... you can listen and learn something.

Not all Maryland hams are this way folks :)
73's DE N3HQU

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@...> wrote:

From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>

Perhaps you should read more carefully – I did not refer to either RACES or
ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott’s claim that RACES had taken over
EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a snowstorm – which was
just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five repeaters in the
entire metro area.

So, just where are you? If you were here, you'd know
how few good repeaters there are around here. Many
are listed, but only a few work. Since you're not here,
you are unqualified to call my claims BS.

38360. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 16:50:56 UTC
From: Bob Holmes
Topic: 38177 Message: 38360

If it can help, I bought one of the aftermarket speaker mics and it did not work. I was looking to get it replaced or get a refund when I decided to examine it a little closer. What I found was some extra left over rubber at the base of the connector pins. I would guess it's simply part of the manufacturing process that may be the issue. Anyway this extra rubber prevented proper connection of the plug. I easily trimmed the extra rubber from the pins, plugged it in, and it works just fine. Hope this may help someone!

73
Bob ~ N7SGM

On Thursday, December 26, 2013 5:30 PM, jdhite1 <jdhite1@...> wrote:

I will do exactly that, or at least I will if an RMA doesn't work out.

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@...> wrote:
>
> Take one apart and let us know where the problem might be. if you have time as I am sure someone else will get these bad mics in the future.
>
>
>
> From: jdhite1
> Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 6:11 AM
> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
>
>
>
>
> Sorry, but I need to retract some of what I've said. After very carefully measuring the plugs on the two speaker-mics I own, it turned out that the diameters of the plugs on the good one and the bad one are identical to within a tolerance of 0.0005" (good quality digital caliper). I also checked the plug lengths and although this is a bit harder to do, the lengths seem to be the same also. The same goes for the shape of the plug tips -- the two look the same.
>
> So while the symptoms implied that the plug size(s) must have been at fault, this appears not to be the case. Next I tried wiggling and flexing the cable and connector on the bad speaker-mic with it plugged into the HT, and this does occasionally allow the mic to work. The flexing/wiggling revealed no pattern -- whatever is wrong, it is subtle. However the problem is quite repeatable since this same speaker-mic acts exactly the same with both HTs.
>
> I contacted Radioddity about an RMA, and they are willing. However I wish the problem acted less like an intermittent. Whatever is wrong is slight, so this makes me wonder if they will report "no problem found" and send back the same speaker-mic!

>
> Thanks for your (and everyone else's) thoughts and help.
>
> Happy Holidays to all....!!!
>
> John
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@> wrote:
> >
> > Yes, we had 10 of these to deal with and that is how we found out what the problem was. Some are easy to spot after you get a few bad ones by the end shape.
> >
> > Just another lesson that there are a lot of low quality out of spec accessories out there for these products.
> >
> >
> >
> > From: jdhite1
> > Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 6:24 AM
> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
> >
> >
> > The problem turned out to be an out of tolerance 3.5mm plug. I can't easily fix this, but at least the mystery is solved!
> >
> > --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@> wrote:
> > >
> > > At 09:48 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion
> > > >speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either
> > > >radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when
> > > >this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad
> > > >speaker-mic are:
> > > >
> > > >1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
> > > >
> > > >2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.
> > > >
> > > >3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the
> > > >normal way. However.....
> > > >
> > > >4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the
> > > >speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works
> > > >fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used
> > > >in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the
> > > >one speaker-mic.
> > > >

> > > >I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given
> > > >on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone
> > > >have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?
> > >
> > > It used to be that capacitor microphones were used and they require power.
> > > So the external microphone had the PTT wired in series with the mic.
> > >
> > >
> > > >John
> > >
> >
>

38361. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 17:38:12 UTC
From: Jasen Stengel
Topic: 38121 Message: 38361

"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:

"Repeaters are a very small part of amateur radio. There is more then worrying about hitting a machine."

I agree with this part of your response, why don't you?

"You seem to be the one missing the point, but you must be happy being a technician for a very long time"

Snippy. Not everybody needs to max out their licenses. I also have sufficient HF bandwidth for my purposes. My ticket predates the code exemption... I learned my Morse and have a nice Heathkit cw rig that I inherited. :) Have a Disney day.

From: Jasen Stengel <gorm@verizon.net>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2013 11:39 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

You are missing the point and only reading the parts you want to. Radio repeaters are not a right... you should appreciate that you have any freqs left after the GMRS grabs... let alone repeaters shared with you by brats and barc. If you want more control... pay for and maintain a repeater of your own... then you can dictate what use it has... or join brats or barc and convince the club to put you in control.

You fail to grasp the spirit and intent of ARS and have a grandiose sense of entitlement. I feel badly for you.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:

You really should try hitting repeaters from the Reisterstown Road
corridor with an HT. From below the city line all the way past
Reisterstown, everybody over here has problems hitting and
hearing repeaters. You have it good in Columbia compared to here.

Come here and try it. Walk in my shoes once. I've been playing
with radios since 1977. I know the area. It's a deaf zone.
There used to be local receive sites. The tower changed owners
over a decade ago and kicked all the ham stuff off and we've
never recovered. BARC has issues with their system. BRATS
went from first to worst and is just starting to pick up the pieces.
The other systems are in other counties and become the RACES
systems for those counties, or are very local systems not covering
this part of town. If I lived in Columbia, I'd have local receive sites,
but I don't. I'm over here in the NW shadow.

Prove or disclaim my statement, but you'll never do it from there.

From: Jasen Stengel <gorm@verizon.net>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2013 10:53 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

You talk as if repeater access is a constitutional right... the repeaters are provided by local clubs and hams at their own personal expense and shared with you as a courtesy. Rather than complaining that they are not shared every moment, why not be thankful that people let you use their stuff? Otherwise... put up your own personal repeater. We didn't always have this many repeaters... I can hit 25 with my baofeng with the stock antenna from in my basement... back in the 80's I could only hit 676 and 135 without using a quarter wave from the second story... but back then we did a lot of simplex stuff and hams were fewer...

You really should re-think your position.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:

You have local receive sites where you are. Come here with
your little HT and tell me how many repeaters you can hit.

This part of town has NO receive sites around and you MUST have an
outside antenna to hit any 2 meter repeaters as anything more then
a bunch of static. I'm glad you can hit a repeater or two...

From: Jasen Stengel <gorm@verizon.net>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2013 5:38 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Scott... I live in the Baltimore metro area.. You need to relax and rethink what you are typing. If a repeater is shut down for emergency traffic, its for a good reason. And we have a lot more than 5 good repeaters. Maybe if your favorite 5 are doing emergency traffic only and you aren't participating... you can listen and learn something.

Not all Maryland hams are this way folks :)

73's DE N3HQU

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

"Scott, KB3JQQ" <kb3jqq@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@gmail.com>

Perhaps you should read more carefully – I did not refer to either RACES or ARES as BS, but rather I called BS on Scott’s claim that RACES had taken over EVERY repeater in the entire Baltimore metro area during a snowstorm – which was just as ridiculous as his later claim that there are only five repeaters in the entire metro area.

So, just where are you? If you were here, you'd know
how few good repeaters there are around here. Many
are listed, but only a few work. Since you're not here,
you are unqualified to call my claims BS.

<!-- #ygrp-mkp { border: 1px solid #d8d8d8; font-family: Arial; margin: 10px 0; padding: 0 10px; } #ygrp-mkp hr { border: 1px solid #d8d8d8; } #ygrp-mkp #hd { color: #628c2a; font-size: 85%; font-weight: 700; line-height: 122%; margin: 10px 0; } #ygrp-mkp #ads { margin-bottom: 10px; } #ygrp-mkp .ad { padding: 0 0; } #ygrp-mkp .ad p { margin: 0; } #ygrp-mkp .ad a { color: #0000ff; text-decoration: none; } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc { font-family: Arial; } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc #hd { margin: 10px 0px; font-weight: 700; font-size: 78%; line-height: 122%; } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc .ad { margin-bottom: 10px; padding: 0 0; } #actions { font-family: Verdana; font-size: 11px; padding: 10px 0; } #activity { background-color: #e0ecee; float: left; font-family: Verdana; font-size: 10px; padding: 10px; } #activity span { font-weight: 700; } #activity span:first-child { text-transform: uppercase; } #activity span a { color: #5085b6; text-decoration: none; } #activity span span { color: #ff7900; } #activity span .underline { text-decoration: underline; } .attach { clear: both; display: table; font-family: Arial; font-size: 12px; padding: 10px 0; width: 400px; } .attach div a { text-decoration: none; } .attach img { border: none; padding-right: 5px; } .attach label { display: block; margin-bottom: 5px; } .attach label a { text-decoration: none; } blockquote { margin: 0 0 0 4px; } .bold { font-family: Arial; font-size: 13px; font-weight: 700; } .bold a { text-decoration: none; } dd.last p a { font-family: Verdana; font-weight: 700; } dd.last p span { margin-right: 10px; font-family: Verdana; font-weight: 700; } dd.last p span.yshortcuts { margin-right: 0; } div.attach-table div div a { text-decoration: none; } div.attach-table { width: 400px; } div.file-title a, div.file-title a:active, div.file-title a:hover, div.file-title a:visited { text-decoration: none; } div.photo-title a, div.photo-title a:active, div.photo-title a:hover, div.photo-title a:visited { text-decoration: none; } div#ygrp-mlmsg #ygrp-msg p a span.yshortcuts { font-family: Verdana; font-size: 10px; font-weight: normal; } .green { color: #628c2a; } .MsoNormal { margin: 0 0 0 0; } o { font-size: 0; } #photos div { float: left; width: 72px; } #photos div div { border: 1px solid #666666; height: 62px; overflow: hidden; width: 62px; } #photos div label { color: #666666; font-size: 10px; overflow: hidden; text-align: center; white-space: nowrap; width: 64px; } #reco-category { font-size: 77%; } #reco-desc { font-size: 77%; } .replbq { margin: 4px; } #ygrp-actbar div a:first-child { /* border-right: 0px solid #000;*/ margin-right: 2px; padding-right: 5px; } #ygrp-mlmsg { font-size: 13px; font-family: Arial, helvetica,clean, sans-serif; *font-size: small; *font: x-small; } #ygrp-mlmsg table { font-size: inherit; font: 100%; } #ygrp-mlmsg select, input, textarea { font: 99% Arial, Helvetica, clean, sans-serif; } #ygrp-mlmsg pre, code { font:115% monospace; *font-size:100%; } #ygrp-mlmsg * { line-height: 1.22em; } #ygrp-mlmsg #logo { padding-bottom: 10px; } #ygrp-msg p a { font-family: Verdana; } #ygrp-msg p#attach-count span { color: #1E66AE; font-weight: 700; } #ygrp-reco #reco-head { color: #ff7900; font-weight: 700; } #ygrp-reco { margin-bottom: 20px; padding: 0px; } #ygrp-sponsor #ov li a { font-size: 130%; text-decoration: none; } #ygrp-sponsor #ov li { font-size: 77%; list-style-type: square; padding: 6px 0; } #ygrp-sponsor #ov ul { margin: 0; padding: 0 0 0 8px; } #ygrp-text { font-family: Georgia; } #ygrp-text p { margin: 0 0 1em 0; } #ygrp-text tt { font-size: 120%; } #ygrp-vital ul li:last-child { border-right: none !important; } -->

38363. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] New user.

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 21:26:42 UTC
From: EDEN MCCOLLOUGH
Topic: 38329 Message: 38363

Hi Steve. I just read your post here. I had the exact same problem. My UV5RAX+ would go into TX as soon as I plugged the USB cable into it. I did the same as you and trimmed the rubber/plastic around the plug pins...to no avail. I was trying this on an XP computer. I have another computer with Win 7 pro/64 bit system.....so I thought I will just try it and see what happens. Lo and behold the machine recognized the USB cable right away.....so I plugged it into the radio and NO TX...! I then downloaded Chirp to this spare computer and imported the csv file I already had set up in the XP machine....(I have the computers networked with each other). That populated the Chirp screen with all my memory settings. I then clicked on Download to Radio....and....amazingly it worked....hihi. I thought this is wonderful. I then went back to the Chirp program to set the 'Welcome' msg to my callsign. Well when I tried downloading that....the radio would not respond. I unplugged the USB cable and plugged it back in and darned if the little radio didn't go right into TX again....! ....Jeeze...! So I left it at that. Too much frustration...hihi.....I'll go back to it later and try to figure it out.

73...and Good Luck.... a pretty nice little radio otherwise....
Butch...K3AFR

38365. New Member

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 23:01:39 UTC
From: wb2tbb
Topic: 3400 Message: 38365

Santa brought me a shiney-new UV5R+ (red, of course!!)
Boy, was I excited...only to find out...it didn't work! No transmit audio.
What a Christmas bummer!!
BUT....online with Amazon, and they had another in my hand THE VERY NEXT DAY!!
Amazing!!
I've read all the horror stories about drivers for cables, etc. when using CHIRP...so I just grabbed my old RS232 Kenwood cable. As luck would have it, my Windows 7 laptop has a serial I/O port!
Now it's all programmed!
I wish CHIRP supported the Kenwood THG71A also, but...no joy!
I just had two questions for anyone that might know....
1. Seems to be a delay when letting go of PTT and receive to begin. Is this a setting??
2. How to start channel scan?

Thanks....best of 73!!
....Dave

38370. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] New Member

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 23:30:00 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 3400 Message: 38370

On Fri, Dec 27, 2013 at 6:20 PM, Dave Edwards <kd2e@...> wrote:

>
>
> I think Scott's info did the trick...turn off those kerchunk blankers. I
> read about 'em, but didn't catch that there were three menu items related
> to them.
> And I knew there was some kind of easy access to scanning, and simply
> holding the up or down button didn't do it. Just gotta hit the star button.
> Anyway...so far so good. What it might lack in something.....who
> cares?? I mean my YaeComWoods don't have a flashlight!!!
>
> ....Dave
>
>
I believe that the setting of menu 37 is irrelevant if menu 36 is set to
OFF. But out of habit, I also set menu 37 to OFF as Scott recommended.

Jim KC9HI

38371. Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 03:58:49 UTC
From: jdhite1
Topic: 38177 Message: 38371

Thanks for the tip Bob, but that doesn't seem to be the problem here. I have compared a working Baofeng OEM spkr-mic to the one which is defective, and as far as I can tell, there is no excess plastic or rubber near the two connector plugs. Both connectors look perfectly made with no molding flash whatsoever. It seems I have a wiring intermittent in or near the connector plug area.

John

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Bob Holmes <keystone_kid16@...> wrote:
>
> If it can help, I bought one of the aftermarket speaker mics and it did not work. I was looking to get it replaced or get a refund when I decided to examine it a little closer. What I found was some extra left over rubber at the base of the connector pins. I would guess it's simply part of the manufacturing process that may be the issue. Anyway this extra rubber prevented proper connection of the plug. I easily trimmed the extra rubber from the pins, plugged it in, and it works just fine. HopeÂ this may help someone!Â
>
>
> 73
> Bob ~ N7SGM
>
>
>
>
>
> On Thursday, December 26, 2013 5:30 PM, jdhite1 <jdhite1@...> wrote:
>
> Â
> I will do exactly that, or at least I will if an RMA doesn't work out.
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@> wrote:
> >
> > Take one apart and let us know where the problem might be. if you have time as I am sure someone else will get these bad mics in the future.
> >
> >
> >
> > From: jdhite1
> > Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 6:11 AM
> > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
> >
> >
> >
> >

> > Sorry, but I need to retract some of what I've said. After very carefully measuring the plugs on the two speaker-mics I own, it turned out that the diameters of the plugs on the good one and the bad one are identical to within a tolerance of 0.0005" (good quality digital caliper). I also checked the plug lengths and although this is a bit harder to do, the lengths seem to be the same also. The same goes for the shape of the plug tips -- the two look the same.
> >
> > So while the symptoms implied that the plug size(s) must have been at fault, this appears not to be the case. Next I tried wiggling and flexing the cable and connector on the bad speaker-mic with it plugged into the HT, and this does occasionally allow the mic to work. The flexing/wiggling revealed no pattern -- whatever is wrong, it is subtle. However the problem is quite repeatable since this same speaker-mic acts exactly the same with both HTs.
> >
> > I contacted Radioddity about an RMA, and they are willing. However I wish the problem acted less like an intermittent. Whatever is wrong is slight, so this makes me wonder if they will report "no problem found" and send back the same speaker-mic!
> >
> > Thanks for your (and everyone else's) thoughts and help.
> >
> > Happy Holidays to all....!!!
> >
> > John
> >
> > --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Yes, we had 10 of these to deal with and that is how we found out what the problem was. Some are easy to spot after you get a few bad ones by the end shape.
> > >
> > > Just another lesson that there are a lot of low quality out of spec accessories out there for these products.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > From: jdhite1
> > > Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 6:24 AM
> > > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
> > >
> > >
> > > The problem turned out to be an out of tolerance 3.5mm plug. I can't easily fix this, but at least the mystery is solved!
> > >
> > > --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > At 09:48 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion
> > > > >speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on either

> > > > >radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again when
> > > > >this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the bad
> > > > >speaker-mic are:
> > > > >
> > > > >1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
> > > > >
> > > > >2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.
> > > > >
> > > > >3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the
> > > > >normal way. However.....
> > > > >
> > > > >4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the
> > > > >speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then works
> > > > >fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being used
> > > > >in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the
> > > > >one speaker-mic.
> > > > >
> > > > >I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram given
> > > > >on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does anyone
> > > > >have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that matter, any ideas?
> > > >
> > > > It used to be that capacitor microphones were used and they require power.
> > > > So the external microphone had the PTT wired in series with the mic.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >John
> > > >
> > >
> >
>

38372. Channel scan skips all but 0 or 127

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 06:28:57 UTC
From: <jlwlynn.junk@...>
Topic: 38372 Message: 38372

I just received my radio, so I don't have a programming cable yet, but I can successfully program frequencies on channels. I can confirm by switching to channel mode and using up and down arrow to hear channels. Unfortunately when I hold down the scan vutton to initiate a scan, it only hits the "default" channels 0 and 27. Has anyone else seen this ? Is it just something I'm stupidly missing? Thanks!

38373. RE: Channel scan skips all but 0 or 127

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 06:33:38 UTC
From: <jlwlynn.junk@...>
Topic: 38372 Message: 38373

Disregard! I saw the FAQ and power cycle fixed! Thanks!

38374. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 09:48:19 UTC
From: S Bateson
Topic: 38177 Message: 38374

I have found that sometimes it can be the internal parts of the radio that cause this problem every now and again I use a small amount of contact cleaner or WD40 on a cotton bud to clean the pins on the plug to keep them clean..
I hope you manage to get them sorted as it is a good little radio .I manage to hit my repeater which is about 30 miles away and if I go to the top of the hill I can another one which is just a little further away here in the UK.

On 28 December 2013 03:58, jdhite1 <jdhite1@...> wrote:

>
>
> Thanks for the tip Bob, but that doesn't seem to be the problem here. I
> have compared a working Baofeng OEM spkr-mic to the one which is defective,
> and as far as I can tell, there is no excess plastic or rubber near the two
> connector plugs. Both connectors look perfectly made with no molding flash
> whatsoever. It seems I have a wiring intermittent in or near the connector
> plug area.
>
> John
>
> --- In baofeng_uv5r@...m, Bob Holmes <keystone_kid16@...>
> wrote:
> >
> > If it can help, I bought one of the aftermarket speaker mics and it did
> not work. I was looking to get it replaced or get a refund when I decided
> to examine it a little closer. What I found was some extra left over rubber
> at the base of the connector pins. I would guess it's simply part of the
> manufacturing process that may be the issue. Anyway this extra rubber
> prevented proper connection of the plug. I easily trimmed the extra rubber
> from the pins, plugged it in, and it works just fine. HopeÂ this may help
> someone!Â
> >
> >
> > 73
> > Bob ~ N7SGM
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > On Thursday, December 26, 2013 5:30 PM, jdhite1 <jdhite1@...> wrote:
> >
> > Â

> > I will do exactly that, or at least I will if an RMA doesn't work out.
> >
> > --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@>
> wrote:
> > >
> > > Take one apart and let us know where the problem might be. if you have
> time as I am sure someone else will get these bad mics in the future.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > From: jdhite1
> > > Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 6:11 AM
> > > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sorry, but I need to retract some of what I've said. After very
> carefully measuring the plugs on the two speaker-mics I own, it turned out
> that the diameters of the plugs on the good one and the bad one are
> identical to within a tolerance of 0.0005" (good quality digital caliper).
> I also checked the plug lengths and although this is a bit harder to do,
> the lengths seem to be the same also. The same goes for the shape of the
> plug tips -- the two look the same.
> > >
> > > So while the symptoms implied that the plug size(s) must have been at
> fault, this appears not to be the case. Next I tried wiggling and flexing
> the cable and connector on the bad speaker-mic with it plugged into the HT,
> and this does occasionally allow the mic to work. The flexing/wiggling
> revealed no pattern -- whatever is wrong, it is subtle. However the problem
> is quite repeatable since this same speaker-mic acts exactly the same with
> both HTs.
> > >
> > > I contacted Radioddity about an RMA, and they are willing. However I
> wish the problem acted less like an intermittent. Whatever is wrong is
> slight, so this makes me wonder if they will report "no problem found" and
> send back the same speaker-mic!
> > >
> > > Thanks for your (and everyone else's) thoughts and help.
> > >
> > > Happy Holidays to all....!!!
> > >
> > > John
> > >
> > > --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@>
> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Yes, we had 10 of these to deal with and that is how we found out
> what the problem was. Some are easy to spot after you get a few bad ones by

> the end shape.
> > > >
> > > > Just another lesson that there are a lot of low quality out of spec
> accessories out there for these products.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > From: jdhite1
> > > > Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 6:24 AM
> > > > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > > > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > The problem turned out to be an out of tolerance 3.5mm plug. I can't
> easily fix this, but at least the mystery is solved!
> > > >
> > > > --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@>
> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > At 09:48 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion
> > > > > >speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on
> either
> > > > > >radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again
> when
> > > > > >this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the
> bad
> > > > > >speaker-mic are:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the
> > > > > >normal way. However.....
> > > > > >
> > > > > >4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the
> > > > > >speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then
> works
> > > > > >fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being
> used
> > > > > >in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the
> > > > > >one speaker-mic.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram
> given
> > > > > >on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does
> anyone

> > > > > >have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that
> matter, any ideas?
> > > > >
> > > > > It used to be that capacitor microphones were used and they
> require power.
> > > > > So the external microphone had the PTT wired in series with the
> mic.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >John
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>

38375. Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 12:56:58 UTC
From: jdhite1
Topic: 38177 Message: 38375

I tried using DeOxit, a fluid meant to break down surface contamination to improve electrical connections -- no help. So far I have tried two other OEM spkr-mics and they both work perfectly. It seems to be a bad/intermittent connector. I have asked for an RMA return (hopefully shipping won't be too expensive).

Thanks for your thoughts...!

John

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, S Bateson <simba3167@...> wrote:
>
> I have found that sometimes it can be the internal parts of the radio that
> cause this problem every now and again I use a small amount of contact
> cleaner or WD40 on a cotton bud to clean the pins on the plug to keep them
> clean..
> I hope you manage to get them sorted as it is a good little radio .I
> manage to hit my repeater which is about 30 miles away and if I go to the
> top of the hill I can another one which is just a little further away here
> in the UK.
>
>
> On 28 December 2013 03:58, jdhite1 <jdhite1@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Thanks for the tip Bob, but that doesn't seem to be the problem here. I
> > have compared a working Baofeng OEM spkr-mic to the one which is defective,
> > and as far as I can tell, there is no excess plastic or rubber near the two
> > connector plugs. Both connectors look perfectly made with no molding flash
> > whatsoever. It seems I have a wiring intermittent in or near the connector
> > plug area.
> >
> > John
> >
> > --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Bob Holmes <keystone_kid16@>
> > wrote:
> > >
> > > If it can help, I bought one of the aftermarket speaker mics and it did
> > not work. I was looking to get it replaced or get a refund when I decided
> > to examine it a little closer. What I found was some extra left over rubber
> > at the base of the connector pins. I would guess it's simply part of the
> > manufacturing process that may be the issue. Anyway this extra rubber
> > prevented proper connection of the plug. I easily trimmed the extra rubber
> > from the pins, plugged it in, and it works just fine. HopeÂ this may help
> > someone!Â
> > >

> > >
> > > 73
> > > Bob ~ N7SGM
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > On Thursday, December 26, 2013 5:30 PM, jdhite1 <jdhite1@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Â
> > > I will do exactly that, or at least I will if an RMA doesn't work out.
> > >
> > > --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@>
> > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Take one apart and let us know where the problem might be. if you have
> > time as I am sure someone else will get these bad mics in the future.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > From: jdhite1
> > > > Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2013 6:11 AM
> > > > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > > > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sorry, but I need to retract some of what I've said. After very
> > carefully measuring the plugs on the two speaker-mics I own, it turned out
> > that the diameters of the plugs on the good one and the bad one are
> > identical to within a tolerance of 0.0005" (good quality digital caliper).
> > I also checked the plug lengths and although this is a bit harder to do,
> > the lengths seem to be the same also. The same goes for the shape of the
> > plug tips -- the two look the same.
> > > >
> > > > So while the symptoms implied that the plug size(s) must have been at
> > fault, this appears not to be the case. Next I tried wiggling and flexing
> > the cable and connector on the bad speaker-mic with it plugged into the HT,
> > and this does occasionally allow the mic to work. The flexing/wiggling
> > revealed no pattern -- whatever is wrong, it is subtle. However the problem
> > is quite repeatable since this same speaker-mic acts exactly the same with
> > both HTs.
> > > >
> > > > I contacted Radioddity about an RMA, and they are willing. However I
> > wish the problem acted less like an intermittent. Whatever is wrong is
> > slight, so this makes me wonder if they will report "no problem found" and
> > send back the same speaker-mic!
> > > >

> > > > Thanks for your (and everyone else's) thoughts and help.
> > > >
> > > > Happy Holidays to all....!!!
> > > >
> > > > John
> > > >
> > > > --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "R Pryor MAEd CETsr" <doopsnoop@>
> > wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, we had 10 of these to deal with and that is how we found out
> > what the problem was. Some are easy to spot after you get a few bad ones by
> > the end shape.
> > > > >
> > > > > Just another lesson that there are a lot of low quality out of spec
> > accessories out there for these products.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > From: jdhite1
> > > > > Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 6:24 AM
> > > > > To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> > > > > Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Speaker-Mic Oddity
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > The problem turned out to be an out of tolerance 3.5mm plug. I can't
> > easily fix this, but at least the mystery is solved!
> > > > >
> > > > > --- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@>
> > wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > At 09:48 AM 24/12/2013, you wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >I recently received two UV-5RA HTs along with two companion
> > > > > > > >speaker-mics. One speaker-mic works fine in all respects on
> > either
> > > > > > > >radio; the microphone in the second one seems to be dead, again
> > when
> > > > > > > >this speaker-mic is used with either radio. The symptoms of the
> > bad
> > > > > > > >speaker-mic are:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >1) Its speaker works fine with either HT.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >2) Its PTT switch always causes either HT to transmit.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >3) Speaking into its mic never causes any audio when used in the
> > > > > > > >normal way. However.....
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >4) If I instead use the PTT switch on the body of the HT with the

> > > > > > >speaker-mic still plugged in, the mic on the speaker-mic then
> > works
> > > > > > >fine! This strange behavior happens no matter which HT is being
> > used
> > > > > > >in the testing, so it really does appear to be a problem with the
> > > > > > >one speaker-mic.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >I tried some circuit tracing using the simple pin-out diagram
> > given
> > > > > > >on the Miklor website, but so far this has not helped. Does
> > anyone
> > > > > > >have an accurate schematic of the speaker-mic, or for that
> > matter, any ideas?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > It used to be that capacitor microphones were used and they
> > require power.
> > > > > > So the external microphone had the PTT wired in series with the
> > mic.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >John
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >

38377. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-27 03:13:26 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 38289 Message: 38377

D-star is being developed further, and i do not believe one minute that
Icom would not keep such a hot format all to themselves.

on a serious note though, Baofeng would have to invest in heavy fees though
but it's 100% possible that they could make a d-star radio.

On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 8:11 PM, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:

>
>
> Probably pay the JARL.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
> On Dec 26, 2013, at 16:16, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Yeah…sorry…it’s the Codec that’s proprietary…and since D-Star uses it
> would seem it inherits some of that.
>
> But D-Star is an open protocol. Would that mean paying ICOM a fee to use
> it along with having to buy the AMBE Codec?
>
> All sounds like it makes for a notably more expensive radio.
>
>
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
>
>
> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com<baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>]
> *On Behalf Of *Dale Miller
> *Sent:* Thursday, December 26, 2013 5:56 PM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star
>
>
>

>
>
> On 12/26/2013 9:46 AM, Michael Black wrote:
>
>
>
> I asked them and they said no. I don’t think a more expensive radio is
> really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC
> required.
>
> So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.
>
>
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
>
>
>
> D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
> I don't know where everyone gets this.
> Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
> proprietary.
>
> http://www.eham.net/articles/24011
>
> --
>
> All the Best & 73's
>
> Dale Miller, KC2CBD
>
> Tennessee
>
> Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
>
> Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005
>
>
>
> Registered Linux User: #317401
>
> Linux since June 2003
>
> Registered Ubuntu User #26423
>
>
>

> stpatrick3spam@...
>
> stpatrick2spam@twlakes.net
>
> stpatrick3spam@...
>
> bdchimneysweepspam@...
>
>
>
> (cut the spam to reply)
>
>
>
>
>
> VOTE TO REBUILD!
>
> www.twintowersalliance.com
>
> --
>
>
>

38378. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 16:21:17 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38289 Message: 38378

On 12/26/2013 03:56 PM, Dale Miller wrote:

On 12/26/2013 9:46 AM, Michael Black wrote:

I asked them and they said no. I don’t think a more expensive radio is really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC required.

So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.

Mike W9MDB

D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
I don't know where everyone gets this.
Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it proprietary.

...

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/D_star states "The technology requires the use of a proprietary AMBE Codec (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advanced_Multi-Band_Excitation) that is owned by Digital Voice Systems, Inc."

38379. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 18:55:46 UTC
From: Bob Holmes
Topic: 38289 Message: 38379

I'm hoping that Baofeng comes out with an HF rig at super affordable prices like the UV-5R. I'm not asking for much huh? LOL New HF rigs are way too expensive IMHO.

73
Bob ~ N7SGM

On Saturday, December 28, 2013 9:21 AM, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:

On 12/26/2013 03:56 PM, Dale Miller wrote:

>
>On 12/26/2013 9:46 AM, Michael Black wrote:
>
>I asked them and they said no. I don’t think a more expensive radio is really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC required.
>>So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.
>>Mike W9MDB
D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
>I don't know where everyone gets this.
>Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't
make it proprietary.
>
...

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/D_star states "The technology requires the use of a proprietary AMBE Codec that is owned by Digital Voice Systems, Inc."

38380. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 19:03:08 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 38289 Message: 38380

D-Star does not belong to Icom. They are just the biggest supporter of it. Ant manufacturer can make compatible radios.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 26, 2013, at 19:13, Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...> wrote:
>
> D-star is being developed further, and i do not believe one minute that Icom would not keep such a hot format all to themselves.
>
> on a serious note though, Baofeng would have to invest in heavy fees though but it's 100% possible that they could make a d-star radio.
>
>
>
>
>> On Thu, Dec 26, 2013 at 8:11 PM, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:
>>
>> Probably pay the JARL.
>>
>> Jardy Dawson
>> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>>
>> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>>
>>> On Dec 26, 2013, at 16:16, "Michael Black" <mdblack98@...> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> Yeah…sorry…it’s the Codec that’s proprietary…and since D-Star uses it would seem it inherits some of that.
>>>
>>> But D-Star is an open protocol. Would that mean paying ICOM a fee to use it along with having to buy the AMBE Codec?
>>>
>>> All sounds like it makes for a notably more expensive radio.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Mike W9MDB
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>> From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dale Miller
>>> Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 5:56 PM
>>> To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
>>> Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> On 12/26/2013 9:46 AM, Michael Black wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I asked them and they said no. I don’t think a more expensive radio is really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC required.
>>>
>>> So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Mike W9MDB
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
>>> I don't know where everyone gets this.
>>> Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it proprietary.
>>>
>>> http://www.eham.net/articles/24011
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> All the Best & 73's
>>> Dale Miller, KC2CBD
>>> Tennessee
>>> Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
>>> Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005
>>>
>>> Registered Linux User: #317401
>>> Linux since June 2003
>>> Registered Ubuntu User #26423
>>>
>>> stpatrick3spam@...
>>> stpatrick2spam@...
>>> stpatrick3spam@...

```
>>> bdchimneysweepspam@...
>>>
>>> (cut the spam to reply)
>>>
>>>
>>> VOTE TO REBUILD!
>>> www.twintowersalliance.com
>>> __
>
>
```

38381. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 19:30:35 UTC
From: John LaMartina
Topic: 38289 Message: 38381

If the front ends on a Baofeng HF rig are the same as there handhelds, I think I would pass :-)

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob Holmes
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2013 1:56 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

I'm hoping that Baofeng comes out with an HF rig at super affordable prices like the UV-5R. I'm not asking for much huh? LOL New HF rigs are way too expensive IMHO.

73
Bob ~ N7SGM

On Saturday, December 28, 2013 9:21 AM, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:

On 12/26/2013 03:56 PM, Dale Miller wrote:

On 12/26/2013 9:46 AM, Michael Black wrote:

I asked them and they said no. I don’t think a more expensive radio is really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC required.

So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.

Mike W9MDB

D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
I don't know where everyone gets this.
Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it proprietary.

...

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/D_star states "The technology requires the use of a proprietary AMBE Codec <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advanced_Multi-Band_Excitation> that is owned by Digital Voice Systems, Inc."

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2014.0.4259 / Virus Database: 3658/6956 - Release Date: 12/28/13

38382. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 19:55:15 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 38289 Message: 38382

Years ago, when there were several American companies making amateur radio equipment, most of them used the basic marketing ploy of "our stuff is better than the stuff from Japan, primarily because it's made here".

Meanwhile, the various Japanese based companies continued to innovate faster than their American counterparts, which allowed then to reduce pricing on their gear. Japanese companies in general (not just those within the electronics industry) implemented ideas like Just In Time (JIT) manufacturing and Materials Requirements Planning (MRP), both principles that were taught in the U.S. but not normally implemented in any wide scale by U.S. manufacturers until well after their Japanese counterparts had many years head start and U.S. marketshare had severely declined.

The cycle is now repeating itself (somewhat) with Chinese based companies, except China doesn't seem to respect the idea of U.S. or Japanese based patents, meaning that they allow Chinese based companies to copy the designs of another company and then use various government incentives (like ridiculously low freight rates, government help with labor and manufacturing costs, etc., etc.) to gain market share vs. the Japanese within the world markets.

As far as the D-Star protocol, it was NOT developed by Icom, but rather the Japan Amateur Radio League (JARL). Any company is free to bring various D-Star products to market if they chose. Yes, the AMBE voice encoding scheme (currently used by the D-STAR protocol) is patented by DVSI (and therefore not "open" in the sense of hams getting it for free), but the cost for the chip is around $25 in small quantities, hardly a giant cost to most manufacturer's products (unless your target price point for the radio itself is under $40).

I'm not privy to what Icom considers a profitable return on their investment to D-Star, but I think it's reasonable to assume that a $400 radio has a higher profit margin to them than a $40 radio would. I'm sure Icom's cost for the chip is less than $25, but that's not why D-Star is more money, it's simply because they consider their stuff to be of higher quality (and therefore worth more) and that no other manufacturer has challenged them in the D-Star market directly. I'm sure that if Alinco or Kenwood were to start shipping D-Star radios in quantity, Icom would suddenly drop D-Star pricing across the board, but why lower your price when you don't have to?

My first HT was a Kenwood TR-2400 back in 1980. It had 2 watts output, only 10 memories, and only worked 144-148 VHF. It didn't even include CTCSS (but it was available as an extra cost option). It was about the size of a brick, but everyone at the time wanted one so badly that Kenwood had a hard time keeping up with the demand. I think I paid around $350 to

get mine from the local HRO store in AZ.

Most new hams today have no idea of what stuff used to cost and the bargain they get for their money today, whether it's a Baofeng sub-$100 radio or the Kenwood / Icom / Alinco / Yaesu (KIAY) radios that cost more, but offer far more in quality and features. If you don't want (or need) APRS, GPS, D-Star, etc., then don't buy it. More people shop at K-Mart vs. Nordstrom, but Nordstrom makes more money with fewer customers. K-Mart lost $98 million in 2012, vs. Nordstrom's profit of $441 million, a difference of $539 million. We'll see if the folks at KIAY are smarter to target the customers with more jingle in their pockets, or if they should chase those wanting the sub-$100 radios.

On Sat, Dec 28, 2013 at 9:21 AM, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:

>
>
> On 12/26/2013 03:56 PM, Dale Miller wrote:
>
>
>
> On 12/26/2013 9:46 AM, Michael Black wrote:
>
> I asked them and they said no. I don’t think a more expensive radio is
> really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to the CODEC
> required.
>
> So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
> D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
> I don't know where everyone gets this.
> Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
> proprietary.
>
> ...
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/D_star states "The technology requires the
> use of a proprietary AMBE
Codec<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advanced_Multi-Band_Excitation>that is owned by Digital
Voice Systems, Inc."
>
>
>

38383. HF rigs was: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 20:04:07 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 38289 Message: 38383

Hi Bob:

It kind of depends on what you want an HF rig to do. The UV-5R appears to have a lot of subsidy help from the Chinese government, and it uses a few simple dedicated chips to do a relatively simple job: receive and transmit FM voice in VHF and UHF bands.

HF rigs usually have multiple modes, and cover many bands, which have need of many more components than you will fine in an HT.
You might find a simple CW rig for under $100... but it probably would be a kit. HF rigs are not manufactured on a scale like what is done for HTs. The least expensive HF transceiver on the MFJ website is $100... and it is a kit and QRP. And their single band transceivers price upward from that to almost $300.

There are Chinese made HF transceivers... but, there too... the price is many hundreds of dollars. At least, you can get something for less than $1000.

IMO... the best -value- radio (measured in performance and features per dollar) is the Elecraft KX3. $1000, without any accessories.
But considering that you get AM, FM, CW, SSB, RTTY, PSK31 in 160 through 6 meters in a compact unit, it's worth the money. Not to mention that the KX3 has one of the -very best- performing receivers... at -any- price. And, Elecraft has legendary customer support.
You can even email the company owners and get responses from them directly.

73 de Ray
K2ULR
Happy 2014

On Dec 28, 2013, at 1:55 PM, Bob Holmes wrote:

>
>
> I'm hoping that Baofeng comes out with an HF rig at super affordable
> prices like the UV-5R. I'm not asking for much huh? LOL New HF
> rigs are way too expensive IMHO.
>
> 73
> Bob ~ N7SGM
>

38384. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 20:31:10 UTC
From: <andyfoad@...>
Topic: 38289 Message: 38384

D Star audio is HORRIBLE.

It's the only reason I need not to have a capable rig.

Gimme a UV5R or a cheap analogue HT any day, lots and lot of fun and far more useful.

73 de Andy

38385. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 20:10:28 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38289 Message: 38385

From: Gary Dunn
> D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
> I don't know where everyone gets this.
> Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
> proprietary.

Actually D-STAR has ALWAYS been proprietary, just not quite exactly limited to Icom.

D-STAR uses a patented, closed-source proprietary voice codec (AMBE). Hams do not have access to the detailed specification of this codec or the rights to implement it on their own without buying a licensed product. The protocol itself belongs to the JARL but since it cannot be licensed by individuals the only way to implement D-STAR is by buying hardware that implements the protocol. That hardware is still proprietary.

Meanwhile no one other than Icom can sell a "D-STAR" radio because the name "D-STAR" is trademarked by Icom. So even if another company buys the chip and builds a radio capable of implementing the codec, they can't say that it does D-STAR without paying Icom to use that trademark!

38386. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 19:54:26 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38289 Message: 38386

From: Jardy Dawson

> D-Star does not belong to Icom. They are just the biggest supporter of it. Ant manufacturer can make compatible radios.

Technically true – but nearly irrelevant in the real world.

“Anyone” can make compatible radios – provided they pay to use the codec AND buy or build a circuit to implement the codec.

In the last few years Icom has realized that their intentional stranglehold on D-Star was not accomplishing what they originally hoped it would and they have loosened their grip. Meanwhile more-or-less simultaneously others have developed hardware capable of implementing the protocol.

But the bottom line remains that way more than 90% of hams in the US are strictly appliance operators, and for an appliance operator today D-Star still means buying an Icom radio.

And, keep in mind that the US market for D-Star radios is slowly expanding but D-Star is still only useful to most people if some other ham has already shelled out the money to put up a D-Star repeater where you happen to be, which is fine if you happen to live in one of the crowded metropolitan areas where there are multiple D-Star machines but less practical if you need to drive 70-100 miles to get within reach of your nearest D-Star repeaters.

For example, Jardy, you live in an area with better than average D-Star coverage. You actually have two D-Star repeaters within range of your home (KD7REX and WB7DZG) and have three more D-Star repeaters not too far away. If you happen to travel north (KF7GVL), south (KF7LDG) or west (W7CG) you should be in range of the next repeater before losing the two nearest your home – but if you head east your next D-Star repeater appears to be W7URG in Hermiston, OR which is about 180 miles east of you on I-84.

38387. Re: HF rigs was: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 21:00:33 UTC
From: Trinity
Topic: 38289 Message: 38387

I find that the Yaesu FT-817 to be a much better deal than the KX3. At least the FT-817 does VHF/UHF and is much cheaper. The only real benefit of the KX3 is that it has slightly lower power draw when not transmitting and though awkwardly shaped is slightly smaller.

Trinity KF5YFD

On Sat, Dec 28, 2013 at 2:04 PM, Ray Sills <raysills3@...> wrote:

>
>
> Hi Bob:
>
> It kind of depends on what you want an HF rig to do. The UV-5R
> appears to have a lot of subsidy help from the Chinese government, and
> it uses a few simple dedicated chips to do a relatively simple job:
> receive and transmit FM voice in VHF and UHF bands.
>
> HF rigs usually have multiple modes, and cover many bands, which have
> need of many more components than you will fine in an HT.
> You might find a simple CW rig for under $100... but it probably would
> be a kit. HF rigs are not manufactured on a scale like what is done
> for HTs. The least expensive HF transceiver on the MFJ website is
> $100... and it is a kit and QRP. And their single band transceivers
> price upward from that to almost $300.
>
> There are Chinese made HF transceivers... but, there too... the price
> is many hundreds of dollars. At least, you can get something for less
> than $1000.
>
> IMO... the best -value- radio (measured in performance and features
> per dollar) is the Elecraft KX3. $1000, without any accessories.
> But considering that you get AM, FM, CW, SSB, RTTY, PSK31 in 160
> through 6 meters in a compact unit, it's worth the money. Not to
> mention that the KX3 has one of the -very best- performing
> receivers... at -any- price. And, Elecraft has legendary customer
> support.
> You can even email the company owners and get responses from them
> directly.
>
> 73 de Ray
> K2ULR
> Happy 2014
>
> On Dec 28, 2013, at 1:55 PM, Bob Holmes wrote:
>

> >
> >
> > I'm hoping that Baofeng comes out with an HF rig at super affordable
> > prices like the UV-5R. I'm not asking for much huh? LOL New HF
> > rigs are way too expensive IMHO.
> >
> > 73
> > Bob ~ N7SGM
> >
>
>
>

38388. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 21:14:59 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 38289 Message: 38388

There is another D-Star nearby either under KA7APU or W7AIA. i just don't feel the need to buy a radio for that. Too expensive, and simplex audio sucks when you are almost out of range. No thanks, unless you want to give me one for my comm shelter.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 28, 2013, at 11:54, "Xaun Loc" <xaunloc@...> wrote:
>
> From: Jardy Dawson
>
> > D-Star does not belong to Icom. They are just the biggest supporter of it. Ant manufacturer can make compatible radios.
>
> Technically true – but nearly irrelevant in the real world.
>
> “Anyone” can make compatible radios – provided they pay to use the codec AND buy or build a circuit to implement the codec.
>
> In the last few years Icom has realized that their intentional stranglehold on D-Star was not accomplishing what they originally hoped it would and they have loosened their grip.
> Meanwhile more-or-less simultaneously others have developed hardware capable of implementing the protocol.
>
> But the bottom line remains that way more than 90% of hams in the US are strictly appliance operators, and for an appliance operator today D-Star still means buying an Icom radio.
>
> And, keep in mind that the US market for D-Star radios is slowly expanding but D-Star is still only useful to most people if some other ham has already shelled out the money to put up a D-Star repeater where you happen to be, which is fine if you happen to live in one of the crowded metropolitan areas where there are multiple D-Star machines but less practical if you need to drive 70-100 miles to get within reach of your nearest D-Star repeaters.
>
> For example, Jardy, you live in an area with better than average D-Star coverage. You actually have two D-Star repeaters within range of your home (KD7REX and WB7DZG) and have three more D-Star repeaters not too far away. If you happen to travel north (KF7GVL), south (KF7LDG) or west (W7CG) you should be in range of the next repeater before losing the two nearest your home – but if you head east your next D-Star repeater appears to be W7URG in Hermiston, OR which is about 180 miles east of you on I-84.
>

38389. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 23:19:17 UTC
From: Matthew Pitts
Topic: 38289 Message: 38389

Approximately 3 years left until the patent expires on that particular Vocoder, and at least the decoding part has already been figured out. Google "mbelib" for more details. As for the D-STAR protocol, it is publicly documented and has in fact been used as the basis of interface units that can do most of what the more expensive Icom built radios can. And I'd wager that more than a few of the D-STAR repeaters a lot of users can reach if they have the equipment have names like Kenwood and Motorola on them... :-)

Matthew Pitts
N8OHU

From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@gmail.com>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2013 3:10 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

From: Gary Dunn
> D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
> I don't know where everyone gets this.
> Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
> proprietary.

Actually D-STAR has ALWAYS been proprietary, just not quite exactly limited to Icom.

D-STAR uses a patented, closed-source proprietary voice codec (AMBE). Hams do not have access to the detailed specification of this codec or the rights to implement it on their own without buying a licensed product. The protocol itself belongs to the JARL but since it cannot be licensed by individuals the only way to implement D-STAR is by buying hardware that implements the protocol. That hardware is still proprietary.

Meanwhile no one other than Icom can sell a "D-STAR" radio because the name "D-STAR" is trademarked by Icom. So even if another company buys the chip and builds a radio capable of implementing the codec, they can't say that it does D-STAR without paying Icom to use that trademark!

38390. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 23:35:08 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 38289 Message: 38390

The whole D-Star thing reminds me of the situation many decades ago when Norelco-Phillips had the patent on a design for an easily used and quickly inserted and removed audio tape system called the "Compact Cassette". Sales were modest, and there were some competing ideas out there for just such a system for home recording and playback.

Well... someone in the Norelco company had the hot idea to -license- their exclusive patent on the cassette to anyone who wanted to use the format, for free. The only restriction was that anyone who used the compact cassette system had to conform to their specifications, as to size and operation, and guarantee compatibility with all other users.

So, instead of having -all- of the market for the cassette, they gave up exclusivity. But in turn, even though they had a "smaller piece of the pie"... the pie became -so big, that as a result, they had much more sales than if they had kept the format exclusive.

There was a similar "war" between record companies, in an attempt to dominate the market, back in the days when 45 rpm records were competing with 78s, and 33s. And then there was VHS vs Betamax.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

38391. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 23:45:10 UTC
From: Matthew Pitts
Topic: 38289 Message: 38391

Ray,

What seems to be happening is that the various Amateur Radio equipment manufactures have developed a bad case of "not invented here" when it comes to D-STAR. Even though it's a Japanese developed technology, Kenwood and Yeasu have chosen not to invest the time in developing it. What few "Kenwood" D-STAR radios there are are actually just Icom models with a Kenwood logo. Yaesu chose to instead develop its own "open" protocol, that supposedly handles things better than D-STAR does, but in actuality has less useful functionality.

Matthew Pitts
N8OHU

From: Ray Sills <raysills3@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2013 6:35 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

The whole D-Star thing reminds me of the situation many decades ago when Norelco-Phillips had the patent on a design for an easily used and quickly inserted and removed audio tape system called the "Compact Cassette". Sales were modest, and there were some competing ideas out there for just such a system for home recording and playback.

Well... someone in the Norelco company had the hot idea to -license- their exclusive patent on the cassette to anyone who wanted to use the format, for free. The only restriction was that anyone who used the compact cassette system had to conform to their specifications, as to size and operation, and guarantee compatibility with all other users.

So, instead of having -all- of the market for the cassette, they gave up exclusivity. But in turn, even though they had a "smaller piece of the pie"... the pie became -so big, that as a result, they had much more sales than if they had kept the format exclusive.

There was a similar "war" between record companies, in an attempt to dominate the market, back in the days when 45 rpm records were competing with 78s, and 33s. And then there was VHS vs Betamax.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

38392. UV-5R Defective Speaker

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 00:17:05 UTC
From: Don May
Topic: 38392 Message: 38392

Brand new UV-5R unit...after 5 days use the internal loud speaker has failed (open voice coil). All associated soldering around speaker terminals looks good. I noticed the speaker audio crackling at higher volume settings several days ago and then complete failure. The ear phone jack audio remains OK. Fortunately, Amazon is shipping a replacement unit to me. Great service Amazon! FYI...unit S/N US2013137234 (F/W BFB297).

Don / N5DM
Houston

38393. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] UV-5R Defective Speaker

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 00:27:42 UTC
From: Dave Edwards
Topic: 38392 Message: 38393

Don...FYI...my UV-5r+ that I got for Christmas had no audio on
transmit...but ok with speaker/mic.
I contacted Amazon on the 26th.
On the 27th, UPS delivered the replacement ....which works fine!!
...Dave
On 12/28/13 7:17 PM, Don May wrote:
>
> Brand new UV-5R unit...after 5 days use the internal loud speaker has
> failed (open voice coil). All associated soldering around speaker
> terminals looks good. I noticed the speaker audio/crackling/ at higher
> volume settings several days ago and then complete failure. The ear
> phone jack audio remains OK. Fortunately, Amazon is shipping a
> replacement unit to me. Great service Amazon! FYI...unit S/N
> US2013137234 (F/W BFB297).
> Don / N5DM
> Houston
>

38394. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 00:28:50 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38289 Message: 38394

Sorry Matt, but the other companies CANNOT “develop” D-STAR. They have to buy THE chip from the single source AND then they have to PAY ICOM to be able to use the name D-STAR which is a registered trademark owned by Icom.

From: Matthew Pitts
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2013 18:45 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Ray,

What seems to be happening is that the various Amateur Radio equipment manufactures have developed a bad case of "not invented here" when it comes to D-STAR. Even though it's a Japanese developed technology, Kenwood and Yeasu have chosen not to invest the time in developing it. What few "Kenwood" D-STAR radios there are are actually just Icom models with a Kenwood logo. Yaesu chose to instead develop its own "open" protocol, that supposedly handles things better than D-STAR does, but in actuality has less useful functionality.

Matthew Pitts
N8OHU

38395. Can't transmit in Channel Mode

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 01:44:22 UTC
From: <kkammeyer@...>
Topic: 38395 Message: 38395

I am a new Ham and new user of the UV-5RA. I read the crappy Chinese manual that came with it, and also the Baofeng uv-5r_v1.0-annotated_by_KC9HI.pdf which I found online. I can use simplex channels just fine, however my repeater channels only transmit in Frequency Mode. Also, I can only set offsets and tones in Frequency Mode. If I set them in Channel Mode, they don't stay set. Worse, once I've set my frequency, offset and tone in Frequency Mode and saved everything, the Channel displays the correct frequency, but in order to transmit I must switch to Frequency Mode, which then shows SOME OTHER FREQUENCY. This is very frustrating. My chipset is BFB297. What am I missing?

38396. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Can't transmit in Channel Mode

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 01:47:30 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38395 Message: 38396

You program a channel twice. The first time is the receive frequency, and the second time is the transmit frequency. If you exit after the first time, then use the reverse function in VFO mode, you just program again and you're set.

From: "kkammeyer@..." <kkammeyer@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2013 8:44 PM
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Can't transmit in Channel Mode

I am a new Ham and new user of the UV-5RA. I read the crappy Chinese manual that came with it, and also the Baofeng uv-5r_v1.0-annotated_by_KC9HI.pdf which I found online. I can use simplex channels just fine, however my repeater channels only transmit in Frequency Mode. Also, I can only set offsets and tones in Frequency Mode. If I set them in Channel Mode, they don't stay set. Worse, once I've set my frequency, offset and tone in Frequency Mode and saved everything, the Channel displays the correct frequency, but in order to transmit I must switch to Frequency Mode, which then shows SOME OTHER FREQUENCY. This is very frustrating. My chipset is BFB297. What am I missing?

38398. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Digest Number 2750

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 03:09:47 UTC
From: laird.kelly@juno.com
Topic: 38398 Message: 38398

Indeed, back in the day (late '70s-early '80s) some of us 'old pharts' carried around a $2400 TamaPhone MT-155 MTS ($1-a-minute, call through the mobile operator, pre-cellular service) mobile phone(basically a Wilson T-1505 1W/5W 6 Ch 'rock-bound' (crystal controlled rather than synthesized) Land Mobile with a 'phone-style' faceplate & DTMF keypad)... Ironically, on the ham side, the Wilson T-1405 was a mere $200 or so with a meter instead of a low-battery LED, a drop-in charger instead of plugging a charging cord into the HT, and a removable battery tray (for 10 AA's) instead of the sealed internal 12v 500mAh Ni-Cd pack. oh, and no DTMF pad...

._, SL. Laird Kelly ocp KG7FZL INET laird.kelly(at)juno.com
C(O-O)@ Sholem aleichem FIDO 1:123/140
--o00-(_)-00o----------
WSU Master Gardener emeritus, GOA, NAR# 77280, SIAR, UPCHUG, *Team AMiGA*
"la casa de los gitanos cubanos", University Place, Wa, 1km from Buckwheat Catapillar

---------- Original Message ----------

2.6. Re: D Star
Posted by: "Craig Carnahan" craig.n7lb@... cdcarizona
Date: Sat Dec 28, 2013 11:55 am ((PST))

...

Most new hams today have no idea of what stuff used to cost and the bargain they get for their money today, whether it's a Baofeng sub-$100 radio or the Kenwood / Icom / Alinco / Yaesu (KIAY) radios that cost more, but offer far more in quality and features. ...

Do THIS before eating carbs (every time)
1 EASY tip to increase fat-burning, lower blood sugar & decrease fat storage
http://thirdpartyoffers.juno.com/TGL3131/52bf92c5d70e712c53742st04duc

38401. Re: HF rigs was: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 05:07:21 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38289 Message: 38401

On 12/28/2013 12:04 PM, Ray Sills wrote:

... Elecraft KX3 ...

I've been watching this item for over two months with a firm intent to purchase ... the "(coming soon)" options and accessories keep me waiting.

38402. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 05:10:37 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38289 Message: 38402

On 12/28/2013 12:10 PM, Xaun Loc wrote:

From: Gary Dunn
> D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
> I don't know where everyone gets this.
> Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
> proprietary.

Hey asshole, learn how to quote others posts correctly ... I did not say what you attributed to me.

38403. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 05:19:56 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38289 Message: 38403

On 12/27/2013 07:37 AM, John Payne wrote:

But wait... order in the next 5 minutes and receive absolutely free a Ronco Turnip Twaddler!!!
Tongue firmly in cheek & 73,

I thought that was a turnip in your cheek. ;-)

Didn't we have until midnight tonight to order?

38404. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 08:17:39 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 38289 Message: 38404

"...keep in mind that the US market for D-Star radios is slowly expanding but D-Star is still only useful to most people if some other ham has already shelled out the money to put up a D-Star repeater where you happen to be..." - Xuan Loc

Of course the same can be said of analog repeaters as well. If no one has taken the time or effort to install an analog repeater near enough to you to be useful, those that think amateur radio centers around their use of a handheld radio will still be disappointed.

"Sorry Matt, but the other companies CANNOT “develop” D-STAR. They have to buy THE chip from the single source AND then they have to PAY ICOM to be able to use the name D-STAR which is a registered trademark owned by Icom." - Xuan Loc

Tell that to folks like Internet Labs that make and sell the D-Star compatible "Digital Dongle". I'm sure they buy the same AMBE chip as Icom does from the same source, but no one at Icom sent them any letters threatening legal action if they shipped a "compatible" device that allows any analog VHF/UHF radio to use D-Star. I'll ask my Icom reps if any company has to pay Icom to use "D-Star" in their sales literature, but I doubt it. Most trademark issues are simply handled with an acknowledgement on the brochure or ad that the trademark in question is owned by the other company. No one, for example, pays Motorola every time their name is mentioned in an ad, nor Kenwood, nor Yaesu, etc., etc.

"There was a similar "war" between record companies, in an attempt to dominate the market, back in the days when 45 rpm records were competing with 78s, and 33s. And then there was VHS vs Betamax." - Ray

The biggest difference between VHS vs. Betamax and D-Star vs. every other digital mode is that Sony actually developed both VHS and Betamax, whereas Icom merely decided to bring to market a digital protocol developed by hams in Japan (JARL) for use worldwide. Most hams that dislike D-Star heard it in version 1.0 when Icom had just started shipping both the radios and the repeaters. Many made up their minds (having heard it once) and have never tried it since. Many of the newer D-Star units are much easier to use and program, and the audio sounds much better than the original version of D-Star ever did.

I'm not trying to convert folks to D-Star (as I just just a couple of radios myself to try it out), but if we're going to try something just once and then never again (if it doesn't meet our ideals), then I guess some of you folks are running on CPM or DOS platforms, as Windows 1.0 really sucked. Of course, manufacturers tend to improve their products over time, and Icom is no different. I've listened to various folks check into D-Star

nets, and yes, some of them still sound "tinny", but many more of them have audio far better than D-Star 1.0 radios did.

I'm all for using whatever radios in whatever mode you like, including digital modes if that's your "thing". Nobody forces you (yet) to go digital on the ham bands, but just for curiosity, I looked up the various digital modes and their repeaters available within the U.S. market using repeaterbook.com. No folks, I didn't try to account for "paper" repeaters or even dupes, just raw numbers of digital repeaters listed as available for use nationwide. The numbers are as follows:

D-Star: 746
DMR: 139
P-25: 134
IDAS/NXDN: 40
System Fusion: 0
WIRES: 17

I suppose it's possible that all the D-Star fans rush to list their repeaters on repeaterbook.com and other digital mode users do not (and hence the much lower numbers), but an explanation that's far more likely (sorry Xuan) is that D-Star dominates nationwide (and perhaps worldwide as well) over other digital formats because:

a) Icom chose to use a platform developed for use by hams, and
b) Icom has poured marketing money & development dollars into that decision, and other manufacturers have not matched that effort, and
c) Icom has made it easy to purchase ready-made gear (both in radios and repeaters), and other manufacturers have not.

Again, I'm not knocking the folks that love Moto-Turbo (or any other digital mode), but if we're just comparing a ham digital mode (that's marketed by a ham oriented company or division) to another ham digital mode (by another ham oriented company or division), the only other contender is Yaesu with two different modes, System Fusion with 0 repeaters listed, and their WIRES platform with 17. Although IDAS/NXDN can be used within the ham community, I still consider it to be a business (not ham) solution, and both Icom and Kenwood market it that way. So "if" the numbers listed on the repeaterbook.com website are to be believed, that's 746 D-Star repeaters up and running within the U.S. market vs. 17 for Yaesu. You do the math of which digital repeater system is likely to be within range of your location on the map.

Icom's D-Star may not be perfect (or even the "best" digital solution), but the numbers don't lie. Icom is clearly winning the wallets of the hams and clubs installing digital mode repeaters today. Much of what D-Star does today (in the form of linked repeaters) can be duplicated with IRLP, EchoLink, and other formats, you just usually have to go through more steps.

My Icom ID-31A automatically knows when I'm in range of a D-Star machine, has BOTH analog FM and D-Star digital platforms in the same HT, has

built-in GPS tracking, has 4 different wattage levels (from 5 watts down to 0.1 watt), and can send text messages (making D-Star a favorite for use with various ARES, RACES, and other EMCOMM activities).

I paid a whopping $125 for mine at a recent AZ hamfest in mint condition, as the seller wanted to upgrade to an even newer model, the ID-51A. (Yes, I know that I could have purchased several Baofeng units for that price, but I already own 2 UV-5R units, 1 UV-5RAX+ unit, 1 UV-B5, 1 UV-B6 unit, 2 BF-888 radios, 2 different Wouxun 3D units (144/220 and 144/440), 1 Wouxun 6D V2 unit, and an Alinco DJ-G29T 222/900 MHz HT, plus various older Alinco's, Kenwood's, Standard's, and Yaesu's, so it was time to try something new).

I had hoped the UV-82 would have added something more than it did, so I tried a D-Star unit instead. A few days later I found a D-Star mobile at a great price and grabbed that too. "Radios are like horsepower, you can never have too much." © N7LB 2013

(Sorry Xuan, but you can re-use that copyrighted phrase if you like for just $1.00 each time it appears on the web in normal writings, if you plan to use the phrase commercially have your lawyers call mine, I'm sure we can work something out.)

Who knows what I'll find at the next hamfest that catches my eye, maybe a Moto-Turbo rig if I can get a copy of the proprietary Motorola software I'll need to re-program it to what I want to use on the ham bands.....

Craig - N7LB

On Sat, Dec 28, 2013 at 10:19 PM, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:

>
>
> On 12/27/2013 07:37 AM, John Payne wrote:
>
>
>
> But wait... order in the next 5 minutes and receive absolutely free a
> Ronco Turnip Twaddler!!!
> Tongue firmly in cheek & 73,
>
>
> I thought that was a turnip in your cheek. ;-)
>
> Didn't we have until midnight tonight to order?
>
>
>

38405. Re: HF rigs was: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 08:28:09 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 38289 Message: 38405

I have one of the original FT-817 units, and it has come in handy on several occasions, including using it to track down an intermittent signal that would screw up portions of 20m. Using the rig on battery power, finally found out that a bad battery charger on a friend's sand rail was causing the problem, and it was parked inside his trailer.

I have several friends that have KX-3 units, and they all swear by them. I suppose if the FT-817 ever bites the dust, or an opportunity to good to pass up presents itself, I'll end up with a KX-3 as well someday.

On Sat, Dec 28, 2013 at 10:07 PM, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:

>
>
> On 12/28/2013 12:04 PM, Ray Sills wrote:
>
> ... Elecraft KX3 ...
>
>
> I've been watching this item for over two months with a firm intent to
> purchase ... the "*(coming soon)*" options and accessories keep me
> waiting.
>
>
>

38406. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 09:54:51 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38289 Message: 38406

On 12/28/2013 10:21 AM, Gary Dunn wrote:
>
> On 12/26/2013 03:56 PM, Dale Miller wrote:
>>
>> On 12/26/2013 9:46 AM, Michael Black wrote:
>>> I asked them and they said no. I don't think a more expensive radio
>>> is really in their ball field. Plus D-Star is proprietary due to
>>> the CODEC required.
>>>
>>> So I think you can pretty much scratch that off your radar.
>>>
>>> Mike W9MDB
>>>
>> D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
>> I don't know where everyone gets this.
>> Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
>> proprietary.
> ...
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/D_star states "The technology requires
> the use of a proprietary AMBE Codec
> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advanced_Multi-Band_Excitation> that is
> owned by Digital Voice Systems, Inc."

First of all I don't believe half of what is posted on wikipedia.
Second yes the codec is proprietary but there is an open source
alternative that works right now but isn't 100 percent.
D-Star itself is Open Source and Icom doesn't have exclusive rights to
it. I don't care what any one says Open Source is just that OPEN.
Just because Icom jumped on it when no one else would doesn't mean that
any other radio manufacturer couldn't produce a D-Star radio for us. I
for see in the future when the Open source codec is reliable other
manufacturers jumping on board but I could be way off base on that one.

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38407. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 10:12:52 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38289 Message: 38407

On 12/28/2013 2:10 PM, Xaun Loc wrote:
>
> From: Gary Dunn
> > D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
> > I don't know where everyone gets this.
> > Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
> > proprietary.
>
> Actually D-STAR has ALWAYS been proprietary, just not quite exactly
> limited
> to Icom.
>
> D-STAR uses a patented, closed-source proprietary voice codec (AMBE).
> Hams
> do not have access to the detailed specification of this codec or the
> rights
> to implement it on their own without buying a licensed product. The
> protocol itself belongs to the JARL but since it cannot be licensed by
> individuals the only way to implement D-STAR is by buying hardware that
> implements the protocol. That hardware is still proprietary.
>
> Meanwhile no one other than Icom can sell a "D-STAR" radio because the
> name
> "D-STAR" is trademarked by Icom. So even if another company buys the chip
> and builds a radio capable of implementing the codec, they can't say
> that it
> does D-STAR without paying Icom to use that trademark!
>

Wrong information is difficult to come by on the particulars but read this.
http://www.k5tit.org/index.php/past-hamcom-d-star-presentations/doc_download/5-d-star-unco
vered
It has NEVER been proprietary...only the codec there using for the
protocol is proprietary and there is an open source codec now being
worked on.
http://www.rowetel.com/blog/?page_id=452

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003

Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38408. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 10:25:40 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38289 Message: 38408

On 12/28/2013 11:10 PM, Gary Dunn wrote:
>
> On 12/28/2013 12:10 PM, Xaun Loc wrote:
>>
>> From: Gary Dunn
>> > D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
>> > I don't know where everyone gets this.
>> > Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
>> > proprietary.
>>
>
> Hey asshole, learn how to quote others posts correctly ... I did not
> say what you attributed to me.
>

There is no need to call names.
I wasn't quoting you so I would refrain from calling me an asshole.
I was responding to there other person before you so Asshole reread the
thread properly!!

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38409. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 16:06:48 UTC
From: <yhoo12@...>
Topic: 38289 Message: 38409

If Wikipedia is wrong then correct the information. Just be prepared to provide a source for whatever you correct.

38410. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 17:33:40 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38289 Message: 38410

On 12/29/2013 02:25 AM, Dale Miller wrote:

On 12/28/2013 11:10 PM, Gary Dunn wrote:

On 12/28/2013 12:10 PM, Xaun Loc wrote:

From: Gary Dunn
> D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
> I don't know where everyone gets this.
> Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
> proprietary.

Hey asshole, learn how to quote others posts correctly ... I did not say what you attributed to me.

There is no need to call names.
I wasn't quoting you so I would refrain from calling me an asshole.
I was responding to there other person before you so Asshole reread the thread properly!!

So Dale Miller, do you also post under the pseudonym "Xaun Loc", the asshole to whom I was very clearly responding?

38411. Internal Mic not working again

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 18:27:24 UTC
From: Karl Schwab
Topic: 38411 Message: 38411

Well it happened to me again, internal mic not working and a "Q" tip and alcohol didn't fix the external mic/speaker jack this time, so, I guess I'll have to open the radio up! What are the steps, and maybe photos, on just how I can access the mic/speaker jack for a "looksee"? In the meantime, my speaker/mic works just fine. Thanks, Karl

38412. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 18:31:23 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38289 Message: 38412

On 12/29/2013 11:33 AM, Gary Dunn wrote:
>
> On 12/29/2013 02:25 AM, Dale Miller wrote:
>>
>> On 12/28/2013 11:10 PM, Gary Dunn wrote:
>>>
>>> On 12/28/2013 12:10 PM, Xaun Loc wrote:
>>>>
>>>> From: Gary Dunn
>>>> > D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
>>>> > I don't know where everyone gets this.
>>>> > Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
>>>> > proprietary.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Hey asshole, learn how to quote others posts correctly ... I did not
>>> say what you attributed to me.
>>>
>>
>> There is no need to call names.
>> I wasn't quoting you so I would refrain from calling me an asshole.
>> I was responding to there other person before you so Asshole reread
>> the thread properly!!
>
> So Dale Miller, do you also post under the pseudonym "Xaun Loc", the
> asshole to whom I was very clearly responding?
> __._,

If you were responding to Xaun Loc then why did you only leave behind my
response???
So I understood by what you left you your reply that you were responding
to me.
AND HELL NO I'M NOT XUAN LOC...HE IS CLEARLY AND IDIOT!!

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38413. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 19:14:13 UTC
From: <harryvarderci@...>
Topic: 38289 Message: 38413

Talk about Idiots and AH, listen to yourselves.
This thread has deteriorated from UV-5R, to D Star, to Whaaa Whaaa Whaaa.

Take it offline, Like MEN.

38414. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 19:47:49 UTC
From: Dave Edwards
Topic: 38411 Message: 38414

Karl....Not sure you saw my post on this subject, but this was exactly
the issue mine had when I took it out of the box on XMAS.
Contacted Amazon on the 26th, and had a replacement on the 27th. Which
now works fine.
The original....same thing..Works fine with external mic, but not the
internal. I tried plugging a 1/8" mini plug back and forth, but it never
even 'blipped' on for a second. Stone dead! So...hope my new one does
not do this also!
....Dave
On 12/29/13 1:27 PM, Karl Schwab wrote:
> Well it happened to me again, internal mic not working and a "Q" tip
> and alcohol didn't fix the external mic/speaker jack this time, so, I
> guess I'll have to open the radio up! What are the steps, and maybe
> photos, on just how I can access the mic/speaker jack for a
> "looksee"? In the meantime, my speaker/mic works just fine. Thanks, Karl
>

38415. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 19:58:25 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38411 Message: 38415

On Sun, Dec 29, 2013 at 1:27 PM, Karl Schwab <ktschwab@...> wrote:

>
>
> Well it happened to me again, internal mic not working and a "Q" tip and
> alcohol didn't fix the external mic/speaker jack this time, so, I guess
> I'll have to open the radio up! What are the steps, and maybe photos, on
> just how I can access the mic/speaker jack for a "looksee"? In the
> meantime, my speaker/mic works just fine. Thanks, Karl
>
>
>
I'm pretty sure there were dis-assembly instructions posted here in the
group but the new Yahoo! makes it difficult to search for anything now.

There are some pictures in the photo area of the group that you might want
to take a look at.

Jim KC9HI

38416. motorola p1125 and uv-5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 21:23:01 UTC
From: rcahill57
Topic: 38416 Message: 38416

Help Im new to uv-5r,,,any one know how to dial in frequency 155.160 dpl 754 motorola p1225, i was hoping to be able to use baofeng uv-5r but so far no luck, i think im close,,,

38418. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] motorola p1125 and uv-5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 21:41:37 UTC
From: Gary N9PTC
Topic: 38416 Message: 38418

Duh, DPL754 is the DCS tone!

Gary N9PTC

-------Original Message-------
From: Michael Black [mdblack98@yahoo.com]
Date: Sun, Dec 29, 2013
To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Reply To: [baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] motorola p1125 and uv-5r

Do you have the programming software for the p1225? Doesn't appear to be anything special about the radio….just another dual band HT.

And why do you think you're close? I would assume the p1225 has tones programmed in it so perhaps you could discover what they are by scanning for them.
http://www.miklor.com/uv5r/UV5R-ToneScan.php

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rcahill57
Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2013 3:23 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] motorola p1125 and uv-5r

Help Im new to uv-5r,,,any one know how to dial in frequency 155.160 dpl 754 motorola p1225, i was hoping to be able to use baofeng uv-5r but so far no luck, i think im close,,,

38421. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 22:18:31 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38289 Message: 38421

From: Dale Miller

> Wrong information is difficult to come by on the particulars but read
> this.
> http://www.k5tit.org/index.php/past-hamcom-d-star-presentations/doc_download/5-d-star-un
covered
> It has NEVER been proprietary...only the codec there using for the
> protocol is proprietary and there is an open source codec now being worked
> on.
> http://www.rowetel.com/blog/?page_id=452

Congratulations Dale. So your position is that D-STAR is not proprietary and is available to anyone – except that no one can use it without buying a sole-source chip and whatever they use, they can’t call it D-STAR without paying Icom to license their name.

Saying D-STAR is non-proprietary is like saying the English language is non-proprietary BUT you aren't allowed to use the Roman Alphabet without a license.

38423. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 23:20:50 UTC
From: Robert Copelan
Topic: 38289 Message: 38423

Holy moley people..... You are complaining about a non issue. The chip comes with a license to use the codec technology. As pointed out earlier, the cost of this chip is around 25 USD. How many of you have built any radio equipment without buying some IC chips, transistors or tubes? Have you built everything from scratch? I doubt it unless it was a crystal radio set with a "cat whisker" to find the sensitive spot on the crystal and a toilet paper coil but even then the ear phone was probably purchased. I'll bet that most of the equipment used is covered by multiple patents on the design.

Anyone with enough knowledge to build using modern chips can freely purchase the AMBE chip and use it in circuits of their design. This is clearly proved by the Dongle, DVAP, DVRPTR/AMBE boards and other devices that have been developed. Yes, it adds cost to a otherwise low cost radio but nothing is stopping any of us from doing it.
73,
Robert
WB4DHC

On Sun, Dec 29, 2013 at 5:18 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

>
>
> From: Dale Miller
>
> > Wrong information is difficult to come by on the particulars but read
> > this.
> >
> http://www.k5tit.org/index.php/past-hamcom-d-star-presentations/doc_download/5-d-star-un
covered
> > It has NEVER been proprietary...only the codec there using for the
> > protocol is proprietary and there is an open source codec now being
> worked
> > on.
> > http://www.rowetel.com/blog/?page_id=452
>
> Congratulations Dale. So your position is that D-STAR is not proprietary
> and
> is available to anyone – except that no one can use it without buying a
> sole-source chip and whatever they use, they can’t call it D-STAR without
> paying Icom to license their name.
>
> Saying D-STAR is non-proprietary is like saying the English language is
> non-proprietary BUT you aren't allowed to use the Roman Alphabet without a
> license.
>

>
>

38424. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 23:23:23 UTC
From: Matthew Pitts
Topic: 38289 Message: 38424

D-STAR compatible hardware
http://www.dvrptr.net
http://shop.dvrptr.de
http://www.dv-dongle.com
http://www.dvap-dongle.com

D-STAR compatible software
http://opendv.berlios.de

D-STAR usage stats page
http://www.dstardb.com/RptStats.php?LAST=week

ARRL technical characteristics page with link to D-STAR protocol documentation.
http://www.arrl.org/technical-characteristics

Just because it requires a currently closed vocoder chip (which most digital voice systems used by hams do, by the way) to function does not automatically mean that the protocol itself is proprietary; many hams gladly use proprietary algorithms in the form of DSP-based bandpass filters (like the stuff inside the UV-5R radio chip, by the way) without giving it a second thought.

Matthew Pitts
N8OHU

From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2013 5:18 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

From: Dale Miller

> Wrong information is difficult to come by on the particulars but read
> this.
> http://www.k5tit.org/index.php/past-hamcom-d-star-presentations/doc_download/5-d-star-un
covered
> It has NEVER been proprietary...only the codec there using for the
> protocol is proprietary and there is an open source codec now being worked
> on.
> http://www.rowetel.com/blog/?page_id=452

Congratulations Dale. So your position is that D-STAR is not proprietary and is available to anyone – except that no one can use it without buying a sole-source chip and whatever they use, they can’t call it D-STAR without paying Icom to license their name.

Saying D-STAR is non-proprietary is like saying the English language is non-proprietary BUT you aren't allowed to use the Roman Alphabet without a license.

38425. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-29 23:24:52 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38289 Message: 38425

Proprietary or not, it really doesn't matter. The UV-5R doesn't have D-STAR so the discussion is irrelevant and OFF TOPIC. There are several Yahoo D-STAR groups. I'm sure the members of at least one of them is eager to know the answer. ;-)

Jim KC9HI

38426. RE: Internal Mic not working again

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 00:30:41 UTC
From: <plutousa@...>
Topic: 38411 Message: 38426

You might find this helpful: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bVO6-DajyjQ

Ed

38427. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 00:53:49 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 38289 Message: 38427

Some of the $120.00 Icom radios had a $118.00 D-Star chip to add on. Too expensive for my taste.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 29, 2013, at 15:20, Robert Copelan <rcopelan@...> wrote:
>
> Holy moley people..... You are complaining about a non issue. The chip comes with a license to use the codec technology. As pointed out earlier, the cost of this chip is around 25 USD. How many of you have built any radio equipment without buying some IC chips, transistors or tubes? Have you built everything from scratch? I doubt it unless it was a crystal radio set with a "cat whisker" to find the sensitive spot on the crystal and a toilet paper coil but even then the ear phone was probably purchased. I'll bet that most of the equipment used is covered by multiple patents on the design.
>
> Anyone with enough knowledge to build using modern chips can freely purchase the AMBE chip and use it in circuits of their design. This is clearly proved by the Dongle, DVAP, DVRPTR/AMBE boards and other devices that have been developed. Yes, it adds cost to a otherwise low cost radio but nothing is stopping any of us from doing it.
> 73,
> Robert
> WB4DHC
>
>
>> On Sun, Dec 29, 2013 at 5:18 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:
>>
>> From: Dale Miller
>>
>> > Wrong information is difficult to come by on the particulars but read
>> > this.
>> > http://www.k5tit.org/index.php/past-hamcom-d-star-presentations/doc_download/5-d-star-uncovered
>> > It has NEVER been proprietary...only the codec there using for the
>> > protocol is proprietary and there is an open source codec now being worked
>> > on.
>> > http://www.rowetel.com/blog/?page_id=452
>>
>> Congratulations Dale. So your position is that D-STAR is not proprietary and
>> is available to anyone – except that no one can use it without buying a
>> sole-source chip and whatever they use, they can’t call it D-STAR without
>> paying Icom to license their name.
>>
>> Saying D-STAR is non-proprietary is like saying the English language is

>> non-proprietary BUT you aren't allowed to use the Roman Alphabet without a
>> license.
>
>

38428. Re: D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 01:00:01 UTC
From: John Guillory
Topic: 38289 Message: 38428

I find it amazing the language used when in message groups, and what I see on videos of folks on 6 and 10 meters, when one of the first things in the tech license is about using language appropriate for all ages. Granted some folks teach their children to be worse than sailors, but most of us would not want their kids sounding like the bad news bears! It's a hobby. If you don't enjoy it, stop doing it. If you are enjoying it, there's no need for a potty mouth!

--
KF5QEO
John Guillory
westlakegeek@...
Cell: 601-754-9233
Pinger: 337-240-7890

38429. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 01:26:46 UTC
From: Robert Copelan
Topic: 38289 Message: 38429

That 118 USD "chip" is a module not just the vocoder chip. Added into the 25 USD for the vocoder costs (actually Icom gets them at less due to volume) as others have pointed out is R&D, sales force, manufacturing, advertising costs and then something for profit.

< 300 USD for a radio (using your numbers) that will let you talk literally around the world from your couch. I'll bet that someone will hack the Baofeng radios to have an add on DStar module at some point. That would be an interesting challenge but doable with the right information/patience. Looks like the firmware that runs the HT is proprietary (OMG...we all paid for a radio that has proprietary code ;-)) but has been hacked so there's hope for interfacing d-star module!

http://www.elazary.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=51:hacking-the-uv3r&catid=14:baofeng-uv5r&Itemid=17
http://hackaday.com/2013/02/28/hacking-a-ham-radio/

On Sun, Dec 29, 2013 at 7:53 PM, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:

>
>
> Some of the $120.00 Icom radios had a $118.00 D-Star chip to add on. Too
> expensive for my taste.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
> On Dec 29, 2013, at 15:20, Robert Copelan <rcopelan@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Holy moley people..... You are complaining about a non issue. The chip
> comes with a license to use the codec technology. As pointed out earlier,
> the cost of this chip is around 25 USD. How many of you have built any
> radio equipment without buying some IC chips, transistors or tubes? Have
> you built everything from scratch? I doubt it unless it was a crystal radio
> set with a "cat whisker" to find the sensitive spot on the crystal and a
> toilet paper coil but even then the ear phone was probably purchased.
> I'll bet that most of the equipment used is covered by multiple patents on
> the design.
>
> Anyone with enough knowledge to build using modern chips can freely
> purchase the AMBE chip and use it in circuits of their design. This is
> clearly proved by the Dongle, DVAP, DVRPTR/AMBE boards and other devices

> that have been developed. Yes, it adds cost to a otherwise low cost radio
> but nothing is stopping any of us from doing it.
> 73,
> Robert
> WB4DHC
>
>
> On Sun, Dec 29, 2013 at 5:18 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> From: Dale Miller
>>
>> > Wrong information is difficult to come by on the particulars but read
>> > this.
>> >
>> http://www.k5tit.org/index.php/past-hamcom-d-star-presentations/doc_download/5-d-star-u
ncovered
>> > It has NEVER been proprietary...only the codec there using for the
>> > protocol is proprietary and there is an open source codec now being
>> worked
>> > on.
>> > http://www.rowetel.com/blog/?page_id=452
>>
>> Congratulations Dale. So your position is that D-STAR is not proprietary
>> and
>> is available to anyone – except that no one can use it without buying a
>> sole-source chip and whatever they use, they can’t call it D-STAR without
>> paying Icom to license their name.
>>
>> Saying D-STAR is non-proprietary is like saying the English language is
>> non-proprietary BUT you aren't allowed to use the Roman Alphabet without
>> a
>> license.
>>
>>
>
>

38430. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 01:35:59 UTC
From: James Hall
Topic: 38289 Message: 38430

1) Codec2 is not compatible with AMBE and never will be. It probably won't be plugged into the D-Star protocol either due to not knowing if it'll end up damaging Icom radios or if it would just show up as R2D2 on them.
2) AMBE can not currently be legally duplicated by anyone other than DVSI. Sure, MBELIB can decode it now (which was news to me) but apparently it's not 100% complete and I don't think it can encode it yet either. It'll be a few more years before the patent expires.
3) Yes, yes, currently hobbyists can buy a chip for $25 that will take PCM audio and return AMBE encoded audio and vis versa. You're not able to implement that codec on your own chip. SO for now you have to have multiple chips when probably 1 would do it. Also note that word there.. "Currently". That could end at any time.
4) Non-Icom things can't say they're compatible with D-Star because Icom owns the trademark to the name of the technology. DV Dongle, for example, gets around this by simply saying the chip encodes AMBE audio which "is used in all D-Star radios to provide efficient voice transmissions". The unit itself doesn't say anything about being dstar compatible. If something did claim to be D-Star then Icom would be forced to sue them or lose their trademark on the term.

On Sun, Dec 29, 2013 at 5:12 AM, Dale Miller <stpatrick2@...> wrote:

>
>
> On 12/28/2013 2:10 PM, Xaun Loc wrote:
>
>
>
> From: Gary Dunn
> > D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
> > I don't know where everyone gets this.
> > Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
> > proprietary.
>
> Actually D-STAR has ALWAYS been proprietary, just not quite exactly
> limited
> to Icom.
>
> D-STAR uses a patented, closed-source proprietary voice codec (AMBE). Hams
> do not have access to the detailed specification of this codec or the
> rights
> to implement it on their own without buying a licensed product. The
> protocol itself belongs to the JARL but since it cannot be licensed by
> individuals the only way to implement D-STAR is by buying hardware that
> implements the protocol. That hardware is still proprietary.

>
> Meanwhile no one other than Icom can sell a "D-STAR" radio because the
> name
> "D-STAR" is trademarked by Icom. So even if another company buys the chip
> and builds a radio capable of implementing the codec, they can't say that
> it
> does D-STAR without paying Icom to use that trademark!
>
>
> Wrong information is difficult to come by on the particulars but read this.
>
> http://www.k5tit.org/index.php/past-hamcom-d-star-presentations/doc_download/5-d-star-un
covered
> It has NEVER been proprietary...only the codec there using for the
> protocol is proprietary and there is an open source codec now being worked
> on.
> http://www.rowetel.com/blog/?page_id=452
>
>
> --
> All the Best & 73's
> Dale Miller, KC2CBD
> Tennessee
> Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
> Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005
>
> Registered Linux User: #317401
> Linux since June 2003
> Registered Ubuntu User #26423
> stpatrick3spam@...2spam@...3spam@gmail.combdchimneysweepspam@...
>
> (cut the spam to reply)
>
>
> VOTE TO REBUILD!www.twintowersalliance.com
> __
>
>
>

38431. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 01:35:00 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38289 Message: 38431

On 12/29/2013 05:26 PM, Robert Copelan wrote:

... < 300 USD for a radio (using your numbers) that will let you talk literally around the world from your couch. ...

One can already use the UV-5R variants with IRLP repeaters with no additional cost to enjoy "around the world" communications.

38432. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 01:41:21 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38289 Message: 38432

Or, you can hook your SignaLink USB to your FT-857D (or lesser radio)
and create an EchoLink node. Mine is on right now @ KB3JQQ-L and
I access it using my UV-5R LCR.

From: Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...>

On 12/29/2013 05:26 PM, Robert Copelan wrote:

>... < 300 USD for a radio (using your numbers) that will let you talk literally around the world from your couch. ...
>
One can already use the UV-5R variants with IRLP repeaters with no
additional cost to enjoy "around the world" communications.

38433. SECOND mic not working!!

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 01:55:52 UTC
From: Dave Edwards
Topic: 38433 Message: 38433

Some may have read I got a UV5R+ for Christmas.
I noticed right away, it had no transmit audio.
Contacted Amazon on the 26th, and they had another one to me that
arrived the very next day.
I programmed it via Chirp, and it worked great until now.
Just now, I turned it on, and ....same thing. NO TRANSMIT AUDIO!!
I can't believe it, and thought I grabbed the dead one. The first one,
that I didn't even get to return yet.
Now I'm wondering....do I try for a third, or is it really just that bad.
Do some work? I don't know if Amazon is going to believe me. I still
can't believe it myself!
...Dave

38434. Re: motorola p1125 and uv-5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 02:18:20 UTC
From: rcahill57
Topic: 38416 Message: 38434

Jim, thanks, any way to plug this info into keys on uv-5r, otherwise Im going to have to get a cable, drivers etc

bob c

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...> wrote:
>
> On Sun, Dec 29, 2013 at 4:23 PM, rcahill57 <rcahill57@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Help Im new to uv-5r,,,any one know how to dial in frequency 155.160 dpl
> > 754 motorola p1225, i was hoping to be able to use baofeng uv-5r but so far
> > no luck, i think im close,,,
> >
>
> If you haven't already, I recommend that you visit the miklor.com website.
> Especially the CHIRP page with links to the CHIRP guide and CHIRP examples.
>
> First I recommend that you click View and then enable "Hide Unused Fields"
>
> Note than when picking values from a CHIRP drop down menu, you must click
> off onto another cell to set the value. If you don't, it won't "stick" and
> will revert back to the previous setting. Press the [Go] button (upper left
> of the spreadsheet memory editor) for a quick way to see if the values
> stays or not.
>
> Loc: pick the memory of your choice
> Frequency: 155.160000
> Name: choose the name of your choice
> Tone Mode: DTCS
> Tone: n/a
> ToneSql: n/a
> DTCS Code: 754
> DTCS Rx Code: n/a
> DTCS Pol: NN
> Cross Mode: n/a
> Duplex: (None)
> Offset: n/a
> Mode: NFM
> Power: pick one (I always go with Low and toggle to High with the [#] key
> only when needed)
> Skip: {blank} if you want it to be scanned in MR mode or "S" if you want it
> skipped when scanning
>

> The above sets both menu 10 (R-DCS) and menu 12 (T-DCS) to 754. If you want
> only to TX the DCS code and leave RX carrier operated, then make the
> following changes to the above.
>
> Tone Mode: Cross
> Cross Mode: DTCS->
>
> That should be it.
>
> Jim KC9HI
>

38435. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: motorola p1125 and uv-5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 03:23:43 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38416 Message: 38435

On Sun, Dec 29, 2013 at 9:18 PM, rcahill57 <rcahill57@...> wrote:

>
>
> Jim, thanks, any way to plug this info into keys on uv-5r, otherwise Im
> going to have to get a cable, drivers etc
>
> bob c
>
Bob,

Oops. Sorry. I just assumed that you wanted help with CHIRP since it can be
a little confusing with the CTCSS and/or DCS settings.

1 select VFO (frequency) mode
2 select the top [A] display
3 set menu 2 (TXP) to LOW or HIGH power
4 set menu 5 (WN) to NARR
5 set menu 19 (PTT-ID) to OFF
6 set menu 23 (BCL) to OFF or ON
7 use menu 28 (DEL-CH) to erase the target channel
8 set menu 10 (R-DCS) to 754 or OFF
9 set menu 12 (T-DCS) to 754
10 key in 155.160 into the display
11 use menu 27 (MEM-CH) to store settings to the target channel

Done

Reference for UV-5R Menus
http://kc9hi.dyndns.org/uv5r/programming/UV-5R%20Menus.pdf

Jim KC9HI

38436. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 05:09:53 UTC
From: Dale Miller
Topic: 38289 Message: 38436

On 12/29/2013 4:18 PM, Xaun Loc wrote:
>
> From: Dale Miller
>
> > Wrong information is difficult to come by on the particulars but read
> > this.
> >
> http://www.k5tit.org/index.php/past-hamcom-d-star-presentations/doc_download/5-d-star-un
covered
> > It has NEVER been proprietary...only the codec there using for the
> > protocol is proprietary and there is an open source codec now being
> worked
> > on.
> > http://www.rowetel.com/blog/?page_id=452
>
> Congratulations Dale. So your position is that D-STAR is not
> proprietary and
> is available to anyone – except that no one can use it without buying a
> sole-source chip and whatever they use, they can’t call it D-STAR without
> paying Icom to license their name.
>
> Saying D-STAR is non-proprietary is like saying the English language is
> non-proprietary BUT you aren't allowed to use the Roman Alphabet
> without a
> license.
>

Really, explain this.
http://www.qsl.net/kb9mwr/projects/voip/dstar/A%20Homebrew%20D-Star%20Repeater.pdf

or this.
http://kb9mwr.blogspot.com/2010/04/diy-compatible-d-star-repeater-green.html

or this
http://www.amateurradio.com/home-build-d-star-radio/

There is no license involved if you use the open codec.
D-Star is an open protocol..the only thing Icom has a trademark on is
the D-Star logo NOT the protocol!!!!

--
All the Best & 73's
Dale Miller, KC2CBD
Tennessee
Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005

Registered Linux User: #317401
Linux since June 2003
Registered Ubuntu User #26423

stpatrick3spam@...
stpatrick2spam@...
stpatrick3spam@...
bdchimneysweepspam@...

(cut the spam to reply)

VOTE TO REBUILD!
www.twintowersalliance.com
--

38437. Re: motorola p1125 and uv-5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 05:13:52 UTC
From: rcahill57
Topic: 38416 Message: 38437

Jim followed your directions Im ok w frequencys with no DLP 754

i noticed in R-DCS there are 2 choices D754I and D754N (difference??) neither seem to work, is this were neither equate to Motorola DLP754??

Can i program in DLP754 with CHIRP

One of my new frequencys is 151.625 with TPL 114.8??

Thanks Bob C

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...> wrote:
>
> On Sun, Dec 29, 2013 at 9:18 PM, rcahill57 <rcahill57@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Jim, thanks, any way to plug this info into keys on uv-5r, otherwise Im
> > going to have to get a cable, drivers etc
> >
> > bob c
> >
> Bob,
>
> Oops. Sorry. I just assumed that you wanted help with CHIRP since it can be
> a little confusing with the CTCSS and/or DCS settings.
>
> 1 select VFO (frequency) mode
> 2 select the top [A] display
> 3 set menu 2 (TXP) to LOW or HIGH power
> 4 set menu 5 (WN) to NARR
> 5 set menu 19 (PTT-ID) to OFF
> 6 set menu 23 (BCL) to OFF or ON
> 7 use menu 28 (DEL-CH) to erase the target channel
> 8 set menu 10 (R-DCS) to 754 or OFF
> 9 set menu 12 (T-DCS) to 754
> 10 key in 155.160 into the display
> 11 use menu 27 (MEM-CH) to store settings to the target channel
>
> Done
>
> Reference for UV-5R Menus
> http://kc9hi.dyndns.org/uv5r/programming/UV-5R%20Menus.pdf
>
> Jim KC9HI
>

38438. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Can't transmit in Channel Mode

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 05:15:16 UTC
From: rjtoegel
Topic: 38395 Message: 38438

To enter a repeater frequency into a channel, first find a clear memory channel or clear one. Then enter the receive frequency into the top display. Save to a memory channel. Now, enter the transmit frequency with tone if necessary into the top display. Save to the same channel as before. You don't need to save an offset. It treats each channel as separate receive and transmit frequencies. It's that easy.

Bob WA2EGP

On Dec 28, 2013, at 8:44 PM, <kkammeyer@...> wrote:

> I am a new Ham and new user of the UV-5RA. I read the crappy Chinese manual that came with it, and also the Baofeng uv-5r_v1.0-annotated_by_KC9HI.pdf which I found online. I can use simplex channels just fine, however my repeater channels only transmit in Frequency Mode. Also, I can only set offsets and tones in Frequency Mode. If I set them in Channel Mode, they don't stay set. Worse, once I've set my frequency, offset and tone in Frequency Mode and saved everything, the Channel displays the correct frequency, but in order to transmit I must switch to Frequency Mode, which then shows SOME OTHER FREQUENCY. This is very frustrating. My chipset is BFB297. What am I missing?
>
>

38439. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Off topics

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 05:50:35 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 38289 Message: 38439

Has anybody noticed how members of this group are easily distrac.....ooh, pretty lights!!

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 29, 2013, at 21:09, Dale Miller <stpatrick2@...> wrote:
>
>> On 12/29/2013 4:18 PM, Xaun Loc wrote:
>>
>> From: Dale Miller
>>
>> > Wrong information is difficult to come by on the particulars but read
>> > this.
>> > http://www.k5tit.org/index.php/past-hamcom-d-star-presentations/doc_download/5-d-star
-uncovered
>> > It has NEVER been proprietary...only the codec there using for the
>> > protocol is proprietary and there is an open source codec now being worked
>> > on.
>> > http://www.rowetel.com/blog/?page_id=452
>>
>> Congratulations Dale. So your position is that D-STAR is not proprietary and
>> is available to anyone – except that no one can use it without buying a
>> sole-source chip and whatever they use, they can’t call it D-STAR without
>> paying Icom to license their name.
>>
>> Saying D-STAR is non-proprietary is like saying the English language is
>> non-proprietary BUT you aren't allowed to use the Roman Alphabet without a
>> license.
>
> Really, explain this.
> http://www.qsl.net/kb9mwr/projects/voip/dstar/A%20Homebrew%20D-Star%20Repeater.pdf
>
> or this.
> http://kb9mwr.blogspot.com/2010/04/diy-compatible-d-star-repeater-green.html
>
> or this
> http://www.amateurradio.com/home-build-d-star-radio/
>
> There is no license involved if you use the open codec.
> D-Star is an open protocol..the only thing Icom has a trademark on is the D-Star logo NOT
the protocol!!!!
>
>
> --

> All the Best & 73's
> Dale Miller, KC2CBD
> Tennessee
> Ham Operator since 1997 (Extra)
> Member of YahooPipesmokers and ASP since February 2005
>
> Registered Linux User: #317401
> Linux since June 2003
> Registered Ubuntu User #26423
>
> stpatrick3spam@...
> stpatrick2spam@twlakes.net
> stpatrick3spam@...
> bdchimneysweepspam@...
>
> (cut the spam to reply)
>
>
> VOTE TO REBUILD!
> www.twintowersalliance.com
> --
>

38440. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Off topics

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 06:57:25 UTC
From: Andrew M
Topic: 38289 Message: 38440

Yea, sometimes we do go off on ..... What were we talking about?

Andy
KF4GOM

--
"To err is human, To really screw up requires a computer"

Unknown

38441. RE: Internal Mic not working again

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 09:38:55 UTC
From: <baynews@...>
Topic: 38411 Message: 38441

I use mine for work so Im plugging and unplugig the microphone I use at work. I noticed after about a week that the internal microphone was not working anymore. Its ok since this radio is really just for work use but I might want to use one on the Ham frequencies since Im one. I might just order another to use without any external mike and that will solve the problem also.

38442. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: motorola p1125 and uv-5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 12:17:40 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38416 Message: 38442

On Mon, Dec 30, 2013 at 12:13 AM, rcahill57 <rcahill57@...> wrote:

>
>
> Jim followed your directions Im ok w frequencys with no DLP 754
>
> i noticed in R-DCS there are 2 choices D754I and D754N (difference??)
> neither seem to work, is this were neither equate to Motorola DLP754??
>
It is DPL. PL is Private Line, which is a trademark name for CTCSS. DPL (digital PL) is the trademark name for DCS.

D754N is the (n)ormal or standard code. D754I is (i)verted. I would expect that D754N is what you want. But you can scan the transmitted output of the Motorola radio with the UV-5R to find out for sure.

What isn't working? You can't hear with the Motorola? You can't hear with the UV-5R?

>
> Can i program in DLP754 with CHIRP
>

Yes. But you wind up with the same that you would program manually.

>
> One of my new frequencys is 151.625 with TPL 114.8??
>

Same as before except substitute menu 11 for menu 10 and menu 13 for menu 12. I really recommend that you do your programming with menu 10 (R-DCS) and menu 11 (R-CTCS) set to OFF until you get it working. Having no receive tones/codes always works. You can then practice programming the receive tone or code on another channel to get familiar for how it works.

>
> Thanks Bob C
>
>
Jim KC9HI

38443. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Internal Mic not working again

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 10:13:07 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38411 Message: 38443

At 08:38 PM 30/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>I use mine for work so Im plugging and unplugig the microphone I use
>at work. I noticed after about a week that the internal microphone
>was not working anymore. Its ok since this radio is really just for
>work use but I might want to use one on the Ham frequencies since Im
>one. I might just order another to use without any external mike and
>that will solve the problem also.

What sort of license do you have for work use?
Also is it permitted to use one radio for amateur and work use?

38444. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 06:20:37 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38289 Message: 38444

Careful, James, if you keep trying to tell people the truth here you will quickly find yourself being called all sorts of nasty names by the people who automatically assume that anyone who disagrees with them must be an “A__H___” or an Idiot – especially when they are right.

From: James Hall
Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2013 20:35 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

1) Codec2 is not compatible with AMBE and never will be. It probably won't be plugged into the D-Star protocol either due to not knowing if it'll end up damaging Icom radios or if it would just show up as R2D2 on them.
2) AMBE can not currently be legally duplicated by anyone other than DVSI. Sure, MBELIB can decode it now (which was news to me) but apparently it's not 100% complete and I don't think it can encode it yet either. It'll be a few more years before the patent expires.
3) Yes, yes, currently hobbyists can buy a chip for $25 that will take PCM audio and return AMBE encoded audio and vis versa. You're not able to implement that codec on your own chip. SO for now you have to have multiple chips when probably 1 would do it. Also note that word there.. "Currently". That could end at any time.
4) Non-Icom things can't say they're compatible with D-Star because Icom owns the trademark to the name of the technology. DV Dongle, for example, gets around this by simply saying the chip encodes AMBE audio which "is used in all D-Star radios to provide efficient voice transmissions". The unit itself doesn't say anything about being dstar compatible. If something did claim to be D-Star then Icom would be forced to sue them or lose their trademark on the term.

38446. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 02:02:38 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38289 Message: 38446

Since the fiber-optic installation along the nearest county road recently, I'm considering installing an IRLP node to allow the local redneck hams with these HT's a chance to hear the rest of the world, and communicate with it if they want to.

On 12/29/2013 05:45 PM, Robert Copelan wrote:

Yeap.. no mods required!

On Sun, Dec 29, 2013 at 8:35 PM, Gary Dunn <gary.dunn91@...> wrote:

On 12/29/2013 05:26 PM, Robert Copelan wrote:

... < 300 USD for a radio (using your numbers) that will let you talk literally around the world from your couch. ...

One can already use the UV-5R variants with IRLP repeaters with no additional cost to enjoy "around the world" communications.

38447. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Internal Mic not working again

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 12:53:52 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38411 Message: 38447

One trick I found on reassembly….the PTT rubber assembly tends to want to get caught on the radio board and curl/smoosh the rubber just a bit…enough to prevent PTT from working. So I put a piece of paper next to the rubber while I insert the radio body…problem solved.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of plutousa@...
Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2013 6:31 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Internal Mic not working again

You might find this helpful: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bVO6-DajyjQ

Ed

38449. Re: Off topics

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 15:32:16 UTC
From: John Guillory
Topic: 38289 Message: 38449

I think folks are confusing D-Star, Open-Star, and N-Star . While D-Star and Open-Star are compatible, they are different from what I understand. The way I understand it, open star is cheaper and doesn't need the chip, licensing, etc.

--
KF5QEO
John Guillory
westlakegeek@...
Cell: 601-754-9233
Pinger: 337-240-7890

38450. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 16:02:51 UTC
From: John W.
Topic: 38289 Message: 38450

On 12/29/2013 9:02 PM, Gary Dunn wrote:
>
>
> Since the fiber-optic installation along the nearest county road
> recently, I'm considering installing an IRLP node to allow the local
> redneck hams with these HT's a chance to hear the rest of the world, and
> communicate with it if they want to.
>

An Echolink node would be easier and cheaper.

38451. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 17:01:43 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38289 Message: 38451

On 12/30/2013 08:02 AM, John W. wrote:

On 12/29/2013 9:02 PM, Gary Dunn wrote:

> Since the fiber-optic installation along the nearest county road
> recently, I'm considering installing an IRLP node to allow the local
> redneck hams with these HT's a chance to hear the rest of the world, and
> communicate with it if they want to.

An Echolink node would be easier and cheaper.

Echolink is only Micro$oft-based, while IRLP is Linux-based, and also runs the echoIRLP software that integrates both modes. I'll choose Linux over M$ everytime.

38452. Echolink Was: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 18:02:34 UTC
From: Ray Sills
Topic: 38289 Message: 38452

There also is a port of EchoLink to Mac, called EchoMac. This port, not surprisingly, came from the Linux version. However, not all the EchoLink features are implemented. For example, you cannot create a conference. You can connect to a conference host, however, without any issues. So, obviously, there is a Linux version... actually it appears that there are two versions for Linux.

There are probably a few bugs in the Mac program, perhaps related to some OS upgrades. EchoMac will sometimes spontaneously quit. However, if the app is immediately relaunched, most times you pick up from right where you were.

Echolink can also be operated via Crossover.. (an implementation of WINE). Audio is better on EchoMac, however.

73 de Ray
K2ULR

38453. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 18:07:29 UTC
From: <greentrust@...>
Topic: 38289 Message: 38453

There is a Android version of Echolink as well. Would not be hard to port to linux if source code was available.

38454. Re: motorola p1125 and uv-5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 18:08:06 UTC
From: rcahill57
Topic: 38416 Message: 38454

Jim i tried both D754N AND D754I nothing, i then went thru both dcs and ctcss scan an got nothing? how long does it take to scan??

I have the motorola here I cant get any communication to either with either

like i said the motorola has a couple of channels w/ no DPL754 and I get comms back and forth,,,

Bob

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...> wrote:
>
> On Mon, Dec 30, 2013 at 12:13 AM, rcahill57 <rcahill57@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Jim followed your directions Im ok w frequencys with no DLP 754
> >
> > i noticed in R-DCS there are 2 choices D754I and D754N (difference??)
> > neither seem to work, is this were neither equate to Motorola DLP754??
> >
> It is DPL. PL is Private Line, which is a trademark name for CTCSS. DPL
> (digital PL) is the trademark name for DCS.
>
> D754N is the (n)ormal or standard code. D754I is (i)verted. I would expect
> that D754N is what you want. But you can scan the transmitted output of the
> Motorola radio with the UV-5R to find out for sure.
>
> What isn't working? You can't hear with the Motorola? You can't hear with
> the UV-5R?
>
> >
> > Can i program in DLP754 with CHIRP
> >
>
> Yes. But you wind up with the same that you would program manually.
>
> >
> > One of my new frequencys is 151.625 with TPL 114.8??
> >
>
> Same as before except substitute menu 11 for menu 10 and menu 13 for menu
> 12. I really recommend that you do your programming with menu 10 (R-DCS)
> and menu 11 (R-CTCS) set to OFF until you get it working. Having no receive
> tones/codes always works. You can then practice programming the receive
> tone or code on another channel to get familiar for how it works.

>
> >
> > Thanks Bob C
> >
> >
> Jim KC9HI
>

38455. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 18:12:01 UTC
From: Scott, KB3JQQ
Topic: 38289 Message: 38455

As I posted last night on the EchoLink group:

IOS or Droid, the default relay mode stinks. You can't
accept calls and sometimes you can't call out. With
a Droid, I've tried public proxies and not had much
luck. I could load JRE and try EchoProxy, but
Java is a pig and then I'm out an IP while the
proxy is running.The old port system of connections
is a real drag on EchoLink and a newer version
would be nice. I'm not holding my breath, though...

If you can't open ports 5198, 5199 and 5200 in your router, you should give up now.

From: "greentrust@..." <greentrust@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 1:07 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

There is a Android version of Echolink as well. Would not be hard to port to linux if
source code was available.

38456. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: motorola p1125 and uv-5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 18:28:02 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38416 Message: 38456

On Mon, Dec 30, 2013 at 1:08 PM, rcahill57 <rcahill57@...> wrote:

>
>
> Jim i tried both D754N AND D754I nothing, i then went thru both dcs and
> ctcss scan an got nothing? how long does it take to scan??
>
Seconds. You should see the DCS codes in the bottom line of the display as
they are tried one-by-one.

>
> I have the motorola here I cant get any communication to either with either
>
> like i said the motorola has a couple of channels w/ no DPL754 and I get
> comms back and forth,,,
>
> Bob
>
>
Bob,

Are you trying in frequency mode or channel mode? You do know that in
channel mode you can't just change menu 10 and expect it to be changed in
the channel. You must erase the channel and program it again to change the
menu 10 setting (and about 7 other menu settings).

If I were doing this, I would get it working in frequency mode. Then once
it works as expected, I would store it to an empty channel using menu 27.

Jim KC9HI

38457. Programming with CHIRP

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 18:39:09 UTC
From: <rdevans1957@...>
Topic: 38457 Message: 38457

I just picked up a Baofeng UV5R+ and here are my initial impressions:

The radio appears to be reasonably well made and sturdy.
The manual provided is pretty limited
I utilized the files section of this Group and downloaded updated English Manual - which was a great improvement
I down load CHIRP and the prolific USB drivers

I was able to easily clone the radio and save an .img file. I then uploaded the updated .img file with local repeater information with no problems. I am using an old desktop with Windows XP. CHIRP was pretty easy to use thanks to all the documentation online!

73

Roger

38458. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 17:48:38 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38289 Message: 38458

From: Gary Dunn

> On 12/30/2013 08:02 AM, John W. wrote:
>> On 12/29/2013 9:02 PM, Gary Dunn wrote:
>>> Since the fiber-optic installation along the nearest county road
>>> recently, I'm considering installing an IRLP node to allow the local
>>> redneck hams with these HT's a chance to hear the rest of the world, and
>>> communicate with it if they want to.
>>
>> An Echolink node would be easier and cheaper.
>
> Echolink is only Micro$oft-based, while IRLP is Linux-based, and also runs
> the echoIRLP software that integrates both modes. I'll choose Linux over
> M$ everytime.

CQiNet is a family of programs that combine Ham Radio with the Internet
using Voice over IP (VoIP) technology. Two programs are currently in the
family, "theBridge" and echoLinux.
Thebridge is a iLink/EchoLink compatable conference bridge that runs under
various versions of Unix as well as Windows.
EchoLinux is a EchoLink client program for the Linux operating system.
http://cqinet.sourceforge.net/

38460. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Echolink on Linux

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 19:05:34 UTC
From: Matthew Pitts
Topic: 38289 Message: 38460

Well, there are a number of tools that can be used on Linux for Echolink; SVXLink/QTel, theBridge/thelinkbox/echolinux and perhaps one other who's name escapes me at the moment. A friend of mine had (and is planning to rebuild it with another radio as soon as he gets one) an Echolink radio node set up on a Raspberry Pi running SVXLink, and I know others, including myself, that have configured thelinkbox to run on that hardware. EchoIRLP uses theBridge to handle the Echolink node data interface, and the radio side is actually passed to IRLP for transmission, but you loose the ability to connect to IRLP while Echolink is in use.

Matthew Pitts
N8OHU

From: Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 12:48 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

From: Gary Dunn

> On 12/30/2013 08:02 AM, John W. wrote:
>> On 12/29/2013 9:02 PM, Gary Dunn wrote:
>>> Since the fiber-optic installation along the nearest county road
>>> recently, I'm considering installing an IRLP node to allow the local
>>> redneck hams with these HT's a chance to hear the rest of the world, and
>>> communicate with it if they want to.
>>
>> An Echolink node would be easier and cheaper.
>
> Echolink is only Micro$oft-based, while IRLP is Linux-based, and also runs
> the echoIRLP software that integrates both modes. I'll choose Linux over
> M$ everytime.

CQiNet is a family of programs that combine Ham Radio with the Internet
using Voice over IP (VoIP) technology. Two programs are currently in the
family, "theBridge" and echoLinux.
Thebridge is a iLink/EchoLink compatable conference bridge that runs under
various versions of Unix as well as Windows.
EchoLinux is a EchoLink client program for the Linux operating system.
http://cqinet.sourceforge.net/

38461. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Re: Motorola p1125 and uv-5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 18:43:46 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38416 Message: 38461

```
-----Original Message-----
From: rcahill57
> Jim i tried both D754N AND D754I nothing,

If the Motorola is set up with a DPL of 754, one or the other of the codes
should have worked - if programmed properly

> i then went thru both dcs and ctcss scan an got nothing? how long does it
> take to scan??

It can take several minutes to run through all the codes -- especially the
DCS scan because there are a lot of possible codes

These radios can be a little touchy trying to get them to run the tone and
DCS scans - you need to follow the instructions very carefully -- and, of
course, the other radio needs to be transmitting the entire time you are
scanning for the code or tone.

> I have the motorola here I cant get any communication to either with
> either

If you have the right frequency, you can talk from the Motorola to the UV-5R
regardless of what tone or code is set in the Motorola just by setting the
UV-5R on the same frequency and setting Menu 10 and Menu 11 both to OFF.
If THAT does not work, then either you have the wrong frequency or one of
the radios is broken.

> like i said the motorola has a couple of channels w/ no DPL754 and I get
> comms back and forth,,,

That would certainly be expected -- and would indicate that both radios are
working -- which takes us back to what I just said: If you set the UV-5R
Menu 10 and Menu 11 both to OFF, you WILL hear the Motorola if you are on
the right frequency.
```

38462. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 19:55:49 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38411 Message: 38462

FYI We have seen a few oversized programming cables and bad Mic Kenwood 3.5 mm connector ends coming from China. Look very closely at them if the problem surfaced after a mic insert or programming run with something new. The oversized pin sometimes does 2 things.

1. Over stresses the mic jack which knocks the metal contacts out of alignment so they will not return to the mic closed position to place the int mic into circuit;
Replacement often needed as the jack plastic housing becomes distorted. You will notice a strange tension when this happened- more force than normal when inserting. Some just go out from multiple in/out over time.

2. Shoves the contact into the glue of the veneer jack seal sticking it open or causing the contact to be contaminated- Alcohol trimmed down on a high quality Q-tip (low quality will leave cotton inside the jack hole!) or sometimes a doubled-tripled up folded pipe cleaner. This the easy fix.

Everyone should check all their 3.5 mm connector pins as we are seeing some very poor quality ones either under or oversized.- especially from online discount mics and some of the latest programming cables that have both knock off Prolific chips and sizing problems. Pay attention to the shape of the ends too.
--- Another reason to buy the prolific or eq high quality programming cable cable.

KK6CRP

From: Dave Edwards
Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2013 11:47 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Karl....Not sure you saw my post on this subject, but this was exactly the issue mine had when I took it out of the box on XMAS.
Contacted Amazon on the 26th, and had a replacement on the 27th. Which now works fine.
The original....same thing..Works fine with external mic, but not the internal. I tried plugging a 1/8" mini plug back and forth, but it never even 'blipped' on for a second.
Stone dead! So...hope my new one does not do this also!
....Dave

On 12/29/13 1:27 PM, Karl Schwab wrote:

Well it happened to me again, internal mic not working and a "Q" tip and alcohol didn't fix the external mic/speaker jack this time, so, I guess I'll have to open the radio up! What are the steps, and maybe photos, on just how I can access the mic/speaker jack for a "looksee"? In the meantime, my speaker/mic works just fine. Thanks, Karl

38463. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 20:22:33 UTC
From: Dave Edwards
Topic: 38411 Message: 38463

Hello....Is the problem that they are greater than 3.5 mm?
I have two UV5R+ units that are bad in one week time.
I was on a chat session with Amazon, they pulled them from their supply.
(from Amazon...still available from other Amazon sources)
Perhaps my programming cable is incorrect?
It is the one I have used with my Kenwood TH-G7a for many years.
In fact, so old it is not USB, but still RS-232.
Might it still be the culprit?
...Dave
On 12/30/2013 2:55 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr wrote:
>
> FYI We have seen a few oversized programming cables and bad Mic
> Kenwood 3.5 mm connector ends coming from China. Look very closely at
> them if the problem surfaced after a mic insert or programming run
> with something new. The oversized pin sometimes does 2 things.
> 1. Over stresses the mic jack which knocks the metal contacts out of
> alignment so they will not return to the mic closed position to place
> the int mic into circuit;
> Replacement often needed as the jack plastic housing becomes
> distorted. You will notice a strange tension when this happened-
> more force than normal when inserting. Some just go out from
> multiple in/out over time.
> 2. Shoves the contact into the glue of the veneer jack seal sticking
> it open or causing the contact to be contaminated- Alcohol trimmed
> down on a high quality Q-tip (low quality will leave cotton inside the
> jack hole!) or sometimes a doubled-tripled up folded pipe cleaner.
> This the easy fix.
> Everyone should check all their 3.5 mm connector pins as we are seeing
> some very poor quality ones either under or oversized.- especially
> from online discount mics and some of the latest programming cables
> that have both knock off Prolific chips and sizing problems. Pay
> attention to the shape of the ends too.
> --- Another reason to buy the prolific or eq high quality programming
> cable cable.
> KK6CRP
> *From:* Dave Edwards <mailto:kd2e@...>
> *Sent:* Sunday, December 29, 2013 11:47 AM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again
>
> Karl....Not sure you saw my post on this subject, but this was exactly
> the issue mine had when I took it out of the box on XMAS.
> Contacted Amazon on the 26th, and had a replacement on the 27th. Which
> now works fine.
> The original....same thing..Works fine with external mic, but not the

> internal. I tried plugging a 1/8" mini plug back and forth, but it
> never even 'blipped' on for a second. Stone dead! So...hope my new one
> does not do this also!
> ....Dave
>
> On 12/29/13 1:27 PM, Karl Schwab wrote:
>> Well it happened to me again, internal mic not working and a "Q" tip
>> and alcohol didn't fix the external mic/speaker jack this time, so, I
>> guess I'll have to open the radio up! What are the steps, and maybe
>> photos, on just how I can access the mic/speaker jack for a
>> "looksee"? In the meantime, my speaker/mic works just fine. Thanks, Karl
>
>

38465. Re: motorola p1125 and uv-5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 23:36:16 UTC
From: rcahill57
Topic: 38416 Message: 38465

Jim Thanks Motorlas had wrong frequency info Were up and Running!!!!

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Jim Unroe <rock.unroe@...> wrote:
>
> On Mon, Dec 30, 2013 at 1:08 PM, rcahill57 <rcahill57@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Jim i tried both D754N AND D754I nothing, i then went thru both dcs and
> > ctcss scan an got nothing? how long does it take to scan??
> >
> Seconds. You should see the DCS codes in the bottom line of the display as
> they are tried one-by-one.
>
> >
> > I have the motorola here I cant get any communication to either with either
> >
> > like i said the motorola has a couple of channels w/ no DPL754 and I get
> > comms back and forth,,,
> >
> > Bob
> >
> >
> Bob,
>
> Are you trying in frequency mode or channel mode? You do know that in
> channel mode you can't just change menu 10 and expect it to be changed in
> the channel. You must erase the channel and program it again to change the
> menu 10 setting (and about 7 other menu settings).
>
> If I were doing this, I would get it working in frequency mode. Then once
> it works as expected, I would store it to an empty channel using menu 27.
>
> Jim KC9HI
>

38466. Re: Motorola p1125 and uv-5r

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 23:38:58 UTC
From: rcahill57
Topic: 38416 Message: 38466

After all this effort I was given wrong frequencys for the motorolas, were up and running thanks for your help

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, "Xaun Loc" <xaunloc@...> wrote:
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: rcahill57
> > Jim i tried both D754N AND D754I nothing,
>
> If the Motorola is set up with a DPL of 754, one or the other of the codes
> should have worked - if programmed properly
>
> > i then went thru both dcs and ctcss scan an got nothing? how long does it
> > take to scan??
>
> It can take several minutes to run through all the codes -- especially the
> DCS scan because there are a lot of possible codes
>
> These radios can be a little touchy trying to get them to run the tone and
> DCS scans - you need to follow the instructions very carefully -- and, of
> course, the other radio needs to be transmitting the entire time you are
> scanning for the code or tone.
>
> > I have the motorola here I cant get any communication to either with
> > either
>
> If you have the right frequency, you can talk from the Motorola to the UV-5R
> regardless of what tone or code is set in the Motorola just by setting the
> UV-5R on the same frequency and setting Menu 10 and Menu 11 both to OFF.
> If THAT does not work, then either you have the wrong frequency or one of
> the radios is broken.
>
> > like i said the motorola has a couple of channels w/ no DPL754 and I get
> > comms back and forth,,,
>
> That would certainly be expected -- and would indicate that both radios are
> working -- which takes us back to what I just said: If you set the UV-5R
> Menu 10 and Menu 11 both to OFF, you WILL hear the Motorola if you are on
> the right frequency.
>

38467. RE: Programming with CHIRP

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-30 23:45:27 UTC
From: <donsree@...>
Topic: 38457 Message: 38467

Just wanted to jump in here and share my impressions of this radio.
I feel like everyone else and feel it's a well made unit.
Feels solid as my Yaesu. In fact, it feels a little better made.
Programming a couple of repeaters, here in Utah, was fairy easy with the keyboard.
I also have a Wouxun and just for the sake of argument, I tried the programming cable I have
for the Wouxun and Chirp software. It recognized the radio with no problem.
Haven't tried to program memories yet but I', going to try downloading the Wouxun freqs onto the Baofeng and see what happens.
From the looks of the program, it looks the same for either one.

73 K7UTA donnie

38468. BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 00:02:08 UTC
From: Bob Holmes
Topic: 38468 Message: 38468

I am curious what BFB version you received on your new radio ordered from Amazon?
Thank you!

73
Bob ~ N7SGM

38469. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 00:29:40 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38411 Message: 38469

Hello,

We found the ends are differently shaped. The end taper on some of them would knock the jack pins contacts either out of alignment (it seems once bent or the plastic housing loosens –replacement is most likely needed)

Some ends come with a taper into a conic shape, others have different tapers and rims. The ones that seemed to be the worst were slightly oversized in the area where it pressed the contacts open, causing (most likely) for them to stick or deform. You can actually “feel” the difference when you insert these in and out compared to others. Someone before mentioned WD40, this is what I do. I lightly coat the program cable end with WD 40 to give slight lubrication so the ends will not grab the contacts throwing them off. Spray the end and wipe off giving a slight lube barrier to the ends.

Those contacts most totally electrically close to place the internal mic back in circuit to work.

If you have a buddy with mics or cables take a look at them and compare carefully. Often the failure is glue embedded on the contact ball points keeping them open. This problem sometimes gives a “scratchy” audio as the glue acts as a resistance allowing some diminished operation. You will need a magnifier and a good digital micrometer to check the ends.

If the jacks contorted or the plastic housing that holds them has loosened, they will need replacement. However, you will need to check all cable ends to insure what maybe caused this.

Most of the bad sized plugs were actually undersized and wouldn’t make proper contact but we did experience oversized ones too.

I have complained about this to various sources and have had relatives inquire about this design issue getting the correct people and using Chinese. This is when we found out that a few of the lower priced radios failed Q/C checks and were bought by sellers overseas that were selling them at almost dealer costs (before shipping, import taxes or sales tax) Bad part suppliers of faulty jacks were also grounds for rejects. I would suspect this means they had a time date of faulty production units that were known to have faulty or low quality jacks. Those batches that were made using those parts in those dates were then sold off to these same dealers selling them around $30 or lower.

This might be why you are getting them repeatedly. However, I would start with your cables first, getting one of Kurts “Three Crows general Stores” cables to compare with what you are currently using.

This mic defect is a serious one and we are told is being worked on. I have a few radios myself that I can only use ext mics with due to this jack problem and I do not feel like taking them apart to correct this issue at this time.

From: Dave Edwards
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 12:22 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Hello....Is the problem that they are greater than 3.5 mm?
I have two UV5R+ units that are bad in one week time.
I was on a chat session with Amazon, they pulled them from their supply. (from Amazon...still available from other Amazon sources)
Perhaps my programming cable is incorrect?
It is the one I have used with my Kenwood TH-G7a for many years.
In fact, so old it is not USB, but still RS-232.
Might it still be the culprit?
...Dave

On 12/30/2013 2:55 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr wrote:

FYI We have seen a few oversized programming cables and bad Mic Kenwood 3.5 mm connector ends coming from China. Look very closely at them if the problem surfaced after a mic insert or programming run with something new. The oversized pin sometimes does 2 things.

1. Over stresses the mic jack which knocks the metal contacts out of alignment so they will not return to the mic closed position to place the int mic into circuit;
Replacement often needed as the jack plastic housing becomes distorted. You will notice a strange tension when this happened- more force than normal when inserting. Some just go out from multiple in/out over time.

2. Shoves the contact into the glue of the veneer jack seal sticking it open or causing the contact to be contaminated- Alcohol trimmed down on a high quality Q-tip (low quality will leave cotton inside the jack hole!) or sometimes a doubled-tripled up folded pipe cleaner. This the easy fix.

Everyone should check all their 3.5 mm connector pins as we are seeing some very poor quality ones either under or oversized.- especially from online discount mics and some of the latest programming cables that have both knock off Prolific chips and sizing problems. Pay attention to the shape of the ends too.
--- Another reason to buy the prolific or eq high quality programming cable cable.

KK6CRP

From: Dave Edwards
Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2013 11:47 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Karl....Not sure you saw my post on this subject, but this was exactly the issue mine had when I took it out of the box on XMAS.
Contacted Amazon on the 26th, and had a replacement on the 27th. Which now works fine.
The original....same thing..Works fine with external mic, but not the internal. I tried plugging a 1/8" mini plug back and forth, but it never even 'blipped' on for a second.
Stone dead! So...hope my new one does not do this also!
....Dave

On 12/29/13 1:27 PM, Karl Schwab wrote:

Well it happened to me again, internal mic not working and a "Q" tip and alcohol didn't fix the external mic/speaker jack this time, so, I guess I'll have to open the radio up! What are the steps, and maybe photos, on just how I can access the mic/speaker jack for a "looksee"? In the meantime, my speaker/mic works just fine. Thanks, Karl

38470. RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 00:42:07 UTC
From: <kd4ibd@...>
Topic: 38468 Message: 38470

Mine was BFB297

73
Jon - KD4IBD

38471. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 01:03:39 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 38289 Message: 38471

sorry xaun, but you are wrong again.

On Sat, Dec 28, 2013 at 7:28 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

>
>
> Sorry Matt, but the other companies CANNOT “develop” D-STAR. They have
> to buy THE chip from the single source AND then they have to PAY ICOM to be
> able to use the name D-STAR which is a registered trademark owned by Icom.
>
> *From:* Matthew Pitts <daywalker_blade_2004@...>
> *Sent:* Saturday, December 28, 2013 18:45 PM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star
>
>
>
> Ray,
>
> What seems to be happening is that the various Amateur Radio equipment
> manufactures have developed a bad case of "not invented here" when it comes
> to D-STAR. Even though it's a Japanese developed technology, Kenwood and
> Yeasu have chosen not to invest the time in developing it. What few
> "Kenwood" D-STAR radios there are are actually just Icom models with a
> Kenwood logo. Yaesu chose to instead develop its own "open" protocol, that
> supposedly handles things better than D-STAR does, but in actuality has
> less useful functionality.
>
> Matthew Pitts
> N8OHU
>
>
>
>
>

38472. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 01:02:10 UTC
From: Emmett Gulley
Topic: 38289 Message: 38472

NO SIR! D-Star is NOT proprietary like you claim.

Icom does not own the IP to the AMBE or the format as some people like to claim.

On Sat, Dec 28, 2013 at 3:10 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:

>
>
> From: Gary Dunn
>
> > D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
> > I don't know where everyone gets this.
> > Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
> > proprietary.
>
> Actually D-STAR has ALWAYS been proprietary, just not quite exactly
> limited
> to Icom.
>
> D-STAR uses a patented, closed-source proprietary voice codec (AMBE). Hams
> do not have access to the detailed specification of this codec or the
> rights
> to implement it on their own without buying a licensed product. The
> protocol itself belongs to the JARL but since it cannot be licensed by
> individuals the only way to implement D-STAR is by buying hardware that
> implements the protocol. That hardware is still proprietary.
>
> Meanwhile no one other than Icom can sell a "D-STAR" radio because the
> name
> "D-STAR" is trademarked by Icom. So even if another company buys the chip
> and builds a radio capable of implementing the codec, they can't say that
> it
> does D-STAR without paying Icom to use that trademark!
>
>
>

38473. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 01:01:33 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38468 Message: 38473

Try powering on holding down 3. This will give you a date code of the radio. Most all will say BFB297 now when actually they might be 307 or BFBS311.

I am to believe no real Firmware changes have been made as BFB297 is the last stable update, the hidden Firmware messages are just the flash date info during manufacturing. Someone correct me if wrong.

From: kd4ibd@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 4:42 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Mine was BFB297

73
Jon - KD4IBD

38474. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 04:07:16 UTC
From: James Hall
Topic: 38289 Message: 38474

See my reply earlier in this thread. D-Star is a trademark of Icom. It uses an audio codec called AMBE that is proprietary. You can build D-Star compatible devices, but you can't encode or decode the audio, legally, without an AMBE chip. As long as you don't need to hear the traffic or be heard, you're perfectly able to build D-Star compatible equipment like a repeater or access point.

http://www.aprs-is.net/downloads/dstar/DSTARUncovered.pdf
D-STAR® is a registered trademark of the Icom Incorporated Corporation Japan

Lets review. D-Star is a trademark of Icom. You can't call what you make D-Star without Icom's authorization. The protocol is open, besides any additions Icom has made anyways. The AMBE speech compressor/decompressor (CODEC) is highly proprietary and only available as a black blob.

D-Star requires proprietary components to fully use.

On Mon, Dec 30, 2013 at 8:02 PM, Emmett Gulley <emmettgulley71@...>wrote:

>
>
> NO SIR! D-Star is NOT proprietary like you claim.
>
> Icom does not own the IP to the AMBE or the format as some people like to
> claim.
>
>
> On Sat, Dec 28, 2013 at 3:10 PM, Xaun Loc <xaunloc@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> From: Gary Dunn
>>
>> > D-Star is not proprietary and far as know never has been.
>> > I don't know where everyone gets this.
>> > Just because Icom is the only one that jumped on it doesn't make it
>> > proprietary.
>>
>> Actually D-STAR has ALWAYS been proprietary, just not quite exactly
>> limited
>> to Icom.
>>
>> D-STAR uses a patented, closed-source proprietary voice codec (AMBE).
>> Hams
>> do not have access to the detailed specification of this codec or the
>> rights

>> to implement it on their own without buying a licensed product. The
>> protocol itself belongs to the JARL but since it cannot be licensed by
>> individuals the only way to implement D-STAR is by buying hardware that
>> implements the protocol. That hardware is still proprietary.
>>
>> Meanwhile no one other than Icom can sell a "D-STAR" radio because the
>> name
>> "D-STAR" is trademarked by Icom. So even if another company buys the chip
>> and builds a radio capable of implementing the codec, they can't say that
>> it
>> does D-STAR without paying Icom to use that trademark!
>>
>>
>
>

38475. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 04:15:47 UTC
From: Dave Edwards
Topic: 38411 Message: 38475

Can I assume that the speaker/mic that came with the radio has a correct (safe) type of connector plug?
I used a digital micrometer on the speaker/mic connector, and my Kenwood one. They are both .125 or .124.
But yes....the cone shape at the very first taper is a slightly different taper.
I thought the same thing on the oil....a slight coat of oil would make it not stick or bind up the metal side pins inside the connector.
I looked inside the connector with a stereo microscope. The Kenwood has large tabs in the path of the connector. The Beofeng does not. I suspect it is now pushed to the side, and not springing back out again.
I ordered a third unit today. Had to order from another source, since Amazon pulled it off the shelf.
When the third arrives, I will look inside the connector again before I program it.....to see what it supposed to look like.
Hope I don't wreck a third!!
Thanks very much for your time and information!
.....Dave

On 12/30/2013 7:29 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr wrote:
>
> Hello,
> We found the ends are differently shaped. The end taper on some of
> them would knock the jack pins contacts either out of alignment (it
> seems once bent or the plastic housing loosens –replacement is most
> likely needed)
> Some ends come with a taper into a conic shape, others have different
> tapers and rims. The ones that seemed to be the worst were slightly
> oversized in the area where it pressed the contacts open, causing
> (most likely) for them to stick or deform. You can actually “feel”
> the difference when you insert these in and out compared to others.
> Someone before mentioned WD40, this is what I do. I lightly coat the
> program cable end with WD 40 to give slight lubrication so the ends
> will not grab the contacts throwing them off. Spray the end and wipe
> off giving a slight lube barrier to the ends.
> Those contacts most totally electrically close to place the internal
> mic back in circuit to work.
> If you have a buddy with mics or cables take a look at them and
> compare carefully. Often the failure is glue embedded on the contact
> ball points keeping them open. This problem sometimes gives a
> “scratchy” audio as the glue acts as a resistance allowing some
> diminished operation. You will need a magnifier and a good digital
> micrometer to check the ends.
> If the jacks contorted or the plastic housing that holds them has
> loosened, they will need replacement. However, you will need to check

> all cable ends to insure what maybe caused this.
> Most of the bad sized plugs were actually undersized and wouldn’t make
> proper contact but we did experience oversized ones too.
> I have complained about this to various sources and have had relatives
> inquire about this design issue getting the correct people and using
> Chinese. This is when we found out that a few of the lower priced
> radios failed Q/C checks and were bought by sellers overseas that were
> selling them at almost dealer costs (before shipping, import taxes or
> sales tax) Bad part suppliers of faulty jacks were also grounds for
> rejects. I would suspect this means they had a time date of faulty
> production units that were known to have faulty or low quality jacks.
> Those batches that were made using those parts in those dates were
> then sold off to these same dealers selling them around $30 or lower.
> This might be why you are getting them repeatedly. However, I would
> start with your cables first, getting one of Kurts “Three Crows
> general Stores” cables to compare with what you are currently using.
> This mic defect is a serious one and we are told is being worked on.
> I have a few radios myself that I can only use ext mics with due to
> this jack problem and I do not feel like taking them apart to correct
> this issue at this time.
> *From:* Dave Edwards <mailto:kd2e@...>
> *Sent:* Monday, December 30, 2013 12:22 PM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again
>
> Hello....Is the problem that they are greater than 3.5 mm?
> I have two UV5R+ units that are bad in one week time.
> I was on a chat session with Amazon, they pulled them from their
> supply. (from Amazon...still available from other Amazon sources)
> Perhaps my programming cable is incorrect?
> It is the one I have used with my Kenwood TH-G7a for many years.
> In fact, so old it is not USB, but still RS-232.
> Might it still be the culprit?
> ...Dave
>
> On 12/30/2013 2:55 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr wrote:
>> FYI We have seen a few oversized programming cables and bad Mic
>> Kenwood 3.5 mm connector ends coming from China. Look very closely
>> at them if the problem surfaced after a mic insert or programming run
>> with something new. The oversized pin sometimes does 2 things.
>> 1. Over stresses the mic jack which knocks the metal contacts out of
>> alignment so they will not return to the mic closed position to place
>> the int mic into circuit;
>> Replacement often needed as the jack plastic housing becomes
>> distorted. You will notice a strange tension when this happened- more
>> force than normal when inserting. Some just go out from multiple
>> in/out over time.
>> 2. Shoves the contact into the glue of the veneer jack seal sticking
>> it open or causing the contact to be contaminated- Alcohol trimmed
>> down on a high quality Q-tip (low quality will leave cotton inside

>> the jack hole!) or sometimes a doubled-tripled up folded pipe
>> cleaner. This the easy fix.
>> Everyone should check all their 3.5 mm connector pins as we are
>> seeing some very poor quality ones either under or oversized.-
>> especially from online discount mics and some of the latest
>> programming cables that have both knock off Prolific chips and sizing
>> problems. Pay attention to the shape of the ends too.
>> --- Another reason to buy the prolific or eq high quality
>> programming cable cable.
>> KK6CRP
>> *From:* Dave Edwards <mailto:kd2e@...>
>> *Sent:* Sunday, December 29, 2013 11:47 AM
>> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com <mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com>
>> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again
>>
>> Karl....Not sure you saw my post on this subject, but this was
>> exactly the issue mine had when I took it out of the box on XMAS.
>> Contacted Amazon on the 26th, and had a replacement on the 27th.
>> Which now works fine.
>> The original....same thing..Works fine with external mic, but not the
>> internal. I tried plugging a 1/8" mini plug back and forth, but it
>> never even 'blipped' on for a second. Stone dead! So...hope my new
>> one does not do this also!
>> ....Dave
>>
>> On 12/29/13 1:27 PM, Karl Schwab wrote:
>>> Well it happened to me again, internal mic not working and a "Q" tip
>>> and alcohol didn't fix the external mic/speaker jack this time, so,
>>> I guess I'll have to open the radio up! What are the steps, and
>>> maybe photos, on just how I can access the mic/speaker jack for a
>>> "looksee"? In the meantime, my speaker/mic works just fine. Thanks,
>>> Karl
>>
>
>

38476. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 04:34:26 UTC
From: Dave Edwards
Topic: 38468 Message: 38476

How do I check that from front panel?
I'll check them and let you know.
....Dave

On 12/30/2013 7:02 PM, Bob Holmes wrote:
> I am curious what BFB version you received on your new radio ordered
> from Amazon?
> Thank you!
>
> 73
> Bob ~ N7SGM
>

38477. RE: CHIRP HELLP

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 04:39:53 UTC
From: <k6row@...>
Topic: 38198 Message: 38477

I'm having trouble talking to my radio. I was able to program it using Chirp and the prolific usb cable. Suddenly I get a message when I try to program it again stating "Could not open port COM3: (Error 2) The system cannot find the file specified."

For some reason the prolific usb unit isn't working properly. When I log into it in the device manager it returns the messaage "This device cannot start (Code 10). I've done the obvious. I tried to reload the driver and got a message that the current driver is up to date. I've reinstalled CHIRP. Nothing seems to be working. I'm now thinking the prolifice usb device has failed. Any comments?
Thanks,
Jack

38478. RE: CHIRP HELLP

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 05:04:10 UTC
From: <k6row@...>
Topic: 38198 Message: 38478

For some reason my post didn't appear so I'm trying again.;

I got my radio and computer set up and was able to program the radio. I then made some changes to the CHIRP file and was trying to writing it to the radio. No joy. I got the following message from CHIRP " could not open COM3: (Error 2) The system cannot find the file specified."

I did the obvious. I checked the Prolific USB converter in the device manager and it reported "This device cannot start (Code 10)." I tried to reload the device driver, but it stated that it was up to date. I reloaded CHIRP. Still no joy. Any ideas? I'm thinking that the Prolific device has failed.

Jack

38479. RE: CHIRP HELLP

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 05:27:31 UTC
From: <k6row@...>
Topic: 38198 Message: 38479

Ooops,
I should have read the instructions that came with my sign on message, but silly me, I posted before reading the message. Me bad. Sorry. I followed the instructions and lo and behold things I now have joy.
Thanks,
Jack

38480. banks

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 05:32:23 UTC
From: <ae8p@...>
Topic: 38480 Message: 38480

Is it possible to create banks on this radio ? I can't find any information on this site.

Thanks

38481. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] banks

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 05:51:27 UTC
From: Steve Peterson
Topic: 38480 Message: 38481

No.
On Dec 30, 2013 11:32 PM, <ae8p@...> wrote:

>
>
> Is it possible to create banks on this radio ? I can't find any
> information on this site.
>
>
> Thanks
>
>
>
>

38482. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 11:47:54 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38121 Message: 38482

At 03:11 PM 27/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>Was going to say yes they do use Ham radio, as a member of ARES AND
>RACES we have done allot ham was used at Katrina when all other
>communication was wiped out, it was used after Hurricane Sandy till
>communications were re established and recently during this years
>devastating tornado outbreaks in Moore and El Rino Oklahoma, we as
>hams are the go to during emergency communications

That's frightening.

38483. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 11:56:49 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38121 Message: 38483

At 03:39 AM 28/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>You are missing the point and only reading the parts you want to.
>Radio repeaters are not a right...

The frequencies are shared.

> you should appreciate that you have any freqs left after the GMRS
> grabs... let alone repeaters shared with you by brats and barc. If
> you want more control... pay for and maintain a repeater of your
> own... then you can dictate what use it has... or join brats or
> barc and convince the club to put you in control.
>
>You fail to grasp the spirit and intent of ARS and have a grandiose
>sense of entitlement. I feel badly for you.

You fail to grasp the shared aspect of amateur radio.

38484. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 11:43:53 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38121 Message: 38484

At 12:44 PM 27/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>Sure they do; it's just that non-amateurs generally don't realize
>that the folks manning the radios in emergency shelters don't work
>for the organization in question.

They would be terrified if they knew the folks manning the radios
were incompetent.

>Matthew Pitts
>N8OHU

38485. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] banks

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 07:30:30 UTC
From: Craig Carnahan
Topic: 38480 Message: 38485

If you mean breaking up the 128 memories into smaller groups (say four groups of 32 memories each), then no, you can't. Of course if you consider a "bank" to be 128 memories, then yes, you can have 1 bank.

On Mon, Dec 30, 2013 at 10:51 PM, Steve Peterson <sjpete75@...> wrote:

>
>
> No.
> On Dec 30, 2013 11:32 PM, <ae8p@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Is it possible to create banks on this radio ? I can't find any
>> information on this site.
>>
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>>
>>
>

38487. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] banks

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 06:09:04 UTC
From: Gary Dunn
Topic: 38480 Message: 38487

On 12/30/2013 09:32 PM, ae8p@... wrote:

Is it possible to create banks on this radio ? I can't find any information on this site.

I create multiple *.img files for the various places I travel. The http://trac.chirp.danplanet.com/chirp_daily/LATEST/ software on a netbook makes it easy to upload the proper file for wherever I happen to be.

38488. Baofeng UV-6 Programming

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 12:59:45 UTC
From: W4GRJ
Topic: 38488 Message: 38488

Has anyone been able to program a UV-6?
Not supported by Chirp but it does have dedicated Baofeng software.

I have both the UV-5r and UV-82 which program with no issues.

Thanks,
Jack
W4GRJ

38489. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 13:08:10 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38411 Message: 38489

If you bought this cheap from Amazon/EBay get a return and buy it from a reliable source instead like threeoldcrows. The attempt to save a few bucks like likely to get you a reject and a lot of frustration.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Edwards
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 10:16 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Can I assume that the speaker/mic that came with the radio has a correct (safe) type of connector plug?
I used a digital micrometer on the speaker/mic connector, and my Kenwood one. They are both .125 or .124.
But yes....the cone shape at the very first taper is a slightly different taper.
I thought the same thing on the oil....a slight coat of oil would make it not stick or bind up the metal side pins inside the connector.
I looked inside the connector with a stereo microscope. The Kenwood has large tabs in the path of the connector. The Beofeng does not. I suspect it
is now pushed to the side, and not springing back out again.
I ordered a third unit today. Had to order from another source, since Amazon pulled it off the shelf.
When the third arrives, I will look inside the connector again before I program it.....to see what it supposed to look like.
Hope I don't wreck a third!!
Thanks very much for your time and information!
.....Dave

On 12/30/2013 7:29 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr wrote:

Hello,

We found the ends are differently shaped. The end taper on some of them would knock the jack pins contacts either out of alignment (it seems once bent or the plastic housing loosens –replacement is most likely needed)

Some ends come with a taper into a conic shape, others have different tapers and rims. The ones that seemed to be the worst were slightly oversized in the area where it pressed the contacts open, causing (most likely) for them to stick or deform. You can actually “feel” the difference when you insert these in and out compared to others. Someone before mentioned WD40, this is what I do. I lightly coat the program cable end with WD 40 to give slight lubrication so the ends will not grab the contacts throwing them off. Spray the end and wipe off giving a slight lube barrier to the ends.

Those contacts most totally electrically close to place the internal mic back in circuit to work.

If you have a buddy with mics or cables take a look at them and compare carefully. Often the failure is glue embedded on the contact ball points keeping them open. This problem sometimes gives a “scratchy” audio as the glue acts as a resistance allowing some diminished operation. You will need a magnifier and a good digital micrometer to check the ends.

If the jacks contorted or the plastic housing that holds them has loosened, they will need replacement. However, you will need to check all cable ends to insure what maybe caused this.

Most of the bad sized plugs were actually undersized and wouldn’t make proper contact but we did experience oversized ones too.

I have complained about this to various sources and have had relatives inquire about this design issue getting the correct people and using Chinese. This is when we found out that a few of the lower priced radios failed Q/C checks and were bought by sellers overseas that were selling them at almost dealer costs (before shipping, import taxes or sales tax) Bad part suppliers of faulty jacks were also grounds for rejects. I would suspect this means they had a time date of faulty production units that were known to have faulty or low quality jacks. Those batches that were made using those parts in those dates were then sold off to these same dealers selling them around $30 or lower.

This might be why you are getting them repeatedly. However, I would start with your cables first, getting one of Kurts “Three Crows general Stores” cables to compare with what you are currently using.

This mic defect is a serious one and we are told is being worked on. I have a few radios myself that I can only use ext mics with due to this jack problem and I do not feel like taking them apart to correct this issue at this time.

From: Dave Edwards <mailto:kd2e@comcast.net>

Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 12:22 PM

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Hello....Is the problem that they are greater than 3.5 mm?
I have two UV5R+ units that are bad in one week time.
I was on a chat session with Amazon, they pulled them from their supply. (from Amazon...still available from other Amazon sources)
Perhaps my programming cable is incorrect?
It is the one I have used with my Kenwood TH-G7a for many years.
In fact, so old it is not USB, but still RS-232.
Might it still be the culprit?
...Dave

On 12/30/2013 2:55 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr wrote:

FYI We have seen a few oversized programming cables and bad Mic Kenwood 3.5 mm connector ends coming from China. Look very closely at them if the problem surfaced after a mic insert or programming run with something new. The oversized pin sometimes does 2 things.

1. Over stresses the mic jack which knocks the metal contacts out of alignment so they will not return to the mic closed position to place the int mic into circuit;

Replacement often needed as the jack plastic housing becomes distorted. You will notice a strange tension when this happened- more force than normal when inserting. Some just go out from multiple in/out over time.

2. Shoves the contact into the glue of the veneer jack seal sticking it open or causing the contact to be contaminated- Alcohol trimmed down on a high quality Q-tip (low quality will leave cotton inside the jack hole!) or sometimes a doubled-tripled up folded pipe cleaner. This the easy fix.

Everyone should check all their 3.5 mm connector pins as we are seeing some very poor quality ones either under or oversized.- especially from online discount mics and some of the latest programming cables that have both knock off Prolific chips and sizing problems. Pay attention to the shape of the ends too.

--- Another reason to buy the prolific or eq high quality programming cable cable.

KK6CRP

From: Dave Edwards <mailto:kd2e@...>

Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2013 11:47 AM

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Karl....Not sure you saw my post on this subject, but this was exactly the issue mine had when I took it out of the box on XMAS.
Contacted Amazon on the 26th, and had a replacement on the 27th. Which now works fine.
The original....same thing..Works fine with external mic, but not the internal. I tried plugging a 1/8" mini plug back and forth, but it never even 'blipped' on for a second.
Stone dead! So...hope my new one does not do this also!
....Dave

On 12/29/13 1:27 PM, Karl Schwab wrote:

Well it happened to me again, internal mic not working and a "Q" tip and alcohol didn't fix the external mic/speaker jack this time, so, I guess I'll have to open the radio up! What are the steps, and maybe photos, on just how I can access the mic/speaker jack for a "looksee"? In the meantime, my speaker/mic works just fine. Thanks, Karl

38490. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 11:49:54 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38121 Message: 38490

At 10:47 PM 27/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>The 3 hospitals in our county are connected to the EOC (That's
>Emergancy Operations Center or Centre if you prefer) by amateur
>radio. In addition our state EOC (see previous definition) runs a
>statewide weekly net to make sure everything is running right

Only until the FCC find out and you are fined for improper use of
amateur radio.
Commercial use is not permitted.

38491. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-28 11:53:31 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38121 Message: 38491

At 02:53 AM 28/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>You talk as if repeater access is a constitutional right... the
>repeaters are provided by local clubs and hams at their own personal
>expense and shared with you as a courtesy.

Not so. The amateur frequencies are shared by regulation.

>Rather than complaining that they are not shared every moment, why
>not be thankful that people let you use their stuff? Otherwise...
>put up your own personal repeater. We didn't always have this many
>repeaters... I can hit 25 with my baofeng with the stock antenna
>from in my basement... back in the 80's I could only hit 676

That's a lot of repeaters. What happened to the 676 you could hit in 1980?

38492. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] banks

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 05:44:13 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38480 Message: 38492

From: ae8p@...

> Is it possible to create banks on this radio ? I can't find any
> information on this site.

Well I suppose you could open up the radio, remove all the electronics, and cut a coin-slot into the case to create a bank on this radio.

Or you could go to the group website and {Search Conversations} for the keyword banks to discover dozens of previous messages answering your question

Or you could go to the Miklor website (the link is posted at the bottom of every message here) and read the FAQ (especially Question #33, where the answer is
"No. There is only a single bank of 128 channels (0-127)"

38493. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 07:33:07 UTC
From: Barry OGrady
Topic: 38289 Message: 38493

At 03:07 PM 31/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>See my reply earlier in this thread. D-Star is a
>trademark of Icom. It uses an audio codec called
>AMBE that is proprietary. You can build D-Star
>compatible devices, but you can't encode or
>decode the audio, legally, without an AMBE chip.
>As long as you don't need to hear the traffic or
>be heard, you're perfectly able to build D-Star
>compatible equipment like a repeater or access point.
>
><http://www.aprs-is.net/downloads/dstar/DSTARUncovered.pdf>http://www.aprs-is.net/downloa
ds/dstar/DSTARUncovered.pdf
>D-STAR® is a registered trademark of the Icom Incorporated Corporation Japan
>
>Lets review. D-Star is a trademark of Icom. You
>can't call what you make D-Star without Icom's
>authorization. The protocol is open, besides any
>additions Icom has made anyways. The AMBE speech
>compressor/decompressor (CODEC) is highly
>proprietary and only available as a black blob.
>
>D-Star requires proprietary components to fully use.

What is the advantage of using D-Star over FM?

38494. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 14:16:55 UTC
From: <tenorman1952@...>
Topic: 38289 Message: 38494

---In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <mrhat2005@exemail.com.au> wrote:

What is the advantage of using D-Star over FM?

Barry, the obvious advantage is not having to listen to hams with those icky Yaesu, Kenwood, and Alinco radios.

Regardless of whether it is proprietary (the term D-Star is, the codec isn't) or not, I don't see other manufacturers coming out with radios that will work with D-Star any more than I see Icoms with buttons for WIRES. If they were going to do it, they would have done it by now. So the whole discussion about whether the others should or shouldn't be making these radios is moot. They haven't, and I'm sure they all have a reason. I don't think they are digital Luddites, they aren't stupid. I am sure they have very good reasons for not going down this path.

So Icom owns it, in practice if not in fact.

Paul - AE5JU

38495. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 14:22:58 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38289 Message: 38495

D-Star is FM..but I guess you mean "regular FM".

D-Star allows long-haul comm via repeaters and the internet like some other protocols do.

My hesitation with D-Star is during an emergency it's quite possible to lose the internet so your radios would just become regular FM again..at least they can also operate in that mode. Plus I don't see any EMS agencies adopting it. Especially here in Illinois where Motorola "convinced" the state to buy their system.

D-Star is similar to Motorola's protocol but they have cell towers and hard lines..still quite subject to being destroyed..and impossible to set up your own repeater without really big bucks.

Our EMS got called out when Washington IL got hit by a tornado recently and we just used our portable repeater helping with damage assessment. No need for any long haul comm. I can see the need for the disaster control center to have it.but not all the worker bees.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Barry OGrady
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 1:33 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

At 03:07 PM 31/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>See my reply earlier in this thread. D-Star is a
>trademark of Icom. It uses an audio codec called
>AMBE that is proprietary. You can build D-Star
>compatible devices, but you can't encode or
>decode the audio, legally, without an AMBE chip.
>As long as you don't need to hear the traffic or
>be heard, you're perfectly able to build D-Star
>compatible equipment like a repeater or access point.
>
><http://www.aprs-is.net/downloads/dstar/DSTARUncovered.pdf>http://www.aprs-is.net/downloads/dstar/DSTARUncovered.pdf
>D-STARR is a registered trademark of the Icom Incorporated Corporation Japan
>
>Lets review. D-Star is a trademark of Icom. You
>can't call what you make D-Star without Icom's

>authorization. The protocol is open, besides any
>additions Icom has made anyways. The AMBE speech
>compressor/decompressor (CODEC) is highly
>proprietary and only available as a black blob.
>
>D-Star requires proprietary components to fully use.

What is the advantage of using D-Star over FM?

38496. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 14:25:09 UTC
From: Jardy Dawson
Topic: 38121 Message: 38496

You have no idea what you are talking about. Just because you are incompetent, does not mean anyone else is. Our hospitals use trained volunteers, not employees, even if they are employees, the FCC makes allowances.

Jardy Dawson
WA7JRD Ham Radio

Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.

> On Dec 28, 2013, at 3:49, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@exemail.com.au> wrote:
>
> At 10:47 PM 27/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >The 3 hospitals in our county are connected to the EOC (That's
> >Emergancy Operations Center or Centre if you prefer) by amateur
> >radio. In addition our state EOC (see previous definition) runs a
> >statewide weekly net to make sure everything is running right
>
> Only until the FCC find out and you are fined for improper use of
> amateur radio.
> Commercial use is not permitted.
>
>

38497. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 15:03:09 UTC
From: Donnie Ree
Topic: 38468 Message: 38497

If it is any help, I got one for Christmas and the version on mine is BFB 297 .
Hope this helps.

73 K7UTA donnie

To: baofeng_uv5r@...m
From: doopsnoop@...
Date: Mon, 30 Dec 2013 17:01:33 -0800
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Try powering on holding down 3. This will give you a date code of the
radio. Most all will say BFB297 now when actually they might be 307 or
BFBS311.

I am to believe no real Firmware changes have been made as BFB297 is the
last stable update, the hidden Firmware messages are just the flash date info
during manufacturing. Someone correct me if wrong.

From: kd4ibd@...
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 4:42 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Mine was BFB297

73
Jon - KD4IBD

38498. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 15:13:27 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38468 Message: 38498

The reported firmware version is the compatibility indicator..ergo BFB297 same as the newer UV-5R's so they are compatible with programming software for BFB297. Any other changes do not affect that API. If they ever break that API (by adding or removing functionality to the API) they'll have to report a new version there.

I have BFBS311 on my GT-3 and BFB307 on my UV-5R.

You can't find out the "real" version without programming software.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Donnie Ree
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 9:03 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

If it is any help, I got one for Christmas and the version on mine is BFB 297 .
Hope this helps.

73 K7UTA donnie

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
From: doopsnoop@...
Date: Mon, 30 Dec 2013 17:01:33 -0800
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Try powering on holding down 3. This will give you a date code of the radio. Most all will say BFB297 now when actually they might be 307 or BFBS311.

I am to believe no real Firmware changes have been made as BFB297 is the last stable update, the hidden Firmware messages are just the flash date info during manufacturing. Someone correct me if wrong.

From: kd4ibd@...

Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 4:42 PM

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Mine was BFB297

73
Jon - KD4IBD

38499. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 15:38:14 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38468 Message: 38499

On Tue, Dec 31, 2013 at 10:13 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

>
>
> The reported firmware version is the compatibility indicator….ergo BFB297
> same as the newer UV-5R’s so they are compatible with programming software
> for BFB297. Any other changes do not affect that API. If they ever break
> that API (by adding or removing functionality to the API) they’ll have to
> report a new version there.
>
>
>
> I have BFBS311 on my GT-3 and BFB307 on my UV-5R.
>
>
>
> You can’t find out the “real” version without programming software.
>
>
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
Mike,

Does the GT-3 truly have BFBS311 firmware or did you mean BFS311 like in
the latest UV-5R models?

Jim KC9HI

38500. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 15:40:43 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38468 Message: 38500

Oops.typo..BFS311

Good thing you called BS J

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 9:38 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

On Tue, Dec 31, 2013 at 10:13 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

The reported firmware version is the compatibility indicator..ergo BFB297 same as the newer UV-5R's so they are compatible with programming software for BFB297. Any other changes do not affect that API. If they ever break that API (by adding or removing functionality to the API) they'll have to report a new version there.

I have BFBS311 on my GT-3 and BFB307 on my UV-5R.

You can't find out the "real" version without programming software.

Mike W9MDB

Mike,

Does the GT-3 truly have BFBS311 firmware or did you mean BFS311 like in the latest UV-5R models?

Jim KC9HI

38501. Re: Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 15:43:19 UTC
From: radmanron
Topic: 38121 Message: 38501

The 676 he's referring to is 146.760- MHz
Ron

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...> wrote:
>
> At 02:53 AM 28/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >You talk as if repeater access is a constitutional right... the
> >repeaters are provided by local clubs and hams at their own personal
> >expense and shared with you as a courtesy.
>
> Not so. The amateur frequencies are shared by regulation.
>
> >Rather than complaining that they are not shared every moment, why
> >not be thankful that people let you use their stuff? Otherwise...
> >put up your own personal repeater. We didn't always have this many
> >repeaters... I can hit 25 with my baofeng with the stock antenna
> >from in my basement... back in the 80's I could only hit 676
>
> That's a lot of repeaters. What happened to the 676 you could hit in 1980?
>

38502. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 15:51:01 UTC
From: Greg Ames
Topic: 38121 Message: 38502

Ignore him; he's just a troll who is utterly unqualified to comment on FCC rules and regs, (he is Australian and does not hold any FCC licenses).

On Tue, Dec 31, 2013 at 9:25 AM, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...> wrote:

>
>
> You have no idea what you are talking about. Just because you are
> incompetent, does not mean anyone else is. Our hospitals use trained
> volunteers, not employees, even if they are employees, the FCC makes
> allowances.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
> On Dec 28, 2013, at 3:49, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> At 10:47 PM 27/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >The 3 hospitals in our county are connected to the EOC (That's
> >Emergancy Operations Center or Centre if you prefer) by amateur
> >radio. In addition our state EOC (see previous definition) runs a
> >statewide weekly net to make sure everything is running right
>
> Only until the FCC find out and you are fined for improper use of
> amateur radio.
> Commercial use is not permitted.
>
>
>

38503. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 16:13:03 UTC
From: John Payne
Topic: 38121 Message: 38503

don't feed the trolls!

On 12/31/2013 10:51 AM, Greg Ames wrote:
> Ignore him; he's just a troll who is utterly unqualified to comment on
> FCC rules and regs, (he is Australian and does not hold any FCC licenses).
>
>
> On Tue, Dec 31, 2013 at 9:25 AM, Jardy Dawson <JARDY72@...
> <mailto:JARDY72@...>> wrote:
>
> You have no idea what you are talking about. Just because you are
> incompetent, does not mean anyone else is. Our hospitals use
> trained volunteers, not employees, even if they are employees, the
> FCC makes allowances.
>
> Jardy Dawson
> WA7JRD Ham Radio
>
> Sent using Magic Decoder Ring found in Cracker Jacks box 25 years ago.
>
> On Dec 28, 2013, at 3:49, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...
> <mailto:mrhat2005@...>> wrote:
>
>> At 10:47 PM 27/12/2013, you wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >The 3 hospitals in our county are connected to the EOC (That's
>> >Emergancy Operations Center or Centre if you prefer) by amateur
>> >radio. In addition our state EOC (see previous definition) runs a
>> >statewide weekly net to make sure everything is running right
>>
>> Only until the FCC find out and you are fined for improper use of
>> amateur radio.
>> Commercial use is not permitted.
>>
>
>

38504. Rudeness

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 16:20:49 UTC
From: <kk4vlw@...>
Topic: 38504 Message: 38504

Ham Radio Operators have in times past had a reputation of friendliness, corporation and politeness. I'm new to the hobby and just can't understand why some people MUST show their behind in responses to the questions and problems some folks put forth to be answered.

I understand that there are differences of opinion as well as some folks are incorrect in their terminology in both application and FCC law. Rudeness and name calling is just not needed in any situations that come up on this Board.

If you have the answer or a suggestion simply post it. If the question has already been answered point them to the answer without further comment.

Calling people names, putting them down, making fun of them simply shows who and what you are. Instead of tearing people down to your level is not the solution to anything. Try lifting yourself out of the pigsty and be a humane person.

I plead with the Moderator of this group to start removing participants that cannot carry on a conversation without the Negative Attitude... I know the internet and the ability to remain anonymous makes some feel they can be a keyboard bully but your Bullying will cause you more harm than those you bully.....

Just my thoughts.

38505. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Rudeness

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 16:24:05 UTC
From: Frank Ip
Topic: 38504 Message: 38505

Like!

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 31, 2013, at 8:20 AM, <kk4vlw@charter.net> wrote:
>
> Ham Radio Operators have in times past had a reputation of friendliness, corporation and politeness. I'm new to the hobby and just can't understand why some people MUST show their behind in responses to the questions and problems some folks put forth to be answered.
>
>
> I understand that there are differences of opinion as well as some folks are incorrect in their terminology in both application and FCC law. Rudeness and name calling is just not needed in any situations that come up on this Board.
>
> If you have the answer or a suggestion simply post it. If the question has already been answered point them to the answer without further comment.
>
> Calling people names, putting them down, making fun of them simply shows who and what you are. Instead of tearing people down to your level is not the solution to anything. Try lifting yourself out of the pigsty and be a humane person.
>
> I plead with the Moderator of this group to start removing participants that cannot carry on a conversation without the Negative Attitude... I know the internet and the ability to remain anonymous makes some feel they can be a keyboard bully but your Bullying will cause you more harm than those you bully.....
>
> Just my thoughts.
>

38506. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 16:31:05 UTC
From: Donnie Ree
Topic: 38468 Message: 38506

Which software program would be used to update the radio?
Thanks

73k7uta donnie

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
From: mdblack98@...
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2013 09:40:43 -0600
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Oops…typo….BFS311
Good thing you called BS J
Mike W9MDB From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 9:38 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version On Tue, Dec 31, 2013 at 10:13 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote: The reported firmware version is the compatibility indicator….ergo BFB297 same as the newer UV-5R's so they are compatible with programming software for BFB297. Any other changes do not affect that API. If they ever break that API (by adding or removing functionality to the API) they'll have to report a new version there. I have BFBS311 on my GT-3 and BFB307 on my UV-5R. You can't find out the "real" version without programming software. Mike W9MDB Mike,

Does the GT-3 truly have BFBS311 firmware or did you mean BFS311 like in the latest UV-5R models?

Jim KC9HI

38507. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 16:34:53 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38468 Message: 38507

If you mean update the firmware you can't do that.

If you mean set up channels and other settings on the radio most of us use CHIRP me thinkst.

http://trac.chirp.danplanet.com/chirp_daily/LATEST/

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Donnie Ree
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 10:31 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Which software program would be used to update the radio?
Thanks

73k7uta donnie

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
From: mdblack98@...
Date: Tue, 31 Dec 2013 09:40:43 -0600
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Oops.typo..BFS311

Good thing you called BS J

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Unroe
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 9:38 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

On Tue, Dec 31, 2013 at 10:13 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

The reported firmware version is the compatibility indicator..ergo BFB297 same as the newer UV-5R's so they are compatible with programming software for BFB297. Any other changes do not affect that API. If they ever break that API (by adding or removing functionality to the API) they'll have to report a new version there.

I have BFBS311 on my GT-3 and BFB307 on my UV-5R.

You can't find out the "real" version without programming software.

Mike W9MDB

Mike,

Does the GT-3 truly have BFBS311 firmware or did you mean BFS311 like in the latest UV-5R models?

Jim KC9HI

38508. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Rudeness

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 16:48:20 UTC
From: David Shrum
Topic: 38504 Message: 38508

I have to agree with you completely Kennedy, I joined this group just a short while ago in hopes of learning something about this little radio, which I did , BUT I had to wade through a lot of trash, by that I mean all the name calling and just downright rudeness. For those of the posters that have been part of that, that do live in the USA, I wonder if you have ever wondered why there are so many people outside of the US that think we as a nation are a bunch of arrogant, rude, self righteous people? You need to look in the mirror the next time and see yourself.

To the group owner, I volunteer to be a moderator, I won’t be afraid to put people on a moderated status or kick them from the board, I already am a moderator of several groups which as a whole don’t get ‘out of hand’, if they do they are moderated, which means one of the moderators will have to approve their post until they re-learn how to post. I would also suggest closing this group so that any new members need to be approved before they can join, will that mean a little more work for the moderators? Yes but it will help some.

Dave N8PU

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of kk4vlw@...
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 11:21 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Rudeness

Ham Radio Operators have in times past had a reputation of friendliness, corporation and politeness. I'm new to the hobby and just can't understand why some people MUST show their behind in responses to the questions and problems some folks put forth to be answered.

I understand that there are differences of opinion as well as some folks are incorrect in their terminology in both application and FCC law. Rudeness and name calling is just not needed in any situations that come up on this Board.

If you have the answer or a suggestion simply post it. If the question has already been answered point them to the answer without further comment.

Calling people names, putting them down, making fun of them simply shows who and what you are. Instead of tearing people down to your level is not the solution to anything. Try lifting yourself out of the pigsty and be a humane person.

I plead with the Moderator of this group to start removing participants that cannot carry on a conversation without the Negative Attitude... I know the internet and the ability to remain anonymous makes some feel they can be a keyboard bully but your Bullying will cause you more harm than those you bully.....

Just my thoughts.

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2013.0.3462 / Virus Database: 3658/6962 - Release Date: 12/30/13

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2013.0.3462 / Virus Database: 3658/6962 - Release Date: 12/30/13

38509. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Rudeness

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 16:48:06 UTC
From: Matthew Pitts
Topic: 38504 Message: 38509

I agree, and the sad thing is many of the questions that are asked have been asked often enough that the group has created a list of Frequently Asked Question (aka F.A.Q.) containing the answers. There is also a list, on the Yahoo Group About page, of things that should NOT be discussed, which seems to not be heeded.

Matthew Pitts
N8OHU

From: "kk4vlw@..." <kk4vlw@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent:
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] Rudeness

Ham Radio Operators have in times past had a reputation of friendliness, corporation and politeness. I'm new to the hobby and just can't understand why some people MUST show their behind in responses to the questions and problems some folks put forth to be answered.

I understand that there are differences of opinion as well as some folks are incorrect in their terminology in both application and FCC law. Rudeness and name calling is just not needed in any situations that come up on this Board.

If you have the answer or a suggestion simply post it. If the question has already been answered point them to the answer without further comment.

Calling people names, putting them down, making fun of them simply shows who and what you are. Instead of tearing people down to your level is not the solution to anything. Try lifting yourself out of the pigsty and be a humane person.

I plead with the Moderator of this group to start removing participants that cannot carry on a conversation without the Negative Attitude... I know the internet and the ability to remain anonymous makes some feel they can be a keyboard bully but your Bullying will cause you more harm than those you bully.....

Just my thoughts.

38510. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 17:09:10 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38468 Message: 38510

On Tue, Dec 31, 2013 at 11:31 AM, Donnie Ree <donsree@...> wrote:

>
>
> Which software program would be used to update the radio?
> Thanks
>
>
>
>
> 73k7uta donnie
>

Donnie,

If you are talking about updating the firmware, there is none. The firmware is not upgradeable.

But for programming the channels and settings you have these options...

Baofeng CPS and VIP
CHIRP (this reports the [3]+Power-on firmware version & the hidden firmware version)
Baoclone
RT Systems

Both CHIRP and Baoclone will run on Windows, Linux and Mac OS X. The others are Windows only.

Jim KC9HI

38512. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Rudeness

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 17:40:18 UTC
From: <w5uy67@...>
Topic: 38504 Message: 38512

Dave
I usually try and not say anything unless things are getting out of hand---I believe things might have reached that point! I have been a ham since 1959 and operated almost all modes, frequencies, etc. There never has been a time that I have not been PROUD of my fellow hams and the work that they do----I have no solution to the condition the group has deteriorated into, but something needs to be done---if good members are to remain.I have been amazed to the amount of information provided by group members and to the amount of time some have dedicated to the group and to creating material for all members. Someone (perhaps moderators, etc) need to take control of the group----remember, if nothing changes, nothing changes.

Very Old Timer, Bill, W5UY
Las Cruces, NM

38513. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Rudeness

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 17:48:07 UTC
From: John W.
Topic: 38504 Message: 38513

Bear in mind, a LOT of the rudeness comes from non-hams.

From: "w5uy67@..." <w5uy67@...>
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 12:40 PM
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Rudeness

Dave
I usually try and not say anything unless things are getting out of hand---I believe things might have reached that point! I have been a ham since 1959 and operated almost all modes, frequencies, etc. There never has been a time that I have not been PROUD of my fellow hams and the work that they do----I have no solution to the condition the group has deteriorated into, but something needs to be done---if good members are to remain.I have been amazed to the amount of information provided by group members and to the amount of time some have dedicated to the group and to creating material for all members. Someone (perhaps moderators, etc) need to take control of the group----remember, if nothing changes, nothing changes.

Very Old Timer, Bill, W5UY
Las Cruces, NM

38514. Re: Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 17:53:04 UTC
From: washephe@yahoo.com
Topic: 38121 Message: 38514

Our state NC also had an amateur radio linked repeater system across the state for the hospitals...we can also link into VA and SC with this linked system ... look at NCHEARS network

KJ4ZEO
Sent from my LG phone

38515. RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 18:26:45 UTC
From: <thehamguy1@...>
Topic: 38468 Message: 38515

Bob: As others have pointed out, the version I get with software is different than the version it shows me when I hold the 3 key during power-on. With software it shows BFB311; from power-on it says its version BFB297.

I've been pleased with the new toy so far, received it Dec. 19th from Amazon at a cost of $30. The one I ordered for my son is also BFB311. It was ordered and received at the same time.

Lee, WU0V

38516. RE: Rudeness

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 18:30:15 UTC
From: <thehamguy1@...>
Topic: 38504 Message: 38516

Glad you brought this up. I've been a ham since the late 50s and have always told people that hams are unfailingly polite even to people they don't like (at least on the air). I just joined this group two weeks ago but was about to drop out of it because of the tone of some postings and replies. The number of folks who are also unhappy with this behavior encourages me to stay with the group.

Lee, WU0V

38517. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Rudeness

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 18:33:38 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38504 Message: 38517

I would vote that we simply ban anybody who is overtly rude or insulting.

That would be about 5 people I think.

Maybe give them one warning and that’s it.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of thehamguy1@...
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 12:30 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Rudeness

Glad you brought this up. I've been a ham since the late 50s and have always told people that hams are unfailingly polite even to people they don't like (at least on the air). I just joined this group two weeks ago but was about to drop out of it because of the tone of some postings and replies. The number of folks who are also unhappy with this behavior encourages me to stay with the group.

Lee, WU0V

38518. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 18:40:11 UTC
From: Robert Copelan
Topic: 38289 Message: 38518

D-Star repeaters in stand alone mode work just as well as analog (what you called regular FM) repeaters when not interconnected. It is true that in a disaster such as Washington IL the analog repeaters are better because at this point in time more people will have analog devices (HTs, mobiles) with which to connect.

It isn't true that a D-Star repeater is more expensive. In fact with the G4KLK (and other) software (free) along with a Raspberry PI (35 USD) and a GMSK board (approx 100 USD) all working as a controller you can have a very good D-Star repeater controller that drives the same transmitter/receiver stack as an analog repeater. In some configurations you can even run both analog and digital over the same stack (but not at the same time obviously). A repeater controller for approx 135 USD isn't a bad deal.

There are multiple ways to D-Star enable analog devices... Is it as clean and easy as the Icom gear. Not yet... but over time it will be due the experimentation and innovation that amateur radio is known for.

Thanks for your work to help the folks in Washington. My cousin's house was in the path so know very well what those folks are going through.

Robert

On Tue, Dec 31, 2013 at 9:22 AM, Michael Black <mdblack98@...> wrote:

>
>
> D-Star is FM….but I guess you mean “regular FM”.
>
>
>
> D-Star allows long-haul comm via repeaters and the internet like some
> other protocols do.
>
>
>
> My hesitation with D-Star is during an emergency it’s quite possible to
> lose the internet so your radios would just become regular FM again….at
> least they can also operate in that mode. Plus I don’t see any EMS
> agencies adopting it. Especially here in Illinois where Motorola
> “convinced” the state to buy their system.
>
>
>
> D-Star is similar to Motorola’s protocol but they have cell towers and

> hard lines….still quite subject to being destroyed….and impossible to set
> up your own repeater without really big bucks.
>
>
>
> Our EMS got called out when Washington IL got hit by a tornado recently
> and we just used our portable repeater helping with damage assessment. No
> need for any long haul comm. I can see the need for the disaster control
> center to have it…but not all the worker bees.
>
>
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
> *From:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com]
> *On Behalf Of *Barry OGrady
> *Sent:* Tuesday, December 31, 2013 1:33 AM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [baofeng_uv5r] D Star
>
>
>
>
>
> At 03:07 PM 31/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >See my reply earlier in this thread. D-Star is a
> >trademark of Icom. It uses an audio codec called
> >AMBE that is proprietary. You can build D-Star
> >compatible devices, but you can't encode or
> >decode the audio, legally, without an AMBE chip.
> >As long as you don't need to hear the traffic or
> >be heard, you're perfectly able to build D-Star
> >compatible equipment like a repeater or access point.
> >
> ><http://www.aprs-is.net/downloads/dstar/DSTARUncovered.pdf>
> http://www.aprs-is.net/downloads/dstar/DSTARUncovered.pdf
> >D-STAR® is a registered trademark of the Icom Incorporated Corporation
> Japan
> >
> >Lets review. D-Star is a trademark of Icom. You
> >can't call what you make D-Star without Icom's
> >authorization. The protocol is open, besides any
> >additions Icom has made anyways. The AMBE speech
> >compressor/decompressor (CODEC) is highly
> >proprietary and only available as a black blob.
> >

> >D-Star requires proprietary components to fully use.
>
> What is the advantage of using D-Star over FM?
>
>
>

38519. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 18:50:55 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38411 Message: 38519

My thoughts exactly, while some get good deals off Amazon, you do not know what you are getting. Even a reputable dealer overseas using Amazon will get bad items via typical Chinese business style of “sticking it to someone.”

The majority of the US dealers deal directly with the factory or sister companies and have support and return policies. The local dealer here includes a redesigned manual, complete operation videos and repeater guides on disk with the radio including pocket carry quick reference programming cards. Full programming is avail too.

Of course with taxes, shipping cost these radios will cost a little higher than $30.

From: Michael Black
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 5:08 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

If you bought this cheap from Amazon/EBay get a return and buy it from a reliable source instead like threeoldcrows. The attempt to save a few bucks like likely to get you a reject and a lot of frustration.

Mike W9MDB

From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Edwards
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 10:16 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Can I assume that the speaker/mic that came with the radio has a correct (safe) type of connector plug?
I used a digital micrometer on the speaker/mic connector, and my Kenwood one. They are both .125 or .124.
But yes....the cone shape at the very first taper is a slightly different taper.
I thought the same thing on the oil....a slight coat of oil would make it not stick or bind up the metal side pins inside the connector.
I looked inside the connector with a stereo microscope. The Kenwood has large tabs in the path of the connector. The Beofeng does not. I suspect it
is now pushed to the side, and not springing back out again.
I ordered a third unit today. Had to order from another source, since Amazon pulled it off the shelf.
When the third arrives, I will look inside the connector again before I program it.....to see what it supposed to look like.
Hope I don't wreck a third!!
Thanks very much for your time and information!
.....Dave

On 12/30/2013 7:29 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr wrote:

Hello,

We found the ends are differently shaped. The end taper on some of them would knock the jack pins contacts either out of alignment (it seems once bent or the plastic housing loosens –replacement is most likely needed)

Some ends come with a taper into a conic shape, others have different tapers and rims. The ones that seemed to be the worst were slightly oversized in the area where it pressed the contacts open, causing (most likely) for them to stick or deform. You can actually “feel” the difference when you insert these in and out compared to others. Someone before mentioned WD40, this is what I do. I lightly coat the program cable end with WD 40 to give slight lubrication so the ends will not grab the contacts throwing them off. Spray the end and wipe off giving a slight lube barrier to the ends.

Those contacts most totally electrically close to place the internal mic back in circuit to work.

If you have a buddy with mics or cables take a look at them and compare carefully. Often the failure is glue embedded on the contact ball points keeping them open. This problem sometimes gives a “scratchy” audio as the glue acts as a resistance allowing some diminished operation. You will need a magnifier and a good digital micrometer to check the ends.

If the jacks contorted or the plastic housing that holds them has loosened, they will need replacement. However, you will need to check all cable ends to insure what maybe caused this.

Most of the bad sized plugs were actually undersized and wouldn’t make proper contact but we did experience oversized ones too.

I have complained about this to various sources and have had relatives inquire about this design issue getting the correct people and using Chinese. This is when we found out that a few of the lower priced radios failed Q/C checks and were bought by sellers overseas that were selling them at almost dealer costs (before shipping, import taxes or sales tax) Bad part suppliers of faulty jacks were also grounds for rejects. I would suspect this means they had a time date of faulty production units that were known to have faulty or low quality jacks. Those batches that were made using those parts in those dates were then sold off to these same dealers selling them around $30 or lower.

This might be why you are getting them repeatedly. However, I would start with your cables first, getting one of Kurts “Three Crows general Stores” cables to compare with what you are currently using.

This mic defect is a serious one and we are told is being worked on. I have a few radios myself that I can only use ext mics with due to this jack problem and I do not feel like taking them apart to correct this issue at this time.

From: Dave Edwards

Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 12:22 PM

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Hello....Is the problem that they are greater than 3.5 mm?
I have two UV5R+ units that are bad in one week time.
I was on a chat session with Amazon, they pulled them from their supply. (from Amazon...still available from other Amazon sources)
Perhaps my programming cable is incorrect?
It is the one I have used with my Kenwood TH-G7a for many years.
In fact, so old it is not USB, but still RS-232.
Might it still be the culprit?
...Dave

On 12/30/2013 2:55 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr wrote:

FYI We have seen a few oversized programming cables and bad Mic Kenwood 3.5 mm connector ends coming from China. Look very closely at them if the problem surfaced after a mic insert or programming run with something new. The oversized pin sometimes does 2 things.

1. Over stresses the mic jack which knocks the metal contacts out of alignment so they will not return to the mic closed position to place the int mic into circuit;

Replacement often needed as the jack plastic housing becomes distorted. You will notice a strange tension when this happened- more force than normal when inserting. Some just go out from multiple in/out over time.

2. Shoves the contact into the glue of the veneer jack seal sticking it open or causing the contact to be contaminated- Alcohol trimmed down on a high quality Q-tip (low quality will leave cotton inside the jack hole!) or sometimes a doubled-tripled up folded pipe cleaner. This the easy fix.

Everyone should check all their 3.5 mm connector pins as we are seeing some very poor quality ones either under or oversized.- especially from online discount mics and some of the latest programming cables that have both knock off Prolific chips and sizing problems. Pay attention to the shape of the ends too.

--- Another reason to buy the prolific or eq high quality programming cable cable.

KK6CRP

From: Dave Edwards

Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2013 11:47 AM

To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com

Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

Karl....Not sure you saw my post on this subject, but this was exactly the issue mine had when I took it out of the box on XMAS.
Contacted Amazon on the 26th, and had a replacement on the 27th. Which now works fine.
The original....same thing..Works fine with external mic, but not the internal. I tried plugging a 1/8" mini plug back and forth, but it never even 'blipped' on for a second.
Stone dead! So...hope my new one does not do this also!
....Dave

On 12/29/13 1:27 PM, Karl Schwab wrote:

Well it happened to me again, internal mic not working and a "Q" tip and alcohol didn't fix the external mic/speaker jack this time, so, I guess I'll have to open the radio up! What are the steps, and maybe photos, on just how I can access the mic/speaker jack for a "looksee"? In the meantime, my speaker/mic works just fine. Thanks, Karl

38520. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Rudeness

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 19:08:10 UTC
From: Dean Brooks
Topic: 38504 Message: 38520

Lee,
That is something I noticed within a week of signing up. It's been a small handful of key people that are causing the problems constantly. Unless the subject line catches my eye I just delete them now as I don't want to read the crap they spew anymore. I won't leave this group though as there has been some very good info. that comes from it.

Moderators would be helpful on here that is for sure. Maybe a 3 strike rule. The last time I brought up the rudeness I was told not to feed the trolls. That didn't set well with me either. It shouldn't be an issue here. Just like everything on the internet it can be controlled. Someone owns the list and they can add / remove people as they like. Problem people = removal. Easy as that. Another reason why I don't contribute here and I'm sure this will cause some grief amongst some people.

Semper Fi!

Dean Brooks (KC2MVB)
Hidden Paradise Computers
A Veteran Own Company
www.h-p-c.com

On 12/31/2013 1:30 PM, thehamguy1@... wrote:
>
> Glad you brought this up. I've been a ham since the late 50s and have
> always told people that hams are unfailingly polite even to people
> they don't like (at least on the air). I just joined this group two
> weeks ago but was about to drop out of it because of the tone of some
> postings and replies. The number of folks who are also unhappy with
> this behavior encourages me to stay with the group.
>
>
> Lee, WU0V
>
>

This email is free from viruses and malware because avast! Antivirus protection is active.
http://www.avast.com

38521. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Rudeness

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 19:08:38 UTC
From: Dean Brooks
Topic: 38504 Message: 38521

Got my vote on that one!

Semper Fi!

Dean Brooks (KC2MVB)
Hidden Paradise Computers
A Veteran Own Company
www.h-p-c.com

On 12/31/2013 1:33 PM, Michael Black wrote:
>
> I would vote that we simply ban anybody who is overtly rude or insulting.
>
> That would be about 5 people I think.
>
> Maybe give them one warning and that’s it.
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
> *From:*baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] *On Behalf Of *thehamguy1@...
> *Sent:* Tuesday, December 31, 2013 12:30 PM
> *To:* baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* [baofeng_uv5r] RE: Rudeness
>
> Glad you brought this up. I've been a ham since the late 50s and have
> always told people that hams are unfailingly polite even to people
> they don't like (at least on the air). I just joined this group two
> weeks ago but was about to drop out of it because of the tone of some
> postings and replies. The number of folks who are also unhappy with
> this behavior encourages me to stay with the group.
>
> Lee, WU0V
>
>

This email is free from viruses and malware because avast! Antivirus protection is active.
http://www.avast.com

38522. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 18:25:16 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38468 Message: 38522

> The reported firmware version is the compatibility indicator….
> ergo BFB297 same as the newer UV-5R’s so they are compatible
> with programming software for BFB297. Any other changes do
> not affect that API. If they ever break that API (by adding or
> removing functionality to the API) they’ll have to report a new
> version there.

Interesting theory, but do you have ANYTHING whatsoever to support that theory?

BaoFeng has certainly not announced any such plan, nor had there been any indication of such an intent during all the prior firmware version changes. The last time that a firmware change impacted the programming software was the change from BFB290 to BFB291, none of the later changes from BFB291 through BFB297 had any impact on the programming software. So, by your theory, BaoFeng should have stopped advancing the firmware version number displayed on the radio at 291, not waited until 297 to freeze the number shown on the display.

Perhaps a more likely theory might be that dealers asked the factory to stop putting the version number where users could see it because so many buyers were concerned about buying the "latest" version (as we constantly see from the questions here). By freezing the easily visible version number at 297, buyers no longer have an opportunity to look for the "latest" version and when someone asks a dealer about the version the dealer can more-or-less honestly say that his radios all that "the latest version BFB297" firmware.

Yet another alternative theory might be that perhaps the programmer writing the firmware simply either forgot or decided not to bother updating the digits in the memory location that shows on the display when you hold the 3 key while turning on the radio.

Unless you have some special information that the rest of us haven't seen yet, we really don't know why all the firmware versions since BFB297 still show the old version number on screen.

38523. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Amazon vs Overseas vs US Dealers

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 19:00:35 UTC
From: Xaun Loc
Topic: 38411 Message: 38523

The two advantages Amazon has over many (but not all) other suppliers are
1) Quick delivery (particularly on “fulfilled by Amazon” products)
and
2) An excellent return policy

Given the opportunity, I would tend to choose Amazon over just about any dealer located outside the US – and over many dealers in the US

On the other hand, I would normally choose a known and trusted dealer in the US over an unknown seller at Amazon even if there was somewhat of a price difference.

Obviously, as the price difference becomes greater, so would my willingness to ‘gamble’ on an Amazon seller, taking into consideration Amazon’s return policy.

From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 13:50 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Internal Mic not working again

My thoughts exactly, while some get good deals off Amazon, you do not know what you are getting. Even a reputable dealer overseas using Amazon will get bad items via typical Chinese business style of “sticking it to someone.”

The majority of the US dealers deal directly with the factory or sister companies and have support and return policies. The local dealer here includes a redesigned manual, complete operation videos and repeater guides on disk with the radio including pocket carry quick reference programming cards. Full programming is avail too.

Of course with taxes, shipping cost these radios will cost a little higher than $30.

38524. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 19:53:24 UTC
From: Steve Spence
Topic: 38121 Message: 38524

The EOC is not commercial use, it's Emergency Communications, and well
within acceptable use. I have manned the EOC and red cross shelters
(providing comms) in preparing for emergencies. Mostly 2 meter and packet.

On Sat, Dec 28, 2013 at 6:49 AM, Barry OGrady <mrhat2005@...>wrote:

>
>
> At 10:47 PM 27/12/2013, you wrote:
> >
> >
> >The 3 hospitals in our county are connected to the EOC (That's
> >Emergancy Operations Center or Centre if you prefer) by amateur
> >radio. In addition our state EOC (see previous definition) runs a
> >statewide weekly net to make sure everything is running right
>
> Only until the FCC find out and you are fined for improper use of
> amateur radio.
> Commercial use is not permitted.
>
>
>

--
Steve Spence
Director, Green Trust
http://www.green-trust.org

38525. TDR AB Settings?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 20:17:05 UTC
From: <tfa8rva@...>
Topic: 38525 Message: 38525

Question. We use this radio at our Fire Department as a cheap alternative to the Motorola. It works well, but my question has to do with the TDR settings. When we are on a scene, we like to have A set to our Dispatch Freq, and then B to our Fireground 1 Freq (which is basically simplex, radio to radio) and while on scene, Fireground 1 is what we are talking on mostly to keep the traffic off the dispatch frequency. However, when anyone on Dispatch talks, the radio automatically makes that the active frequency, and unless you are paying attention you wind up keying up on dispatch freq instead of Fireground 1. Now I know in the menu, #34 will give you the option of selection OFF/A/B, but when I choose either A or B.....let say B, then it prevents me from changing the channel on A, signal or no signal. I can't even select it. I just want B to remain my active channel so I don't accidentally key up on the dispatch freq which is on A and still have the ability to select both A and B to change Channels. Clear as mud...right?

38526. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 20:32:55 UTC
From: <tenorman1952@...>
Topic: 38121 Message: 38526

I would like to know... I just don't understand. Why are hams providing communications to hospitals? Why are hospitals putting in ham gear and requiring employees to be licensed hams? This is commercial use of the ham bands.

They have available the same non-ham communications as used by Fire Departments, Ambulance services, the city power department, and others. Why are hospitals allowed to co-opt ham radio?

And this is not the same as hams providing communications at shelters, schools and civic centers, during hurricanes and tornadoes, other such events.

Paul - AE5JU

38527. RE: TDR AB Settings?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 20:36:10 UTC
From: <tenorman1952@...>
Topic: 38525 Message: 38527

Turn off Dual Watch in Menu 7 like this:

Press Menu.

Press 7

Use ^ or v buttons to select Off.

Press Menu again to save the setting.

Press Exit.

You will still be able to use the blue A/B button to select the channel or frequency of the A or B lines on the display, but it will not flip back and forth when someone transmits on the other frequency. You will still be able to change the channel or frequency on one line or the other when that line is selected. It just won't change because someone else transmits.

Paul - AE5JU

38528. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 20:41:39 UTC
From: R Pryor MAEd CETsr
Topic: 38468 Message: 38528

Xuan is right,

We were told that dealers were being asked to give customers the latest versions while others would claim they had the "latest version" to capture the customer base. Baofeng decided to just keep the stable build (latest one fixing glitches) which was BFB297 as the power on display. The other display message 130806N or BFBS311 is used to identify and date the chip production run.

We were told NO changes in Firmware settings from 297 to 311, how true this is I do not know. However, operationally no differences between 297-311 that I can see. The Chirp guys would know if this is true or not better than anyone else.

NOW for the GT3, we were told that the improvements found in the GT3 (electronically) were going to become standard for all Uv5R's as the chipset suppliers run out of existing stock and start using the newer versions. This means insuring that you have the latest Baofeng Firmware stamp might mean that you have the possibility of getting the better chipsets.

The Zastone UV5R clone is such example

So far these improvements are typical semiconductor QA/QC and process improvements which constantly try to improve factors such as noise, power drain and doping impurity issues along with sizing issues.

This might be a good reason to try to get the latest version which might be a hybrid of old and new components.

They are:

Radio Frequency IC
Upgrade SQ to enhance the anti-interference ability
RDA1846S add an new tail tone elimination function,when transmit and receive signal between 50Hz with 55H
Improve the receiver AGV switching noise when signal strength changes severe

Frequency-Modulated Receiver Chip

The RDA5802N has a powerful low-IF digital audio processor, this make it have optimum sound quality with varying reception conditions

Power Amplifier IC
Low crossover distortion
Low quiescent circuit current

LED Flashlight

High lumens degrees

-----Original Message-----
From: Xaun Loc
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 10:25 AM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

> The reported firmware version is the compatibility indicator….
> ergo BFB297 same as the newer UV-5R’s so they are compatible
> with programming software for BFB297. Any other changes do
> not affect that API. If they ever break that API (by adding or
> removing functionality to the API) they’ll have to report a new
> version there.

Interesting theory, but do you have ANYTHING whatsoever to support that theory?

BaoFeng has certainly not announced any such plan, nor had there been any indication of such an intent during all the prior firmware version changes. The last time that a firmware change impacted the programming software was the change from BFB290 to BFB291, none of the later changes from BFB291 through BFB297 had any impact on the programming software. So, by your theory, BaoFeng should have stopped advancing the firmware version number displayed on the radio at 291, not waited until 297 to freeze the number shown on the display.

Perhaps a more likely theory might be that dealers asked the factory to stop putting the version number where users could see it because so many buyers were concerned about buying the "latest" version (as we constantly see from the questions here). By freezing the easily visible version number at 297, buyers no longer have an opportunity to look for the "latest" version and when someone asks a dealer about the version the dealer can more-or-less honestly say that his radios all that "the latest version BFB297" firmware.

Yet another alternative theory might be that perhaps the programmer writing the firmware simply either forgot or decided not to bother updating the digits in the memory location that shows on the display when you hold the 3 key while turning on the radio.

Unless you have some special information that the rest of us haven't seen yet, we really don't know why all the firmware versions since BFB297 still show the old version number on screen.

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

38529. RE: TDR AB Settings?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 20:42:51 UTC
From: <tfa8rva@...>
Topic: 38525 Message: 38529

Thanks for the reply. I have tried this. This turns off the dual receive, and will only receive on the selected channel. I will either hear Dispatch on A, or Fireground on B, but not both that way.

38530. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 21:33:29 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38468 Message: 38530

On Tue, Dec 31, 2013 at 3:41 PM, R Pryor MAEd CETsr <doopsnoop@...>wrote:

>
> We were told NO changes in Firmware settings from 297 to 311, how true this
> is I do not know. However, operationally no differences between 297-311
> that I can see. The Chirp guys would know if this is true or not better
> than anyone else.
>

Baofeng doesn't publish a list of firmware changes. This makes it difficult to verify their claim of "NO changes" because most of the differences between firmware versions are transparent to the user. Any changes that would affect the user are only discovered by careful observation between radios having differing firmware versions. My thanks goes out to all that report these differences.

We now know that this claim is NOT TRUE and that there definitely have been changes between BFB297 and BFS311. The following is an example of a change that was discovered and reported in BFS311...

There has been a long standing bug in the UV-5R that when using the top row keys ([MENU], [^], [v] and [EXIT]) to send the DTMF A, B, C and D signals (respectively since firmware version BFB238), the DTMF sidetone for 0 would be heard in the speaker when pressing [EXIT] key. Apparently in an effort to fix this condition, the firmware engineers must have misunderstood the issue and got the "fix" backwards. Now when pressing the [EXIT] key you will not only hear a DTMF sidetone for 0, a DTMF 0 will also be transmitted. So starting with BFS311, there is no longer a DTMF D available from the keypad. :-(

Jim KC9HI

38531. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] TDR AB Settings?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 21:40:40 UTC
From: Jim Unroe
Topic: 38525 Message: 38531

On Tue, Dec 31, 2013 at 3:17 PM, <tfa8rva@...> wrote:

>
>
> Question. We use this radio at our Fire Department as a cheap alternative
> to the Motorola. It works well, but my question has to do with the TDR
> settings. When we are on a scene, we like to have A set to our Dispatch
> Freq, and then B to our Fireground 1 Freq (which is basically simplex,
> radio to radio) and while on scene, Fireground 1 is what we are talking on
> mostly to keep the traffic off the dispatch frequency. However, when anyone
> on Dispatch talks, the radio automatically makes that the active frequency,
> and unless you are paying attention you wind up keying up on dispatch freq
> instead of Fireground 1. Now I know in the menu, #34 will give you the
> option of selection OFF/A/B, but when I choose either A or B.....let say
> B, then it prevents me from changing the channel on A, signal or no signal.
> I can't even select it. I just want B to remain my active channel so I
> don't accidentally key up on the dispatch freq which is on A and still have
> the ability to select both A and B to change Channels. Clear as mud...right?
>
>
>
TDR-AB is only effective when TDR is ON. So with TDR-AB set to B, what you
do is switch TDR to OFF. Make the change as required to the A display, and
then set TDR back to ON.

Jim KC9HI

38532. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] TDR AB Settings?

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 21:49:59 UTC
From: <tfa8rva@...>
Topic: 38525 Message: 38532

Thanks Jim. I think that is probably the only way to accomplish what I want to do. Kind of a hassle...but it does work. I guess since this radio does not truly have dual receive it does not work like other HTs I've used. Thanks,

Jay

38533. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 21:58:08 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 38121 Message: 38533

It is only used to connect the hospitals to the EOC. It's not done on a regular basis or for commercial use.
----- Original Message -----
From: Barry OGrady
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2013 6:49 AM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

At 10:47 PM 27/12/2013, you wrote:
>
>
>The 3 hospitals in our county are connected to the EOC (That's
>Emergancy Operations Center or Centre if you prefer) by amateur
>radio. In addition our state EOC (see previous definition) runs a
>statewide weekly net to make sure everything is running right

Only until the FCC find out and you are fined for improper use of amateur radio.
Commercial use is not permitted.

38534. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 22:16:33 UTC
From: Doug McClure
Topic: 38121 Message: 38534

Well let me explain it again. Did I say there were any hams as hospital employeees? When the Emergency Operations Center is activated ham volunteers man the radios in the EOC. Some may be sent to the hospitals if needed. I'm assuming it's used as a back up to landlines and cell. We can also connect to the state EOC as a back up to phone and internet. In a disaster "normal" means of communication MAY not be available. So THAT"S why hams volunteers in a disaster. To provide emergancy communications. Remember, that's what Field Day is all about.
----- Original Message -----
From: tenorman1952@...
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 3:32 PM
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

I would like to know... I just don't understand. Why are hams providing communications to hospitals? Why are hospitals putting in ham gear and requiring employees to be licensed hams? This is commercial use of the ham bands.

They have available the same non-ham communications as used by Fire Departments, Ambulance services, the city power department, and others. Why are hospitals allowed to co-opt ham radio?

And this is not the same as hams providing communications at shelters, schools and civic centers, during hurricanes and tornadoes, other such events.

Paul - AE5JU

38535. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 22:20:24 UTC
From: Jim DeArras
Topic: 38121 Message: 38535

Emergency use of ham radio is always legal.

38536. Re: Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 22:29:36 UTC
From: David Thompson
Topic: 38121 Message: 38536

You've got it wrong. The hospital personnel are not getting licensed and are not using the equipment. The equipment is used by Hams during any emergency that may come up with Hams providing assistance. We do this using Packet, FLDIGI, as well as voice. Amateur radio many times has proven more reliable than anything else.

Dave, AB2WL

38537. RE: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 22:33:26 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 38468 Message: 38537

Well....my conclusion is based on observation...according to CHIRP the channel list is compatible on all models but the other settings are different (or potentially different) based on firmware version. That's why the GT-3 works with CHIRP as a UV-5R. The additional settings are NOT compatible across versions (at least not guaranteed to be compatible). And all radios since BFB297 work with BFB297 settings. So I'd say it's self evident.

You may be right about what shows when you turn it on. Could well be to hush up the latest-greatest people. But the behavior fits my description. All subsequent versions since BFB297 are currently compatible with BFB297. Eventually that may change. If you want I can ask Baofeng but not sure I'd trust the answer I get. Technical questions seem to get lost in the translation.

Mike W9MDB

-----Original Message-----
From: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com [mailto:baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Xaun Loc
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 12:25 PM
To: baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [baofeng_uv5r] RE: BFB Version

> The reported firmware version is the compatibility indicatorâ€¦.
> ergo BFB297 same as the newer UV-5Râ€™s so they are compatible
> with programming software for BFB297. Any other changes do
> not affect that API. If they ever break that API (by adding or
> removing functionality to the API) theyâ€™ll have to report a new
> version there.

Interesting theory, but do you have ANYTHING whatsoever to support that theory?

BaoFeng has certainly not announced any such plan, nor had there been any indication of such an intent during all the prior firmware version changes. The last time that a firmware change impacted the programming software was the change from BFB290 to BFB291, none of the later changes from BFB291 through BFB297 had any impact on the programming software. So, by your theory, BaoFeng should have stopped advancing the firmware version number displayed on the radio at 291, not waited until 297 to freeze the number shown on the display.

Perhaps a more likely theory might be that dealers asked the factory to stop putting the version number where users could see it because so many buyers were concerned about buying the "latest" version (as we constantly see from the questions here). By freezing the easily visible version number at 297, buyers no longer have an opportunity to look for the "latest" version and when someone asks a dealer about the version the dealer can more-or-less honestly say that his radios all that "the latest version BFB297" firmware.

Yet another alternative theory might be that perhaps the programmer writing the firmware simply either forgot or decided not to bother updating the digits in the memory location that shows on the display when you hold the 3 key while turning on the radio.

Unless you have some special information that the rest of us haven't seen yet, we really don't know why all the firmware versions since BFB297 still show the old version number on screen.

.
USER GUIDE: www.miklor.com/uv5r/UserGuide
.
UV-5R FAQ: www.miklor.com/uv5r/FAQ
.Yahoo Groups Links

38538. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 22:40:16 UTC
From: <tenorman1952@...>
Topic: 38121 Message: 38538

I have heard of hospitals strongly suggesting their employees get ham licenses. Also buying and installing ham gear.

Paul - AE5JU

38539. Re: Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 22:43:13 UTC
From: <tenorman1952@...>
Topic: 38121 Message: 38539

I have been told by VEs in other areas they have been approached to give classes and license exams to hospital employees with the intention to use them for such communications.

Paul - AE5JU

38540. Re: Rudeness

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 22:46:35 UTC
From: jdhite1
Topic: 38504 Message: 38540

Hello-

I agree wholeheartedly with everything you said. It's painful to hear/read what's so common these days, not only on this forum, but on many others. However, your complaints are probably -- sad to say -- too narrow in focus since this ever-increasing rudeness seems to be a sign of our times. Of course the reasons for this are multiple (parents, school systems, TV, movies, etc.) -- I shudder to think how things may be in only a few more years. Let's hope that more folks stand up against rudeness as you have done.... and not just here.

John

--- In baofeng_uv5r@yahoogroups.com, <kk4vlw@...> wrote:
>
> Ham Radio Operators have in times past had a reputation of friendliness, corporation and politeness. I'm new to the hobby and just can't understand why some people MUST show their behind in responses to the questions and problems some folks put forth to be answered.
>
> I understand that there are differences of opinion as well as some folks are incorrect in their terminology in both application and FCC law. Rudeness and name calling is just not needed in any situations that come up on this Board.
>
>
> If you have the answer or a suggestion simply post it. If the question has already been answered point them to the answer without further comment.
>
>
> Calling people names, putting them down, making fun of them simply shows who and what you are. Instead of tearing people down to your level is not the solution to anything. Try lifting yourself out of the pigsty and be a humane person.
>
>
> I plead with the Moderator of this group to start removing participants that cannot carry on a conversation without the Negative Attitude... I know the internet and the ability to remain anonymous makes some feel they can be a keyboard bully but your Bullying will cause you more harm than those you bully.....
>
>
> Just my thoughts.
>

38541. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 23:30:52 UTC
From: Robert Copelan
Topic: 38121 Message: 38541

At least for the USA, current FCC regulation Part 97.113(a)(3i) states:
"A station licensee or control station operator may participate on behalf of an employer in an emergency preparedness or disaster readiness test or drill, limited to the duration and scope of such test or drill, and operational testing immediately prior to such test or drill. Tests or drills that are not government-sponsored are limited to a total time of one hour per week; except that no more than twice in any calendar year, they may be conducted for a period not to exceed 72 hours."

It makes good sense for ANY employer involved in emergency, disaster, public safety or medical services to encourage their employees to become licensed. As stated above they can even do on the air training while "on the clock" with limitations. This doesn't mean that they can use Amateur Radio frequencies for normal business but does mean that they will have people trained to utilize the amateur networks for support in the appropriate situations where other means of communications are not functional or overloaded. An inbound ambulance with a heart attack patient on a normal Wednesday afternoon with cell phones and normal comms working does not qualify. An airliner crash into a housing complex, Cat 5 hurricane or multiple terrorists attacks that has overloaded the cell network and the local Part 90 systems would qualify. This makes good preparedness sense.

Our local search team is authorized for and does use Part 90 equipment under the approval of our sponsoring agency. We also utilize amateur radio when doing training or missions that are not covered by such approval. The UV5R will be a huge benefit to having low cost, lightweight gear for the entire team and increase flexibility.
Robert
WB4DHC

On Tue, Dec 31, 2013 at 5:40 PM, <tenorman1952@...> wrote:

>
>
> I have heard of hospitals strongly suggesting their employees get ham
> licenses. Also buying and installing ham gear.
>
> Paul - AE5JU
>
>

38542. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 23:41:34 UTC
From: Steve Spence
Topic: 38121 Message: 38542

Well put!

On 12/31/2013 6:30 PM, Robert Copelan wrote:
> At least for the USA, current FCC regulation Part 97.113(a)(3i) states:
> "A station licensee or control station operator may participate on
> behalf of an employer in an emergency preparedness or disaster
> readiness test or drill, limited to the duration and scope of such
> test or drill, and operational testing immediately prior to such test
> or drill. Tests or drills that are not government-sponsored are
> limited to a total time of one hour per week; except that no more than
> twice in any calendar year, they may be conducted for a period not to
> exceed 72 hours."
>
> It makes good sense for ANY employer involved in emergency, disaster,
> public safety or medical services to encourage their employees to
> become licensed. As stated above they can even do on the air training
> while "on the clock" with limitations. This doesn't mean that they can
> use Amateur Radio frequencies for normal business but does mean that
> they will have people trained to utilize the amateur networks for
> support in the appropriate situations where other means of
> communications are not functional or overloaded. An inbound ambulance
> with a heart attack patient on a normal Wednesday afternoon with cell
> phones and normal comms working does not qualify. An airliner crash
> into a housing complex, Cat 5 hurricane or multiple terrorists
> attacks that has overloaded the cell network and the local Part 90
> systems would qualify. This makes good preparedness sense.
>
> Our local search team is authorized for and does use Part 90 equipment
> under the approval of our sponsoring agency. We also utilize amateur
> radio when doing training or missions that are not covered by such
> approval. The UV5R will be a huge benefit to having low cost,
> lightweight gear for the entire team and increase flexibility.
> Robert
> WB4DHC
>
>
> On Tue, Dec 31, 2013 at 5:40 PM, <tenorman1952@...
> <mailto:tenorman1952@...>> wrote:
>
> I have heard of hospitals strongly suggesting their employees get
> ham licenses. Also buying and installing ham gear.
>
> Paul - AE5JU
>
>

>

--
Steve, KK4HFJ

http://www.green-trust.org

http://www.essnmag.com

http://arduinotronics.blogspot.com

38543. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 23:42:39 UTC
From: Steve Spence
Topic: 38121 Message: 38543

As they should. When everyone else is down, we are online!

On 12/31/2013 5:40 PM, tenorman1952@... wrote:
>
> I have heard of hospitals strongly suggesting their employees get ham
> licenses. Also buying and installing ham gear.
>
> Paul - AE5JU
>
>

--
Steve, KK4HFJ

http://www.green-trust.org

http://www.essnmag.com

http://arduinotronics.blogspot.com

38544. Re: [baofeng_uv5r] Ridiculous Claims and Illiterate Nonsense

Yahoo group: baofeng_uv5r (2013-12)
Date: 2013-12-31 23:54:42 UTC
From: Kevin Timm
Topic: 38121 Message: 38544

Again

Applicability to baofeng uv-5r?

On Tue, Dec 31, 2013 at 5:42 PM, Steve Spence <greentrust@...> wrote:

>
>
> As they should. When everyone else is down, we are online!
>
>
> On 12/31/2013 5:40 PM, tenorman1952@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
>
> I have heard of hospitals strongly suggesting their employees get ham
> licenses. Also buying and installing ham gear.
>
> Paul - AE5JU
>
>
>
> --
> Steve, KK4HFJ
> http://www.green-trust.org
> http://www.essnmag.com
> http://arduinotronics.blogspot.com
>
>
>